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PAPER A

Building Opportunity,
Building a Stronger Ontario
Opportunity is the foundation upon which society — and the economy — is built.
The government wants every Ontarian to have a fair opportunity to succeed. It is the right
thing to do for Ontario’s families. It is the smart thing to do for Ontario’s economy.
Ontario’s edge — its competitive advantage — is its people. If Ontario is to be the best it
can be, each Ontarian needs to be at his or her best.

The government must ensure Ontarians are healthy, highly skilled and well equipped to
compete and win in the truly global economy of the 21st century. The government wants
to ensure Ontarians can count on a sound fiscal foundation, based on a prudent and
balanced approach that allows the Province to invest in future prosperity while keeping
taxes competitive.

But when the McGuinty government came to office in 2003, it inherited a health care
deficit, an education and skills deficit, an infrastructure deficit and a fiscal deficit.

The McGuinty government’s first budget featured a plan to address the health care and
education deficits. That plan is working. Today, family physicians are seeing more
Ontarians. First-year medical school spaces will increase by 23 per cent. Fourteen new or
upgraded magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines have been added to the public
system. Wait times are down for key procedures, such as elective cardiac bypass surgery
and radiation treatment.

Progress is being made in Ontario’s schools. About half of Ontario’s students in the
crucially important early grades of junior kindergarten to Grade 3 are now in classes of
20 or fewer students. Sixty-two per cent of Grades 3 and 6 students are now meeting the
Provincial standard in reading, writing and math — up from about half. There is more
help for the students and the schools that need it.

This government’s second budget featured a plan to address the skills deficit by reaching
higher when it comes to postsecondary education and training. That plan is working.
Ontario has launched the most significant investment in higher education in a generation —
delivering 75,000 new spaces, doubling student aid, and investing $6.2 billion over five
years, as announced in the 2005 Budget, in improved quality, accessibility and
accountability in our universities, colleges and apprenticeship programs.

This Budget represents the third major phase of the government’s plan to strengthen the
province by strengthening its people.

It builds opportunity and equips people for success by addressing the infrastructure
deficit. This Budget announces a major new one-time investment of $1.2 billion in roads,
bridges and transit systems. This includes a major $838 million investment in transit in the
Greater Toronto Area, enabling a bold new subway expansion to York Region, and new
projects designed to fight gridlock and speed travel across Mississauga and Brampton. There is a $400 million investment in municipal roads and bridges across the province, with special emphasis on rural and northern communities.

Ontarians can only prosper if people and goods can move efficiently across the province. Working families’ quality of life improves when they spend less time in traffic.

This initiative builds on the government’s ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan, a more than $30 billion, five-year investment in health care, education, water and wastewater, justice, transportation and transit infrastructure.

The McGuinty government is on track to eliminate the inherited fiscal deficit — no later than 2008–09. The 2005–06 deficit is now projected to be $1.4 billion, down from $5.5 billion in 2003–04. The government has determined that the reserve for 2005–06 is no longer required. A balanced budget will be achieved one year earlier in 2007–08 if the reserve is not needed.

The credit for this progress must go to hard-working Ontarians—almost 200,000 net new jobs have been created since October 2003.

In 2005, again thanks to Ontarians, the economy outperformed both the average private-sector and government planning projections, resulting in revenue gains. The government has made a strategic and prudent choice to invest over 60 per cent of this additional money to pay down Ontario’s transportation infrastructure deficit.

Current private-sector forecasts for Ontario largely call for modest growth over the next few years. The impact of higher oil prices and the stronger Canadian dollar has lowered the medium-term economic growth forecast from what was in the 2005 Budget. In an environment where the government is not introducing new taxes or tax increases, this implies modest revenue growth. The government must therefore continue to be prudent and disciplined in its approach to managing the Province’s finances.

The government is making real progress, working with Ontarians. Over 11,000 megawatts (MW) of electricity supply and conservation and demand management are expected to be in place over the next five years. Together, that is enough power to supply about five million homes.

This Budget includes an additional $1.9 billion in funding in 2006–07 for the health sector. It supports new health care initiatives, including funding insulin pumps for young people, increased access to breast cancer and newborn screening, steps to prepare Ontario in case of an influenza pandemic, and new investments in hospitals. It builds on the government’s plan for student success by achieving gains in literacy and math test scores, and higher graduation rates. This Budget includes the next steps in the McGuinty government’s $6.2 billion Reaching Higher plan for postsecondary education and training, as announced in the 2005 Budget.
The new federal government has expressed a willingness to address the fiscal imbalance between itself and the provinces and territories. The McGuinty government is optimistic about the potential positive outcome for Ontarians. The Province needs the federal government to help build a strong Ontario for a strong Canada by continuing to narrow the $23 billion gap between what Ontarians contribute to Confederation and the transfers and services that Ontarians receive in return.

There is much more work to be done to further sharpen the province's competitive edge by strengthening its people and ensuring that every Ontarian can find opportunity.
Section I: Building Opportunity: Building a Stronger Ontario

Building opportunity is about investing in the foundation of the economy to increase opportunity for Ontario families and businesses.

Investing in Economic Infrastructure

Ontario’s people provide the hard work, intelligence and know-how of the economy. The province’s transportation infrastructure provides the backbone of the economy. But aging and outdated infrastructure is holding Ontario back from even greater growth. Major new investments in public transit, roads, bridges and borders will help strengthen Ontario’s economic advantage, ensure future business investment and stimulate job creation in the province.

Every day, infrastructure touches Ontarians’ lives. Working families need reliable public transit and roads to travel to and from home, work and school. Businesses need reliable transportation networks to produce goods and services and get them to their markets.

Under the ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan, the government and its partners are investing in projects that will increase ridership of public transit, reduce commuter times, promote road safety and ease congestion at Ontario–U.S. border crossings.

This Budget announces Move Ontario, the McGuinty government’s new, one-time $1.2 billion investment in transportation infrastructure, including $838 million in new funding for public transit in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) and $400 million in new funding for Ontario’s roads and bridges in municipalities primarily outside the GTA, with special emphasis on rural and northern communities. This money is in addition to ReNew Ontario.

The Move Ontario transit investments would enable 42 million more transit rides in the GTA, resulting in 35 million fewer car trips on Ontario roads and highways each year. The Move Ontario investments in roads and bridges could allow resurfacing of 3,000 kilometres of municipal roads or the repair of up to 800 bridges.

Move Ontario will mean more time with family and less time commuting, a cleaner environment, improved safety, reduced business costs and a better quality of life.
Expanded, Modern Public Transit

The GTA plays a vital role in both Ontario’s and Canada’s economies. It is a centre of commerce and innovation, and at its core is Toronto, the third-largest financial centre in North America.

With this Budget, the government is providing $838 million for the priority transit projects in the GTA — the largest provincial investment in municipal transit infrastructure improvements in the GTA since the mid-1970s. These projects have the potential to create about 23,000 jobs.

The Greater Toronto Transportation Authority

The Government of Ontario will improve the planning and coordination of public transit in the GTA by:

- introducing legislation in 2006 to establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA). The proposed GTTA would help achieve the objectives of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe and the Greenbelt Plan by leading the delivery of an integrated and more convenient transportation system to meet the growing needs of the GTA and Hamilton. The GTTA would plan, coordinate and set priorities for public transit investments and major regional roads; and
- helping to develop the GTA Fare Card System, an integrated-ticket system that would allow people to move easily across nine municipal transit systems and GO Transit with a single fare card. The system will begin to link selected Mississauga Transit and GO Transit services in 2007.

Extending the Subway to York Region

It is time to extend Toronto’s subway system into the 905 region.

The government will provide $670 million through a Move Ontario Trust to Toronto and York Region. These municipalities will be able to use the funding for new subway construction that crosses a regional boundary in Ontario for the first time.

This new funding would allow Toronto and York Region to extend the subway to the Vaughan Corporate Centre. A new subway would improve connections with VIVA, York Region’s transit system, Brampton Transit and GO Transit. It would also provide rapid transit service to the more than 65,000 individuals commuting to York University. York University is currently the second-largest generator of single-person auto use in the GTA, next to Pearson International Airport. Furthermore, the extension will reduce congestion on the Yonge line and make more effective use of the Spadina line by diverting approximately 10 per cent of passengers from the Yonge line. In February 2005, Toronto City Council reaffirmed that the extension of the Spadina/University subway to Steeles Avenue is the City’s top priority for subway expansion.
Proposed Transit Expansion

A subway into York Region will provide a direct benefit to the increasing number of residents of Toronto, York and surrounding regions. The population of York Region alone has grown by more than 50 per cent over the past 10 years. Travel during the morning peak period across the Toronto–York boundary has increased almost as fast northbound (52 per cent), as it has southbound (78 per cent), between 1986 and 2001.

Once the subway extension is up and running, ridership is expected to be 80,000 to 100,000 trips every day, eliminating 67,000 to 83,000 car trips each day.

The Province will explore financial tools to assist the City of Toronto and York Region with their share of the cost of this expansion. This will include a role for the private sector and the use of innovative financing strategies to help fund the project from projected growth in property taxes. For example, the Province intends to introduce legislation to enable tax increment financing for this initiative. This new municipal fiscal tool would be introduced on a pilot basis, allowing for a prudent review of its use in an Ontario context.

In addition to the Provincial and municipal investments, expanding the subway will require support from the federal government. The provincial government welcomes the February 24 statement from federal Finance Minister Jim Flaherty that “we need to address the infrastructure issues, including transit, including the highways. Making sure that goods and services can move effectively in the Greater Toronto Area and all of southern Ontario...is important for Ontario, it’s important for Canada, it’s important for our economic life.”

---

1 The Canadian Press, February 24, 2006.
The Province will also provide $1 million in funding through Move Ontario towards an environmental assessment relating to the future of the Scarborough subway.

As well as the Move Ontario investment, the Province is providing a one-time $200 million transit investment to the City of Toronto. The City will be able to use this funding to support subway operations.

The Province, in collaboration with the federal government and the City of Toronto, is investing over $1 billion in the City’s transit priorities, such as the TTC State of Good Repair program.

These transit funding measures, combined with other funding announcements, including the ability of municipalities to now use the gas tax transfer for transit operating purposes, provide multi-year increases to the City of Toronto that will significantly address its budget pressures until a long-term solution to the fiscal imbalance with the federal government is reached.

**Expanding the Brampton, Mississauga and York Region Public Transit Systems**

Separate bus rights-of-way and express lanes make it possible for buses to provide faster service, which increases ridership, makes transit travel more attractive, improves connections to other systems, reduces commute times and takes cars off the road.

Move Ontario will enhance GTA public transit systems by:

- providing $95 million to the City of Brampton for transit expansion. Brampton will be able to use this funding for the $280 million Brampton AcceleRide project. The project will make express transit services available on several streets, including Queen Street and Main Street. The first phase of this project would be operational by 2008;

- providing $65 million to support public transit expansion in the City of Mississauga. The City will be able to use this funding to build the Mississauga Transitway, a $259 million project for a separate bus right-of-way along Highway 403 and Eglinton Avenue that would extend from Highways 403/407 in the west to Renforth Drive/Highway 427 in the east, with 14 stations planned along the route. Of the total project costs, the Province will also provide $25 million in GO Transit investments. The Transitway would carry 5,000 to 10,000 people per hour at peak times in 2011; and

- investing $7 million in York Region in support of future expansion. York Region will be able to use this funding for the environmental assessment and detailed planning required for Phase II of VIVA, the new express bus service connecting communities in York Region with each other and with the City of Toronto.

The Province, in partnership with Mississauga, Brampton and York, will be making a significant contribution to transit expansion. However, the federal government must also contribute its share to ensure the successful completion of these projects.
Supporting Transit Across Ontario

Municipalities have indicated that ongoing, predictable funding is a top priority for improving public transit. Providing two cents of gas tax revenues is a key example of the McGuinty government’s commitment to public transit in the GTA and across Ontario. The Province will provide municipalities with greater flexibility on the use of the gas tax transfer. Municipalities will no longer be restricted to using this funding for capital transit expansion purposes. They will now also be able to use this funding for transit-system operations.

In addition, the government is moving forward with a new, predictable, multi-year municipal bus replacement program. This program will start in 2007 and replaces the existing Ontario Transit Vehicle Program, which will end today. To ensure existing demands are satisfied until the new program is up and running, the Province is providing $114 million to those municipalities that have placed orders for new buses or bus refurbishments.

The government has already:

- provided $195 million through the gas tax in 2005–06 to 110 municipalities to make improvements to 83 transit systems. By October 2006, the government will have fulfilled its commitment to permanently provide two cents of the gas tax each year to municipalities. After five years, this program will have delivered over $1.4 billion to public transit in Ontario;
- committed $200 million towards construction of the 27-kilometre, North-South Ottawa O-Train Light Rail Transit system;
- partnered with the federal government and municipalities, as part of ReNew Ontario, to invest $1.1 billion to improve GO Transit, through expanded service, new stations and fleet renewal. A recent initiative includes the $73 million investment in new railcars and buses for GO Transit, which will add 20 more bi-level railcars and 31 more highway buses, and provide seats for 6,400 more passengers. In 2005, GO Transit opened three new stations: Mount Joy, Kennedy and Milliken;
- contributed $50 million, along with a matching contribution from the federal government, to the construction of Phase I of the VIVA rapid transit system. When fully implemented, Phase I is expected to increase transit ridership by 30 per cent, moving 7,000 car trips off major highways every day; and
- invested almost $3 million, in partnership with the federal government and Waterloo Region, in studies and environmental assessment work to plan for the Region’s proposed 14-kilometre light rail transit system.

All of this investment comes after a decade of decline.
Improving Roads and Bridges

Ontario’s roads, bridges and highways play an important role in the movement of people and goods across the province. Carrying more than $1 trillion in goods, provincial highways are essential to Ontario’s economic advantage.

The government has invested heavily in improvements to provincial roads, bridges and highways but there is much more to do. Highways must be in good repair if they are to carry goods and people quickly and safely. As well, municipalities have indicated that road and bridge repairs are a top priority. Many are struggling with the costs of maintaining municipal roads and bridges, which are critical to their local economies and quality of life.

In this Budget, under Move Ontario, the government is providing a one-time injection of new funding to municipalities to assist them with road and bridge maintenance and construction projects across the province.

Move Ontario will provide $400 million to help municipalities — primarily outside the GTA, with emphasis on rural and northern communities — address critical investment needs. This $400 million investment would support the resurfacing of almost 3,000 kilometres of two-lane municipal roads or the repair of up to 800 local bridges. Municipal projects could create up to 4,000 jobs.

The Move Ontario investment builds on the government’s programs to date in improving roads and bridges across the province. The government has already:

- ensured that road and bridge projects are eligible under the $900 million Canada–Ontario Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund (COMRIF);
- enabled small communities to borrow money for road and bridge investments at lower rates and under better terms through the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA); and
- provided funding for a number of small but critical ongoing programs through the ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan, including programs for Winter Roads, First Nations Roads, Resource Access Roads and Local Roads Boards.

Highways

As announced under the five-year ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan, the Province is continuing with investments in critical provincial highway infrastructure.

Key investments include:

- providing a total of $3.4 billion to improve the provincial highway network in southern Ontario and $1.8 billion for highways in northern Ontario. These investments will renew provincial highways and bridges and help address congestion and safety issues on key highway corridors;
widening Highway 406 from Beaverdams Road to Port Robinson Road in Niagara Region;

extending Highway 404 to Ravenshoe Road in East Gwillimbury in York Region;

extending Highway 410 from Bovaird Drive in Brampton to join Highway 10 north of Mayfield Road in Caledon;

widening Highway 401 from Sydenham Road to Montreal Street in Kingston;

widening the QEW near St. Catharines, improving safety, reducing congestion and improving border access; and

moving forward with the environmental assessment for the extension of Highway 427 in Vaughan.

These new investments build on the government’s progress to date to improve Ontario’s highway system. The government has already:

- invested over $2 billion in highway infrastructure since 2003;
- constructed more than 300 lane-kilometres of new highway, relieving congestion and improving productivity;
- repaired almost 2,500 lane-kilometres of highway since 2003, improving safety and ride quality;
- reconstructed or repaired 152 bridges;
- improved Highway 401 across Toronto and begun improvements in Oshawa and along the Cambridge–Woodstock corridor;
- opened the first HOV lanes on Highways 404 and 403, relieving congestion;
- created new interchanges on the Queen Elizabeth Way (QEW) at Third Line and Guelph Line; and
- accelerated construction to complete the four-laning of Highways 11 and 69 to North Bay and Sudbury.

Secure, Efficient Borders

The Ontario economy depends on the safe and efficient movement of goods and people through Canada–U.S. border crossings. Over 70 per cent of Canada’s total road trade with the United States by value flows across Ontario’s borders. In 2004, 45 million vehicles, including nine million trucks, used Ontario’s 14 border crossings with the United States.

Improving border crossings is an essential investment in Ontario’s economic infrastructure.
The government will continue to enhance the movement of goods and people through border crossings by:

- moving forward with the federal government and other partners, with investments of $300 million in the Windsor Gateway and $323 million in the Niagara and Sarnia areas to improve access to key border crossings; and
- working with partners to complete the environmental assessment process that began in 2005 to identify the preferred location for a new Windsor–Detroit border crossing by mid-2007.

The government’s new investments build on the progress it has already made to improve border crossings. The government has:

- accelerated the widening to six lanes of Highway 401 from Windsor to Tilbury, addressing safety concerns and improving border access;
- completed intersection improvements at Highway 3 and Outer Drive/Walker Road in Windsor;
- made improvements to Highway 402 near Sarnia;
- made progress to complete the Sault Ste. Marie International Truck Route; and
- completed, with partners, an additional lane on the Queenston–Lewiston Bridge and added new lanes on Highway 405 to reduce congestion.

Research and Innovation, and Electricity Infrastructure

In addition to new investments in public transit, roads, bridges, highways and borders, the government is supporting other important infrastructure projects that invest in people, create opportunity and build Ontario’s economy.

Research and Innovation

In a highly competitive global environment, Ontario’s future prosperity will increasingly depend on the economy’s ability to innovate. Research is a fundamental source of new ideas — the raw material of innovation. Ontario’s researchers produce some of the best science in the world.

The creation of a new Ministry of Research and Innovation, led by Premier McGuinty, demonstrates the government’s commitment to research and innovation as a key element of Ontario’s economic advantage.

The government continues to invest in infrastructure to support research and innovation with its $300 million commitment, announced in the fall of 2004, for projects in Ontario’s universities and hospitals through the Ontario Research Fund.
In addition, the Province has recently invested in other research infrastructure projects, including over $13 million towards the Waterloo Research and Technology Park, $10 million towards the McMaster Innovation Park, and $44 million towards the new Medical and Related Sciences (MaRS) Discovery District in Toronto, which helps move discoveries from the laboratory to the marketplace. The government is supporting the development of Phase II of the MaRS Discovery District with an additional contribution of $16.2 million in 2005–06. (For a fuller discussion of the government’s nearly $1.7 billion research and innovation initiatives, see Paper B, Building Ontario’s Economic Advantage.)

Electricity Supply
The government has initiated one of the most ambitious building programs in North America for new electricity generation. Electricity supply projects have been announced with investments from government-owned Ontario Power Generation (OPG) and private-sector investors totalling $11 billion. At the peak of construction activity, there will be over 5,000 workers directly employed at these projects, plus many thousands more working in factories supplying equipment and materials to the projects.

Investments in new and refurbished generation facilities will ensure Ontario continues to benefit from a secure, reliable, stable and affordable supply of electricity. As well as providing for an increase in energy supply, the government has made conservation a central element in its comprehensive energy plan. Two immediate and measurable conservation targets have been set: a five per cent reduction in projected peak electricity demand in Ontario by 2007, and a 10 per cent reduction in the government’s own electricity use by 2007. (For more information, see Paper B, Building Ontario’s Economic Advantage.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Electricity Supply</th>
<th>Investment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Energy Supply</td>
<td>$2.7 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Transfer Initiative with Manitoba</td>
<td>$0.1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Gas-Fired Generation</td>
<td>$3.0 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Tunnel</td>
<td>$1.0 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Power Refurbishment Implementation Agreement</td>
<td>$4.25 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11.0 billion</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
SELECTED OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS TO DATE

- Under Stage 1 of Good Places to Learn, over $500 million in urgent repairs and construction, including boiler, window and roofing repairs or replacements, are underway at more than 1,600 schools.
- Committed over $160 million with the federal government to support 35 municipal water and wastewater infrastructure projects through Intake One of the Canada–Ontario Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund.
- Invested $11 million in 2005–06 to begin construction of 55 new group-home spaces and 90 specialized-care residential spaces for adults with developmental disabilities in 70 municipalities.
- Invested $2 million to begin work on two new centres for youth in conflict with the law in the GTA and Sault Ste. Marie.
- Invested over $6 million in 2005–06 to expand Ontario’s forest firefighting capacity by building fire management facilities in Chapleau and Greenstone.
- Investing up to $23 million to expand and refurbish the Pembroke Courthouse.
- Invested almost $40 million in the revitalization of Toronto’s waterfront.
- Provided $60 million in 2005–06 to colleges and universities to improve and maintain classrooms and laboratories, and to purchase and modernize equipment used in college training programs.
Section II: Building Opportunity for People

Every Ontarian needs the opportunity to succeed — opportunity that begins with education. Ontario’s future prosperity depends on the education, skills and health of its people.

GETTING RESULTS IN EDUCATION

Early Learning: Best Start

Children need the best start in life to achieve their full potential. The McGuinty government’s goal is to ensure that children in Ontario are ready and eager to achieve success in school through high-quality child care and early learning opportunities, and by lowering class sizes from junior kindergarten to Grade 3.

In the 2005 Budget, the Ontario Government began Best Start, an ambitious plan to ensure that children arrive ready to learn on their first day at school in Grade 1. Best Start was to fund 25,000 new licensed child care spaces by 2007–08 and make child care more affordable to thousands of low- and middle-income families through expanding and redesigning child care fee subsidies.

Ontario achieved significant progress in 2005–06 in implementing its Best Start vision. Municipalities have already received sufficient funding to create over 14,000 new licensed child care spaces and a significant number of new subsidies to assist parents with the cost of licensed care.

To support the Best Start vision, the Province signed the Early Learning and Child Care (ELCC) agreement with the federal government that was to provide Ontario with $1.9 billion over five years. Regrettably, the new federal government has given notice to terminate this agreement, taking away $1.4 billion intended for child care spaces and fee subsidies for working families. Without sustained federal support, the Province cannot enhance and expand the child care system as originally planned. As stated in the 2005 Budget, “Without these federal transfers, Ontario will not be able to move aggressively in investing in this important area.”

Given the elimination of federal ELCC funding after 2006–07, the Province will use the final federal payment to support the child care system. The government will allocate $63.5 million per year over the remaining life of the original agreement, from 2006–07 to 2009–10.

The Province will also work with municipalities to continue to urge the federal government to reconsider and honour the ELCC agreement.
Success for Students

Making publicly funded education the best education is essential to building a bright and promising future for all Ontarians. It also helps Ontario build the best workforce.

The McGuinty government is focusing on two key results for students. The first is high levels of achievement in literacy and math for every student before age 12. Students who do well in the early grades are far more likely to complete high school and participate in postsecondary education. In fact, 62 per cent of the province’s Grades 3 and 6 students are now reaching the provincial standard, up from just half a few years ago. The government has funded 2,400 additional elementary school teachers over the past two years, so that half of Ontario students in junior kindergarten to Grade 3 are now in classes of 20 or fewer students. The second is to increase the graduation rate. Progress is being made; the percentage of high school students who graduate within five years has risen to 72 per cent in 2004–05, up from 68 per cent the previous year.

The government has set ambitious targets on these key results for students. Through its education agenda, the government is working to increase the percentage of Grade 6 students performing at or above the standard on the provincial reading and math tests to 75 per cent by 2008 and to increase the proportion of high school students who graduate within five years to 85 per cent by 2010.

To help achieve its key results for students, the government has already funded 4,300 additional elementary and secondary school teachers over the past two years.

To make further progress on these key results, the government’s support for Ontario’s school boards in the 2006–07 fiscal year will grow by $424 million to $11.2 billion.
The government is building on its plan for student success by working closely with its education partners. In 2006–07, the government is:

- continuing its plan, first announced in the 2004 Ontario Budget, to make substantial investments in education. By the 2008–09 school year, the Province will provide $18.2 billion in Grants for Student Needs (GSN) funding to school boards, an increase of $2.6 billion, or more than 16 per cent, compared to 2003–04 levels.

  Average per-student funding will increase by more than $1,375, or 18 per cent, to almost $9,300 during this period;

- increasing GSN funding to school boards in 2006–07 by more than $400 million from the previous year, to $17.3 billion. School boards are funded through a combination of education property tax revenues and direct transfers from the Province;

- providing more than $200 million in 2006–07, beyond funding provided through GSN, for specific programs to increase literacy and math levels and high school graduation rates;

- creating a leadership institute to support principals and other system leaders in focusing on success for students;

- implementing the next steps in achieving a cap of 20 students per class for junior kindergarten to Grade 3, including the hiring of more elementary school teachers;

- implementing measures in 2006–07 to support continued improvement in literacy and math outcomes, including targeted assistance to low-performing schools;

- introducing new measures in 2006–07 to implement the Learning to 18 strategy, including the creation of partnerships between high schools, the community and postsecondary programs to help students achieve success;

- continuing to support school boards to undertake additional projects, including school construction, facility repairs and renewal, under Phase II of the $4 billion Good Places to Learn initiative announced in February 2005;

- working closely with school boards to review board business practices and implement strategies to ensure the effective use of education funding. For example, the Province is helping school boards work collaboratively to reduce costs in purchasing, transportation and capital planning; and

- reforming special education by focusing on good outcomes for all students.
The government is making progress and delivering results. It has already:

- funded 2,400 new elementary school teachers over the past two years, resulting in smaller class sizes in more than 2,100 schools and remaining on track to reduce class sizes to 20 students per class in junior kindergarten to Grade 3. This was accomplished through an investment of $126 million in the 2005–06 school year on top of $90 million provided the previous year;

- supported safe and healthy schools by adding another 600 specialist elementary school teachers in 2005–06 to help struggling students, to teach physical education, music and the arts, and to ensure that students receive a well-rounded education, through an investment of $39 million;

- provided support to help students achieve gains in the Provincial literacy and math tests in each of the past two years. In 2004–05, the percentage of Grade 3 students meeting Provincial standards increased by nine percentage points for reading and math, and by six percentage points for writing compared to 2002–03. The Grade 6 students improved by seven percentage points for reading and math, and by five percentage points for writing over the same period;

- established turnaround teams of experts in literacy and math who provided support to students in 100 schools that fell below the provincial average on literacy and math tests;

- completed training of 16,000 Lead Teachers in literacy and math — the equivalent of four teachers for each elementary school;

- invested $89 million in the 2005–06 school year to support the high school success strategy. This strategy has added 1,300 new high school teachers, providing every high school with a student success teacher to ensure that struggling students get the supports they need to succeed;

- increased the percentage of students in 2004–05 who graduate from high school within five years to 72 per cent, compared to 68 per cent the previous year; and

- funded designated leaders in each school board to develop and coordinate programs for at-risk youth and invested $18 million per year in 2004–05 and 2005–06 for innovative programs designed to increase graduation rates.
Investing in Postsecondary Education and Training

Ontario’s progress depends on the skills and knowledge of its people. Ontario will only be at its best when every Ontarian has the opportunity to achieve his or her full potential.

Ontario’s colleges and universities must equip people for success by preparing them to generate the ideas, products and jobs that will ensure future prosperity.

Reaching Higher: The McGuinty Government Plan for Postsecondary Education


The purpose of Reaching Higher is to improve access by helping to ensure that every qualified Ontarian has the opportunity to participate in postsecondary education; to improve quality by improving teaching, research and the student experience; and to improve accountability through multi-year agreements with institutions.

Reaching Higher is creating real positive change. It will double spending on student aid. It will guarantee that students who receive government student loans of more than $7,000 a year to finance their education will have the excess amount forgiven. It will increase direct operating funding to universities and colleges by 35 per cent and deliver about 75,000 new spaces for students. It will support innovative research in cutting-edge fields.
Ensuring Greater Access

The Reaching Higher plan enhances student aid and other access funding so that no qualified Ontario student will be prevented from attending Ontario’s public postsecondary institutions because of inadequate financial resources or other barriers.

The next part of the Reaching Higher plan will:

- implement additional enhancements to student financial assistance for 2006–07 and beyond by increasing loans and grants for low- and middle-income students and ensuring debt levels are manageable and predictable, benefiting 145,000 students. These enhancements will:
  - make tuition Access Grants available to students from middle-income families, by more than doubling the income threshold for a family with two children from about $35,000 to $75,000, benefiting 27,000 more students, bringing the total number of beneficiaries to nearly 60,000 in September 2006;
  - raise book and supply allowances for the first time since the mid-1980s so that 75 per cent of student aid recipients will have their actual book costs covered, compared to 13 per cent previously, benefiting 138,000 students;
  - continue to ensure that student debt is limited to $7,000 per completed year through the Ontario Student Opportunity Grant;
  - implement an “Access Window” website in 2007–08 to allow students to identify costs and all sources of financial aid in the institution and program of their choice;

- introduce a regulated tuition framework starting in 2006 under which each institution will be held to an average five per cent overall increase in tuition per year and will be required to demonstrate improvements in quality and access;
  - under the plan, average tuition increases for the coming year will be limited to about $100 for almost 90 per cent of college students and about $200 for almost 70 per cent of university students;
provide an additional $15 million in 2006–07 to small, northern and rural colleges to improve access to high-quality programs in their communities, rising to $20 million by 2007–08; and

continue to increase student assistance funding and make further enhancements in future years.

ONTARIO STUDENT ASSISTANCE PLAN (OSAP) ENHANCEMENTS

2004–05:
- Enhancements to OSAP made to harmonize with earlier federal changes included changes to the definition of an “independent student,” extending OSAP to “protected persons,” waiving residency requirements for new immigrants, introducing debt reduction for borrowers experiencing difficulty in repayment, and reducing parental contributions.
- About 50,000 students are benefiting from one or more of these changes.

2005–06:
- 2005–06 improvements consisted of new Access Grants for up to 32,000 low-income students, increasing the weekly loan limit, reducing contributions from middle-income parents, recognizing computer costs and improving loan repayment assistance where needed.
- At least 135,000 students from low- and middle-income families have already benefited from one or more of these enhancements in 2005–06 and they will continue to benefit throughout their studies, where eligible.

2006–07:
- Access Grants will be extended to middle-income families with incomes of up to $75,000, benefiting 27,000 additional students, bringing the total number of beneficiaries to nearly 60,000 in September 2006.
- 75 per cent of student aid recipients, compared to 13 per cent previously, will have their actual book and supply costs covered, benefiting 138,000 students.
- Debt will continue to be limited to $7,000 per completed year of study.
- 145,000 students are expected to benefit from one or more of these new enhancements in 2006–07.

Reaching Higher has already improved access by:

- providing $0.2 billion in new investments in 2005–06 to enhance student financial assistance. These changes benefited at least 135,000 low- and middle-income students in 2005–06;

- providing $48 million in 2004–05 and $115 million in 2005–06 to colleges and universities to compensate them for lost revenue during the two-year tuition freeze. This funding is now built into the operating grants;

- through the new Ontario Trust for Student Support, providing $50 million annually to match funds raised by colleges and universities to establish endowments for student financial assistance;
providing $10 million in 2005–06 to enhance access services and establish advisory committees to suggest ways to improve participation in postsecondary education by underrepresented groups. This will rise to $55 million by 2009–10 to support new access initiatives;

providing small, northern and rural colleges with $10 million in additional funding in 2005–06 to increase access to high-quality programs in their communities, bringing special access funding to $52 million in 2005–06; and

establishing a new community-based nursing education pilot program for 24 students in Dryden, Kenora, Sioux Lookout and Fort Frances, offered jointly by Confederation College and Lakehead University.

**Improving Quality**

The Reaching Higher plan encourages postsecondary institutions to achieve the highest standards in teaching, research and the student learning experience.

The government has enshrined the link between quality and postsecondary education in law through legislation that establishes the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario (HEQCO). This independent body will ensure continued improvement of the postsecondary education system by monitoring quality in the sector, access to postsecondary education and the accountability of institutions. The Council will undertake research on the student experience, quality, participation and access, and will advise government on the best way to measure performance and institutional collaboration. HEQCO will also help provide enhanced transparency and accountability in the sector through regular public reports on results flowing from the government’s investments.

Reaching Higher will continue to improve quality by:

- enhancing college and university operating grants in 2006–07 by $736 million over base funding in 2004–05. The new operating investment will be 35 per cent higher than 2004–05 base funding by 2009–10, and will result in more faculty–student contact time; high-quality classrooms, libraries and laboratories; and better learning environments;

- continuing to expand graduate education supporting innovative research through $70 million in funding in 2006–07, growing to $220 million annually by 2009–10, which will result in 14,000 new spaces; and
through Quality Improvement funding, increasing faculty, purchasing additional resources and improving student support services at colleges and universities to accommodate higher enrolment and improve student success.

Reaching Higher has made progress towards improving quality. The government has already:

- provided $211 million to colleges and universities in 2005–06 under the Quality Improvement Fund based on the principle that every dollar will result in improvement and that institutions will be held accountable;
- improved the quality of and access to medical education by providing $95 million in new funding by 2009–10 to create 104 new first-year spaces at medical schools, including in Mississauga, Kitchener–Waterloo, St. Catharines and Windsor. Combined with 56 new medical spaces created in 2005 at the Northern Ontario School of Medicine, there will be a 23 per cent increase in first-year enrolment at Ontario medical schools;
- established the Ontario Research and Innovation Council to advise on and coordinate research priorities and allocate funding based on these priorities;
- provided $250 million in special one-time funding in 2004–05 to colleges and universities to improve and maintain classrooms and laboratories, and to purchase and modernize equipment used in college training programs; and
- allowed universities to apply for Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA) loans. OSIFA has begun accepting applications from Ontario universities for low-cost, longer-term and fixed-rate loans to build and renew a variety of university infrastructure projects, such as academic teaching facilities, research facilities, student residences, day-care facilities, and recreation and sport facilities.

**Greater Accountability**

The government’s goal is to monitor the quality and performance of the postsecondary education sector by setting targets and measures.

Under Reaching Higher, the government will continue to enhance accountability by:

- implementing multi-year Accountability Agreements, which set out quality improvement targets with all postsecondary institutions, commencing in 2006–07; and
- improving public reporting of system-wide performance and results.

Reaching Higher has enhanced accountability. The government has already:

- negotiated interim Accountability Agreements between the government and all postsecondary institutions for 2005–06;
- passed into law the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario Act, 2005; and
amended the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act to make Ontario’s universities subject to the Act’s provisions, ensuring that publicly funded postsecondary institutions are transparent and accountable to the people of Ontario, while respecting academic freedom and competitiveness.

Enhancing Skills Training

The McGuinty government’s goal is to provide effective and relevant skills training and other labour-market services, where and when they are needed — because every Ontarian needs access to the tools that are necessary to succeed. Creating a skilled, adaptable workforce will better meet job requirements and keep Ontario industries competitive. The government also wants to improve access to economic opportunities for the most vulnerable, including the unemployed, the underemployed, new Canadians, the working poor and social assistance recipients.

Three landmark agreements covering immigration and labour-market services, signed in November 2005 with the Government of Canada, bring needed resources to assist Ontarians with skills training and finding better jobs. These new agreements will make available $1.2 billion in funding annually by 2009–10 to support training and employment services for Ontarians. They will form part of a comprehensive $2.1 billion Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy, as will the innovative skills programs and new approaches to service delivery that Ontario announced in the last two budgets. (Details of this strategy are outlined in Paper B, Building Ontario’s Economic Advantage.)

The government is continuing to enhance its skills training plan by:

- using the new and transferred resources under the three Canada–Ontario agreements to accelerate the expansion and improvement of Ontario’s programs and, along with enhanced federal services negotiated by Ontario, provide more and better labour-market services for Ontarians. Ontarians will benefit from integration of services and from reduced duplication and streamlined program delivery through a one-stop approach, making it easier for people to find the programs they need;
- launching a new immigration portal to provide new Canadians and prospective newcomers with better information on the Ontario labour market;
- implementing a pilot Provincial Nominee Program to allow Ontario to identify and recruit skilled immigrants, and concluding a Temporary Foreign Worker Agreement with the federal government to enable employers to bring in skilled workers in areas of pressing need; and
- increasing annual funding to the Newcomer Settlement Program by $1.2 million, or over 25 per cent, to $5.3 million in 2006–07, to provide funding to community-based organizations to enable them to help newcomers become established more quickly.
The government is delivering results in the area of skills training. It has already:

- provided $11.7 million in new investment annually to expand apprenticeship, targeting 26,000 new entrants to apprenticeship each year by 2007–08 and bringing total support to apprenticeship to over $100 million annually;
- introduced an Apprenticeship Training Tax Credit to encourage employers to hire and train more apprentices, providing a tax credit of up to $15,000 over the first three years of an eligible apprenticeship;
- expanded language and training programs for new Canadians to help them bridge to jobs that make full use of their skills. This includes $27 million in 2005–06 for the International Medical Graduate program to fund up to 200 opportunities per year, up from 90 in 2003, for foreign-trained physicians to be assessed and trained in family medicine and needed specialty areas; $21 million in 2005–06 for bridge training programs for other trades and professions; and $51 million in 2005–06 for English- and French-as-a-Second-Language classes for adults;
- begun implementation of $20 million, provided under Reaching Higher in the 2005 Budget, to expand services to prospective apprentices and new Canadians; and
- provided $219 million in 2005–06 for employment and training activities for about 200,000 social assistance recipients to help them gain economic independence, including a new JobsNow pilot program.

**Better Access to Better Health Care**

Innovation in health care is crucial to ensure that Ontario has a system that is constantly improving.

Investing in better health care for the people of Ontario continues to be one of the McGuinty government’s top priorities. A publicly funded health care system defines, in part, what it is to be Canadian. It is one of the ways that Canadians care for one another.

To address this priority, the government’s investment in health and health care will grow by an additional $1.9 billion to $35.4 billion in 2006–07, rising to $38.8 billion in 2008–09 — $5.3 billion above the 2005–06 level.
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Ontario’s health system also provides a competitive advantage for Ontario: the cost of employer-paid health-related benefits is lower, rapidly rising health care costs are much less of a factor in private-sector labour disputes, and universal health care coverage promotes labour mobility. This helps attract investment and jobs, especially in light of the soaring cost of private medical insurance.

As one of its first accomplishments, the McGuinty government introduced and passed the Commitment to the Future of Medicare Act, 2004, which protects and improves universal public medicare. The act enshrines into law the five principles of medicare — universality, portability, comprehensiveness, public administration and accessibility — and adds a sixth principle: accountability. The act ensures that every Ontarian has an equal right to quality health care, based on need.

Health Care Innovation in Ontario

The government has recognized that money alone is not the answer. As well as investing an additional $8.2 billion cumulatively over the past two years, the government has introduced legislation to promote integration and cost-effectiveness, and will continue to work with providers and other stakeholders to ensure the system’s sustainability for future generations. At the same time, key investments continue to ensure the system’s responsiveness to the needs of Ontarians today.

This approach — balancing the need for a sustainable system with key investments to achieve better results — is transforming health care and the health care system in Ontario in many ways, with innovation in:

- primary care in Ontario through interdisciplinary teams, providing greater access to a broader range of care closer to home;
- the governance of the system through the establishment of Local Health Integration Networks (LHINs) that facilitate greater local-level planning and community engagement;
- the management of resources in the system through implementing supply-chain leading practices and streamlining back-office functions;
- improved surgical efficiency in hospitals through the creation of expert coaching teams; and
- the creation of new critical care teams in hospitals that will bring the Intensive Care Unit to patients, wherever they may be in the hospital.
Keeping Ontarians Healthy

With this Budget, the government is further enhancing health promotion and illness prevention by:

- providing $12 million in 2006–07, growing to $30 million in 2008–09, to fund the purchase of insulin pumps and related supplies for young people with Type 1 diabetes. This investment will improve the quality of life for Type 1 diabetics and will help prevent the onset of serious illness;
- providing total funding of almost $35 million in 2006–07, growing to $42 million in 2008–09, to the Ontario Breast Screening Program to increase access to screening for women between the ages of 50 and 74. This funding will support the completion of over 320,000 screens in 2006–07, growing to approximately 385,000 screens in 2008–09;
- investing $7 million annually to enhance the Newborn Screening Program by expanding the number of diseases for which Ontario screens and by supporting the creation of a new state-of-the-art screening facility at the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario;
- implementing the Ontario Health Plan for an Influenza Pandemic that will increase the government’s stockpile of antivirals and emergency supplies and equipment to protect health care workers and their patients;
- providing almost $1.5 million to the AIDS 2006 Toronto Host Secretariat in support of the XVI International AIDS Conference to take place in Toronto in August 2006. This funding will be used to support the core operations of the conference and help ensure that Ontarians have the opportunity to participate in, and benefit from, this very important conference; and
- strengthening the Province’s public health system by increasing the Provincial share of public health costs from 65 per cent in 2006 to 75 per cent on January 1, 2007.

The government has made progress in preventing illness and keeping people healthy. It has already:

- added three new vaccines free of charge (chicken pox, meningococcal disease and pneumococcal disease) to the recommended schedule of routine childhood immunizations. Over the last two years, approximately 2.1 million vaccinations were provided, saving families over $600 per child;
- passed the Smoke-Free Ontario Act in June 2005, which will prohibit smoking in all enclosed public places and workplaces as of May 31, 2006. The government also launched the Smoke-Free Ontario campaign, which includes tobacco protection, prevention and cessation programs;
- created the Ministry of Health Promotion in June 2005. The Ministry is working closely with its partners and all levels of government to develop an integrated
approach to good health, including chronic disease prevention, physical activity, sport development, injury prevention and mental wellness initiatives;

- promoted comprehensive healthy and active living initiatives that target two primary risk factors for chronic disease: physical inactivity and unhealthy eating. The government is working with its partners to make healthy eating choices easier and to increase physical activity participation to 55 per cent by 2010; and

- established the Communities In Action Fund (CIAF) to help bring about a physical-activity and community-sport culture in Ontario. The $5 million fund is helping more than 100 local and provincial not-for-profit organizations provide and enhance opportunities for physical activity and community sport and recreation.

**Improving Access**

The government will continue to improve access to doctors, nurses and other health professionals by:

- increasing the number of Family Health Teams (FHTs) to 150 by 2007–08 to provide access to primary health care services for approximately 2.5 million Ontarians. So far, the government has announced 100 FHTs across Ontario and will announce the remaining 50 FHTs early in 2006–07;

- implementing a comprehensive Nursing Strategy that acknowledges the key role of nurses in quality health care and increases the supply of nursing professionals in Ontario through investments such as the New Graduate, Late Career, Clinical Simulation Equipment, and Grow Your Own Nurse Practitioner initiatives;

- launching a comprehensive health human resource strategy to attract health care professionals to Ontario and ensure the province has the right supply and mix of health professionals;

- investing $75 million over three years to create an additional 22 Community Health Centres (CHCs) and 17 satellites, to improve access to primary health care. When fully operational, there will be a total of 76 CHCs and 27 satellites serving 530,000 clients across the province;

- investing an additional $300 million over the next three years to move towards a 50–50 sharing of the cost of municipal land ambulance services by 2008. As a result of this commitment, funding for municipal land ambulance services will reach almost $1 billion over three years, to 2008; and

- continuing to expand the capacity of community-based health care services delivered by mental health, home care and other community-based agencies. In 2005–06, the government invested almost $1.5 billion in home care services.
The government has made progress in improving access. It has already:

- invested $34 million over two years in a retention program aimed at retaining late-career nurses in the profession while using their skills and experience to benefit the health care system;
- invested over $30 million over two years to create temporary full-time positions in hospitals and long-term care homes to support new nursing graduates;
- provided $20 million over two years for clinical simulation equipment that provides nurses with hands-on clinical practice as part of their undergraduate training;
- invested approximately $27 million in 2005–06 for International Medical Graduate (IMG) training, assessment and support—a more than 50 per cent increase in funding from the previous year. This funding supports up to 200 IMGs a year in various levels of training and assessment positions;
- introduced the Traditional Chinese Medicine Act to regulate services provided by traditional Chinese medicine and acupuncture practitioners. If passed, the legislation would ensure that Ontarians choosing this alternative approach to health care would receive care from certified individuals;
- invested approximately $51 million in 2005–06 to improve access to maternity services. The funding will support 356 registered midwives and cover costs associated with the new agreement between the government and the Association of Ontario Midwives; and
- improved the quality of and access to medical education by providing $95 million in new funding by 2009–10 to create 104 new first-year spaces at medical schools, including in Mississauga, Kitchener–Waterloo, St. Catharines and Windsor. Combined with 56 new medical spaces created in 2005 at the Northern Ontario School of Medicine, there will be room for a 23 per cent increase in first-year enrolment at Ontario medical schools.
Shorter Wait Times for Key Services

The wait time for elective cardiac bypass surgery has dropped from 30 days to 15 days; for elective angiography, from 23 days to 14 days; and for radiation treatment, wait times are down by more than a week across the province.

The government will continue to shorten wait times in 2006–07 by:

- continuing to support sustainable growth in the hospital sector. Operating grant funding to hospitals will increase to $12.9 billion in 2006–07, $13.4 billion in 2007–08 and $14.0 billion in 2008–09;
- providing funding for additional cancer surgery, cardiac procedures, cataract surgery, hip and knee replacements, and MRI procedures in order to further reduce wait times; and
- further implementing the Wait Times Information System. By December 2006, this single information system will be established in approximately 55 Ontario hospitals. These hospitals perform more than 80 per cent of the key wait-time procedures. This system will ensure that hospitals can accurately manage and prioritize patients, and enhance their reporting on wait times.

The government has made progress in reducing wait times. It has already:

- increased funded hours of operation on existing MRI machines, replaced seven machines and added seven new machines to yield an additional 116,745, or 42 per cent more, MRI exams since 2003–04;
- funded an additional 31,034 surgical procedures since 2004-05, including 3,114, or seven per cent, more cancer surgeries; 5,247, or 20 per cent, more hip and knee replacement surgeries; 15,675, or 15 per cent, more cataract surgeries; and almost 7,000, or seven per cent, more cardiac procedures;
- established wait-time access targets in five key areas — cancer surgery, cardiac bypass surgery, cataract surgery, hip and knee replacements, and MRI and CT scans. These targets were developed after consultation with clinical experts from across Ontario;
- begun reporting hospital-specific wait-time data on a bimonthly basis that can be searched by geographic location and by specific service or procedure;
committed to invest $148 million over three years to fund three cancer drugs to treat
the early stages of breast cancer, lung cancer and prostate cancer; and

invested approximately $775 million of federal medical equipment funding since
2003–04 to purchase equipment, such as CT scanners, and ultrasound and X-ray
machines, that will improve access and reduce wait times for Ontarians. This
investment also includes equipment, such as bed lifts, safety alarms, stretchers and
call systems, which improves working conditions for nurses and other health care
workers.

Modernizing Health Infrastructure

The government’s goal is to modernize Ontario’s health infrastructure by updating
equipment and expanding the capacity to cope with a growing and aging population.

The government will continue to make progress towards this goal by:

- funding additional hospital service quality and expansion projects beyond the
  65 new hospital projects approved as part of ReNew Ontario;
- issuing Requests For Proposals for 11 of the 65 new hospital projects in 2006–07,
  worth $2 billion, through Infrastructure Ontario in the following communities:
  Ajax/Pickering, Belleville, Hamilton (two projects), London (two projects),
  Mississauga, Sarnia, Sault Ste. Marie, Sudbury and Toronto;
- providing $85 million in 2006–07 for cancer care equipment and repair and
  rehabilitation of hospitals; and
- spending $31 million over three years to support the relocation of a significant
  component of the Central Public Health Laboratory in Toronto and address new and
  upgraded infrastructure required for other regional public health laboratories,
  including the relocation of the regional health laboratories in Thunder Bay and
  London. This will enable the government to better respond to known and emerging
  threats to public health.

These investments are in addition to a number of health infrastructure projects already
underway. The government has already:

- invested over $250 million in 2005–06 to modernize, renew and expand Ontario’s
  hospitals, including the start of construction at the new Regional Health Centre in
  Peterborough and a major redevelopment project at the Ottawa Hospital;
- invested $35 million in 2005–06 as part of a 10-year strategy to provide stable funding
  to support cancer radiation equipment upgrades and replacements;
- provided over $40 million to improve community-based health care facilities, which
  provide services ranging from residential treatment to outpatient therapy and
  counselling; and
completed 675 new and redeveloped approximately 2,100 existing long-term care beds in 2005–06 as part of a program to expand and modernize the long-term care sector to address the health care needs of Ontario’s growing and aging population. To date, the Province has created over 19,000 new beds and redeveloped almost 11,000 existing beds in Ontario’s long-term care homes.

**Efficiency and Accountability**

The government’s goal is to increase efficiency and accountability as the Province moves towards a health care system that is more locally managed, streamlined, cost-effective and focused on results for patients.

The government will continue to improve efficiency and accountability by:

- working with the 14 LHINs as they assume their roles and responsibilities. LHINs are a key component of the government’s plan for changing the health system to ensure people receive the care they need now and in the future. Through improved integration and the coordination of services, LHINs will create a more effective, accountable and sustainable health care system;

- introducing new legislation to fulfil the government’s commitment to strengthen standards in long-term care homes, improve care, and ensure residents’ safety and dignity;

- engaging in formal consultations in 2006–07, through the Ontario Seniors’ Secretariat, with the goal of establishing a new regulatory framework for strengthening standards of care in Ontario’s retirement homes; and

- working with hospitals to ensure that all 152 organizations balance their budgets while maintaining high-quality care. By the end of 2005–06, it is anticipated that up to 139 of the 152 public hospitals will have signed Hospital Accountability Agreements that clearly define the responsibilities of hospitals and the government, and commit the hospitals to balancing their operating budgets.

The government has already taken significant steps to improve efficiency and accountability. It has:

- enacted the Local Health System Integration Act, 2006, which is an important step towards a more integrated health care system and better patient care;

- announced multi-year funding for individual hospitals for the first time in Ontario’s history. This provides individual hospitals with the funding certainty required to enhance their longer-term planning; and

- partnered with over 100 hospital and health care institutions across the province in various OntarioBuys-funded projects to improve their supply-chain practices and processes. The result is that these institutions are able to free up valuable time and resources to improve service levels and support the delivery of better patient care.
BUILDING OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL

The McGuinty government recognizes that, in order to ensure that each and every Ontarian can participate in Ontario’s prosperity, it must ensure that the appropriate support and opportunities are available for all Ontarians.

Only when youth are given the right support in their communities and schools will they seize the opportunity to succeed. Only when the most vulnerable citizens receive the services and other supports they need will they be able to benefit from Ontario’s prosperity. And only when families have the support they need to secure the most basic of needs, and to make the transition from social assistance to paid employment, will they be able to contribute fully to a strong and growing economy.

To address these challenges, the government’s investment to support Ontario’s at-risk youth and vulnerable adults and families will grow by an additional $218 million to $10.3 billion in 2006–07, rising to $10.6 billion by 2008–09.

In addition to the initiatives described below, the government is creating a Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy that will build the opportunity for more Ontarians to upgrade their skills and participate more fully in the economy.

At-Risk Youth

The government is investing in new supports for at-risk youth by:

- establishing the Youth Challenge Fund, chaired by Mike “Pinball” Clemons, which will provide up to $45 million in Provincial and private-sector funding beginning in 2006–07 to support community-led programs in Toronto that offer young people positive alternatives to guns and gangs;

- enhancing the Learning to 18 strategy through:
  - the addition of a new Specialist High-Skills Major to the Ontario Secondary School Diploma;
  - the expansion of cooperative education programs;
  - the introduction of new coordination efforts and formal links between high schools and postsecondary education, including allowing students to earn college, apprenticeship and university credits as part of their high school program; and
providing more than $28 million in the first three years of a new Youth Opportunities Strategy to expand employment and training programs and support the hiring of new outreach workers in at-risk communities, first in Toronto, and then expanding to additional communities across the province, including Windsor, Ottawa, London, Hamilton and Thunder Bay.

The government has already:

- invested $23 million over three years in Ontario schools for a Bullying Prevention Strategy;
- provided $20 million annually for the Community Use of Schools program to increase access to schools after hours to not-for-profit groups at reduced rates;
- announced a $51 million Enhanced Anti-Gun and Gang Violence Strategy, including funding to help police and prosecutors investigate and prosecute gun crimes;
- launched ACTIVE2010, providing $17 million for sports and recreation activities;
- provided $500,000 to the City of Toronto for a second consecutive year for its Jobs-For-Youth program to help about 300 youth from high-risk neighbourhoods get summer jobs; and
- provided additional loans and new grants to low-income students to help increase access to postsecondary education and training.

Adults and Families

The government has introduced a number of initiatives to assist working-age adults in making the transition from social assistance to employment, to support persons who are least able to care for themselves, and to improve income support for low-income adults and families.

Transition from Social Assistance to Employment

The government is continuing to improve programs that help working-age adults make the transition from social assistance to paid employment by:

- investing $4 million in a new Employment Innovations fund, which will engage employers in expanding job opportunities for Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP) and Ontario Works (OW) recipients.

This investment builds on the government’s previous initiatives that support the transition to work. The government has already:

- increased and simplified the OW and ODSP earnings exemption rates to 50 per cent on all earnings, providing a better incentive for clients to work and easing the transition from social assistance to employment;
extended health benefits, for six months for people exiting OW and indefinitely for those exiting ODSP for employment, unless employer health benefits are available;

increased the maximum deduction for informal child care costs for OW and ODSP from $390 to $600 per month;

created a new employment benefit of up to $500 to help OW recipients who obtain full-time employment pay for job-related expenses, such as uniforms and transportation, and created a new monthly cash allowance for ODSP clients to help cover their expenses when they find jobs;

implemented JobsNow, a pilot project that helps people who have been receiving social assistance for more than 12 months re-enter the job market, by providing access to job opportunities and longer-term job retention supports; and

provided scheduled increases in the minimum wage, reaching $8 per hour in 2007.

Developmental Services and Other Supports for the Vulnerable

With this Budget, the government is making key investments to improve the lives of persons with developmental disabilities, low-income seniors and other vulnerable people in our society by:

increasing funding by almost $80 million in 2006–07 for services for people with developmental disabilities, which will expand residential services and community supports, help support agency operating costs, increase supports to families caring for family members and expand day programs. All together, this represents more than a 16 per cent increase in developmental services funding since 2003–04;

providing increased services for children with special needs through additional funding of $10 million;

increasing funding to domiciliary hostels — places of board or lodging for people who need supervision of their daily living activities — by $7 million in 2006–07 and developing common service standards;

providing a two per cent increase in 2006–07 to both the Comfort Allowance for low-income seniors in long-term care homes and the Personal Needs Allowance for social assistance recipients in care facilities;

providing an additional $11 million in 2006–07 to enhance interpreter and intervenor services for people who are deaf, hard of hearing, or deaf-blind; and

proposing another enrichment to the Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits so that seniors who receive the guaranteed minimum level of income from governments would get the full benefit of the credits.
These investments build on previous initiatives to help those most in need of support. The government has already:

- increased funding for services for people with developmental disabilities by $110 million between 2003–04 and 2005–06 to create 390 residential spaces, reduce wait lists by 85 per cent for special services at home and provide up to 1,000 new day programming spaces for young adults with developmental disabilities who are leaving school. In addition, as part of the overall increase in funding, developmental services agency base funding was increased by $20 million to help support operating costs, and enhance safety and security;

- protected tenants by setting rent-increase guidelines and setting up a Provincial Rent Bank to help low-income tenants pay short-term arrears;

- provided more than $127 million in 2005–06 for emergency shelters and homelessness programs, including programs to move people from the street to emergency accommodation, to move people from hostels to permanent accommodation, and to assist individuals to remain in permanent accommodation;

- invested approximately $68 million in a comprehensive Domestic Violence Action Plan, with better community supports to protect victims and focus on public education, early intervention, and prevention;

- reinvested $42 million in National Child Benefit (NCB) savings in Children’s Treatment Centres and Children’s Mental Health. In 2004–05, the Province spent about $57 million and $328 million to support these services, respectively;

- beginning in 2004–05, provided a three per cent increase to the Comfort Allowance for seniors in long-term care homes and the Personal Needs Allowance for social assistance recipients in care facilities; and

- enriched the Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits for seniors by increasing the underlying property tax credit amount for seniors by 25 per cent, from $500 to $625 beginning in 2004, and increasing the income threshold at which senior couples’ benefits are reduced beginning in 2005.
Improved Support for Social Assistance Recipients

The government is improving income security programs for low-income adults and families by:

- increasing social assistance basic needs and maximum shelter allowances for recipients of OW and ODSP by two per cent. This will provide an additional $33 million in benefits in 2006–07 and $80 million in 2007–08 and beyond to social assistance recipients. Municipalities will not be required to share the cost of the increase in 2006.

- For a single parent with two children receiving the maximum OW benefit, this increase would provide $276 annually, in addition to his or her OW rate increase of $396 in 2004–05. Combined, the increases mean that this same family would have $672 more in 2006–07 than it would have had in 2003–04.

- making permanent the flow-through of the July 2004, 2005 and 2006 increases to the National Child Benefit Supplement (NCBS). This will provide an additional $56 million in 2006–07 and $75 million in 2007–08 to families receiving social assistance.

- This means that a single parent on OW with two children would retain $948 more in income in 2006–07 than he or she would have in 2003–04.

- The increases in social assistance benefits combined with the flow-through of increases to the NCBS mean that the same single parent with two children on OW will have $1,620 more in income in 2006–07 than he or she would have had in 2003–04. This is equivalent to a 15.7 per cent increase in income from these sources over the same period.

The government has made progress in helping to enhance income support for low-income Ontarians. The government has:

- implemented a three per cent increase in social assistance benefits in 2004–05 and flowed through increases to the NCBS since July 2004;

- restored the nutritional allowance for pregnant women receiving social assistance;

- eliminated the lifetime ban on social assistance for those who commit welfare fraud;

- implemented policies that provide greater future educational opportunities for social assistance recipients; and
- reinvested $135 million in NCB savings into the Ontario Child Care Supplement for Working Families (OCCS). The OCCS is a $200 million earnings-supplement program for low- and moderate-income working families with young children that is designed to promote attachment to the labour force.

### OCCS Benefits for a Single Parent with Two Children (under age seven)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Income ($)</th>
<th>OCCS Benefit ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>2,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>2,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>1,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: OCCS benefits accrue at a rate of 21 per cent, 42 per cent or 63 per cent (for families with one, two or three or more children under age seven, respectively) of any family earned income over $5,000 annually, to a maximum of $1,310 per child annually for single parents ($1,100 for couples). Benefits are reduced by eight per cent of any family net income over $20,000 annually.

Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.

### Affordable Housing

A new Canada–Ontario Affordable Housing Program Agreement between the federal and provincial governments will result in a significant investment in affordable housing. The two levels of government will each provide $301 million, with additional contributions from municipal governments. This new agreement will bring the overall investment in affordable housing to at least $734 million. The investments will provide:

- approximately 15,000 new affordable housing units, including housing for victims of domestic violence, for persons with mental disabilities, and for those in northern and remote communities;
- approximately 5,000 housing allowances for eligible lower-income families;
- a home ownership fund to provide capital subsidies to eligible homebuyers with low to moderate incomes; and
- the creation of the Ontario Mortgage and Housing Initiative to assist developers of affordable housing with low-cost, long-term financing for new rental and supportive housing units.

BUILDING OPPORTUNITY, BUILDING A STRONGER ONTARIO
Section III: Ontario’s Medium-Term Economic and Fiscal Outlook

The government’s investments in education, health, infrastructure and a strong economy rely on a strong fiscal foundation. In 2003–04, the Province of Ontario recorded a $5.5 billion deficit. This was the result of a prolonged period where annual growth in Provincial spending exceeded annual growth in Provincial revenue.

By introducing a responsible and disciplined approach to fiscal planning, this government has quickly made progress towards balancing the Province’s budget. The 2004–05 deficit was $1.6 billion—a $3.9 billion improvement over the 2003–04 deficit. Higher revenues, combined with lower interest on debt expense, have given the government the flexibility to invest further in key priority areas and still improve upon the 2005 Budget deficit projection for 2005–06 of $2.8 billion. In addition, the $1.0 billion reserve, included to protect the achievement of the government’s fiscal target in 2005–06, is no longer required. As a result, this Budget projects an interim deficit forecast of $1.4 billion for 2005–06 — a $186 million improvement from 2004–05, and a $1.4 billion improvement on the 2005 Budget projection.

The government remains committed to achieving its fiscal targets. The Province’s fiscal plan includes historic and long-term investments in infrastructure, postsecondary education and training, education, health, and programs for Ontario’s vulnerable and at-risk youth, while eliminating the deficit no later than 2008–09. The medium-term fiscal outlook confirms the deficit targets established in the 2005 Budget — $2.4 billion in 2006–07, $1.5 billion in 2007–08 and eliminated in 2008–09. A balanced budget will be achieved one year earlier if the $1.5 billion reserve is not required in 2007–08.
KEY ELEMENTS OF ONTARIO’S MEDIUM-TERM FISCAL PLAN

The Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act, 2004 sets out a number of criteria that the Province’s fiscal plan must meet. The purpose of these criteria is to ensure the highest level of transparency and accountability in fiscal planning and reporting.

Since this legislation came into effect in December 2004, the government has consistently met the requirements under the act, advancing the yardsticks on transparency and accountability. More information, timely reporting and increased disclosure about fiscal and economic risks and sensitivities have strengthened public and investor confidence in the fiscal outlook provided by the government.

The act requires the Ontario Government, when it plans for a deficit, to outline a recovery plan to balance the budget. The key elements of the government’s fiscal plan to eliminate the deficit by 2008–09 at the latest include:

- making disciplined decisions that hold the annual rate of growth in total spending to 3.0 per cent on average over the medium term — much less than the 4.7 per cent average annual rate of growth in total revenue;
- promoting a strong economy by investing in Ontario’s infrastructure, health care, education, and postsecondary education and training;
- working constructively with the federal government towards a principled and sustainable set of federal–provincial fiscal arrangements that will narrow the $23 billion gap;
- responsibly maintaining a cautious and prudent fiscal planning process, including an annual reserve; and
- finding $750 million in program review savings by 2007–08, of which $407 million has already been identified.

MEDIUM-TERM ECONOMIC AND REVENUE OUTLOOK

Ontario’s fiscal plan is inextricably linked to the outlook for economic performance. In a fiscal plan with no new taxes or tax increases, economic growth largely determines the amount by which taxation revenues will increase. At the same time, aspects of economic performance, such as employment, incomes and consumer prices, affect Provincial expense on social services. Interest rates can have a significant impact on Ontario’s economy and on the cost of financing the government’s debt.

Ontario is expected to record sustained economic growth over the forecast horizon. Despite the challenges arising from the global economic environment, private-sector forecasters all project ongoing economic growth for Ontario, with real gross domestic
product (GDP) growth forecasts averaging 2.6 per cent in 2006, 2.6 per cent in 2007 and 3.1 per cent in 2008.

High oil prices, a strong Canadian dollar and higher interest rates are expected to restrain economic growth in 2006. As the Ontario economy adjusts to the changing global economic environment, growth is expected to improve over the medium term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontario Economic Outlook (Per Cent)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005e</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real GDP Growth</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal GDP Growth</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI Inflation</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e = Ministry of Finance estimate for real and nominal GDP growth.

Sources: Statistics Canada and Ontario Ministry of Finance.

The global economic environment could unfold in a variety of ways, which could significantly influence Ontario’s economic growth over the next few years. For example, private-sector forecasts for the Canadian dollar in 2008 range from 81.6 to 88.6 cents US, and for oil prices from $41.70 to $56.10 US per barrel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key External Factors Affecting Ontario’s Economy</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Dollar (Cents US)</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Oil ($ US per Barrel)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Real GDP Growth (Per Cent)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month Treasury Bill Rate (Per Cent)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year Government Bond Rate (Per Cent)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Given the uncertainty inherent in making projections, it is appropriate for the government to be cautious in its fiscal planning. One aspect of this caution is the economic growth forecasts. For the purposes of this Budget, Ontario real GDP growth is projected to be 2.3 per cent in 2006, 2.5 per cent in 2007 and 2.9 per cent in 2008, below the average private-sector forecast in every year. This Ontario economic outlook suggests fairly modest revenue growth in the near future, reinforcing the need for a prudent and disciplined approach to new expenses.
For more information on Ontario’s economic outlook, see the Appendix to Paper B, *Ontario’s Economic Outlook.*

**Medium-Term Revenue Outlook**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxation Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income Tax</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Sales Tax</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporations Tax</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Health Premium</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Taxes</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Taxation Revenue</strong></td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government of Canada</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Government Enterprises</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-Tax Revenue</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Total revenue is forecast to increase by $1.8 billion or 2.1 per cent in 2006–07. In 2008–09, total revenue is projected to be $94.0 billion, an increase of $8.2 billion over the 2006–07 forecast of $85.7 billion, representing annual growth averaging 4.7 per cent.

**Taxation Revenue** is forecast to increase by $1.5 billion or 2.6 per cent in 2006–07 and by $5.8 billion between 2006–07 and 2008–09, with annual growth averaging 4.7 per cent. This is consistent with nominal GDP average annual growth of 4.5 per cent over the 2006 to 2008 period. This forecast includes no new taxes or tax increases.

- The **Personal Income Tax and Ontario Health Premium** revenue forecasts are consistent with the outlook for rising employment, wages and incomes.
- **Retail Sales Tax** revenue growth projections are based on the forecast for increased household and business spending.
- **Corporations Tax** revenue increases are based on the forecast for growth in pre-tax corporate profits over the 2006 to 2008 period, and reflect the government’s decision to accelerate the capital tax rate cut.
- The forecast for revenue from **all other taxes** is based on the outlook for economic growth outlined in the Appendix to Paper B, *Ontario’s Economic Outlook.*

**Transfers from the Government of Canada** are forecast to rise by $0.4 billion or 2.7 per cent in 2006–07, largely due to higher transfers under existing agreements,
and new transfers under the 2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement and the Labour Market Development Agreement. This increase in 2006–07 is fairly modest based on the final revenue being realized in 2005–06 from past federal Canada Health and Social Transfer Supplements and Medical Equipment Funds. Government of Canada transfers are projected to increase by $1.7 billion between 2006–07 and 2008–09, with annual growth averaging 6.0 per cent. This forecast is based on existing federal–provincial agreements and funding commitments, including the 2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement. Government of Canada transfers for Early Learning and Child Care are not included in the revenue outlook from 2007–08 onwards due to the new federal government’s announced termination of the agreement.

**Income from Government Enterprises** is forecast to decline by $0.3 billion or 6.9 per cent in 2006–07 and then increase over the forecast period. This forecast is based primarily on information provided by government business enterprises. The 2006–07 decline is due to lower net incomes from the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation (OLGC), Ontario Power Generation (OPG) and Hydro One. The OLGC net income decrease in 2006–07 is largely due to competitive pressures on border casinos. OPG and Hydro One’s combined net income is expected to decrease in 2006–07 from the higher-than-expected net income in 2005–06, which was partly the result of higher electricity demand and prices during the unusually hot summer. In addition, OPG’s 2006–07 net income is projected to decrease as a result of the government’s strategic decision to help Ontario’s consumers by improving electricity price stability and by extending for three years and initially lowering the transitional revenue limit on most of OPG’s unregulated output. The combined net incomes of government business enterprises are projected to increase over the medium term.

**Other Non-Tax Revenue** is forecast to increase by $0.2 billion, or 2.7 per cent, in 2006–07, and by $0.3 billion between 2006–07 and 2008–09, with annual growth averaging 2.2 per cent. Other Non-Tax Revenue includes a variety of revenue sources, such as reimbursements to the Province for services; government licences, permits and other fees; revenue from sales and rentals; and Crown resource royalty payments to the Province. Non-tax revenue sources tend to be mainly influenced by demographic factors, revenue policies and cyclical factors that affect some revenues, such as royalties from Crown timber.
FEDERAL TRANSFER PAYMENTS

Federal transfer payments are a key element in determining the financial resources that Ontario can allocate to its priorities. This year, federal transfers will constitute 16 per cent of Ontario’s total revenues. The revenue from these federal transfers plays a vital role in ensuring the Province has the ability to support economic growth and deliver quality public services.

Effective Partnerships

Over the last few years, the federal government has made significant investments in transfers to provinces to begin to address cuts made during the mid-1990s. The vast majority of this funding has been directed to health care. The most important of these increases in health transfers was the funding for the reduction of wait times in priority areas and guaranteed annual increases in the Canada Health Transfer (CHT). These federal investments, agreed to at the September 2004 First Ministers’ Meeting on health care, do not represent a permanent solution to Ontario’s health funding challenge. However, this funding is an essential element in Ontario’s ongoing efforts to meet the significant challenges posed by the rapidly growing demands of the Province’s health care sector. It will be essential to maintain and increase the current growth of federal transfers, as well as manage growth in health care spending, to prevent any erosion of health care in Ontario.

Ontario and the federal government have also made progress in addressing the gap between federal revenues collected in Ontario and the transfers and services that Ontarians receive in return from the federal government, determined to be $23 billion in 2004.

In May 2005, Ontario and the federal government reached an agreement that committed the federal government to invest $5.75 billion over five years in Ontario. These investments were to be targeted at the areas of higher education, labour-market development, immigrant settlement, cities, housing, infrastructure, climate change, implementing a single corporate tax collection system, and harmonizing federal and provincial meat inspection. In the 2005 federal Fall Economic and Fiscal Update, this commitment was extended by one year, increasing the funding to Ontario to $6.9 billion.

Ontario welcomes the new federal government’s commitment to uphold the 2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement.

The revenue and expense impacts of the 2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement for 2006–07 to 2008–09 have been built into Ontario’s medium-term fiscal plan where the money is to flow directly to the Government of Ontario. Ontario will work with the federal government to finalize the timing of the remaining funding under the Agreement.
To date, the 2005 federal commitment has resulted in three signed agreements. An Agreement in Principle for funding for cities was reached in June 2005 to help them fund their infrastructure needs. The Canada–Ontario Immigration Agreement and the Canada-Ontario Labour Market Partnership Agreement (LMPA) were reached in November 2005 to help newcomers and other Ontarians secure better jobs. The Immigration Agreement provides $320 million annually by 2009–10 for newcomer services, to be delivered by the federal government. The LMPA provides $314 million annually by 2009–10 for labour-market services, half to be transferred to Ontario and half to be delivered to Ontarians by the federal government.

An agreement additional to the May 2005 commitment was concluded for other training and employment services for Ontarians. This Labour Market Development Agreement provides for a transfer of $582 million annually by 2007–08 in Employment Insurance funding currently spent by the federal government.

Together, the three landmark agreements covering immigration and labour-market services will bring $1.2 billion in annual funding by 2009–10. The Ontario Government is using these funds to help create a Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy, building on Ontario’s One-Stop Training and Employment System.

To achieve the goal of continued prosperity for the province, Ontario needs to work constructively with the federal government towards a principled and sustainable set of federal-provincial fiscal arrangements that will continue to narrow the fiscal gap. Upholding these recent agreements is a good first step towards addressing Ontario’s concerns in these matters. However, increased federal funding will be necessary to implement the federal government’s commitments to create a wait-time guarantee; to build and maintain a highly skilled and competitive workforce through investments in
postsecondary education; to improve Canada’s national infrastructure; and for important initiatives.

Ontario is particularly encouraged by the new federal government’s commitment to address the fiscal imbalance. Fiscal balance can only be realized when tax dollars raised by all levels of government are put to their most effective use in ensuring a sustainable health care system, top-notch education, and infrastructure systems and municipal services that meet the needs of the people and businesses that use them.

**Fair Treatment**

The people of Ontario expect fair treatment in all their dealings with the federal government. The new federal government must ensure that the cash in federal transfers such as the Canada Health Transfer (CHT) and Canada Social Transfer (CST) is provided on an equal per-capita basis. This is currently not the case and, in fact, too many federal programs and transfers are short-changing Ontarians. This is neither reasonable nor fair.

The McGuinty government is committed to a strong, prosperous Ontario in a strong, prosperous Canada. The Government of Ontario will always work to strengthen Canada, but also has an obligation to defend the interests of Ontarians. The gap between what Ontarians pay to the federal government and what they receive back must be narrowed in the interest of all Canadians.

Federal measures to address the fiscal imbalance must benefit the residents of Ontario as much as they benefit the residents of other provinces.

**Need for Greater Certainty in Federal Transfers**

The importance of federal transfers in defining Ontario’s overall fiscal picture also means that the Province is adversely affected when it experiences downward swings in those revenues. The inability to rely on federal transfers ultimately reduces the Province’s long-term capacity to invest in Ontario and its people.

The unreliability of federal–provincial agreements also diminishes the Province’s ability to make particular investments. Ontario will be acutely affected by this concern over the next two years. In 2006–07, the lapse in one-time health care federal transfers will mean that federal support for health care in Ontario will decrease by $74 million compared to 2005–06. Starting in 2007–08, another important program, the Canada–
Ontario Early Learning and Child Care (ELCC) Agreement, signed in November 2005, will be affected. Under its terms, Ontario would have received $1.9 billion over five years to support Best Start, Ontario's early learning and child care program for children under age six. Given the federal government’s recent notice of the termination of this agreement, Ontario is no longer able to count on $1.4 billion in funding intended for child care spaces and fee subsidies for working families between 2007–08 and 2009–10, and, consequently, this amount has been excluded from the revenue forecast outlined in this Budget. This means that without sustained federal support, the Province cannot enhance and expand the child care system as originally planned.

**Medium-Term Fiscal Outlook**

Consistent with the medium-term fiscal plan originally outlined in the 2005 Budget, the deficit is projected at $2.4 billion in 2006–07, falling to $1.5 billion in 2007–08 and eliminated in 2008–09. If the reserve is not required in 2006–07, the deficit is projected to be $1.4 billion. The deficit will be eliminated a year earlier if the reserve is not required in 2007–08.

As required by the Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act, 2004, the following table provides details of projected revenue and expense from 2005–06 through to the 2008–09 fiscal year. Further details are included in the Appendix, Details of Ontario’s Finances.

### Medium-Term Fiscal Plan and Outlook

($ Billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Sector</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Sector¹</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary Education and Training Sector</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's and Social Services Sector</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice Sector</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Programs</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Programs</strong></td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>82.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest on Debt</strong></td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</strong></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
MEDIUM-TERM EXPENSE OUTLOOK

The medium-term fiscal outlook reflects new investments announced in this Budget as well as those the government made in the 2004 and 2005 Budgets in health care, education, postsecondary education and training, Ontario’s infrastructure and other priorities.

The medium-term expense outlook continues the government’s commitment to keep the overall growth in spending better aligned with revenue growth. Over the medium term, total expense will rise from $87.1 billion in 2006–07 to $92.5 billion in 2008–09 — an increase of $5.4 billion. Annual growth in total expense is expected to average 3.0 per cent over this period, which is less than the 4.7 per cent average annual revenue growth forecast over the medium term.

Consolidating the Financial Results for Hospitals, School Boards and Colleges

Starting with this Budget, the government is expanding the scope of the Province’s financial reporting to include the financial results of three important public-sector partners: Ontario’s 155 hospitals (including three specialty psychiatric hospitals), 104 school boards and authorities, and 24 colleges of applied arts and technology. This adjustment is consistent with revised government accounting standards issued by the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) and is fully supported by the Auditor General of Ontario. This expanded information will also be introduced to the Province’s Public Accounts when they are published later this year.

By implementing this adjustment in the 2006 Budget, the government has advanced, by one full year, the commitment made in the 2004 and 2005 Budgets to expand the Province’s financial reporting to include hospitals, school boards and colleges in the 2007 Budget. Implementing this change in this Budget will make it easier to compare the 2005–06 interim fiscal outlook with the upcoming 2005–06 Public Accounts.

In addition, along with including the financial results of these organizations in the Province’s fiscal outlook, the budget presentation of expense has changed to provide details on a total expense basis, rather than differentiating between operating and capital expense. This change in presentation is consistent with PSAB accounting principles.

More details on the impact of consolidating hospitals, school boards and colleges and the changes to the budget presentation of expense can be found in the Appendix, Details of Ontario’s Finances.
Details of Expense Outlook

When in a deficit position, such as the one the government inherited for 2003–04, getting back to a balanced budget and achieving a fiscally sustainable position requires that spending growth over the medium term be held to a rate below that of revenue growth. Current medium-term fiscal projections indicate that total spending will grow by 3.0 per cent per year on average between 2006–07 and 2008–09, lower than the 4.7 per cent average annual growth forecast for revenue.

- Total health sector spending, including the impact of consolidating the Province’s 155 hospitals (including three specialty psychiatric hospitals), will grow by $1.9 billion in 2006–07, or 5.8 per cent, to $35.4 billion. Between 2005–06 and 2008–09, total health spending will increase by a total of $5.3 billion.

- Total education sector spending, including the impact of consolidating the Province’s 104 school boards and authorities, will grow by $0.5 billion or 4.4 per cent, to $12.0 billion in 2006–07, increasing to $12.7 billion by 2008–09. Excluding Teachers’ Pension Plan expense, education sector spending will grow by $0.4 billion, or 3.5 per cent, to $11.6 billion in 2006–07, increasing to $12.4 billion by 2008–09.

- Total postsecondary education and training sector spending, including the impact of consolidating the Province’s 24 colleges of applied arts and technology, will grow by $0.5 billion, or 10.5 per cent, to $5.2 billion in 2006–07, increasing to $6.0 billion by 2008–09.

- Children’s and Social Services sector funding will grow by $0.2 billion to $10.3 billion in 2006–07, rising to $10.6 billion by 2008–09.

- Justice sector spending will grow by $0.1 billion or 2.9 per cent to $3.2 billion in 2006–07 and remain at that level throughout the medium term. This level of spending in the Justice sector represents a $0.3 billion increase in 2008–09 compared to what was provided in the 2005 Budget.

- Other Programs spending in 2006–07 of $11.5 billion is down by $1.8 billion from $13.3 billion in 2005–06, mainly reflecting the one-time investments in the Province’s economic infrastructure in 2005–06 outlined in this Budget, including the Move Ontario initiatives.

- Interest on debt expense is forecast to grow by $0.5 billion between 2006–07 and 2008–09, reflecting the government’s deficit targets and interest rates that are forecast to increase from historically low levels. In 2006–07, interest on debt costs will amount to roughly 11 per cent of total Provincial revenue and remain there throughout the medium term. This represents an improvement over 2003–04, when interest on debt expense represented 14 per cent of total Provincial revenue.
Risks to Expense Outlook

Containing the rate of growth in the Province’s medium-term expense plan is a key element of the Province’s fiscal plan. However, a number of expense risks and cost drivers could affect the Province’s fiscal performance over the medium term.

A key cost driver within the Province’s expense outlook is the demand for programs and services that arises from changes in the economic outlook or other utilization pressures. These pressures are especially evident in the health and social services sectors. For example, a one per cent increase in Ontario Works and Ontario Disability Support Program caseloads would cost the Province an additional $40 million a year.

The demand for health care services presents particularly significant risks. In fact, between 2000–01 and 2005–06, Ontario’s total health care spending increased at an average annual rate of 7.8 per cent. By contrast, Ontario’s nominal GDP growth averaged 4.3 per cent annually, and total revenue growth averaged only 4.8 per cent annually during this period. In 2006–07, the government will invest an additional $1.9 billion in Ontario’s health care system (including hospitals), for a total of $35.4 billion, representing an annual increase of 5.8 per cent over 2005–06, much higher than the 2.1 per cent growth projected for total Provincial revenue. With this increase, total health spending will amount to 46 per cent of total Provincial program expense in 2006–07. Over the period from 2003–04 to 2008–09, the Province’s cumulative additional investment in health care will total $34.4 billion.

Sustained growth in health care spending at a rate that exceeds growth in revenue will crowd out available funding for other programs, services and investments, ultimately threatening the long-term economic growth potential of the province. While this Budget provides additional targeted investments in health care services, it continues the plan to lower the rate of growth in health care spending over the medium term.

Under the enhanced reporting introduced in this Budget to include the financial results of the Province’s hospitals, school boards and colleges, any overspending or underspending to the net expense by these public-sector organizations will be disclosed in the Province’s financial statements and impact its bottom line. This will now be transparent to the readers of the Province’s financial reports by comparing the sectors’ actual net expenses to those planned for the year. While this is not a new risk, the enhanced financial reporting better reflects this risk in Ontario’s financial statements.

More details on potential risks, cost drivers and contingent liabilities are contained in the Appendix, Details of Ontario’s Finances.
FISCAL PRUDENCE

In addition to applying a disciplined approach to balancing strategic investments in key priority areas with a plan to eliminate the deficit, the government’s medium-term fiscal plan includes prudence in recognition of the risks that could materialize as a result of changes in the economic outlook, Provincial revenues and expense, including expansion of the Province’s financial reporting to include hospitals, schools and colleges.

The government’s medium-term fiscal plan includes reserves of $1.0 billion in 2006–07 and $1.5 billion in 2007–08 and 2008–09 to protect the government’s overall fiscal objectives and ensure the achievement of the fiscal targets outlined in this Budget. The 2007–08 and 2008–09 reserves are $0.5 billion higher than the $1.0 billion reserve included in 2006–07 to better reflect the risks and uncertain nature of medium-term fiscal projections.

MAINTAINING A PRUDENT DEBT-TO-GDP RATIO

Another key component of the government’s medium-term fiscal plan is the commitment to maintain a prudent level of Provincial debt relative to the size of Ontario’s economy as measured by nominal GDP. Ongoing debt accumulation can significantly limit the extent to which vital public services can be funded, as increasing debt charges crowd out funds available for spending on government priorities. Responsible fiscal management, therefore, needs to be long term to ensure that future generations are not burdened with a structural deficit.

Consistent with the Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act, 2004, Provincial debt is defined as accumulated deficit, which is the sum of all past Provincial surpluses and deficits. The expansion of the Province’s financial reporting to include hospitals, school boards and colleges also means that, beginning in 2005–06, any surpluses or deficits of these organizations, which are recorded as part of the Province’s surplus/deficit, are now reflected in the Province’s debt-to-GDP ratio.
Consistent with the medium-term fiscal outlook, the Province’s debt-to-GDP ratio is projected to decline from 25.2 per cent in 2003–04 to 20.3 per cent in 2006–07 and 18.8 per cent by 2008–09. By achieving the 2008–09 target, the government will have reduced the Province’s debt-to-GDP ratio to its lowest level in 17 years. The substantial decline in 2005–06 is primarily due to a one-time reduction of $14.1 billion to the Province’s accumulated deficit resulting from the inclusion of hospitals, school boards and colleges in the Province’s financial statements, reflecting the balance of the sectors’ assets less their liabilities as at April 1, 2005.

**KEY CHANGES SINCE THE 2005 ONTARIO BUDGET**

The government’s medium-term fiscal outlook has been updated to reflect changes since the 2005 Budget.

Taking into account the investments provided by this Budget and key changes in the revenue outlook, the 2005 Budget deficit targets remain unchanged and on track at $2.4 billion in 2006–07, falling to $1.5 billion in 2007–08, and a balanced budget in 2008–09. If the reserve is not required in 2006–07, the deficit is projected to fall to $1.4 billion. The budget will be balanced in 2007–08 if the reserve is not required in that year.

The following table provides an overview of the key changes to the medium-term revenue and expense outlooks, and the reserve since the release of the 2005 Budget.

Additional details on key changes to the 2005–06 fiscal outlook since the 2005 Budget are provided in Section V of this paper.
### Impact of Key Changes to the Medium-Term Deficit Targets

($ Billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surplus/(Deficit) as per 2005 Budget</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Revenue Changes Since 2005 Budget:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher 2005–06 Revenues</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Growth Outlook</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Learning and Child Care Agreement</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement and Labour Market Development Agreement</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Sector-Related Revenues</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue Changes</strong></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Expense Changes Since 2005 Budget:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Health Care Investments</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in Labour-Market Training Programs</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments for Safe Communities</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of Federal Support for Early Learning and Child Care Being Withdrawn</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Assistance for Children’s and Social Services Sector</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations Related to Retirement Benefits</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expense Changes (Net), including Interest on Debt Savings</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense Changes</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Reserve</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Changes Since 2005 Budget</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006 Budget Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006 Budget Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</strong></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.

Total projected revenues are higher throughout the medium term than projected in the 2005 Budget. Major changes include:

- **Higher 2005–06 revenues** — largely Personal Income and Corporations Tax — result in a higher base upon which growth is applied, increasing the taxation revenue forecast for 2006–07 onwards. The increase in 2005–06 taxation revenues above projection was primarily due to higher revenues from processing 2004 tax returns and stronger 2005 economic growth;
the current economic growth forecast — notably slower Ontario real GDP growth in each year from 2006 through 2008, has reduced the taxation revenue outlook from 2006–07 through 2008–09;

Government of Canada transfers under the Early Learning and Child Care Agreement are no longer included in the revenue outlook for 2007–08 onwards due to the new federal government’s notice of termination of the agreement;

Government of Canada transfers consistent with the 2005 Canada–Ontario Agreement and the Labour Market Development Agreement increase the revenue outlook from 2006–07 to 2008–09; and

revenues from the electricity sector are lower in 2006–07, largely reflecting the government’s strategic decision to improve electricity price stability for Ontario consumers by extending for three years and initially reducing the transitional revenue limit on most of OPG’s unregulated output. In 2007–08 and 2008–09, electricity sector revenue is slightly higher, reflecting a revised forecast of the electricity market.

Major changes to the medium-term expense outlook since the 2005 Budget include:

total health care sector spending will be $0.5 billion higher in 2006–07, $0.8 billion higher in 2007–08 and $0.5 billion higher in 2008-09, compared to what was projected at the time of the 2005 Budget. These additional investments will be used to invest in key health care services;

additional funding of $0.7 billion in 2008–09 will be provided for the postsecondary education and training sector mainly to expand and enhance apprenticeships, labour-market integration of recent immigrants, literacy and basic skills, workplace skills development, and services for Aboriginal Peoples and others facing labour-market barriers. These investments largely reflect federal funding provided under the Labour Market Partnership Agreement and the Labour Market Development Agreement;

the government will provide an additional $0.3 billion in 2008–09 to the justice sector to ensure Ontario’s communities are safer through investments in key priorities such as the Enhanced Anti-Gun and Gang Violence Strategy;

Ontario will use the last federal payment in 2006–07 from the Early Learning and Child Care (ELCC) Agreement to support the child care system. Under the terms of the agreement, Ontario was to receive $1.9 billion over five years to support early learning and child care programming for children under the age of six. Ontario will now need to lower planned additional Provincial spending on child care by $0.2 billion in 2006–07 and by $0.4 billion in 2007–08 and 2008–09;

this Budget also supports Ontario’s most vulnerable included in the children’s and social services sector by providing an additional $0.4 billion in 2006–07, growing to $0.5 billion in 2008–09, compared to what was provided at the time of the 2005 Budget, mainly to provide additional funding for developmental services, permanently flow through the July 2004, 2005 and 2006 increases in the National Child
Benefit Supplement to social assistance recipients, and increase social assistance benefits by two per cent;

- an increase in expense of $0.1 billion in 2006–07 and 2007–08 for obligations related to retirement benefits for the Ontario Public Service and the Teachers’ Pension Plan resulting from revised estimates of expense based on updated actuarial information; and

- other expense will rise by $0.3 billion in 2006–07, $0.1 billion in 2007–08 and $0.3 billion in 2008–09, including the impact of lower interest on debt costs arising from continued cost-effective debt management and lower interest rates than were forecast at the time of the 2005 Budget.

Consistent with past practice, the reserve has been reduced to $1.0 billion in 2006–07 to reflect the upcoming Budget Plan year, and is maintained at $1.5 billion in 2007–08 and 2008–09.
Section IV: Modernizing Government Update

Responsible management of the Province’s finances also means that the government is focused on priorities and achieving results that matter most to people in a way that ensures Ontarians receive excellent value for their tax dollars.

Consistent with that approach, the government is constantly looking for ways to modernize and improve programs and services. The more effective government is in how it delivers programs and services, the more resources government can devote to fund the services that matter to people.

The government’s modernization plan is designed to achieve three objectives:

- **make progress on program review savings targets** — achieving savings of $750 million in 2007–08 from more cost-effective programs;
- **create more efficient government** — providing higher-quality services that are delivered in an efficient and effective manner; and
- **control long-term costs** — meeting growing public demand for improved health care, education and other key services at an affordable cost that is fiscally sustainable in the long run.

**Making Progress on Program Review**

The 2004 and 2005 Budgets reported that the government was undertaking a comprehensive review to ensure that key programs and services were being delivered in the most cost-effective way possible and to secure their long-term viability.

Improved cost-effectiveness is primarily materializing in administrative and back-office services such as business support services, accommodations and information technology.

Since the 2005 Budget, the government has been working to ensure that the modernization initiatives already identified are moving forward in an efficient and timely fashion. Some examples include:

- ministry accommodation costs are being reduced by better aligning real estate needs and retrofitting government buildings so they use less energy;
- a more streamlined purchasing process, vendor rebates and new vendors of record are decreasing procurement costs;
- changes in the application of information technology services and products are generating savings. In particular, initiatives are underway to consolidate desktop management and rationalize common services and applications across the Ontario Public Service;
lower general and automobile insurance rates are being negotiated for government vehicles, resulting in savings;

- inbound toll-free and outbound long-distance telephone costs are being reduced; and
- improving the collection of the government’s accounts receivable and increasing the use of electronic funds transfers are generating savings and are resulting in more efficient management of government revenues.

As program review is a key element of the government’s fiscal plan, work will continue throughout 2006–07 to identify additional sources of cost-effectiveness.

**Delivering Efficient Government**

Better harmonization and coordination of government operations lead to more efficient delivery of key programs and services. A realigned ministry structure announced by the Premier on June 29, 2005 has served to eliminate inefficiencies, while allowing more specific focus to be given to key priority areas and the delivery of results:

- a new **Ministry of Government Services**, which combines elements of the former Management Board Secretariat and the former Ministry of Consumer and Business Services, is responsible for modernizing front-line services through ServiceOntario, ensuring Ontarians can access business and consumer services through a single window. The government will introduce legislation to allow the Registrar of Vital Statistics to provide enhanced access to death-notice information while ensuring the protection of privacy;

- in November 2005, the government announced its intention to introduce legislation that, if passed, would give **Infrastructure Ontario** responsibility for overseeing the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA), ensuring that the government’s infrastructure financing activities take place in a coordinated and consistent manner; and

- a **central agency review** has yielded results regarding the way Cabinet and the decision-making process is organized, including the creation of a new Treasury Board Office within the Ministry of Finance that will ensure better coordination in supporting sound and timely decision-making.

Greater coordination and harmonization have also been encouraged through a number of important new federal–provincial partnerships. For example, in 2005, Ontario signed a new Tax Collection Agreement (TCA) with the federal government for the continued administration of Ontario personal income tax. This new TCA contains provisions for enhanced collaboration between the Auditors General of Ontario and Canada in reviewing personal income tax administration. The Ontario Government is working with other provinces to develop approaches to exercise the new provisions contained in the
TCAs that allow provinces to review administration of provincial income tax by the Canada Revenue Agency.

It is also expected that Ontario and the federal government will conclude a TCA on corporate income tax administration, thereby implementing a federally administered system for collecting Ontario’s corporate income tax.

Ongoing collaboration with the federal government is critical to ensuring that together the Province and the federal government can achieve results in improving services to Ontarians.

**Controlling Long-Term Costs**

OntarioBuys is an excellent example of how the government is working with broader public-sector (BPS) partners to manage the rate of growth in spending, while also improving their respective service levels.

OntarioBuys was created to facilitate and accelerate the implementation of integrated supply-chain leading practices by the BPS. During 2005–06, OntarioBuys funded 20 initiatives involving over 100 hospitals, schools, colleges, universities and social service agencies, which will reduce supply-chain costs, streamline back-office functions and improve front-line services. Once fully implemented, the resulting savings will be available for front-line services. For example, physicians will have better access to information about their surgical supplies, floor staff will be able to more easily reorder patient care supplies, and hospital managers will have more complete and timely information about operating cost trends relative to volume levels.

In the education and postsecondary sectors, OntarioBuys is funding the development of the Ontario Education Cooperative Marketplace (OECM). Each year, Ontario’s schools, colleges and universities spend over $2 billion on goods and services. A number of these institutions are now working to complete project planning and launch OECM so that Ontario’s educational institutions can start seeing the benefits for the fall 2007 school term.
The government continues to make significant progress in eliminating the deficit — cutting it by more than two-thirds from the $5.5 billion level inherited in 2003–04 to $1.6 billion in 2004–05. The 2005 Budget Plan projected a deficit of $2.8 billion for 2005–06.

This Budget, while including strategic investments in infrastructure and other key priority areas, is projecting an interim deficit of $1,369 million for 2005–06, an in-year improvement of $1,427 million from the deficit projected in the 2005 Budget.

### 2005–06 IN-YEAR FISCAL PERFORMANCE ($ MILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget Plan</th>
<th>Interim</th>
<th>In-Year Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>81,687</td>
<td>83,939</td>
<td>2,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>73,687</td>
<td>76,218</td>
<td>2,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>9,796</td>
<td>9,090</td>
<td>(706)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expense</td>
<td>83,483</td>
<td>85,308</td>
<td>1,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</td>
<td>(1,796)</td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus/(Deficit)</td>
<td>(2,796)</td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.*

Total revenues in 2005–06 are projected to be $83,939 million, a 2.8 per cent improvement over the 2005 Budget projection. The economic outlook for 2005 was stronger than projected in the 2005 Budget, resulting in higher taxation revenue. In addition, since the 2005 Budget, new information from processing 2004 Personal Income Tax and Corporations Tax returns has increased associated 2004–05 revenues above the estimates in the 2005 Budget and 2004–05 Public Accounts. Higher revenues in 2004–05 than estimated in the 2005 Budget increase the base upon which growth is applied in estimating revenues for 2005–06 and beyond. As well, under Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) rules, higher revenues in 2004–05 than estimated in the 2004–05 Public Accounts are reflected as a one-time positive adjustment in 2005–06. Higher electricity sector-related revenues, primarily due to higher prices and stronger demand, also boosted revenues in 2005–06.

Total expense is projected to increase to $85,308 million, up a net $1,825 million from the 2005 Budget Plan. Most of this increase in spending reflects a strategic choice to invest in public transit, roads and bridges, and innovation, to promote a new generation of
economic growth, and to provide support for the agriculture and forestry sectors. It also reflects $706 million in interest on debt savings.

Excluding major one-time investments of $2.1 billion announced in this Budget for transportation, research and innovation, and sector support as a result of better-than-expected revenues and lower interest on debt expense, the underlying growth in spending for 2005–06 is 4.8 per cent.

The government is making these strategic investments while also improving upon the 2005–06 deficit target originally set out in the 2005 Budget.

The $1.0 billion reserve, included in the 2005–06 Budget Plan to protect against unexpected and adverse changes in the economic and fiscal outlook, was not required.

The 2005–06 interim results reported in this Budget are based on the best information available as of early March 2006. Given the preliminary nature of these estimates, these interim projections are subject to year-end closing procedures and audit, which will be reflected in the 2005–06 Public Accounts.

**IN-YEAR REVENUE PERFORMANCE**

Total revenue in 2005–06 is currently projected to be $83,939 million, an increase of $2,252 million from the 2005–06 Budget Plan, primarily due to higher taxation revenues from 2004 tax return processing, stronger economic growth and higher revenues from the electricity sector.

Roughly $1,100 million of the 2005–06 revenue increase above forecast does not carry forward into the forecast. This includes amounts related to the electricity sector and one-time positive adjustments in respect of past Public Accounts revenue underestimates described below.
### Revenue Changes

- **Personal Income Tax** revenues are estimated to be $1,002 million above the 2005 Budget forecast. Stronger-than-forecast 2005 wages and salaries growth contributed to higher Personal Income Tax revenues. Also, since the 2005 Budget, new information from processing 2004 Personal Income Tax returns increased 2004–05 revenues above the estimates in the 2005 Budget and 2004–05 Public Accounts. Higher revenues in 2004–05 than estimated in the 2005 Budget increase the base upon which growth is applied in estimating Personal Income Tax revenues for 2005–06 and beyond. As well, higher revenues of $437 million than estimated in the 2004–05 Public Accounts are reflected as a one-time positive adjustment in 2005–06.

- **Corporations Tax** revenues are estimated to be $481 million above the 2005 Budget forecast, largely due to higher revenues from processing 2004–05 tax returns. Stronger 2005 corporate profit growth also contributed to higher Corporations Tax revenues.

- **Employer Health Tax** revenues are estimated to be $172 million above the 2005 Budget forecast, primarily due to stronger 2005 wages and salaries growth and prudence included in the 2005 Budget forecast.

- **Land Transfer Tax** revenues are estimated to be $85 million above the 2005 Budget forecast, mainly due to higher housing resale values in 2005.
- **Electricity Payments-in-Lieu of Taxes** are estimated to be $284 million above the 2005 Budget forecast due to the combined improved financial performance of Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG), Hydro One Inc. (Hydro One) and municipal electric utilities.

- The net change in all **other taxation** revenues combined is estimated at $10 million below the 2005 Budget forecast.

- **Government of Canada Transfers** are $47 million above the 2005 Budget forecast. This is largely due to increased transfers in a few areas, including Canada Health and Social Transfers, Indian Welfare Services, and Social Housing.

- Net income for **Government Business Enterprises** was $191 million above the 2005 Budget forecast, largely due to higher combined net incomes of Hydro One and OPG—primarily due to higher electricity prices, improved business performance and stronger demand.

- **Other Non-Tax Revenue** is on track with the 2005 Budget forecast.

### IN-YEAR EXPENSE PERFORMANCE

Total expense in 2005–06 is currently projected to be $85,308 million, an increase of $1,825 million from the 2005 Budget Plan, primarily due to one-time investments in the Province’s economic infrastructure, including Move Ontario, partially offset by interest on debt savings of $706 million.
### SUMMARY OF IN-YEAR EXPENSE CHANGES IN 2005–06
($ MILLIONS)

#### Interim 2005–06

#### Program Expense Changes:

**Transportation:**
- Move Ontario — priority transit projects in the Greater Toronto Area\(^1\)......832
- Move Ontario — municipal roads and bridges......400
- Municipal assistance to Toronto for subway operations......200
- Municipal support for new buses and bus refurbishments......114

**Sectoral Support:**
- Grain, oilseed and horticulture producers, and livestock industry......125
- Stelco assistance......114
- Forest firefighting......64
- Support for cultural agencies\(^2\)......37
- Forest sector strategy......28
- Community cultural projects......24

**Research and Innovation:**
- Research and Innovation initiatives......162
- Animal Health Laboratory at the Ontario Veterinary College in Guelph......25

**Other Initiatives:**
- Retirement benefits......222
- Strategic infrastructure investments......60
- All other (net) program expense changes......124

**Total Program Expense Changes**......2,531

**Interest on Debt Savings**......(706)

**Total In-Year Expense Changes**......1,825

---

1 Total priority transit projects in the Greater Toronto Area as part of Move Ontario are $838 million, of which $6 million is planned for in 2006–07.

2 The gross expenditure is $49 million; however, $12 million of that will be amortized, resulting in a $37 million expense in 2005–06.

Sources: Ontario Ministry of Finance and Ontario Ministry of Infrastructure Renewal.
Expense Changes

Total expense increased by $1,825 million in-year from the 2005 Budget forecast. This is due to an increase in program expense of $2,531 million, offset by $706 million in interest on debt savings.

Highlights of key in-year transportation expense changes include:

- funding priority transit projects in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA), with an in-year investment of $832 million in 2005–06 through the Ministry of Transportation;
- an additional $400 million in one-time funding to the Ministry of Transportation to assist municipalities primarily outside the GTA with road and bridge construction and maintenance projects;
- an additional $200 million in one-time funding to the Ministry of Transportation to assist the City of Toronto with subway operations; and
- an additional $114 million was provided in-year to the Ministry of Transportation to assist municipalities that have placed orders for new buses and bus refurbishments pending the development of a new municipal bus replacement program.

Highlights of key in-year sectoral support increases include:

- support for Ontario’s grain, oilseed and horticulture producers and the livestock industry through the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs required an in-year investment of $125 million;
- a $114 million increase in the Ministry of Finance to account for the forgivable portion of a $150 million loan provided to Stelco Inc. to assist with the company’s restructuring plan;
- an additional $64 million was provided to the Ministry of Natural Resources in-year for higher-than-anticipated forest firefighting costs last summer;
- a $37 million net increase to support Ontario’s major cultural agencies and attractions. The Art Gallery of Ontario, Canadian Opera Company, National Ballet School, Royal Conservatory of Music and Gardiner Museum of Ceramic Art will all receive funding to help them realize their full economic potential as premier tourist attractions. An additional $12 million in gross expenditure is being provided to the Royal Ontario Museum, but as it is part of the government’s reporting entity, it will be depreciated with no fiscal impact in 2005–06;
- an in-year increase of $28 million was provided to the Ministry of Natural Resources for the maintenance of primary forest access roads, as part of the Province’s Forest Sector Strategy; and
- an in-year increase of $24 million to the Ministry of Culture was provided mainly to support various community cultural projects in the City of Ottawa and to help the Ontario Heritage Trust identify, preserve and protect Ontario’s heritage.
Highlights of key in-year research and innovation expense changes include:

- increased in-year investments for various research and innovation initiatives, such as the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics and the Institute for Quantum Computing, will total $162 million in 2005–06; and
- an additional $25 million was provided in-year to the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs for the Animal Health Laboratory at the Ontario Veterinary College in Guelph. This funding will provide the laboratory with increased capability to deal with diseases that threaten animal and human health, such as avian influenza.

Highlights of other key expense changes include:

- **retirement benefits** expense increased in-year by $222 million for benefits payable in future years, as a result of changes to various retiree supplemental health benefits;
- **strategic infrastructure investments** by the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs totalling $60 million to meet previous commitments, including $35 million for wastewater and other municipal projects in Hamilton, and $25 million for wastewater treatment upgrades in Kingston; and
- **net other in-year program expense** changes amount to $124 million. This increase is mainly due to additional funding for First Nations and public libraries, and an additional provision for Land Ambulance support.

In-year savings of $706 million in **interest on debt costs** were realized as a result of cost-effective debt management and lower-than-forecast long-term interest rates.
Conclusion

This Budget confirms the government’s investments in education, skills, health and infrastructure, and its commitment to responsible and prudent fiscal management. It also confirms that the government is on track to meet the deficit targets outlined in the 2005 Budget and to balance the budget no later than 2008–09.

The government is on track to achieve its fiscal targets despite the fact that the projected growth for Ontario’s economy in 2006 is moderate, and risks from global economic changes pose a challenge over the medium term. The impact of slower economic growth on the Province’s revenue means that the Province must continue to be prudent and disciplined in order to meet its medium-term fiscal targets.

More details on Ontario’s fiscal plan and outlook are provided in the Appendix, *Details of Ontario’s Finances*. 
PAPER A: Appendix

Details of Ontario’s Finances
Introduction

Paper A, Building Opportunity, Building a Stronger Ontario, provided an overview of the Province’s key priorities, economic outlook, medium-term fiscal plan and an update on the government’s progress on managing change and delivering results.

This appendix provides details on Ontario’s recent fiscal performance and other financial information, specifically:

- **Section I**: Fiscal Transparency and Accountability;
- **Section II**: Support from Gaming for Health Care, the Ontario Trillium Foundation and Communities;
- **Section III**: Support for Investments for Healthier Ontarians;
- **Section IV**: Potential Risks, Cost Drivers and Contingent Liabilities; and
- **Section V**: Fiscal Tables and Graphs.
Section I: Fiscal Transparency and Accountability

The government is committed to enhancing transparency and accountability. It has taken a number of key actions in this area.

Enhancements in Transparency and Accountability

- The Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act (FTAA) has set new standards for how the Province plans to allocate resources, and how and when it presents financial reports to the people of Ontario.
- In October 2005, the government issued the first-ever long-range assessment of Ontario’s fiscal and economic environment, Toward 2025: Assessing Ontario’s Long-Term Outlook.
- For the first time, the Ontario Government will produce a pre-election fiscal report, which will be reviewed by the Auditor General.
- The government has expanded the Auditor General’s authority to carry out value-for-money audits of organizations receiving government funds to deliver front-line services.
- The government has begun consultations on a new Public Service Act. This initiative seeks to embed in legislation the fundamental principles of public service: accountability, merit, non-partisanship and professionalism, and to provide a strong ethical framework for public servants.

Consolidating Hospitals, School Boards and Colleges into the Province’s Financial Results

In this Budget, the government is implementing another major change in the way that the finances of the Province are reported to the public. For the first time, the Province’s financial reporting in the Budget includes the financial results of three important public-sector partners — hospitals, school boards and colleges of applied arts and technology. Consistent with revised government accounting standards issued by the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA), the government will also introduce this expanded information into the Province’s Public Accounts when they are published later this year.

The Auditor General of Ontario fully supports the inclusion of hospitals, school boards and colleges in this 2006 Budget:

“...We support the inclusion of these broader public sector entities in the summary financial statements of the Province…. In my opinion, inclusion of colleges, school boards and hospitals in the 2006 Budget will facilitate the comparison of actual results to budgeted results which is an essential ingredient of fiscal public accountability.”

The government first announced in the 2004 Budget that it would include hospitals, school boards and colleges in the Province’s financial statements on a “one-line” basis starting with the 2005–06 Public Accounts and the subsequent (2007) Budget. By including these entities in the 2006 Budget, the government has advanced that timing by one year, making it easier to compare the 2006 Budget with the 2005–06 Public Accounts published later this year.

The government is also taking a major step towards improving the timeliness of the Province’s financial reporting by tabling its 2006 Budget in advance of the start of the 2006–07 fiscal year. Earlier budgets and medium-term outlooks provide our transfer partners with more certainty to facilitate their own planning. The government also plans to advance the date of tabling the 2005–06 Annual Report and Consolidated Financial Statements this year. By providing more comprehensive, comparable and timely financial reporting, the government is further enhancing transparency and accountability.

**WHAT DOES CONSOLIDATING HOSPITAL, SCHOOL BOARD AND COLLEGE SPENDING INVOLVE?**

The addition of hospitals, school boards and colleges to the Province’s books recognizes that these sectors receive most of their funding from the taxpayers of Ontario.

In order to include the financial results of the hospital, school board and college sectors, the government has changed the definition of the Province’s expenses in this Budget in accordance with PSAB standards.

- Previously, the Budget recorded the Province’s operating and capital grants to these sectors as expenses. Starting with the 2006 Budget, the government is replacing that approach by including the net expenses of these three sectors in its provincial expenses.
- This is being done to reflect fully the portion of the sectors’ expenses that provincial taxpayers are responsible for supporting.
- Net expenses are calculated as the operating costs and depreciation of the sectors’ assets less any revenues they receive from sources other than the Province. Another way of looking at it is that net expenses represent the total provincial operating and capital grants being provided to the sectors plus or minus their deficits or surpluses.

The Province depreciates its capital assets over the years they provide service to the public. Because hospital, school board and college sectors are now part of the Province’s reporting entity, the capital funding that the Province provides to these sectors will also now be depreciated over the service lives of their assets instead of being treated as a capital grant expense in the year paid.
These accounting changes do not affect the government's funding for these sectors. Likewise, these changes do not affect the governance of these sectors nor the sectors' ownership of assets.
THE IMPACTS OF CONSOLIDATING HOSPITALS, SCHOOL BOARDS AND COLLEGES ON THE PROVINCE’S FINANCIAL PRESENTATION

The PSAB standards provide the criteria for determining whether organizations should be included in the government’s financial statements.

PUBLIC SECTOR ACCOUNTING BOARD STANDARDS

☐ The Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) is the independent accounting authority setting accounting and financial reporting standards for governments in Canada. The Province adheres to these standards.

☐ In August 2003, PSAB issued a new accounting standard that established criteria for determining which public-sector organizations should be included in a government’s financial statements, starting with the 2005–06 Public Accounts.

☐ In his 2003 Annual Report, the Auditor General of Ontario noted that, in accordance with this new PSAB standard, Ontario’s school boards and colleges may warrant inclusion in the Province’s financial statements but universities should not be included. It was also recommended that the government complete its own assessment to determine whether health care organizations should be included. The Province’s assessment concluded that, consistent with most other provincial jurisdictions in Canada, hospitals, school boards and colleges should be included in the government’s financial statements.

Prior to the 2006 Budget, the government’s financial statements included government ministries, government organizations (e.g., GO Transit and Ontario Place) and government business enterprises (e.g., Liquor Control Board of Ontario (LCBO) and Hydro One). With this Budget, the Province’s financial statements have been expanded to include the 155 public hospitals including three specialty psychiatric hospitals, 104 school boards and school authorities, and 24 colleges in the province.

With the inclusion of hospital, school board and college sectors in the Province’s financial statements, the financial statement presentation in this Budget has changed:

- to highlight that the spending of these sectors is being supported by Provincial revenues, the net expenses of hospital, school board and college sectors are presented on separate lines. Previous budgets included the grants to these sectors as part of the relevant ministry’s program and capital spending;

- as most of the Province’s capital spending is now being accounted for as capital investments and depreciated over the years that the investments are providing service to the public, the financial presentation of capital has also changed:
  - as depreciation is an ongoing annual expense, the capital expense table has been combined with the operating expense table into a total expenses table, consistent with the presentation in the Province’s Public Accounts;
  - the schedule of net investment in capital assets table has been removed;
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the gross capital investment table has been restructured into an infrastructure expenditures table; and

- the assets of these sectors, less their liabilities, are recorded on the Province’s balance sheet, reducing its accumulated deficit.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

Enhanced financial reporting makes it easier for Ontarians to see how their tax dollars are being spent. In prior years, if a broader public-sector organization such as a hospital or school board spent more or less than the total grants and non-Provincial revenue it received, this overspending or underspending was not recorded in the government’s financial reports. Only if the government provided grants to the organization to cover deficits was it shown as a provincial expense.

Under the enhanced financial reporting, any sector overspending or underspending compared to their planned net expense will be disclosed in the Province’s financial statements and impact its bottom line. As such, the financial performance of the sectors and its impact on the Province’s finances will now be transparent to the readers of the Province’s financial reports by comparing the sectors’ actual net expenses to those planned for the year.

With this revised accounting, if the hospital, school board or college sectors’ spending results in a higher or lower net expense than what was planned, this will now affect the Province’s fiscal results and will create a risk that the Province will not achieve its targets. While this is not a new risk, the enhanced financial reporting better reflects this risk in Ontario’s financial statements.

**IMPACT OF CONSOLIDATING HOSPITALS, SCHOOL BOARDS AND COLLEGES**

The basic impact of consolidation is that the deficits/surpluses of the hospital, school board and college sectors are added to/subtracted from the provincial grant expenses. The deficits/surpluses of the sectors are composed of:

- deficits/surpluses resulting from their operating activities (i.e., operating revenues less operating expenses); and
- deficits/surpluses resulting from their capital activities (i.e., capital revenues less amortization of capital).

This impact is estimated to be a $32 million increase in expense in 2005–06 and a $104 million decrease in expense in 2006–07. The following table shows detailed impact by sector of the consolidation on the Province’s expenses for 2005–06 and 2006–07.
## Impact of Consolidation

### ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005–06 Interim</th>
<th>2006–07 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operating and Capital Grants</td>
<td>Sector Deficit/ (Surplus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>13,979</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Boards</td>
<td>10,758</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleges</td>
<td>1,308</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes impact of depreciation of capital and consolidation accounting adjustments.

In calculating the surpluses and deficits of the sectors, adjustments are made to their financial results before including them in the Province’s financial statements to eliminate double-counting and to make sure that they are consistent with the government’s accounting practices. These adjustments are in accordance with PSAB standards.

---

## Consolidation Accounting Adjustments

### Adjustments to Avoid Double-Counting:

#### Year-End Cut-Off Differences
- The Province may record an expense as payable in one fiscal year, but a sector organization does not record the receivable as revenue until the following year. The net expenses of the sector would be adjusted to record the revenue in the same year it is received from the Province.

#### Non-Reciprocal Accounting Treatments
- The Province provides funding for capital investment purposes. While the Province accounts for this funding as an expense in the year that it is transferred to the organization, the colleges and hospitals do not record the transfers as revenues in the year received but amortize them over future years. The net expenses of these sectors are adjusted to record this revenue in the year it is received to reflect the amortization of capital investments over the years that they provide service to the public.

### Accounting Consistency Adjustments:

#### Fiscal Year-End Differences
- School boards have an August 31 year-end, whereas the Province has a March 31 fiscal year-end. The school boards’ net expenses are adjusted to the Province’s fiscal-year basis.

### Accounting for School Boards’ Capital Assets
- Under their present accounting practices, school boards do not record capital assets in their financial statements. However, the Province and other sectors do record capital assets in their books. Therefore, the net expenses of school boards are adjusted upon consolidation to record their capital assets and the depreciation of these assets.
SIMPLIFIED EXAMPLE OF A CONSOLIDATION

Assumptions:
- The Province provides an organization with $50 million in operating grants and $20 million in capital grants for the year. Total provincial grants are $70 million.
- The organization includes $70 million from provincial grants in revenue and $10 million in third-party donations (outside revenues) in revenues, for total revenues of $80 million.
- The organization reports $70 million in operating expenses and $15 million in expenses for depreciation of its capital assets, for total expenses of $85 million.
- The organization has a deficit of $5 million resulting from expenses of $85 million less total revenues of $80 million.

Pre-Consolidation Expenses Budgeted in Prior Years
The provincial budget on a pre-consolidated basis included operating grants of $50 million and capital grants of $20 million, for a total of $70 million in expense for the year.

Post-Consolidation Expenses Budgeted Starting with the 2006 Budget
The consolidated 2006 Budget excludes the $50 million in operating grants and the $20 million in capital grants from the ministry’s program expenses. Instead, the Budget includes the net expenses of $75 million ($85 million in expenses less $10 million in outside revenues) on a separate line. Another way of looking at it is that net expenses equals provincial grants of $70 million plus the organization’s deficit of $5 million.

Impact of the Consolidation
In this example, the Province’s expense increased by $5 million. This increase is composed of:
- the organization’s operating deficit of $10 million (the organization’s operating expenses of $70 million less their operating revenue of $60 million), reduced by:
- the capital impact of $5 million that results from the change in accounting from capital grant expense of $20 million to the organization’s depreciation expense of $15 million.

The following table illustrates these results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT OF CONSOLIDATION</th>
<th>($ MILLIONS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-Consolidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization’s Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Revenue</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Operating</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Changes in Financial Presentation

The following table summarizes how the adjustments described previously will affect the expenses presented in Table A4 of the 2006 Budget and how it compares to the expense tables in previous budgets.

In the following table, the first column reports the 2006–07 operating information in the format that it would have been reported in Table A4 in the 2005 Budget. The second column reports 2006–07 capital information as it would have been shown in Table A5 in the 2005 Budget. The fourth column shows the adjustments reflecting the consolidation of hospitals, school boards and colleges into the Province’s financial statements. The fifth column sums all of this information into a total line, which is the amount reported in Table A4 of this Budget.

In other words, Table A4 of this Budget captures the information that was presented in the operating and capital expense tables in prior years, adjusted for the consolidation of hospitals, school boards and colleges. This revised presentation is now consistent with Schedule 3 in the Province’s Public Accounts.

Since most of the Province’s capital spending is now being accounted for as investments in capital assets and depreciated or charged to annual expense over the years that these assets provide service to the public, the capital investment tables presented in previous years have also been revised in the Budget.

The table on the Gross Capital Investment (Table A7 in the 2005 Budget) has been restructured into the table on Infrastructure Expenditures — Table A5 in this Budget. The “Total Infrastructure Expenditures” column (column four) in the revised table contains the capital expenditures on the Province’s tangible capital assets, and grants for capital purposes to public-sector entities that have been provided in the Gross Capital Investment Table in previous budgets. In addition, this column, for the first time, reflects grants to school boards and long-term care homes to service the financing of their capital assets. In the 2005 Budget, grants to school boards and long-term care homes were part of the operating expenses.

Furthermore, the “Total Infrastructure Expenditures” column in Table A5 is divided into two major categories (presented in columns two and three of the Infrastructure Expenditures table):

- those infrastructure expenditures, in the second column, that are invested in capital assets and amortized to the Province’s annual expenses over future years. These expenditures are not included in the current-year expenses. Instead, only the amortized portion related to the current year is included in 2006–07 expenses reported in Table A4; and
- those infrastructure expenditures, in the third column, as adjusted for consolidation, that are included in the Province’s current-year expenses in Table A4.
Consistent with the revised Total Expense Table (Table A4 in this Budget), the new Table A5 also identifies separately hospital infrastructure expenditures from other health expenditures and breaks out the school board and college sector expenditures from the other education expenditures on universities.

The interim 2005–06 results (column one) in the Infrastructure Expenditure Table is the Gross Capital Investment Table presented in the 2005 Budget, restated and updated in order to compare 2005–06 interim results with the 2006–07 plan.

Lastly, with the introduction of the new Infrastructure Expenditures Table A5, the Schedule of Net Investments in Capital Assets Table included in previous budgets has been removed. The information on the acquisition and amortization of major tangible capital assets previously shown in this table is presented in the 2005–06 Borrowing Program Table presented in Paper D, Borrowing and Debt Management.
### 2006–07 Illustration of Changes in Expense Presentation

**($ Millions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministry</th>
<th>2006–07 Before Consolidation¹</th>
<th>2006–07 After Consolidation²</th>
<th>Impact of Consolidation</th>
<th>Plan 2006–07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>Operating: 1,234   Capital: 67 Total: 1,301</td>
<td>Operating: 1,234   Capital: 67 Total: 1,301</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and Youth Services</td>
<td>Operating: 3,244   Capital: 20 Total: 3,264</td>
<td>Operating: 3,244   Capital: 20 Total: 3,264</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Social Services</td>
<td>Operating: 7,007   Capital: 38 Total: 7,045</td>
<td>Operating: 7,007   Capital: 38 Total: 7,045</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Safety and Correctional Services</td>
<td>Operating: 1,841   Capital: 46 Total: 1,887</td>
<td>Operating: 1,841   Capital: 46 Total: 1,887</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Renewal Secretariat</td>
<td>Operating: 10   Capital: – Total: 10</td>
<td>Operating: 10   Capital: – Total: 10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Operating: 11,603   Capital: 10 Total: 11,613</td>
<td>Operating: 11,603   Capital: 10 Total: 11,613</td>
<td>(11,175)</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance – Own Account</td>
<td>Operating: 1,056   Capital: 4 Total: 1,060</td>
<td>Operating: 1,056   Capital: 4 Total: 1,060</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>Operating: 9,429   Capital: – Total: 9,429</td>
<td>Operating: 9,429   Capital: – Total: 9,429</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Long-Term Care</td>
<td>Operating: 34,677   Capital: 384 Total: 35,061</td>
<td>Operating: 34,677   Capital: 384 Total: 35,061</td>
<td>(14,733)</td>
<td>20,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>Operating: 622   Capital: 60 Total: 682</td>
<td>Operating: 622   Capital: 60 Total: 682</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Fund</td>
<td>Operating: –   Capital: 175 Total: 175</td>
<td>Operating: –   Capital: 175 Total: 175</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs</td>
<td>Operating: 18   Capital: 3 Total: 21</td>
<td>Operating: 18   Capital: 3 Total: 21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training, Colleges and Universities</td>
<td>Operating: 5,233   Capital: 40 Total: 5,273</td>
<td>Operating: 5,233   Capital: 40 Total: 5,273</td>
<td>(1,397)</td>
<td>8,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Operating: 1,124   Capital: 819 Total: 1,943</td>
<td>Operating: 1,124   Capital: 819 Total: 1,943</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Savings</td>
<td>Operating: (550)   Capital: (150) Total: (700)</td>
<td>Operating: (550)   Capital: (150) Total: (700)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>Operating: 84,716   Capital: 2,468 Total: 87,184</td>
<td>Operating: 84,716   Capital: 2,468 Total: 87,184</td>
<td>(104)</td>
<td>87,080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Reflects 2006-07 plan as it would have been presented had the Province not consolidated hospitals, school boards and colleges.

² Consolidation refers to the consolidation of hospitals, school boards and colleges.

³ Adult English-as-a-Second Language transfer payments from the Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration to school boards, are on consolidation included in school-board net expenses.

⁴ Represents net expenses.
Section II: Support from Gaming for Health Care, the Ontario Trillium Foundation and Communities

Provincial proceeds from gaming activities continue to support Provincial priorities, including the operation and support of hospitals, charities, amateur athletes, communities and the agricultural sector.

### SUPPORT FOR HEALTH CARE, CHARITIES, AND PROBLEM GAMBLING AND RELATED PROGRAMS ($ MILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interim 2005–06</th>
<th>Plan 2006–07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation of Hospitals</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>1,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Trillium Foundation</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem Gambling and Related Programs</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Amateur Athletes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial Casinos Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Government Priorities</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,953</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Ontario Ministry of Public Infrastructure Renewal and Ontario Ministry of Finance.*

### REVENUE FROM LOTTERIES, CHARITY CASINOS AND SLOT MACHINES AT RACETRACKS

The Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation Act, 1999 requires that net Provincial revenue generated from lotteries, charity casinos and racetrack slot machines support services such as the operation of hospitals, problem gambling and related programs, and funding for charitable organizations through the Ontario Trillium Foundation.

- In 2006–07, an estimated $1,437 million in net revenue from lotteries, charity casinos and slot machines at racetracks will be applied to support the operation of hospitals. While this level of support for hospitals from gaming revenue is down slightly from last year, hospitals’ net expense on a consolidated basis will increase by $652 million this year to $14.7 billion made up from other government revenues.

- In 2006–07, the Ontario Trillium Foundation will be provided with $100 million to help build strong and healthy communities through contributions to charitable and not-for-profit organizations.
Two per cent of gross slot machine revenue, estimated at $36 million for 2006–07, is allocated for problem gambling prevention, treatment and research programs.

The Quest for Gold Lottery will provide an estimated $13 million for 2006–07 in direct financial support to Ontario high-performance amateur athletes. This funding will also support enhanced coaching and skills development.

**Benefits from Commercial Casinos**

- In 2006–07, net Provincial revenue from commercial casinos, estimated at $157 million, will be used to support general government priorities, including health care, education and public infrastructure.

- Since their inception, commercial casino operations have created 27,000 direct and indirect jobs in Ontario. Commercial casino operations and the additional tourists they attract contribute an estimated $2.4 billion annually to the Ontario economy.

**Other Beneficiaries of Charity Casinos and Slot Machines at Racetracks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support for the Agricultural Sector and Municipalities¹</th>
<th>Interim 2005–06</th>
<th>Plan 2006–07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Sector</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipalities</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The agricultural sector’s share of racetrack slot-machine revenue and municipalities’ share of slot-machine revenue from charity casinos or racetrack slot facilities is received directly from the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation.

Source: Ontario Ministry of Public Infrastructure Renewal.

- Twenty per cent of gross revenue from slot machines at racetracks is provided to promote the economic growth of the horse-racing industry. Since 1998, this initiative has provided over $1.7 billion to Ontario’s horse-racing industry, a key component of the Province’s agricultural sector. For 2006–07, additional support is estimated at $315 million.

- A portion of gross slot-machine revenue, estimated at $76 million in 2006–07, will be provided to municipalities that host charity casinos and slot operations at racetracks. These revenues will help offset local infrastructure and service costs.
Section III: Support for Investments for Healthier Ontarians

The government’s priority of achieving Better Health for Ontarians includes programs and services funded by the Ministries of Health and Long-Term Care, and Health Promotion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR-OVER-YEAR INCREASES IN FUNDING CONTRIBUTING TO BETTER HEALTH ($ MILLIONS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND LONG-TERM CARE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIP Services – to fund services provided by physicians and other health care practitioners including the implementation of the 100 newly announced Family Health Teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Care, Community and Mental Health Services – to expand home care services to over 13,000 additional Ontarians, and supporting almost 15,000 additional mental health clients in communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Care Homes — to enhance the quality of care provided to over 75,500 residents of long-term care homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other – primarily to support services provided by public health units, including increasing the Provincial share of public health unit costs to 75 per cent in January 2007; and funding for Ontario drug programs and emergency services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (excluding Hospitals)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals – increase in net expense of 155 hospitals (including three specialty psychiatric hospitals), including funding for over 300,000 additional surgical and diagnostic procedures compared to 2003–04.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF HEALTH PROMOTION:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion — to support programs and services that promote healthy choices and lifestyles, prevent injuries and reduce stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports and Recreation(^1) – primarily to increase physical activity participation to 55 per cent by 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Ministry of Health Promotion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Increase in Funding</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Excludes an increase of $28.5 million for capital investment.

*Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.*

In 2006–07, the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care will spend $1,823 million more than in the previous year. If all spending in the health sector is considered, the Province will be spending $1,899 million more in 2006–07 than in 2005–06. Health-related revenues, including federal transfer payments (such as the Canada Health Transfer, Wait Times Reduction Fund and the Public Health and Immunization Trust), Employer Health Tax, Ontario Health Premium and net proceeds from the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation, are expected to increase by $93 million in 2006–07.
It should be noted that all health-related revenues contribute only a portion of total health-related spending. In 2006–07, health-related revenues are expected to amount to $16.6 billion, or only about 47 per cent of the $35.4 billion required for the Ministries of Health and Long-Term Care and Health Promotion.

By 2008-09, the Province’s cumulative additional investment in Better Health will total $34.4 billion. The overall cumulative total revenue from the Ontario Health Premium and federal transfers to support health care will amount to $26.6 billion in 2008–09.

It is important to note that the government also supports a wide range of other programs and services that contribute to better health outcomes. For example, children’s mental health programs, provided by the Ministry of Children and Youth Services; drug benefits, provided by the Ministry of Community and Social Services; and medical education programs, provided by the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities; all contribute to better health for Ontarians.
Section IV: Potential Risks, Cost Drivers and Contingent Liabilities

As required by the Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act, 2004, this section highlights some of the key sensitivities and risks to the fiscal plan that could follow from unexpected changes in economic conditions, program demands, or the materialization of liabilities. It should be cautioned that these sensitivities and risks are only guidelines and can vary, depending on the nature and composition of potential risks and liabilities.

The Ontario Economy and Provincial Revenues

A growing economy with rising incomes, corporate profits and consumer spending generates higher revenues to pay for public services. Taxation revenues are the largest category of Provincial revenue. Of the total $85.7 billion in revenues forecast for 2006–07, $61.3 billion, or about 71 per cent, is expected to come from taxation revenues. Three revenue sources within this category — Personal Income Tax, Retail Sales Tax and Corporations Tax — account for about 56 per cent of total revenues. Inherent in any multi-year forecast is uncertainty about the future, making cautious and prudent planning a critical element of any deficit-reduction plan.

This section highlights some of the key sensitivities and risks to the fiscal plan that could follow from unexpected changes in economic conditions. The economic assumptions on which the revenue projections are based are described in the Appendix to Paper B, Ontario’s Economic Outlook.
## SELECTED ECONOMIC AND REVENUE RISKS AND SENSITIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Key Components</th>
<th>2006–07 Assumption</th>
<th>2006–07 Sensitivities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Real GDP</td>
<td>2.3 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td>$645 million revenue change for each percentage point change in real GDP growth. Can vary significantly, depending on composition and source of changes in GDP growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– GDP Deflator</td>
<td>2.2 per cent increase in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Canadian Interest Rates</td>
<td>4.0 per cent three-month treasury bill rate in 2006</td>
<td>Between $65 million and $325 million revenue change in the opposite direction for each percentage point change in interest rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– U.S. Real GDP</td>
<td>3.4 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td>Between $195 million and $475 million revenue change for each percentage point change in U.S. real GDP growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Canadian Dollar Exchange Rate</td>
<td>87.0 cents US in 2006</td>
<td>Between $25 million and $115 million revenue change in the opposite direction for each one cent change in the Canadian dollar exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Taxation Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base¹</td>
<td>3.6 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$590 million revenue change for each percentage point change in nominal GDP growth. Can vary significantly, depending on composition and source of changes in GDP growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Nominal GDP</td>
<td>4.5 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Income Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>5.6 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$240 million revenue change for each percentage point change in wages and salaries growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Economic Assumptions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Wages and Salaries</td>
<td>4.7 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Employment</td>
<td>1.3 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Revenue Assumptions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Unincorporated Business Income</td>
<td>4.2 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Net Capital Gains Income</td>
<td>18.0 per cent decrease in 2006</td>
<td>$4 million revenue change for each percentage point change in net capital gains income growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– RRSP Deductions</td>
<td>6.0 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td>$15 million revenue change in the opposite direction for each percentage point change in RRSP deductions growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 2005 Tax-Year Assessments²</td>
<td>$20.3 billion</td>
<td>$203 million revenue change for each percentage point change in 2005 Personal Income Tax assessments.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 2004 Tax-Year and Prior Assessments³</td>
<td>$0.8 billion</td>
<td>$8 million revenue change for each percentage point change in 2004 and prior Personal Income Tax assessments.³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Revenue base represents the statistical measure of the revenue-generating capacity of the economy.
² 2005 and 2004 assessments data are based on the tax year, not calendar year. For example, 2005 assessments reflect the 2005 tax year.
³ 2005 and 2004 assessments data are based on the tax year, not calendar year. For example, 2005 assessments reflect the 2005 tax year.
## Selected Economic and Revenue Risks and Sensitivities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Key Components</th>
<th>2006–07 Assumption</th>
<th>2006–07 Sensitivities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retail Sales Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>4.2 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Includes:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Taxable Household Spending</td>
<td>3.6 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Other Taxable Spending</td>
<td>4.9 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Economic Assumptions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Retail Sales</td>
<td>4.2 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Nominal Consumption Expenditure</td>
<td>4.3 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td>$90 million revenue change for each percentage point change in nominal consumption expenditure growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corporations Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>2.7 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$65 million revenue change for each percentage point change in pre-tax corporate profit growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Corporate Profits</td>
<td>3.8 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 2005–06 Tax Payments upon Filing</td>
<td>$0.5 billion receivable in 2006–07</td>
<td>$5 million revenue change for each percentage point change in 2005–06 payments upon filing.[^3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 2005–06 Tax Assessment Payments</td>
<td>$0.6 billion receivable in 2005–06 and 2006–07</td>
<td>$6 million revenue change for each percentage point change in 2005–06 assessment payments.[^3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employer Health Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>4.3 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$35 million revenue change for each percentage point change in wages and salaries growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Wages and Salaries</td>
<td>4.7 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ontario Health Premium Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>4.9 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$25 million revenue change for each percentage point change in personal income growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Personal Income</td>
<td>4.7 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 2005 Tax-Year Assessments</td>
<td>$2.4 billion in 2005</td>
<td>$24 million revenue change for each percentage point change in 2005 Ontario Health Premium Assessments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Selected Economic and Revenue Risks and Sensitivities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Key Components</th>
<th>2006–07 Assumption</th>
<th>2006–07 Sensitivities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gasoline Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>0.1 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$2 million revenue change in the opposite direction for each cent per litre change in gasoline pump prices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Gasoline Pump Prices</td>
<td>88.0 cents per litre in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>0.7 per cent growth in 2006–07</td>
<td>$13 million revenue change for each percentage point change in real GDP growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Real GDP</td>
<td>2.3 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Transfer Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Revenue Base</td>
<td>1.4 per cent decline in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Housing Resales</td>
<td>4.7 per cent decline in 2006</td>
<td>$10 million revenue change for each percentage point change in both the number and prices of housing resales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Resale Prices</td>
<td>3.0 per cent growth in 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Social Transfers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Canada-wide Revenue Base</td>
<td>$28.6 billion in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Ontario Revenue Share</td>
<td>37.7 per cent in 2006–07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Ontario Population Share</td>
<td>38.9 per cent in 2006–07</td>
<td>$44 million revenue change for each tenth of a percentage point change in population share.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Ontario Basic Federal PIT Share</td>
<td>43.9 per cent in 2006–07</td>
<td>$6 million revenue change in the opposite direction for each tenth of a percentage point change in Basic Federal Personal Income Tax base share.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Revenue base is revenue excluding the impact of measures, adjustments for past Public Accounts estimate variances and other one-time factors.
2. Ontario 2005 Personal Income Tax (PIT) is a forecast estimate because most 2005 tax returns are yet to be assessed by the Canada Revenue Agency. Some tax amounts for 2004 and prior years are also yet to be assessed.
3. Any change in 2005 or prior-year PIT assessments or 2005–06 Corporations Tax revenues will have an effect on 2006–07 revenues through a change in the revenue base upon which this year’s growth is applied.
4. Corporations Tax refunds for 2005–06 are still subject to uncertainty because a high proportion of corporations have until June 30, 2006 to file their 2005 tax returns.
**Expense Risks and Sensitivities**

Many programs delivered by the Province are subject to potential risks and cost drivers, such as utilization growth or enrolment and caseload changes. The following sensitivities are based on averages for program areas and might change, depending on the nature and composition of the potential risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program/Sector</th>
<th>2006–07 Assumption</th>
<th>2006–07 Sensitivities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Sector</td>
<td>Annual growth of 5.8 per cent.</td>
<td>One per cent change in health spending: $354 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>Annual growth of 4.6 per cent.</td>
<td>One per cent change in hospital net expense: $147 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Programs</td>
<td>Annual growth of 10 per cent.</td>
<td>One per cent change in utilization of all drug programs: $35 million (seniors and social assistance recipients).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Care Homes</td>
<td>More than 75,500 long-term care home beds.</td>
<td>Annual average Provincial operating cost per bed, after resident co-payment revenue, in a long-term care home is $38,000. One per cent change in number of beds: $28 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Care</td>
<td>Over 16.7 million hours of homemaking and support services; 8.9 million nursing and professional visits.</td>
<td>One per cent change in hours of homemaking and support services: $4 million. One per cent change in nursing and professional visits: $6 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary and Secondary Schools¹</td>
<td>Almost two million average daily pupil enrolment.</td>
<td>One per cent enrolment change: $160 million school boards' net expense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Students¹</td>
<td>314,000 full-time undergraduate and graduate students.</td>
<td>One per cent enrolment change: $22 million of net expense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Works¹</td>
<td>201,000 average annual caseload.</td>
<td>One per cent caseload change: $16 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Disability Support Program¹</td>
<td>233,000 average annual caseload.</td>
<td>One per cent caseload change: $24 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Students</td>
<td>151,000 full-time students.</td>
<td>One per cent enrolment change: $7 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>Average cost of borrowing is forecast to be approximately 5.1 per cent.</td>
<td>The impact of a 100 basis-point change in borrowing rates is forecast to be approximately $250 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional System</td>
<td>2.8 million adult inmate days per year.</td>
<td>Average cost $162 per inmate per day. One per cent change in inmate days: $5 million.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Based on 2005–06.
Compensation Costs

Compensation costs and wage settlements are key cost drivers and have a substantial impact on the finances of both the broader public-sector partners and the Province.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Cost of 1% Salary Increase</th>
<th>Size of Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OHIP Payments to Physicians¹</td>
<td>$75 million</td>
<td>Almost 22,000 physicians in Ontario including approximately 10,900 family doctors and 11,100 specialists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Nurses²</td>
<td>$43 million</td>
<td>Over 53,000 full-time equivalent (FTE) nurses in hospitals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary and Secondary School Staff³</td>
<td>$121 million</td>
<td>Over 190,000 staff including teachers, principals, administrators, and support and maintenance staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Staff⁴</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>Almost 35,000 staff including faculty, administrators, and support and maintenance staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Public Service⁵</td>
<td>$51 million</td>
<td>Over 64,000 public servants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Based on 2006–07 outlook.
² Based on 2005–06.
³ One per cent increase in salary benchmarks in Grants for Student Needs based on 2005–06 school year.
⁴ Based on 2004–05.
⁵ Based on 2005–06, reflects total compensation costs.

Contingent Liabilities

In addition to the key demand sensitivities and economic risks to the fiscal plan, there are additional risks stemming from the government’s contingent liabilities. Whether these contingencies will result in actual liabilities for the Province is beyond the direct control of the government. Losses could result from legal settlements, defaults on projects, and loan and funding guarantees. Provisions for losses that are likely to occur and that can be reasonably estimated are expensed and reported as liabilities in the Province’s financial statements. Significant contingent liabilities, as disclosed in the 2004–05 Annual Report and Consolidated Financial Statements released in September 2005, are described below.
Ontario Nuclear Funds Agreement

The Province has certain responsibilities with respect to nuclear used-fuel waste management and nuclear station decommissioning. The Province, Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG), a wholly owned subsidiary, and certain subsidiaries of OPG are parties to the Ontario Nuclear Funds Agreement (ONFA), to establish, fund and manage segregated funds to ensure sufficient funds are available to pay the costs of nuclear station decommissioning and nuclear used-fuel waste management. Under ONFA, the Province is liable to make payments, should the cost estimate for nuclear used-fuel waste management rise above specified thresholds for a fixed volume of used fuel. As well, under ONFA, the Province guarantees a return of 3.25 per cent over the Ontario Consumer Price Index for the nuclear used-fuel waste management fund. The Province has also provided a direct Provincial guarantee to the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission on behalf of OPG for up to $1.5 billion, which relates to the portion of the decommissioning and waste management obligations not funded by the segregated funds.

Obligations Guaranteed by the Province

The Province provides guarantees on loans on behalf of various parties. The authorized limit for loans guaranteed by the Province as at March 31, 2005 was $3.9 billion. The outstanding loans guaranteed and other contingencies amounted to $3.2 billion at March 31, 2005. A provision of $409 million based on an estimate of the likely loss arising from guarantees under the Student Support Programs has been expensed and is reflected in the 2004–05 Annual Report and Consolidated Financial Statements of the Province.

Social Housing — Loan Insurance Agreements

The Province is liable to indemnify and reimburse the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation for any net costs, including any environmental liabilities incurred as a result of project defaults, for all non-profit housing projects in the Provincial portfolio. At March 31, 2005, there were $8.8 billion of mortgage loans outstanding.

Claims Against the Crown

There are claims outstanding against the Crown arising from legal action, either in progress or threatened, in respect of aboriginal land claims, breach of contract, damages to persons and property, and like items. At March 31, 2005, there were 82 claims outstanding against the Crown that were for amounts over $50 million.
Section V: Fiscal Tables and Graphs

The following pages provide details on Ontario’s finances — both historical and projections over the medium term.

Key tables consist of:

- Medium-Term Fiscal Plan and Outlook (2005–06 to 2008–09);
- 2006–07 Fiscal Outlook;
- Details of Provincial Revenue (2002–03 to 2006–07);
- Details of Provincial Total Expense, by Ministry (2002–03 to 2006–07);
- Details of Infrastructure Expenditures (2006–07);
- Summary of Line-by-Line Consolidated Organizations (2005–06); and

Key graphs consist of:

- Composition of Revenue (2006–07);
- Composition of Total Expense (2006–07); and
### MEDIUM-TERM FISCAL PLAN AND OUTLOOK
($ BILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interim&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</strong></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment in Capital Assets</strong></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Debt&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>149.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Deficit&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>115.4</td>
<td>116.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at Market Prices</td>
<td>544.7</td>
<td>569.2</td>
<td>593.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Debt as a per cent of GDP</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Deficit as a per cent of GDP</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Starting in 2005–06, the Province’s financial reporting has been expanded to include hospitals, school boards and colleges using one-line consolidation.

<sup>2</sup> Net Debt is calculated as the difference between liabilities and financial assets. The annual change in Net Debt is equal to the Surplus/Deficit of the Province plus the change in tangible capital assets and the change in net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. Accumulated Deficit is calculated as the difference between liabilities and total assets including tangible capital assets and net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. The annual change in the Accumulated Deficit is equal to the Surplus/Deficit.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
## 2006–07 Fiscal Outlook

($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interim&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; 2005–06</th>
<th>Plan 2006–07</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>$ Millions</th>
<th>Per Cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td>83,939</td>
<td>85,730</td>
<td>1,791</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td>76,218</td>
<td>77,651</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>9,090</td>
<td>9,429</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td>85,308</td>
<td>87,080</td>
<td>1,772</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</strong></td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>(1,350)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>(2,350)</td>
<td>(981)</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment in Capital Assets</strong></td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,544</td>
<td>444</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Debt&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>142,961</td>
<td>146,763</td>
<td>3,802</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Deficit&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>113,053</td>
<td>115,403</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Starting in 2005–06, the Province’s financial reporting has been expanded to include hospitals, school boards and colleges using one-line consolidation.

<sup>2</sup> Net Debt is calculated as the difference between liabilities and financial assets. The annual change in Net Debt is equal to the Surplus/Deficit of the Province plus the change in tangible capital assets and the change in net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. Accumulated Deficit is calculated as the difference between liabilities and total assets including tangible capital assets and net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. The annual change in the Accumulated Deficit is equal to the Surplus/Deficit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income Tax</td>
<td>18,195</td>
<td>18,301</td>
<td>19,320</td>
<td>21,028</td>
<td>21,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Sales Tax</td>
<td>14,183</td>
<td>14,258</td>
<td>14,855</td>
<td>15,923</td>
<td>16,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporations Tax</td>
<td>7,459</td>
<td>6,658</td>
<td>9,883</td>
<td>9,729</td>
<td>9,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Health Tax</td>
<td>3,589</td>
<td>3,753</td>
<td>3,866</td>
<td>4,205</td>
<td>4,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Health Premium</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,737</td>
<td>2,427</td>
<td>2,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline Tax</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>2,288</td>
<td>2,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Tax</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Tax</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>1,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Transfer Tax</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>1,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Payments-In-Lieu of Taxes</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Taxes</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Taxation Revenue</strong></td>
<td>49,551</td>
<td>49,148</td>
<td>55,975</td>
<td>59,740</td>
<td>61,274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government of Canada</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada Health and Social Transfer (CHST)</td>
<td>7,346</td>
<td>7,345</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Health Transfer (CHT)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5,640</td>
<td>7,139</td>
<td>7,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Social Transfer (CST)1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,912</td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>3,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHST Supplements</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Housing</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Programs</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times Reduction Fund</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Equipment Funds</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Government of Canada</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>1,101</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>1,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Government of Canada</strong></td>
<td>8,894</td>
<td>9,893</td>
<td>11,882</td>
<td>13,220</td>
<td>13,582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income from Investment in Government Business Enterprises</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation</td>
<td>2,288</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>1,992</td>
<td>1,953</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Control Board of Ontario</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Power Generation Inc. and Hydro One Inc.</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Government Enterprises</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(64)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income from Investment in Government Business Enterprises</strong></td>
<td>3,942</td>
<td>3,070</td>
<td>3,578</td>
<td>4,210</td>
<td>3,920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Non-Tax Revenue</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursements</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>1,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Debt Retirement Charge</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>1,018</td>
<td>1,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle and Driver Registration Fees</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Sales</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fees and Licences</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Licence Revenue</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Reduction of Power Purchase Contract Liability</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Rentals</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Other Non-Tax Revenue</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Non-Tax Revenue</strong></td>
<td>6,504</td>
<td>6,289</td>
<td>6,406</td>
<td>6,769</td>
<td>6,954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Revenue                                          | 68,891  | 68,400  | 77,841  | 83,939  | 85,730  |

1 Includes 2005 Federal Budget additional Early Learning and Child Care revenues of $272 million in 2005–06 and $254 million in 2006–07.
### Table A4

#### TOTAL EXPENSE ($ MILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministry</th>
<th>Pre-Expanded Reporting Entity</th>
<th>Post-Expanded Reporting Entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Time and Extraordinary Assistance</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>1,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Internal Economy</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and Youth Services</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>2,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizenship and Immigration</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Social Services</td>
<td>5,862</td>
<td>6,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Safety and Correctional Services</td>
<td>1,722</td>
<td>1,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Renewal Secretariat</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development and Trade</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Boards</td>
<td>8,739</td>
<td>9,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers’ Pension Plan (TPP)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Limited Environmental Expense</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Offices</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance – Own Account</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>9,694</td>
<td>9,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Reinvestment Fund/Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Reinvestment Fund One-Time Transition Funding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Consumer Price Protection Fund</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Purchases</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Fund</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Services</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension and Other Employee Future Benefits</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Long-Term Care3</td>
<td>14,758</td>
<td>16,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals3</td>
<td>11,241</td>
<td>12,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Promotion</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Affairs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Affairs and Housing</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Development and Mines</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Francophone Affairs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Infrastructure Renewal4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Fund</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Innovation</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretariat for Aboriginal Affairs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training, Colleges and Universities</td>
<td>2,473</td>
<td>2,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleges</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>1,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1,554</td>
<td>1,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move Ontario</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Savings</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>68,774</strong></td>
<td><strong>73,883</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Starting in 2005–06, the Province’s financial reporting has been expanded to include hospitals, school boards and colleges using one-line consolidation. Prior to 2005–06, historical figures reflect grants to these entities for comparison purposes.

2 The 2005–06 interim fiscal results reported in this Budget are based on the best information available as of early March 2006.

3 The 2003–04 expenses for Health and Long-Term Care and Hospitals include $824 million of SARS-related and major one-time health costs.

4 Credit expense amounts relate to consolidation adjustments between the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) and ministries to reflect net spending for the year.
## 2006–07 Infrastructure Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006–07 Plan</th>
<th>2005–06 Interim</th>
<th>Investment in Capital Assets</th>
<th>Transfers and Other Expenditures in Infrastructure</th>
<th>Total Infrastructure Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>1,649</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>943</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Transportation</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Health</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Boards</td>
<td>1,031</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleges</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universities</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water/Environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal and Local Infrastructure</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,437</td>
<td>2,544</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>5,318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Mainly consists of transfers for capital purposes to municipalities and universities, expenditures for servicing capital-related debt of schools, and expenditures for the repair and rehabilitation of schools. These expenditures are included in the Province’s Total Expenses in Table A4.

2 Municipal and local water and wastewater infrastructure investments are included in the Water/Environment sector.

3 Total expenditures include $36 million in flow-throughs in Investment in Capital Assets (for provincial highways) and $208 million in flow-throughs in Transfers and Other Expenditures in Infrastructure ($31 million in Transportation, $26 million in Water/Environment, $150 million in Municipal and Local Infrastructure, and $1 million in Other Infrastructure).
SUMMARY OF LINE-BY-LINE CONSOLIDATED ORGANIZATIONS

The government carries out a number of activities through government organizations that are consolidated on a line-by-line basis. In some circumstances, these organizations provide programs directly to the public. In other cases, they provide services to the government itself.

Table A6 is included to provide information on the total revenues and expenses of these organizations, the provincial transfer payments they receive and their net impact on the Provincial deficit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministry/Agency</th>
<th>Total Revenue</th>
<th>Total Expense</th>
<th>Net (Income)/Loss</th>
<th>Transfers from Province Included in Agency Revenue</th>
<th>Net Increase/Decrease to Provincial Deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricorp</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid Ontario</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Science Centre</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Trillium Foundation</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Ontario Museum</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development and Trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Immigrant Investor Corporation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Quality and Accountability Office</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Educational Communications Authority</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Electricity System Operator</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Energy Board</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Power Authority</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Financing Authority</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Securities Commission</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Long-Term Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Care Ontario</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Systems for Health</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Racing Commission</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Affairs and Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Housing Corporation</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Development and Mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ontario Heritage Fund Corporation</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary of Line-by-Line Consolidated Organizations

**($ Millions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministry/Agency</th>
<th>2005–06 Interim</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Transfers from Province Included in Agency Revenue</th>
<th>Net Increase/(Decrease) to Provincial Deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Infrastructure Renewal (PIR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORC Operating as Agent for the Province</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Toronto Convention Centre</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Place Corporation</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Tourism Marketing Partnership Corporation</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO Transit</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>(126)</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto Area Transit Operating Authority</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,686</td>
<td>3,470</td>
<td>(216)</td>
<td>2,576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. The revenues and expenses of government organizations, except for government business enterprises, are consolidated on a line-by-line basis with ministry revenues and expenses. Adjustments are made to present the accounts of these government organizations on a basis consistent with the Province’s accounting policies, e.g., conforming the accounting for capital grants received by an organization to the Province’s accounting policy. These adjustments have been made to the agencies’ revenues and expenses above except for interest revenue and interest expense adjustment. Upon consolidation, adjustments are made to eliminate significant interorganization transactions, e.g., transfers received from the Province.

2. The Ontario Electricity Financial Corporation (OEFC) has projected excess of revenue over expense of $1,085 million for 2005–06. As OEFC’s revenues are dedicated to managing and retiring the debt and other liabilities of the former Ontario Hydro, OEFC is not included in this table as its activities are not comparable to the activities of other government organizations.

3. ORC maintains several operating bank accounts that are held “in trust,” administered on behalf of PIR, and relate directly to the operation of PIR-owned and -leased properties or services provided to other ministries or agencies of the Ontario Government. The activities reported under ORC Operating as Agent for the Province are shown separately as they will not be reflected on ORC’s financial statements.
### TEN-YEAR REVIEW OF SELECTED FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS

($ MILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td>52,782</td>
<td>56,050</td>
<td>65,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs(^2)</td>
<td>48,019</td>
<td>49,036</td>
<td>53,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Debt</td>
<td>8,729</td>
<td>9,016</td>
<td>11,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense(^2)</strong></td>
<td>56,748</td>
<td>58,052</td>
<td>64,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit) Before Reserve</strong></td>
<td>(3,966)</td>
<td>(2,002)</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>(3,966)</td>
<td>(2,002)</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Debt(^3)</strong></td>
<td>112,735</td>
<td>114,737</td>
<td>134,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Deficit(^3)</strong></td>
<td>112,735</td>
<td>114,737</td>
<td>134,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at Market Prices</td>
<td>359,353</td>
<td>377,897</td>
<td>409,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income</td>
<td>289,537</td>
<td>304,652</td>
<td>321,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population — July (000s)</td>
<td>11,228</td>
<td>11,367</td>
<td>11,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Debt per Capita (dollars)</td>
<td>10,041</td>
<td>10,094</td>
<td>11,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income per Capita (dollars)</td>
<td>25,787</td>
<td>26,801</td>
<td>27,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense as a per cent of GDP</strong></td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest on Debt as a per cent of Revenue</strong></td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Debt as a per cent of GDP</strong></td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Deficit as a per cent of GDP</strong></td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Starting in 2005–06, the Province’s financial reporting has been expanded to include hospitals, school boards and colleges using one-line consolidation. Total expense prior to 2005–06 has not been restated to reflect expanded reporting.

\(^2\) Starting in 2002–03, major tangible capital assets owned by Provincial ministries (land, buildings and transportation infrastructure) are accounted for on a full accrual accounting basis. Other tangible capital assets owned by Provincial ministries will continue to be accounted for as expense in the year of acquisition or construction. All capital assets owned by consolidated organizations are accounted for on a full accrual basis.

\(^3\) Net Debt is calculated as the difference between liabilities and financial assets. The annual change in Net Debt is equal to the Surplus/Deficit of the Province plus the change in tangible capital assets and the change in net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. Accumulated Deficit is calculated as the difference between liabilities and total assets including tangible capital assets and net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges. The annual change in the Accumulated Deficit is equal to the Surplus/Deficit. For fiscal 2005–06, the change in the Accumulated Deficit includes the opening combined net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges that were recognized upon consolidation of these BPS entities.

**Sources:** Ontario Ministry of Finance and Statistics Canada.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>66,294</td>
<td>66,534</td>
<td>68,891</td>
<td>68,400</td>
<td>77,841</td>
<td>83,939</td>
<td>85,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53,519</td>
<td>55,822</td>
<td>59,080</td>
<td>64,279</td>
<td>70,028</td>
<td>76,218</td>
<td>77,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,873</td>
<td>10,337</td>
<td>9,694</td>
<td>9,604</td>
<td>9,368</td>
<td>9,090</td>
<td>9,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64,392</td>
<td>66,159</td>
<td>68,774</td>
<td>73,883</td>
<td>79,396</td>
<td>85,308</td>
<td>87,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>1,902</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>(5,483)</td>
<td>(1,555)</td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>(1,350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,902</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>(5,483)</td>
<td>(1,555)</td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>(2,350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>132,496</td>
<td>132,121</td>
<td>132,647</td>
<td>138,557</td>
<td>140,662</td>
<td>142,961</td>
<td>146,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132,496</td>
<td>132,121</td>
<td>118,705</td>
<td>124,188</td>
<td>125,743</td>
<td>113,053</td>
<td>115,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>440,759</td>
<td>453,701</td>
<td>478,141</td>
<td>493,345</td>
<td>517,407</td>
<td>544,674</td>
<td>569,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>347,653</td>
<td>361,187</td>
<td>370,599</td>
<td>382,211</td>
<td>396,757</td>
<td>415,600</td>
<td>435,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,685</td>
<td>11,898</td>
<td>12,102</td>
<td>12,260</td>
<td>12,407</td>
<td>12,541</td>
<td>12,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,339</td>
<td>11,104</td>
<td>10,961</td>
<td>11,302</td>
<td>11,337</td>
<td>11,399</td>
<td>11,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29,752</td>
<td>30,357</td>
<td>30,623</td>
<td>31,175</td>
<td>31,978</td>
<td>33,139</td>
<td>34,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Composition of Revenue 2006–07

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Composition of Total Expense 2006–07

¹ Includes Teachers’ Pension Plan
Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
Composition of Program Expense

2006–07

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training, Colleges and Universities</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$5.2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's and Social Services Sector</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$10.3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice Sector</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$3.2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources, General Government and Other</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$11.5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$12.0B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Sector</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>$35.4B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Program expense equals total expense minus interest on debt.
2 Includes Teachers' Pension Plan.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
PAPER B

Building Ontario’s Economic Advantage
Introduction

A strong economy is the foundation of Ontarians’ quality of life. Well-paid jobs are key to families’ well-being and the prosperity and health of our communities. The goal of the province’s economic policy is to strengthen the foundation for prosperity and increase the capacity of Ontario’s people and businesses to compete in the global economy by creating new opportunity.

Ontario stands out from many other parts of the world because of the quality of its business environment and the diversity of its economy. This is due to the integrity and efficiency of its legal and regulatory system, excellent communications and physical infrastructure, publicly funded health care, competitive tax system, and highly skilled and diverse workforce.

Over the past year, Ontario’s economy maintained moderate growth in the face of serious challenges — notably high world oil prices and the continued appreciation of the Canadian dollar. In 2005, both economic growth and job creation surpassed the average private-sector forecasts prevailing in the last Budget.

Government policy has a vital role to play in maintaining and enhancing Ontario’s competitive advantages and in helping to ensure that the economy can weather the challenges it may face.

The key elements of the government’s strategy for strengthening the Ontario economy include:

- introducing Move Ontario — the government’s major new investment of $1.2 billion in public transit, roads and bridges, which are critical to ensuring future business investment and job creation in Ontario. Move Ontario builds on the government’s five-year, more than $30 billion ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan;
- encouraging innovation through the new Ministry of Research and Innovation and investments in leading-edge public and not-for-profit research facilities;
- maintaining a competitive tax and business environment, including a proposed five per cent capital tax rate cut starting in 2007 to encourage investment growth;
- investing in people through early learning, elementary and secondary education, and Reaching Higher: The McGuinty Government Plan for Postsecondary Education;
- expanding Ontario’s employment and training system through a Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy with some new resources from three landmark Canada–Ontario agreements on labour-market services and immigration;
- helping new Canadians, including internationally trained professionals and tradespersons, integrate more rapidly into Ontario’s workforce;
- enhancing Ontario’s health care advantage;
embarking on one of the most ambitious building programs in North America for new electricity generation, taking steps to develop new sources of clean, renewable power and introducing initiatives to encourage conservation;

- targeting investments in key sectors, including manufacturing, the entertainment and creative cluster, forest products, mining and agriculture;

- modernizing the regulatory environment to help businesses innovate, while promoting the confidence of consumers and investors; and

- building strong communities through new, more effective partnerships with municipalities.

Details of the government’s economic strategy are presented in the following sections.

**Section I** focuses on the government’s Move Ontario investments in public transit, roads, bridges, highways and other infrastructure investments.

**Section II** reviews the government’s programs that, in partnership with the postsecondary and private sectors, foster a climate of innovation in the Ontario economy.

**Section III** reviews the government’s initiatives in the areas of education and training, which will strengthen Ontario’s education and skills advantage.

**Section IV** outlines the Province’s investments in health care and their key role in supporting economic growth.

**Section V** examines Ontario’s job creation.

**Section VI** describes the Province’s commitment to building strong communities and examines key economic sectors.

**Section VII** summarizes measures to encourage investment.
A modern, vital and renewed economic infrastructure supports the movement of goods and people, ensures reliable power supplies, strengthens Ontario’s economic advantage, and creates opportunity for Ontario businesses and people.

Past neglect has generated an infrastructure deficit. Demographic factors will also put pressure on Ontario’s infrastructure. Ontario’s population is expected to grow from 12.5 million people in 2005 to a projected 16.4 million by 2031. The government needs to invest strategically in the infrastructure that supports future economic growth.

Through the ReNew Ontario infrastructure plan, the government and its partners are investing more than $30 billion over five years in strategic projects that reflect the priorities of Ontarians: health care, education and economic prosperity. These infrastructure investments will improve and expand schools, hospitals and the judicial system; create new affordable housing; upgrade and expand highways and transit systems; provide support for key infrastructure in northern Ontario; and improve municipal water systems, bridges and roads across the province. It is estimated that, over the next five years, the plan will support a total of about 360,000 jobs in Ontario.

This Budget builds on ReNew Ontario with Move Ontario, an additional $1.2 billion one-time investment in public transit, municipal roads and bridge infrastructure projects. The government’s public transit investments through Move Ontario could lead to projects totalling nearly $2.5 billion in value, that, together with $400 million in provincial funding for road and bridge projects, have the potential to generate employment across Ontario of up to 27,000 jobs.

The government is undertaking these infrastructure investments because they are vitally important to the economic well-being of the province. In addition to the long-term productivity benefits of improved infrastructure, these projects will have a substantial and positive impact on the provincial economy and employment while they are under construction.

**EXPANDING AND MODERNIZING PUBLIC TRANSIT**

A comprehensive, efficient and safe public transit system enhances the mobility of Ontario residents and supports the growth and development of Ontario’s cities and municipalities.

Delays caused by gridlock and congestion in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) cost the economy about $2 billion per year in lost time and lost productivity. By reducing congestion on Ontario’s roads and highways, a renewed Ontario transit system will also
deliver real benefits to the environment, people’s health, road safety and the efficient movement of people and goods.

All these benefits add up to improvements in the day-to-day quality of life in Ontario communities and help to promote investment growth and job creation across the province.

Investing in public transit benefits the economy in a number of important ways. Public transit makes cities more attractive to businesses when deciding where to locate. Public transportation in large cities is a more fuel-efficient mode of transportation than automobiles, and a wider public transit system provides local businesses with access to a larger pool of workers and potential customers.

The many benefits, including economic benefits, of investing in public transit are recognized by Canadian business groups. According to the Canadian Chamber of Commerce, “Strengthening and expanding public transit networks will reduce congestion, ensure a cleaner environment, manage urban growth and provide economic returns.”

**Greater Toronto Transportation Authority**

Over the last five years, the population of the GTA and Hamilton has increased by an average of roughly 125,000 people per year. This area is currently served by 10 different transit systems with insufficient coordination.

The government will introduce legislation in 2006 to establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) to lead the delivery of an integrated and more convenient transportation network to meet the growing needs of people in the GTA and Hamilton.

The GTTA would support the success of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe and the Greenbelt Plan, which together create the planning framework on which to build the future growth of the GTA and surrounding regions. The GTTA would also reduce gridlock by attracting more riders to area transit systems and by removing cars from area roads and highways. It would plan, coordinate and set priorities for public transit and major regional roads.

The GTTA would be able to manage the GTA Fare Card System, an integrated ticket system to allow people to move easily across the region with a single card. The implementation of the GTA Fare Card System would enhance service levels for Ontario’s most densely populated and fastest-growing urban area and would make travelling on 10 different transit systems as easy as the swipe of a card.
Expanding Subway and Public Transit Systems

The government’s Move Ontario transit funding is the largest provincial investment in municipal transit infrastructure improvements in the GTA since the mid-1970s.

The Province is providing $838 million through Move Ontario for priority projects in the GTA.

Move Ontario funding means, for the first time in the province’s history, Ontario can have new subway construction that crosses a regional boundary.

The City of Toronto and York Region will be able to use $670 million in new funding through the Move Ontario Trust to help extend the subway into York Region, ending at the Vaughan Corporate Centre. The Region of York has had a population increase of over 50 per cent over the last 10 years. The subway would include a station at York University, currently the second-largest generator of single-person auto use in the GTA, next to Pearson International Airport. Once up and running, the subway extension will result in 36 million transit rides per year, removing up to 30 million car trips from the road. The extension will also reduce congestion on the Yonge line and make more effective use of the Spadina line by diverting approximately 10 per cent of passengers off the Yonge line.

The Province will explore financial tools to assist the City of Toronto and York Region with their share of the cost of this expansion. This will include a role for the private sector and the use of innovative financing strategies to help fund the project from projected growth in property taxes. For example, the Province intends to introduce legislation to enable tax-increment financing for this initiative. This new municipal fiscal tool would be introduced on a pilot basis, allowing for a prudent review of its use in an Ontario context.

The government is providing Brampton with $95 million through Move Ontario to improve local transit. Brampton will be able to use the funding to build its AcceleRide Project, providing express bus service on major streets in the City of Brampton.

The government is providing Mississauga with $65 million through Move Ontario for improvements to local transit. Mississauga will be able to use the funding to develop its Transitway, a dedicated bus right-of-way line along Highway 403 and Eglinton Avenue. The Transitway will extend from Highways 403/407 in the west to Renforth Drive/Highway 427 in the east, with 14 stations planned. The government will also support the Transitway through an additional $25 million in GO Transit investments. This initiative will help remove over five million car trips from the road annually, once fully implemented.

The Province is providing York Region with $7 million through Move Ontario for new transit planning. This new funding will be used for the environmental assessment and detailed planning required for Phase II of the VIVA Transit express bus service. The service connects communities in York Region with each other and with the City of Toronto.
The Province will also provide $1 million in funding through Move Ontario towards an environmental assessment relating to the future of the Scarborough subway.

Promoting investment growth and job creation in Ontario through Move Ontario also contributes to Canada’s economic growth, underlining the critical need for a federal partnership in public transit investment in the province. Federal funding support is necessary in order to ensure public transit expansion in the GTA is fully achieved.

Move Ontario represents a substantial new investment that, together with contributions from federal and municipal partners, will be a real start on improving the movement of people and goods, promoting the integration of transit services, easing congestion, and helping to develop a shared investment plan for transportation.

**Move Ontario Supplements Other Critical Transit Investments**

This new injection of funds and a new structure to oversee public transit development in the GTA build upon a number of government initiatives:

- The government is dedicating part of the existing provincial gasoline tax to public transit, which could provide more than $1.4 billion over five years to 83 municipal transit systems in 110 communities. Municipalities will be able to use funding for capital projects and for transit system operations.
- In 2005–06, gas tax funding is being provided to 83 municipalities including the City of Toronto — $120.1 million; Ottawa — $27.4 million; Mississauga — $10.9 million; London — $6.8 million; Waterloo Region — $6.1 million; Windsor — $3.0 million; Guelph — $2.1 million; Greater Sudbury — $2.0 million; and the North — total funding of $6.4 million.
- In January 2006, the Province of Ontario and GO Transit announced that they are investing more than $73 million in new railcars and buses. These new railcars will increase GO Transit’s bi-level rail fleet to 415 cars and its bus fleet to 299. The additional railcars will allow GO Transit to carry more than 6,400 additional daily passengers — the equivalent of a 14-kilometre line of cars, bumper to bumper.
- In January 2005, the Ontario Government and GO Transit announced plans for a new GO station in Mississauga, with construction to begin this fall and the station to be ready for riders in early 2007.
- The Province is providing a one-time $200 million transit investment to the City of Toronto. The City will be able to use this funding to help support subway operations.
- The Governments of Canada and Ontario, together with the City of Ottawa, have committed long-term support for the region’s urban transit needs by proceeding with the O-Train expansion. The project is planned to connect downtown Ottawa (Rideau Centre) with residential centres in south Ottawa (Barrhaven). The Governments of Canada and Ontario will each contribute up to $200 million to this project. The City will match this funding and be responsible for the balance of the project’s costs.
- The Government of Ontario contributed $50 million, along with a matching federal government contribution, to the construction of Phase I of the VIVA rapid transit system in York Region.
- The Ontario Government is joining the federal government and the Region of Waterloo in funding almost $3 million for technical studies and an environmental assessment for a transit expansion project serving the Region of Waterloo. The studies will examine a proposed 14-kilometre line along the central transit corridor, which will run north-south to connect the cities of Kitchener and Waterloo.
- Starting in 2007, a new predictable, multi-year municipal transit bus replacement program will replace the existing Ontario Transit Vehicle Program, which ends today. To ensure existing demands are satisfied until the new program is up and running, the Province is providing $114 million to those municipalities that have placed orders for new buses and bus refurbishments.
- The Province, in collaboration with the federal government and the City of Toronto, is investing over $1 billion in the city’s transit priorities, such as the TTC State of Good Repair program.
Achievements in Enhancing Public Transit

- GO Transit ridership is estimated to have increased by over four per cent, carrying over 47 million riders in 2005–06.
- Gas tax revenues transferred to municipalities have helped reduce the average age of buses from 12 years to 10.5 years, improving the bus travel experience and increasing accessibility. Investments in new locomotives and track improvements will allow for longer GO trains.
- TTC ridership is estimated to have increased by three per cent, carrying over 430 million riders in 2005–06.
- Improved transit accessibility for those with disabilities, with 47 per cent of the fleet in the province now accessible.

Ontario’s Clean Air and Climate Change Initiatives

The Ontario Medical Association has estimated that air pollutants cause 5,800 Ontarians to die prematurely every year, costing the health care system and the Ontario economy almost $1 billion. To improve air quality in Ontario, the Province is implementing a number of initiatives. These initiatives will also lead to reduced emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) — the key contributors to climate change:

- Ontario has committed to replace the five coal-fired electricity generating stations, which will provide the largest single GHG-reduction initiative in Canada, equivalent to taking seven million cars off the road.
- Ontario has filed comments with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency opposing rule changes that allow U.S. coal-burning power plants to operate longer. More than half of Ontario’s air pollution originates in the United States.

It is important that Ontario businesses and individuals are part of the solution to improve air quality and reduce GHG emissions. The government is implementing several initiatives to help promote private-sector environmental leadership:

- New investments in transit across the province through Move Ontario will help reduce air pollution and GHG emissions by providing alternatives to driving.
- Ontario’s Renewable Fuels Standard for ethanol and the $520 million Ontario Ethanol Growth Fund will create opportunities for the rural economy, while reducing GHG emissions by 800,000 tonnes.
- To promote the use of hybrid electric vehicles in Ontario, the Government of Ontario proposes to double the maximum retail sales tax rebate for qualifying hybrid electric vehicles from $1,000 to $2,000 for vehicles delivered to purchasers after March 23, 2006.
- Ontario is updating the Drive Clean program to exempt cleaner, newer cars and target older, less efficient cars.
- The Province’s air emissions reporting standards are being harmonized with federal regulations to save time and money for businesses complying with emissions regulations.
- Installation of 800,000 smart meters in Ontario homes and businesses by 2007, and in all homes and small businesses by 2010, allowing electricity consumers to reduce and shift their electricity demand in response to electricity prices.
- The government is reducing electricity use in its own buildings by 10 per cent by 2007, using such innovative solutions as the deep water cooling of the government buildings at Queen’s Park.
- The government is investing $34 million in 2005–06 to complete 281 energy reduction projects in government buildings.
ONTARIO’S ROADS, BRIDGES AND HIGHWAYS

Ontario’s 16,500-kilometre provincial highway network carries $1.2 trillion worth of goods to national and international markets annually. Investment in the construction and maintenance of highways, roads and bridges increases mobility and reduces transportation costs for businesses and families, thereby boosting productivity and sustaining economic growth. Effective highway design, construction and maintenance also contribute to Ontario retaining its high ranking among North American jurisdictions in terms of road safety.

As announced under the five-year ReNew Ontario infrastructure plan, the Province is providing a total of $3.4 billion to improve the provincial highway network in southern Ontario. The Northern Ontario Highway Strategy, announced in August 2005, includes investments of approximately $1.8 billion to renew and expand northern highways.

Strategic investments under Renew Ontario will focus on the key economic corridors in the province, especially the 400 series of highways and the Queen Elizabeth Way (QEW). The government will also focus on international trade routes and gateways such as the London-to-Windsor corridor to the Windsor–Detroit gateway; the Highway 403 corridor; the QEW to the Niagara–Fort Erie gateway; and Highway 402 to Sarnia.

Ontario’s network of municipal roads and bridges also plays an important role in moving people and goods across the province. Local residents, businesses, tourists, school buses, mail trucks, agricultural vehicles, garbage trucks and emergency vehicles all use Ontario’s municipal roads and bridges. The rehabilitation of municipal roads and bridges will not only support most economic sectors, such as agriculture, tourism and trade, but will also promote safety in Ontario’s communities.

As well, municipal roads and bridges are key parts of Ontario’s transportation system by providing the necessary connections among smaller communities, and access between these communities and the provincial highway network.

Under Move Ontario, the government is making an immediate, one-time new investment of $400 million to help municipalities primarily outside the GTA — with special emphasis on rural and northern communities — invest in municipal roads and bridges. This funding would allow resurfacing of 3,000 kilometres of municipal roads or the repair of up to 800 bridges.
Achievements in Improving the Provincial Highway Network

- Constructed more than 300 kilometres of new lanes — relieving congestion and improving productivity.
- Repaired almost 2,500 kilometres of lanes — improving safety and ride quality.
- Reconstructed or repaired 152 bridges — improving safety and access.

Completed and continuing projects include:

- Highway 401 across Toronto — major rehabilitation and additional lanes.
- New interchanges on the QEW at Third Line and Guelph Line — improving access and facilitating higher-density development.
- Opening of the first-ever high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on Ontario provincial Highways 404 and 403 — relieving congestion.
- Widening Highway 406 to Port Robinson Road near Welland — relieving congestion.
- Ongoing work to widen Highway 401 between Cambridge and Woodstock — improving a key economic corridor.

Projects starting:

- Widening Highway 7 from Highway 417 to Carleton Place in the Ottawa region — improving safety and traffic flow.
- Extension of Highway 404 to Ravenshoe Road in East Gwillimbury in York Region — improving local and regional transportation access.
- Widening of Highway 401 from Sydenham Road to Montreal Street in Kingston — improving safety and traffic flow.
- Widening the QEW through St. Catharines — improving safety, reducing congestion and enhancing border access.
- Widening of Highway 402 in Sarnia — improving access to the Blue Water Bridge border crossing.
- Potential new HOV lanes as part of projects to improve the QEW in Halton Region and the Queensway in Ottawa (Highway 417).
- Moving forward with the environmental assessment for the extension of Highway 427 in Vaughan.
- Extending Highway 410 from Bovaird Drive in Brampton to join Highway 10 north of Mayfield Road in Caledon.

Implementing the $1.8 billion Northern Ontario Highways Strategy announced in August 2005. Key investments over five years include:

- Accelerating construction on Highways 11 and 69 to complete the widening of these highways to North Bay and Sudbury;
- A new Highway 11/17 corridor west of Thunder Bay (the Shabaqua Highway); and
- Widening Highway 17 to four lanes east of Sault Ste. Marie through the Garden River First Nation.
BORDERS

Over 70 per cent of the value of Canada’s international trade, travelling by road, flows into the United States across Ontario borders. In 2004, roughly 45 million vehicles, including nine million trucks, used Ontario’s 14 border crossings with the United States. Between 1994 and 2004, the value of trade by truck between the United States and Ontario increased by over 52 per cent. Because the Ontario and Canadian economies depend so greatly on these critical border crossings, it is a priority for both the provincial and national governments to ensure that they accommodate growing trade with the United States.

The McGuinty government recognizes the significance of trade and tourism to both the Canadian and U.S. economies. The safe and efficient movement of goods and people is vital to the economies of both countries.

Each year, through the Windsor–Detroit corridor alone, more than 20 million cars, trucks and buses and $140 billion worth of goods flow between Canada and the United States, making this location the most economically significant border crossing in North America.

To increase capacity at Ontario’s borders, the government is focusing on the Windsor border as a first priority, developing short-, medium- and long-term solutions in concert with our municipal, federal and U.S. partners.

As a result of successful federal, provincial and municipal partnerships, the Province is implementing the Let’s Get Windsor–Essex Moving Strategy. The Windsor Border Initiatives Implementation Group (BIIG) has been established, with staff located in Windsor, London and Toronto, to take responsibility for the implementation of Phases I and II of the strategy. Some projects have been completed while others are underway. In addition to initiatives related to the Windsor border, projects are underway in Niagara, Sarnia and Sault Ste. Marie.
PROGRESS IN BORDER-CROSSING EFFICIENCY:

Windsor

- Implementing a $300 million federal-provincial infrastructure investment, including the Let’s Get Windsor-Essex Moving Strategy.
- The Border Transportation Partnership is proceeding on schedule to identify the preferred location for a new Windsor-Detroit border crossing by mid-2007.
- A number of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) initiatives have been implemented, such as the installation of new traffic cameras on Highway 3 to improve traffic operations and incident management.
- Intersection improvements at Highway 3 and Outer Drive/Walker Road are now complete.

Other Borders

- Moving forward with the federal government to invest $323 million to improve border infrastructure in the Niagara and Sarnia regions.
- Completed an additional lane on the Queenston-Lewiston Bridge and expanded Highway 405 at the Queenston-Lewiston Bridge.
- Installed queue-end warning systems at Queenston-Lewiston and Fort Erie.
- The new Sault Ste. Marie International Truck Route is nearing completion.
- Construction on QEW Niagara and Highway 401 from Windsor to Tilbury is continuing.
- Highway 402 improvements near Sarnia are continuing.

ELECTRICITY

The government has initiated one of the most ambitious building programs in North America for new electricity generation. It is based on a comprehensive energy plan focused on long-term stability, reliability and sustainability. Investments in a secure and reliable electricity supply and efficient delivery system are required to provide greater price stability and maintain and expand the infrastructure that supports Ontario’s modern economy and quality of life.

By 2020, Ontario will need to refurbish, rebuild, replace or conserve approximately 25,000 megawatts (MW) of generation, representing approximately 80 per cent of Ontario’s current capacity, to meet the province’s demand. The government has moved aggressively to address the critical need for new supply, boost conservation and improve price stability for consumers across Ontario.

Securing Ontario’s Electricity Supply

The government has advanced 33 projects and 10 additional initiatives through its comprehensive energy plan to provide the province with over 11,000 MW of supply and conservation and demand management (CDM) over the next five years. This includes the addition of more generation capacity than in any other province or state in North America and, once in service, will provide enough power for about five million homes. The projects and additional initiatives are listed in the next table.
In addition to these 33 projects and 10 initiatives, about 2,250 MW of capacity have come online since October 2003, including Bruce A Units 3 and 4, Brighton Beach, and Imperial Oil.

The 33 projects represent 7,700 MW of new and refurbished capacity and investments totalling about $11 billion. These projects will have a significant effect on the provincial economy. At the peak of construction activity, over 5,000 workers will be directly employed at these projects, plus many thousands more working in factories supplying equipment and materials to them. The 10 additional initiatives, representing 3,500 MW of new capacity and CDM, are expected to have a further positive impact on the economy.

To provide reliable delivery of electricity, Hydro One, the owner of most of Ontario’s transmission grid, is also investing to sustain, expand and reinforce its transmission and distribution systems, including a planned $755 million in 2006, plus a minimum of about $600 million per year in subsequent years just to sustain its system.

The government is also pursuing new clean supply initiatives, including a second phase of a Clean Energy Transfer Initiative (CETI) with Manitoba. This would see hydroelectric sites being developed early in the next decade in northern Manitoba, including the 1,250-MW Conawapa hydro development and a major transmission line to bring power to Ontario. In conjunction with Hydro Quebec, Ontario has also made a proposal to Newfoundland and Labrador to develop major hydroelectric generation facilities on the Lower Churchill River. About 945 MW would be dedicated to Ontario.

To encourage smaller-scale, distributed generation in Ontario, the government has passed a regulation on net metering to enable homeowners, farms and businesses generating renewable electricity to receive credit for the excess electricity they produce. In addition, the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) is moving forward with a standard offer program aimed at small-scale generation that will be connected to the lower-voltage distribution system, increasing the availability of renewable power and promoting economic development within communities.
**NEW ELECTRICITY SUPPLY PROJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Approximate Number of Homes Powered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projects Online</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastview Landfill Gas</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Miller Hydro</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melancthon I Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTAA Cogen</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>35,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickering A Unit 1 Return to Service</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>360,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projects in Progress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loblaw DSM</td>
<td>CDM&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Region Demand Response</td>
<td>CDM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Highlands Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie Shores Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>26,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Cogeneration Project</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Falls Hydro</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsbridge I Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsbridge II Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>41,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEPA Wind Farm</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>26,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader Wind A</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>26,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader Wind B</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>26,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba Phase I Power Purchase Agreement (PPA)</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>93,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba Phase I (PPA – Incremental w/ Upgrade)</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>155,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melancthon II Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>34,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Tunnel&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince I Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>26,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince II Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>23,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripley Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Road Landfill Gas</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbata Falls</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe Island Wind</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>51,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield Energy Centre</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>396,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield South</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>110,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlands</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>216,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sithe Goreway</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>339,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair Power</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>224,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thunder Bay Gas Replacement</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>27,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce A1 &amp; 2 (Bruce Power Refurbishment Implementation Agreement)&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,051,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7,680</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,538,526</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurements Underway and Planned</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDM - seven initiatives by the Ontario Power Authority</td>
<td>CDM</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>341,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables III RFP</td>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>52,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West GTA</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>394,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>700,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurements Underway and Planned Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,489,200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Including Procurements Underway and Planned</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>11,180</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,027,726</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> In addition to the 32 projects and 10 initiatives advanced by the government, five projects with about 2,250 MW of capacity came online after October 2003, including Brighton Beach and Bruce Units 3 and 4.

<sup>2</sup> Annual household consumption assumed at 10,000 kWh/year. Estimated number of homes based on capacity factors assumed: nuclear at 80 per cent, gas at 45 per cent, renewables at 30 per cent, CDM at 30 per cent, cogeneration at 30 per cent, Manitoba – Phase 1 at 71 per cent.

<sup>3</sup> Conservation and Demand Management/Response.

<sup>4</sup> The Niagara Tunnel project allows more energy to be produced from existing capacity – 200 MW illustrates estimated average annual additional generation of 1.6 TWh.

<sup>5</sup> In addition to Bruce A Units 1 and 2, the refurbishment agreement includes investments by Bruce Power on Units 3 and 4 to extend the operating lives of these units.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
COAL REPLACEMENT

The government is moving forward to replace Ontario’s coal-fired generation stations — the single-largest greenhouse gas reduction initiative in Canada to contribute to the country’s commitments under the Kyoto Protocol. The replacement of the five coal-fired generating stations will reduce Ontario’s emissions by up to 30 megatonnes of carbon dioxide a year — comparable to taking almost seven million cars off the road.

In meeting the coal commitment, the government will ensure that reliability comes first. Reliability will not be compromised by the timing of the replacement of the generating stations.

Steps have been taken and initiatives are underway to meet this commitment:

- The Lakeview coal-fired station — one of the largest point sources of air pollution in the GTA — has already been retired.
- The coal-fired Thunder Bay Generating Station is being converted to operate on natural gas.
- The government has put the wheels in motion on initiatives that will support coal replacement, including new generation and supply procurements, conservation, industrial co-generation, and transmission upgrades.
- The government is also providing $4 million to establish a bio-energy research centre in Atikokan, linked to the Atikokan Generating Station. This centre will help accelerate work now underway on the future use of the station, carry out research of practical relevance to the future of the community and the province, and allow the station to continue to be a source of employment for the community.

Building a Conservation Culture

The government’s comprehensive energy plan includes much-needed new supply and a range of conservation and energy-efficiency measures. Conservation, demand response and demand management are integral to a cleaner and more sustainable energy future. The government has set two immediate and measurable conservation targets: a five per cent reduction in projected peak electricity demand in Ontario by 2007, and a 10 per cent reduction in the government’s own electricity use by 2007.

Peak electricity demand occurs at the time of day when electricity consumption is highest, leading to pressures on electricity generation capacity and upward pressure on prices. Peak demand can be reduced either by reducing overall electricity consumption or by providing consumers with tools and incentives to change the time of day when they use electricity. To help with this reduction, the government has enacted the Energy Conservation Responsibility Act, 2006, which will enable it to meet its commitment on the installation of 800,000 smart meters in Ontario homes and businesses by 2007, and all homes and businesses by 2010. These meters will give electricity consumers the ability to reduce and shift their electricity demand in response to electricity prices, and will help meet the government’s conservation target to reduce projected peak demand in Ontario.

The newly created Conservation Bureau of the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) has been directed to support the Province’s conservation objectives by undertaking a number of
specific initiatives and investments. This includes seven major initiatives to reduce electricity use by 1,300 MW across Ontario:

- a 300 MW conservation and demand management (CDM) target in the Toronto area;
- a 250 MW CDM program across Ontario initiated in June 2005;
- a 250 MW CDM program across Ontario initiated in January 2006;
- a 100 MW low-income and social housing program;
- a 100 MW appliance upgrade and efficient lighting initiative;
- a 150 MW CDM program for commercial buildings and the municipal, university, schools and hospital sectors; and
- a 150 MW CDM program for the residential sector to improve, for example, the energy efficiency of home heating, existing air conditioning and appliances in homes.

The government has also asked the OPA to procure up to 1,000 MW of high-efficiency combined heat and power projects across Ontario including industrial co-generation projects and district energy projects.

Building a conservation culture in Ontario is not something that can happen overnight. It will take time, education, partnerships and leadership. All Ontarians can do their part by making small but meaningful changes in their homes, businesses, local governments and institutions that, taken together, will amount to large changes and large savings. The government has worked with electricity local distribution companies (LDCs) to create a website, www.powerwise.ca, to help Ontarians find ways to reduce their electricity demand.

The Independent Electricity System Operator’s (IESO) load-reduction program, to be in place before this summer, will create incentives for Ontario electricity users to help meet the reliability needs of the province. This voluntary program will reward participants who commit to reducing electricity consumption by at least one megawatt when the power system is being strained. The IESO anticipates enrolling as much as 500 MW in the program, enough to power over 400,000 homes, or a city larger than Brampton.

The government is more than halfway to meeting its target of reducing its own consumption of electricity by 10 per cent by 2007 by undertaking energy-efficient retrofits and upgrades to government buildings, and by committing to innovative energy solutions such as working with Enwave Energy Corporation on the deep water cooling of the government buildings at Queen’s Park.

The OPA will develop an Integrated Power System Plan, in accordance with directions provided by the government. A key element of the plan will be the approach to conservation required to meet the Province’s goals.
The government is working to make conservation and energy efficiency a component of everyday thinking by developing policy and working with stakeholders, as well as providing sources of funding for investments in conservation, including the following:

- The government has enabled electricity local distribution companies (LDCs) to invest over $160 million in conservation in their local communities over three years, including $70 million by six of Ontario’s largest LDCs using the powerWISE program. These utilities represent 1.65 million customers or approximately 40 per cent of the electricity customers in Ontario. LDCs have applied for and received approval for conservation program expenditures from the Ontario Energy Board (OEB). By the end of 2005, LDCs had spent $34 million on conservation programs.
- The Conservation Fund, established by Ontario’s first Chief Energy Conservation Officer, will have a budget of $1.5 million in 2006 to fund electricity conservation action and awareness projects.
- The government has reformed and broadened the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority’s mandate to create funding mechanisms for conservation and energy-efficiency improvements in the municipal, universities, colleges, school boards, and hospitals sectors.
- The Ministry of Natural Resources announced a $150 million Forest Sector Prosperity Fund, which will leverage new capital investment in areas such as energy conservation and cogeneration projects in Ontario’s forestry sector.
- The Ministry of Education provided one-time Energy Retrofit Allocation funding of $25 million for the 2004–05 school year to improve energy conservation in schools, including window, roof and boiler replacements, and insulation upgrades.
- Improving the energy efficiency of products and equipment available in Ontario by establishing new regulations under the Energy Efficiency Act, including bringing forward a regulation to increase the minimum efficiency level of air conditioners by 30 per cent.
- The Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing is consulting stakeholders on changes to Ontario’s Building Code. The Ministry is undertaking a detailed analysis of energy savings that can be achieved by increasing energy-efficiency requirements in buildings for incorporation into Code amendments.
- The Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs is working with the agri-food sector in providing energy audits and advice on retrofits and demand management, presentations on conservation and green energy, and other initiatives related to conservation and renewable energy.
- The Ministry of Economic Development and Trade supports innovative energy conservation measures through the Ontario Fuel Cell Innovation Program, the Ontario Automotive Investment Strategy, and energy conservation seminars for small and medium enterprises.
- The Ministry of Northern Development and Mines has launched the Small Business Energy Conservation Program for northern Ontario’s business sector.

**Price Stability for Ontario Consumers and Businesses**

The government recognizes that predictable and stable pricing will benefit all consumers, and therefore plays an important role in a comprehensive energy plan.

Effective April 1, 2005, the government set an average price of 4.5 cents per kilowatt hour (¢/kWh) on the output of Ontario Power Generation’s (OPG) regulated assets, which is reflected in the electricity bills for consumers each month. OPG’s regulated assets include its nuclear and large hydroelectric plants, representing approximately 40 per cent of all power generation in Ontario. These regulated price levels are fixed until March 31, 2008, when the Ontario Energy Board takes over setting regulated prices for OPG.
Residential, small business and other eligible consumers also received the Ontario Price Credit this year, a rebate totalling over $500 million from the government’s Interim Pricing Plan during the period from April 1, 2004, to March 31, 2005.

Moving forward, the government has also extended and adjusted the transitional revenue limit on most of the output from the rest of OPG’s assets, which are not price regulated and otherwise receive the market price of electricity:

- 4.6¢/kWh from May 1, 2006 to April 30, 2007;
- 4.7¢/kWh from May 1, 2007 to April 30, 2008; and
- 4.8¢/kWh from May 1, 2008 to April 30, 2009.

OPG revenues from these non-price-regulated assets that are above these limits will result in a rebate issued quarterly, which ensures that the rebate benefits businesses and households as quickly as possible.

Together, the regulation of OPG’s nuclear and large hydroelectric assets and the extension of the transitional revenue limit are an important part of improving electricity price stability for all Ontario’s consumers, thereby enhancing the competitiveness of Ontario’s economy and promoting a more prosperous Ontario.

---

**Municipal Water and Wastewater Infrastructure**

Water and wastewater systems are crucial components of the province’s infrastructure. Ensuring the provision of clean, safe drinking water is a priority of the McGuinty government. The government also recognizes the need to ensure the long-term economic sustainability of the province’s municipal water and wastewater systems. The government appointed an expert panel in August 2004 to recommend ways to ensure that needed investment in Ontario’s municipal water and wastewater systems takes place, that systems are financially sustainable, that rates are affordable, and that systems remain in public hands.

In July 2005, the Water Strategy Expert Panel’s report, “Watertight: The Case for Change in Ontario’s Water and Wastewater Sector,” was released. The report indicated that the investment needs for water and wastewater systems will be $30 billion to $40 billion over the next 15 years.

The government is carefully reviewing the expert panel’s recommendations and will be responding in the coming months.
Innovative Infrastructure Project Financing

The government is making greater use of innovative financing to move forward with needed infrastructure projects in a fiscally responsible way. For example, the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA) provides Ontario’s municipalities and other public-sector partners with innovative low-cost loans to finance priority public infrastructure projects.

In November 2005, Ontario established Infrastructure Ontario as a new Crown agency responsible for managing Ontario’s major infrastructure projects, using alternative financing and procurement methods. It is responsible for ensuring that public infrastructure projects are delivered on time and on budget using private-sector expertise in financing and project management. The government plans to introduce legislative changes, that, if passed, would amalgamate OSIFA with Infrastructure Ontario, allowing for better coordination of the government’s infrastructure financing activities.

ONTARIO STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITY (OSIFA)

To date, OSIFA has committed to provide more than 190 municipalities in Ontario with access to $2.4 billion of low-cost infrastructure financing. These loans will help communities invest in over 1,200 critical public infrastructure projects such as clean water infrastructure in Marathon, road improvements in Lanark County and a new long-term care home in St. Thomas.

As part of the government’s commitment to support and develop education in Ontario, OSIFA loans are now available to Ontario universities. For example, universities that want to build or renew research facilities or student residences now have an additional source of financing.

As well, in response to requests from municipalities and universities, the Minister of Public Infrastructure Renewal has announced the introduction of a continuous call for OSIFA infrastructure loan applications. This means that municipalities and universities can now apply for an OSIFA infrastructure loan when financing is needed, rather than waiting for a call for applications to open. This change offers all Ontario municipalities and universities even more access to OSIFA’s low-cost, long-term, fixed-rate financing.
Section II: Building Research, Commercialization and Innovation

Research, commercialization and innovation create the opportunity for advancement. In a competitive global environment, Ontario’s future prosperity will increasingly depend on the economy’s ability to innovate. More specifically, it will depend on how well Ontario’s industries are able to compete by producing new, higher-value products and services.

To strengthen and promote Ontario as an innovative economy, the Ministry of Research and Innovation is investing nearly $1.7 billion over five years to 2009–10 through research, commercialization and outreach programs. This total includes the following new initiatives announced in this Budget:

- $100 million for the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics and the Institute for Quantum Computing in Waterloo;
- $17 million for three new awards to recognize new research and innovation talent;
- $25 million for the establishment of the Premier Summit Awards program to support excellence in medical research;
- $16.2 million to support the development of Phase II of the MaRS Discovery District; and
- $160 million to accelerate commercialization and the growth of innovative startups.

This investment is guided by a vision of an Ontario with a flourishing culture of innovation, where creativity is sustained, engrained and cultivated in every sector, and in every activity. The goal is to create an environment in which innovation is inevitable.

To implement this vision, the government is investing in the next generation of research talent, and supporting commercialization and the growth of entrepreneurial and investment talent. These are the people with the skills and drive to make world-first discoveries and the people who understand the commercial value of a new discovery and how to bring it to market.

Ontario offers many competitive advantages for innovative enterprises, including talented scientists and engineers; a highly skilled and diverse workforce; competitive taxes and research and development (R&D) tax incentives; and excellent infrastructure. While industry must play a leading role in innovation, the provincial government has an important role in helping to create a supportive environment for new ideas to emerge and grow into profitable ventures.
The creation of a new Ministry of Research and Innovation, led by Premier McGuinty, demonstrates that innovation is a top economic priority for the Government of Ontario. The government will also benefit from the advice and expertise of the new Ontario Research and Innovation Council, headed by Adam Chowaniec, a leading business innovator and founder of Ottawa-based Tundra Semiconductor.

**Growing the Next Generation of Research and Innovation Talent**

Research is a fundamental source of new ideas — the raw material of innovation. Ontario’s researchers produce some of the best science in the world. To compete with researchers in other parts of the world, they need sustained public support. The Ontario Government is providing $100 million to two top research facilities to help produce the ideas and the people that will help place Ontario at the forefront of the next technology revolution:

- **$50 million for the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics in Waterloo.** The institute was launched in October 2000 with a mandate to pursue research in foundational theoretical physics. Research in Motion President and co-CEO Mike Lazaridis and his wife Ophelia helped start the institute with a $100 million donation, to which they recently committed an additional $50 million; and

- **$50 million for the University of Waterloo’s Institute for Quantum Computing.** The institute was established in 2002 to focus groundbreaking research into tackling large computations and complex mathematical problems at the atomic level. It has also benefited from a $50 million donation by Mr. and Mrs. Lazaridis.

The government and the new Ontario Research and Innovation Council will continue to look at ways of strengthening Ontario’s research capacity, including the potential for establishing more federal research facilities in the Greater Toronto Area — the only metropolitan area in Canada without a National Research Council laboratory.
ONGOING SUPPORT FOR RESEARCH EXCELLENCE
The Ministry of Research and Innovation continues to provide support for research and research talent in Ontario’s public institutions through the following funding programs over five years to 2009–10:

- $715 million in new and existing commitments consolidated under the Ontario Research Fund. Launched in May 2005, the new Fund provides operating, overhead and capital funding to support leading-edge R&D in Ontario’s universities and hospitals, and leverages support from the federal government and private industry.

- $286 million for cancer research under the Ontario Cancer Research Network and the new Ontario Institute for Cancer Research. The first of its kind in Canada, the new institute will bring together researchers from across the province to collaborate on complex projects aimed at preventing, managing and eliminating cancer.

- $51 million for the Research Talent Development Program, which provides support for early career researchers with recognized potential, and links internationally trained researchers to their fields of expertise in Ontario.

The increased funding that the government is providing to postsecondary education strengthens the quality of education provided and the quantity and quality of research talent that enters the workforce. Ontario’s secondary-school students are among the world’s highest achievers in science and mathematics. Science and engineering constitute a significantly higher share of degrees awarded by Ontario universities than is the case in the United States.

To enhance these advantages into the future, the Ministry of Research and Innovation is providing $17 million for three new awards programs aimed at recognizing and rewarding Ontario’s next generation of research and innovation talent:

- five annual “Innovators Awards” of $200,000 to researchers who have demonstrated excellence in innovation and entrepreneurship;

- four annual “Senior Researcher Awards” totalling $1.5 million to recognize achievement in life sciences and medicine, natural sciences and engineering, social sciences, and innovation leadership; and

- 40 annual $50,000, two-year fellowships matched by institutions awarded to post-doctoral students, who play an important role in academic research teams as contributing scientists and as mentors to graduate students.

As well, to recognize and support excellence in medical research in Ontario, the government proposes to establish the $25 million Premier Summit Awards program.
ARCELERATING COMMERCIALIZATION AND THE GROWTH OF RISK CAPITAL INVESTMENT

Innovation happens when researchers with good ideas work with entrepreneurs and businesses that turn these ideas into new products and services for the marketplace. Fostering a culture of innovation takes more than just research talent — it also takes investment talent. Innovative firms need capital from investors who are prepared to take on higher risks in pursuit of higher returns.

FROM IDEA TO MARKET

Through sustained public investment, Ontario has established a strong academic base for world-class research. To harness the economic and social benefits of this investment, the provincial government also encourages academic-industry research partnerships and the commercialization of new technologies.

- The government is providing up to $64 million over five years to enable public institutions to build their technology transfer capacity and to identify and commercialize promising inventions.
- A total of $171 million is being invested over five years in Ontario Centres of Excellence (OCE) Inc., which is world renowned for its great track record connecting innovative science with risk-taking investors.

The government is also providing support for the Medical and Related Sciences (MaRS) Discovery District in Toronto, the McMaster Innovation Park in Hamilton, and the Waterloo Research and Technology Park. Complementing these investments is a coordinated system of 11 regional innovation networks across the province. These networks are multi-stakeholder organizations established to support partnerships among business, institutions and local governments to promote innovation.

The government is supporting the development of Phase II of the MaRS Discovery District with an additional contribution of $16.2 million.

The government is providing $4 million to establish a bio-energy research centre in Atikokan.

The government is providing $10 million to Laurentian University to support the recent launch of the new Centre for Excellence in Mining Innovation in Sudbury.

It is when an innovative firm is in its startup stage that it most needs capital to survive and grow. Average survival rates for startups are quite low, often because they lack the business skills to attract and partner with investors.

Compared to the United States, however, returns on venture capital investment in Canada have been low. This has inhibited the growth of larger pools of capital that would meet the needs of fast-growing companies. As well, Ontario lags the United States when it comes to encouraging angel investors — individuals with personal capital to invest, who also provide hands-on business advice and help prepare young companies for follow-on investment by venture capital funds.
To accelerate commercialization and growth of innovative startups, the Ontario Government is investing new funding totalling $160 million over the next four years, including:

- $46 million to help startups become more investor-ready by acquiring business management and entrepreneurial skills and to help them move a product or service idea further along the commercialization process, from the technical feasibility stage into the market feasibility stage;

- $90 million to invest in early-stage Ontario-based innovative firms in partnership with venture capital funds, pension funds and the federal government; and

- $24 million to encourage the development and commercialization of new bio-based, environmental and alternative energy technologies.

Details of these initiatives will be announced later in 2006 by the Ministry of Research and Innovation. The government will also introduce legislation to transfer full responsibility for the Ontario Commercialization Investment Funds program to the Ministry of Research and Innovation.
ENCOURAGING MORE BUSINESS INVESTMENT IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

Because of its market orientation, industrial research and development (R&D) is an important driver of innovation and technology adoption by business. More broadly, it benefits the economy by boosting productivity and increasing the demand for highly skilled scientists and engineers.

The Ontario Government encourages business investment in research and innovative technologies in several ways.

- The combination of provincial and federal R&D tax incentives has helped make Ontario one of the most attractive places in the world for business to perform R&D. In addition, the government supports business innovation by encouraging partnerships and collaboration between firms and public institutions.
- The government is providing direct support to encourage business investment in research and innovation in strategic sectors such as automotive manufacturing, forestry and agriculture.
- The recently announced Advanced Manufacturing Investment Strategy will provide $500 million in repayable loan funding over five years to promote investment and job creation in Ontario in leading-edge technologies and processes.
- Public policies and investments in education, health and the environment are important for promoting business investment in innovation. Policies that promote energy conservation, for example, encourage companies to adopt innovative, productivity-enhancing practices that help protect the environment.

Immigrant Investor Funding to Support Innovation and Job Creation in Ontario

The Ontario Immigrant Investor Corporation (OIIIC) receives a share of the funds invested by immigrants through the federal government’s Immigrant Investor Program. Consistent with the objectives and conditions of the program, the OIIIC will invest to support Ontario’s plan to promote economic development and higher living standards.

In particular, the OIIIC intends to use a portion of the funds available to support the Ministry of Research and Innovation in its efforts to assist Ontario start-up companies to become more investor ready.
In today’s knowledge-based economy, education and skills are the prerequisites for growth and prosperity in the 21st century. Seventy per cent of all new jobs in the future will require some form of postsecondary education. Ontario will only be at its best when every Ontarian has the opportunity to achieve his or her potential.

The Government of Ontario views investments in people and a skilled workforce as a cornerstone of its economic strategy. That is why the government has moved swiftly to make comprehensive investments in education, with a plan at each critical stage. In the past two years, the government has announced billions of dollars in new investment to prepare young people for the jobs of tomorrow.

Ontario’s investments address the education and training needs of all learners. They start in the early years and proceed through elementary and high school to studies at the postsecondary level and skills training in the workplace, supporting new approaches and achieving tangible results. Highlights include:

- smaller class sizes, better math and literacy scores, and fewer students dropping out of high school;
- investing $6.2 billion in Ontario’s colleges, universities and training systems by 2009–10 to improve access, quality and accountability as announced in the Reaching Higher plan;
- encouraging workplace training through the Apprenticeship Training Tax Credit, and providing greater opportunity for immigrants through the Bridge Training and International Medical Graduate programs;
- developing a new Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy with support from the signing of three landmark Canada–Ontario agreements that significantly expand resources for immigration services and skills training for Ontarians; and
- creating a new Youth Challenge Fund to support community-led programs that give at-risk youth opportunities for greater success.
The government’s plan for all stages of education will strengthen Ontario’s knowledge and skills advantage and improve its competitive position.

SUCCESS FOR STUDENTS

Strong public education is the foundation for a strong economy and a cohesive society.

Children need the best start in life to achieve their full potential. To support its Best Start vision, Ontario signed the Early Learning and Child Care (ELCC) agreement with the federal government in 2005, an agreement that was to provide Ontario with $1.9 billion over five years. Regrettably, the new federal government has given notice to terminate this agreement.

Given the elimination of federal ELCC funding after 2006-07, the Province will use the final federal payment to support the child care system. The government is allocating $63.5 million per year over the remaining life of the original agreement, from 2006–07 to 2009–10. The Province will also work with municipalities to continue to urge the federal government to reconsider and honour the ELCC agreement.

Investments at the elementary and secondary level are resulting in greater success for students. To help achieve its key results, the government has already funded an additional 4,300 elementary and secondary school teachers. The government’s elementary school priorities are to reduce class sizes and reach high levels of achievement in literacy and math for every student before age 12. Students and schools are getting new resources, including 600 specialist teachers in 2005–06 to help struggling students and to teach physical education, music and the arts, and turnaround teams of experts in literacy and math.

At the secondary level, the government’s priority is to increase the high school graduation rate to 85 per cent by 2010 through its Learning to 18 strategy. The government has already provided new resources to hire 1,300 additional teachers. Ontario’s high school graduation rate, while increasing, is still unacceptably low at about 72 per cent.
The Learning to 18 initiative is essential to the government’s commitment to opportunity. New efforts to increase the high school graduation rate will generate long-term benefits, including better employment opportunities, enhanced earning capacity, improved cognitive and literacy skills, and broader social networks.

**Providing Opportunity for At-Risk Youth**

Strong and safe communities support a cohesive society, strengthen economic growth, and improve opportunities for the most vulnerable youth, especially those at risk of engaging in violent behaviour.

The Government of Ontario is committed to making the province’s communities safer and to creating opportunity for at-risk youth. Fighting gun violence requires being tough on crime and strong on enforcement. In January 2006, the government announced a $51 million investment, including new funding to give police and Crown prosecutors additional resources to investigate and prosecute gun crimes.

Making communities safer and reducing gun violence also means tackling the root causes of crime through preventive strategies that directly help youth in their neighbourhoods. Young people at risk of joining gangs and engaging in criminal activity must be offered positive alternatives that strengthen their connection to the community and invest in their future.

The Learning to 18 strategy is a key part of the government’s plan to help at-risk youth. Ontario has taken other action in its schools, including a three-year, $23 million Bullying Prevention Strategy and $20 million annually for the Community Use of Schools program to help school boards open up gymnasiums and other facilities to non-profit groups after school hours.

In February 2006, the government enhanced its preventive strategy by announcing a Youth Challenge Fund for at-risk youth. Chaired by Toronto Argonauts head coach Mike “Pinball” Clemons, the fund will initially provide $15 million in new resources to local communities in Toronto. The government has committed an additional $15 million over three years to match contributions by the private sector, for a potential total investment in at-risk youth of $45 million. Community organizations will be able to apply to the fund to cover the costs of programs that engage youth in activities that enhance their opportunity to succeed at school and participate in postsecondary education, training and employment.

In addition, the Province has recently announced more than $28 million in the first three years of a new Youth Opportunities Strategy. The investment will support the hiring of youth outreach workers and other employment and training opportunities in at-risk communities, starting in Toronto and expanding across the province in 2007–08, including Windsor, Ottawa, London, Hamilton and Thunder Bay.
**Youth Challenge Fund**

- $15 million initial government funding plus up to $15 million to match private-sector contributions, for a total fund of up to $45 million.
- The fund will:
  - focus on identification, prevention and early intervention;
  - engage youth in activities to help them succeed such as learning, sports, mentoring and tutoring, and job experience;
  - provide a lever to stimulate community involvement; and
  - support community-based solutions.

Ontario supports many other preventive and remedial programs critical to youth success. Examples include the $111 million annual youth employment and training program called Job Connect and a $15 million Academic Upgrading investment by 2007–08 that assists those who leave school early to improve their skills and labour-market readiness, largely through colleges. The Province also spends $22 million annually to provide community alternatives to custody programs for youth in conflict with the law. The ACTIVE2010 strategy invests $12 million in promoting sport and physical activity, and $5 million in the Communities In Action Fund. Part of this strategy is to remove barriers to sports and recreation for low-income youth and children. A $2 million program supports healthy development of urban Aboriginal children.

**Reaching Higher: A Historic Investment in Postsecondary Education**

The government’s postsecondary plan is strengthening Ontario by strengthening its most important competitive advantage — its people.

As announced in the 2005 Budget, Reaching Higher is a new cumulative investment in postsecondary education of $6.2 billion. This includes a 35 per cent increase in operating funding by 2009–10 compared to the 2004–05 funding base and doubling of funding available for student aid.

Reaching Higher is a historic multi-year investment — the largest in 40 years — one that will translate into a competitive advantage, economic growth and a higher standard of living for Ontarians.

The government’s Reaching Higher investments are tied to performance and results. The Reaching Higher plan:

- ensures access for every qualified Ontario student, resulting in a higher postsecondary participation rate;
- improves access to and success in postsecondary education for traditionally underrepresented groups;
assures quality teaching and an improved student learning experience, including better interaction between faculty and students;

- enhances the learning infrastructure such as libraries and laboratories;

- enrolls 14,000 more graduate students by 2009-10, creating knowledge and ideas for economic growth and maintaining Ontario as a North American leader in research and innovation;

- will graduate more doctors through a 23 per cent expansion of first-year medical education spaces, including a new Northern Ontario School of Medicine;

- enables thousands more new Canadians to contribute their skills to Ontario’s economy;

- strengthens the public reporting of system-wide outcomes; and

- results in a high-quality postsecondary education system able to compete with the best in the world.

In 2006–07, the government is continuing to implement the Reaching Higher plan. The next phase of the plan introduces further enhancements to student assistance and a new regulated tuition framework that balances access and quality.

The government will:

- implement additional enhancements to student financial assistance for 2006–07 and beyond by increasing loans and grants for low- and middle-income students and ensuring debt levels are manageable and predictable, benefiting 145,000 students. These enhancements will:

  - make tuition Access Grants available to students from middle-income families, by more than doubling the income threshold for a family with two children from about $35,000 to $75,000, benefiting 27,000 more students, bringing the total number of beneficiaries to nearly 60,000 in September 2006;

  - raise book and supply allowances for the first time since the mid-1980s so that 75 per cent of student aid recipients will have their actual book costs covered, compared to 13 per cent previously, benefiting 138,000 students;

  - continue to ensure that student debt is limited to $7,000 per completed year through the Ontario Student Opportunity Grant;

  - implement a new “Access Window” website in 2007–08 to allow students to identify costs and all sources of financial aid in the institution and program of their choice;

- introduce a regulated tuition framework starting in 2006 under which each institution will be held to an average five per cent overall increase in tuition per year and will be required to demonstrate improvements in quality and access;
under the plan, average tuition increases for the coming year will be limited to about $100 for almost 90 per cent of college students and about $200 for almost 70 per cent of university students.

Reaching Higher is committing $55 million by 2009–10 to improve access to postsecondary opportunities for those traditionally underrepresented. Committees have been put in place to advise on how best to achieve this goal for Aboriginal Peoples, persons with disabilities and those who would be the first in their families to attend college or university. Similar efforts will help the francophone population gain better access to postsecondary education.

**JOBS AND SKILLS RENEWAL STRATEGY**

The Ontario Government is committed to ensuring that the province has the highly skilled workforce needed to attract jobs and investment and maintain a skills advantage. The government has a comprehensive framework, the Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy, that provides skills training and other labour-market services so that people can find work and contribute to strong economic growth. The strategy builds on Ontario’s One-Stop Training and Employment System, and brings new tools and new resources to

---

1 Labour Market Development Agreement, $582 million transferred annually by 2007–08, and Labour Market Partnership Agreement, $314 million annually by 2009–10, half to be delivered by the federal government.

2 Immigration Agreement of $320 million annually by 2009–10, to be delivered by federal government.

3 Includes training investments under the Ontario Automotive Investment Strategy and the Advanced Manufacturing Investment Strategy.
address worker and employer labour-market and training needs. It ensures that workers have up-to-date skills to secure good jobs and that the unemployed, the underemployed, new Canadians, the working poor and social assistance recipients have greater opportunity to reach their potential.

Total funding under this strategy to help Ontarians do better in the labour market will be $2.1 billion annually by 2008–09, including $1.6 billion in programs provided by Ontario and $0.5 billion in programs provided to Ontarians by the federal government.

The development of the comprehensive Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy and increased funding for training and employment programs are the direct result of three landmark agreements covering immigration and labour-market services signed with the federal government in November 2005. Some of the funding from the agreements will be transferred directly to Ontario and some will be delivered to Ontarians by the federal government.

The new and transferred resources under the agreements will accelerate the expansion and improvement of Ontario’s programs, and, along with enhanced federal services negotiated by Ontario, will mean more and better service for Ontarians. Ontarians will benefit from integration of services and from reduced duplication and streamlined program delivery through a one-stop approach, making it easier for them to find the programs they need. The goal is to provide smooth and straightforward access to services for a diverse range of clients.

A workforce that has good literacy and numeracy skills and keeps all skills up-to-date through lifelong learning is essential in a modern economy. The government funds classes for Ontarians with poor literacy in community settings, in college classrooms and in workplaces. The Canada–Ontario agreements on immigration and labour-market services give high priority to new approaches to literacy training and higher-level language training to enable new Canadians to participate fully in their trade or profession.
SERVICES UNDER JOBS AND SKILLS RENEWAL STRATEGY

- Unemployed Ontarians, the working poor and new Canadians will get rapid re-employment services, retraining, comprehensive counselling services and good labour-market information.
- Young people who have not completed high school or further education will get counselling and remedial education and training, including apprenticeship, that qualifies them for better jobs.
- Employers will get more skilled trades workers and young people will get more apprenticeship training opportunities.
- Employers will benefit from sectoral training partnerships and skills investments.
- Employed workers and professionals will get retraining opportunities as technology changes.
- Ontarians with poor literacy skills will get literacy and numeracy classes.
- Underemployed new Canadians will get English or French-as-a-Second Language programs and bridge training that qualifies them to work in their profession or trade.
- Ontarians receiving social assistance will benefit from work opportunities, training and incentives to help them get back to work.
- Students will get help obtaining summer jobs to give them necessary earnings and job experience.
- A pilot for a “No Wrong Door” approach to service delivery was launched by Ontario in January 2006.

With the Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy, Ontario now has in place comprehensive plans to create learning opportunities for all people at all stages of their lives. Together with Success for Students and Reaching Higher, this strategy will ensure that all Ontarians can reach their potential and will keep Ontario competitive with the best in the world.
SELECTED TRAINING INITIATIVES AND RESULTS

Commitments:

- Over $100 million annually to support apprenticeship as well as an Apprenticeship Training Tax Credit to encourage employers to hire more apprentices. The credit provides up to $15,000 over the first three years of an eligible apprenticeship.

- $15 million annually by 2007–08 for new academic upgrading and training options for those who leave high school early.

- $21 million in 2005–06 to expand Bridge Training and other employment services for new Canadians to enter the workforce.

- $20 million by 2007–08 for other new services for new Canadians and prospective apprentices.

- $27 million in 2005–06 for the International Medical Graduate (IMG) program.

- $4.5 million in 2005–06 for scholarships/employer bonuses for those leaving high school early and entering apprenticeship.

- $63 million for the Literacy and Basic Skills program.

- $51 million for the ESL/FSL program.

- $111 million for the Job Connect program for labour-market training and support services.

- $52 million for the Ontario Summer Jobs program.

- $219 million in 2005–06 for employment assistance programs for social assistance recipients.

- JobsNow pilot project in six communities to help social assistance recipients.

Results to Date:

- On target to achieve 21,000 new registrations in 2005–06 and to increase new entries to 26,000 per year by 2007–08.

- Nearly 1,700 at-risk youth and adults are participating at colleges. Will serve 6,000 by 2007–08.

- More than 6,000 people have participated in over 56 Bridge Training projects in professions such as nursing and pharmacy. Enhanced language training provided for 3,000 people. Information and referral provided for 5,000 clients and more intensive employment services provided for 650 clients through Job Connect.

- Pilots to help immigrants in colleges and to encourage employers to better recognize the skills of new Canadians are underway. 21 organizations are receiving funding to expand bridging programs.

- Up to 200 opportunities per year, up from 90 in 2003, for internationally trained physicians to be assessed and trained in family medicine and needed specialty areas.

- 425 individuals are on track to receive scholarships after completing upgrading or registering as an apprentice; an additional 125 individuals have already completed.

- 46,000 participants in 2005–06.

- About 20,000 enrolled in 2005–06.

- 129,000 people, largely youth, were served in 2005–06. 78 per cent find a job or go on to further education and training.

- 61,000 young people found summer jobs or started their own businesses in 2005.

- There are about 200,000 participants in employment assistance activities annually.

- There have been over 2,000 job placements since the pilot began.
Medicare is an important part of Ontario’s economic advantage because it lowers costs to business and supports the productivity of Ontario’s workforce.

Rising health care costs are a source of concern for business and governments across North America. Ontario’s publicly funded health care system provides a solid base of services that eases the pressure on firms to purchase these critical benefits for their employees. This provides a valuable advantage for Ontario in competing for jobs against U.S. jurisdictions, especially in manufacturing sectors such as automotive, where the cost of health benefits is cited as harming U.S. competitiveness.

A strong, sustainable health care system also contributes to Ontario’s economy because a healthy labour force loses less time to illness and injury and is more productive on the job. To sustain and enhance this advantage, it is critical to treat illness and injury as effectively as possible, and to work with Ontarians so that they have the information and tools they need to engage actively in maintaining their good health. The government’s commitment to promoting healthy and active living is demonstrated by the historic creation in June 2005 of a new Ministry of Health Promotion and by initiatives such as ACTIVE2010 and the Communities In Action Fund (CIAF), which support physical activity and participation in sports.

To sharpen the province’s competitive edge, the Government of Ontario continues to invest in improvements to both the quality and availability of health care such as:

- longer funded hours of operation for medical diagnostic equipment, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines;
- more cancer and cataract surgeries, cardiac procedures, and hip and joint replacements;
- increasing the number of Family Health Teams (FHTs) to 150 to provide access to primary health care services for approximately 2.5 million Ontarians. So far, the government has created 100 FHTs across Ontario and will announce the remaining 50 in 2006–07; and
- investments in hospitals and Community Health Centres.
INVESTING IN ONTARIO’S HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS

Stable access to a family doctor is an important contributor to the quality of life in a community and is critical to that community’s ability to attract skilled workers. In response to the shortage of doctors in Ontario, the government is working to expand the supply of these health professionals with initiatives such as:

- creating 104 new first-year spaces at medical schools, including at four new university campuses. This is in addition to the 56 new medical spaces created in 2005 by the opening of the Northern Ontario School of Medicine; and
- ongoing support for the International Medical Graduate (IMG) program that provides training, assessment and support to help internationally trained physicians become registered and practise in Ontario.

Measures are also underway to increase the supply of other health care professionals, whose work helps provide high-quality health services. For example,

- more than $51 million to improve access to maternity services for women, including support for 356 registered midwives;
- the government introduced the Traditional Chinese Medicine Act. If passed, this act would ensure that Ontarians who choose this approach to health care will be able to identify qualified professionals; and
- a comprehensive Nursing Strategy that acknowledges the key role of nurses in quality health care and increases the supply of nursing professionals in Ontario through investments such as the New Graduate, Late Career, Clinical Simulation Equipment and Grow Your Own Nurse Practitioner initiatives.

A full description of the government’s strategy for improving Ontario’s health care system is presented in Paper A, Building Opportunity, Building a Stronger Ontario.
Section V: Building Job Creation and Ontario’s Sector Advantages

The Province’s economic strategy is built on the fact that a highly skilled, well-educated workforce and a diverse economy, coupled with modern economic infrastructure, are essential to economic growth today and in the future. A $1.2 billion investment in public transit, roads and bridges on top of the five-year, more than $30 billion ReNew Ontario infrastructure investment plan; one of the most ambitious building programs in North America for new electricity generation; education investments from junior kindergarten to the end of high school; the $6.2 billion Reaching Higher plan for postsecondary education; a comprehensive Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy; health investments that are producing results; a new Ministry of Research and Innovation making substantial investments in research and commercialization — all are providing Ontario’s economy with what is needed in order for it to grow and create jobs.

The strategy is paying off — more jobs are being created and for the most part these are good, full-time and higher-paying jobs. Every job that is created represents an opportunity for an Ontarian and for an Ontario business.

Ontario Employment Continues to Grow

In 2005 — a year that saw the Canadian dollar and oil prices continue to rise — 81,200 net new jobs were created in Ontario, bringing the total number of net new jobs created since October 2003 to almost 200,000.

Ontario’s unemployment rate fell to 6.6 per cent, the lowest annual rate since 2001.

A Healthy Labour Market

Source: Statistics Canada.
Strong Job Growth in Higher-Paying Occupations

The nature of Ontario’s job creation over the last year has also been very positive. Almost 90 per cent of the 81,200 net new jobs created last year were full-time positions. As well, total wages and salaries earned by Ontario workers grew 5.0 per cent in 2005 — the best annual increase in five years.

In 2005, a significant majority of net new jobs were in higher-paying, knowledge-intensive occupations that are so important to future economic growth in the province.

In 2005, occupations with above-average weekly wages had strong job growth. These included jobs in management, sciences, education, government, transportation and health.

Ontario’s Service Economy

Service sector industries in Ontario are growing fast and account for an increasing share of provincial employment and output. In 2004, service sectors contributed about $302 billion to Ontario’s economy (roughly 70 per cent of GDP). In 2005, employment in Ontario’s services industries in the private sector totalled approximately 3.4 million workers, roughly 53 per cent of total employment in the province.

Ontario is home to a number of highly successful, higher value-added services sectors, such as the finance, insurance and business services sectors and the information and communication technology (ICT) sector, which includes industries such as software development and telecommunication services.
**Toronto Leads North America in Financial Services Job Creation**

Toronto’s financial services sector is the third largest in North America, and created more jobs than the financial sector of any other North American city over the past 10 years. Contributing to its strength is the high level of professional skills and advanced education of its workers, a modern communications infrastructure, and a supportive regulatory framework. Workers in financial services earn 26 per cent more than the all-industry Ontario average.

- Toronto is a major location for business and financial head offices in Canada. This contributes to high-wage jobs in related areas such as legal, accounting and computer systems.
- 72 per cent of financial services employees in Toronto have postsecondary designations, compared to 58 per cent for other industries.

Higher value-added services — so important to higher employment and incomes in developed economies — are characterized by high levels of specialized knowledge and skills. Investments in education, training and postsecondary education provide the foundation for generating the needed skills in an economy. Investments in research and innovation fuel the technological and creative breakthroughs that create the new products and services that achieve market success. Investments in economic infrastructure, including public transit investments, help build communities with the high quality of life that attracts investment and bring together the creative and knowledge workers critical for high value-added industries.

---


- New York: 544
- Los Angeles: 34
- Vancouver: 16
- Boston: 12
- Atlanta: 10
- Chicago: 9
- Washington: 6
- Minneapolis: 4
- Dallas: 3
- Toronto: -7

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY SERVICES CONTRIBUTE TO HIGH VALUE-ADDED JOBS

Because nations are increasingly competing on the basis of knowledge, skills and R&D, Ontario’s strengths in high value-added services sectors, such as information and communications technology (ICT), are helping it to grow and prosper. Ontario has become home to the third-largest ICT services sector in North America (based on employment) in areas including computer software and telecommunications. Computer software workers earn 69 per cent more than the all-industry average, and telecommunications workers earn 24 per cent more.

- Over the past 10 years, ICT services employment has grown faster in Ontario than in the United States and the rest of Canada.
- Ontario has a strong skills base. 75 per cent of the ICT goods and services sector’s workforce have completed a postsecondary education, compared to 59 per cent of the ICT sector in the United States.

Major U.S. corporations are increasingly outsourcing ICT services to other countries such as India, but Canada remains attractive as a major near-shore outsourcing location. Canada is one of the top four beneficiary countries from U.S. outsourcing.

Ontario’s job performance will also benefit from the government’s support of key economic sectors, both those with rapid growth potential and those adjusting to global economic pressures.
Section VI: Building Communities and Key Economic Sectors

The province’s diverse communities and key economic sectors have an important role in building a culture of innovation, producing a positive business environment, enhancing quality of life and creating opportunity.

**Strong Communities**

Ontario’s communities will be well positioned to seize opportunities and overcome challenges if all levels of government — federal, provincial and local — work together to achieve the shared goal of long-term economic prosperity.

The Province’s commitment to building strong communities through new, more effective partnerships with municipalities is demonstrated through the following initiatives:

- establishing Move Ontario, the McGuinty government’s major new $1.2 billion investment to expand and modernize Ontario’s public transit systems, roads and bridges;
- providing long-term, sustainable funding for transit that municipalities can count on. The government is delivering on its commitment to make two cents of the existing provincial gas tax available for Ontario’s public transit systems. Over five years, the total gas tax allocation across the province could be more than $1.4 billion. Municipalities will now be able to use funding for both transit capital projects and transit system operations;
- enhancing the 2006 Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF), to provide an additional $56 million targeted to those municipalities with high municipal social program costs relative to their residents’ household incomes;
- increasing the Provincial share of funding for public health to 65 per cent in 2006 and to 75 per cent by 2007;
- investing an estimated additional $300 million, over the next three years, to move towards a 50–50 sharing of the cost of municipal land ambulance services by 2008;
- introducing new legislation that, if passed, will make the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) more efficient and accessible — giving municipalities a stronger voice in the process that shapes their communities;
- developing a more efficient and effective brownfield redevelopment program. A new one-window web portal is now available with easy-to-understand information on government initiatives that support brownfield remediation;
- introducing legislation enabling tax increment financing (TIF) to assist with brownfield redevelopment and public infrastructure development. This new
municipal fiscal tool would be introduced on a pilot basis, allowing for its prudent review in an Ontario context. If the legislation passes, the two pilots would be the subway expansion involving York Region and the City of Toronto, and the West Don Lands, a brownfield redevelopment initiative that is part of the revitalization of Toronto’s waterfront;

- supporting the infrastructure renewal loan program of the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA), which is making a real difference in communities across Ontario. Collectively, municipalities are saving millions of dollars in interest charges and transaction fees over the life of their infrastructure financing loans;

- investing in the Canada–Ontario Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund (COMRIF), a partnership with the federal government that is helping Ontario municipalities improve water and sewage treatment and waste management facilities, fix roads and repair bridges; and

- proposing legislation that would provide a process to review the governance model of the Ontario Municipal Employees Retirement System (OMERS), extend the municipal election cycle from three to four years, and allow the St. Clair Parks Commission to wind up by transferring parkland and recreational property to local municipalities.

There is also growing recognition that in order to fully address the financial challenges faced by many Ontario municipalities, the federal government needs to step up and contribute in a significant way.

**A SOLID FOUNDATION FOR LONG-TERM GROWTH IN THE GREATER GOLDEN HORSESHOE**

The economy of the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH)\(^1\) is a key driver of the province’s prosperity, accounting for 70 per cent of Ontario’s GDP. This area is a vibrant economic region where urban and rural communities contribute to a high quality of life and long-term economic growth.

The GGH is a hub of innovation and growth, where a diverse range of individuals, companies and institutions combine to bring new ideas to the world market. The challenge is to sustain and enhance this region’s economic performance while maintaining a high quality of life that can attract the best and brightest from around the globe.

---

\(^1\) The Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) includes the cities of Toronto, Hamilton and Kawartha Lakes, the regional municipalities of Halton, Peel, York, Durham, Waterloo and Niagara, and the counties of Haldimand, Brant, Wellington, Dufferin, Simcoe, Northumberland and Peterborough. In addition, the cities of Guelph, Peterborough, Barrie, Orillia and Brantford are within this geography.
In order to improve coordination in support of sustainable economic growth and address key challenges such as congestion and urban sprawl, the government is moving forward with Places to Grow, the growth plan for the GGH. Building on other key government initiatives (e.g., the Greenbelt Plan, Planning Act reform and the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005) and working within the planning process, this plan will provide a framework for decisions on a wide range of issues, such as land use planning, transportation and infrastructure. It will also create a better environment for investment decisions. The Ministry of Public Infrastructure Renewal expects to finalize the growth plan for the GGH in the first half of 2006.

An effective and efficient transportation system within the GGH will be a key element of a successful economy and a healthy environment. Strategic investments in GGH infrastructure are described in Section 1, including substantial contributions to transit funding within the GTA and the creation of the GTTA. Move Ontario, together with contributions from our federal and municipal partners, will be a major improvement to the movement of people and goods throughout the region.

At the heart of the GGH, the City of Toronto plays a vital role in both Ontario’s and Canada’s economies. It is a centre of commerce and innovation and the third-largest financial centre in North America.

The McGuinty government is the first to propose legislation that recognizes the City of Toronto as a responsible, accountable government. If passed, the Stronger City of Toronto for a Stronger Ontario Act would give Toronto the broad permissive powers needed to become more fiscally sustainable and autonomous. This act would provide the City with potential new sources of revenue and greater control over the services, programs and public assets it manages.

In addition to these proposed new revenue sources, Toronto will benefit from new transit funding, the ability to use the gas tax transfer for transit operating purposes, the recent enhancement to the land ambulance program and new funding under the Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund. Taken together, these measures provide Toronto with multi-year funding to significantly address its budget pressures until a long-term solution to the fiscal imbalance with the federal government is reached.

**Strong Rural Communities**

Ontario’s diverse rural communities make valuable contributions to Ontario’s quality of life and are an important part of the economy. By forging strong relationships with rural communities, the provincial government can ensure that rural areas continue to contribute to and share in Ontario’s prosperity.
On February 20, 2006, the government released a progress report on Ontario’s Rural Plan. First published in 2004, “Strong Rural Communities: Working Together for Success” outlines a framework under which the Province and rural municipalities can work together in support of strong, sustainable rural economies. Investments in rural communities include the following:

- under Move Ontario, an immediate investment of $400 million in municipal roads and bridges for communities primarily outside the GTA — with special emphasis on rural and northern communities;

- in 2006, rural communities across the province will receive $464 million in Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund and transitional support — more than half of the total funding provincewide. This funding will help rural communities address their local challenges and respond to policing and social program costs;

- $298 million to renew municipal infrastructure through the Canada–Ontario Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund (COMRIF), expected to stimulate a total investment of $900 million over five years;

---

**Canada-Ontario Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund (COMRIF)**

- In 2005–06, COMRIF committed funding to 120 communities for their local infrastructure projects, with a Provincial commitment of almost $125 million towards eligible projects costing a total of over $370 million.

- Examples of projects funded by COMRIF include:
  - $3.1 million to upgrade the Geraldton Sewage System in the Municipality of Greenstone;
  - $15 million to build the North Bay Water Filtration Plant;
  - $1.2 million to install supervisory control and data acquisition systems that will serve the water pollution control plants and sewage pumping stations in Sault Ste. Marie;
  - $6.9 million for improvements to several roads in the City of Greater Sudbury;
  - $0.5 million to rehabilitate and replace two bridges in the County of Lambton; and
  - $0.2 million to rehabilitate two bridges in the City of Pickering.

- The application intake for the second round of COMRIF funding was announced in June 2005. A total of 339 applications were received and are now under review. Announcements are expected shortly.

---

- as of February 14, 2006, OSIFA has committed to provide more than $1.24 billion in financing to more than 150 rural communities for upgrading local infrastructure projects;

- investments to improve health care in rural Ontario:
  - between 2005 and 2008, the government is investing in 17 new Community Health Centres (CHC) and seven new satellite CHCs serving rural communities, providing access to primary health care and community health programs;
  - $9.5 million for telemedicine to bring health care to rural and northern residents who cannot get to a clinic or hospital;
improvements to education in rural Ontario, such as:

- recognizing the unique needs of rural schools through more than $200 million in additional supports since 2002–03, including new funds for school repairs, energy efficiency, library books and additional teachers;

- over $20 million has been funded through the Rural Economic Development Program in 2005–06. These investments support sustainable rural economies and community partnerships. The priorities of the Rural Economic Development Program are improved access to health care services, community revitalization, and skills enhancement;

- investments in rural industries include:
  - $520 million in the Ontario Ethanol Growth Fund to support expansion of ethanol production in Ontario; and
  - initiatives that encourage a strong, sustainable farm sector and programs that support and stabilize farm incomes.

### Agriculture

Ontario has the largest agriculture sector in Canada, employing about 90,000 people in 2005. Like many sectors, farming is adapting to competitive challenges in a rapidly changing international marketplace.

Ontario’s farm sector is highly diversified and benefits from proximity to markets, a favourable climate and good soils. Agriculture Canada forecasts that sales by Ontario farms will total more than $8 billion in 2005 — five per cent higher than the average of the previous five years.

The high Canadian dollar, increasing international competition, subsidies in other jurisdictions, trade disruptions and bad weather have challenged certain segments of the sector.

The government recognizes these challenges and is working to maintain a strong, sustainable farm sector. The government’s recent announcement of $125 million includes new funding to help grain and oilseed farmers and horticulture farmers dealing with low returns and will bring total Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA) expenditures for farm income stabilization and support programs to more than $800 million over the 2003–04 to 2005–06 fiscal years. Ontario farmers also receive favourable treatment under a number of taxes, such as the property tax, RST and fuel tax (see table below).

Farmers play an important role in Ontario’s rural economy and many government programs work to the benefit of the broader rural community. Ontario’s efforts to encourage ethanol production is one example. Another is the government’s commitment
to the development of renewable sources of electricity generation. Net metering will allow electricity from water, wind, solar power and farm biomass to be sent to the grid, giving farmers who generate their own power an opportunity to earn credits towards their energy costs. The Ontario Power Authority (OPA) is moving forward with a standard offer program aimed at small-scale, clean or renewable generation, increasing economic opportunities for small businesses, including farms.

**SELECTED EXAMPLES OF ONTARIO SUPPORT FOR FARMERS**

($ MILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMAFRA Expenditures for Farm Income Stabilization and Support (2003–04 to 2005–06)</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Farm Property Class Tax Rate Reduction (2005)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Retail Sales Tax Exemption for Agricultural Goods (2005)</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of $500,000 Lifetime Capital Gains Exemption (2005)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value to Farm Corporations of Small Business Corporate Income Tax Rate (2005)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected OMAFRA Expenditures for Nutrient Management Assistance (2005–06)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected OMAFRA Expenditures for Agricultural Drainage Infrastructure Management (2005–06)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected OMAFRA Expenditures for Meat Plant Assistance Program (2005–06)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAFRA Expenditures for Ontario Cull Animal Strategy — abattoir expansion (2004–05)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Transfer Tax Exemption for Transfers of Farm Land between Family Members (2005)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Includes both municipal and education portions.
3 Estimates of Ontario’s share of federal tax expenditures based on Ontario’s 27 per cent share of national farm capital value.


Ontario and the federal government both have roles to play in helping to stabilize farm income and position agriculture for long-term success. In addition to meeting its commitment to fund business risk management and other programs under the federal-provincial Agricultural Policy Framework, in 2005–06, Ontario is providing:

- $80 million for grain and oilseed farmers to offset their losses on the 2005 crop;
- $35 million for producers of all edible horticulture crops to offset their past losses;
- $25 million to allow the University of Guelph to invest in its animal health laboratory;
- $10 million for an Ontario livestock and poultry traceability system;
- $3 million to small and medium-sized producers of Vintners Quality Alliance wine; and
- an additional $1 million to further support Ontario wine marketing efforts.

The government remains committed to a multi-year strategy that will stabilize and strengthen the province’s agriculture industry for the future but requires the federal
government’s participation as a full partner to support the agriculture industry in the way it needs and deserves.

Continued success for Ontario farms requires new approaches by both farmers and governments. In its pre-Budget submission, the Ontario Federation of Agriculture noted, “Agriculture research is the most cost-effective support for agriculture.” Research is the raw material of innovation, and the government provides significant support for agricultural research. In addition to existing efforts, the government is providing $1 million in 2005–06 to the Grape Growers of Ontario for advanced research into hardier varietals.

**SELECTED EXAMPLES OF ONTARIO AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SUPPORT**

- Transferring Ontario’s agricultural research stations and colleges to the Agricultural Research Institute of Ontario.
- A new Chair in Agricultural Research at the University of Guelph.
- Funding of over $50 million annually to the University of Guelph for agricultural research, education and laboratory services; and
- ReNew Ontario capital investments for agricultural research, education and laboratory services.
- $25 million in 2005–06 to allow the University of Guelph to invest in its animal health laboratory.
- $1 million in 2005–06 to the Grape Growers of Ontario for advanced research into hardier varietals.

The government is also investing in farm-level innovation, which is important in a number of ways, including increasing the productivity of agriculture, meeting changing consumer demands and managing the effects of farming on the environment. To help foster farm-level innovation, the government proposes to establish a new award for agri-food innovation excellence. Over the next five years, $2.5 million is to be awarded to outstanding farmer-innovators. The government will work with industry and academia to identify award criteria, with the intention that the first awards will be presented at the next Premier’s Agri-Food Summit.

**NORTHERN ONTARIO**

Northern Ontario’s unique circumstances require focused and coordinated programs and initiatives to promote economic growth and job creation. The Province continues to work with communities and key industries in northern Ontario to support long-term prosperity within an increasingly competitive global economy. For example, as the Premier recently announced, the government is substantially increasing its commitment to help the forestry industry invest in and foster a new generation of forest-sector jobs.

Other Provincial investments that support prosperity for northern Ontario include the following initiatives:
developing strategic economic infrastructure projects, such as:

- an investment of more than $1.8 billion over five years announced on August 30, 2005 to upgrade and expand northern highways under the Northern Ontario Highway Strategy; and

- the introduction of Move Ontario, an immediate one-time new investment of $400 million to help municipalities primarily outside the GTA — with special emphasis in rural and northern communities — invest in municipal roads and bridges.

offering low-cost loans through OSIFA to northern municipalities to upgrade local infrastructure, providing $259 million to 47 northern communities as of February 14, 2006;

investing in ongoing improvements to health care in northern Ontario, including:

- a total investment of almost $25 million in 2004–05 and 2005–06 for surgeries, exams and equipment to northern communities under the Wait Time Strategy;

- a commitment of $6.6 million annually for telemedicine services;

- funding for Aboriginal health programs as part of the annual $44 million provincial Aboriginal Healing and Wellness Strategy;

- substantial investments in northern Ontario hospitals over the next five years, such as expansion and service quality projects in North Bay, Sault Ste. Marie, Sioux Lookout, Thunder Bay and Sudbury;

- the establishment of a new medical school to help alleviate the doctor shortage. The official opening of the Northern Ontario School of Medicine was celebrated in September 2005;

investing in improvements to education in northern Ontario, such as $16 million to Northern school boards through the Good Schools Open Program and $6.6 million through Good Places to Learn;

providing municipalities in the north with $278 million in OMPF funding and transitional assistance in 2006;

reviewing the Provincial Land Tax (PLT) system and consulting broadly with northern communities and stakeholders. In 2006, the government will continue its review of the feedback from these consultations;

providing $4 million to establish a Bio-Energy Research Centre in Atikokan to accelerate work now underway on the future use of the Atikokan Generating Station. This centre will carry out research of practical relevance to the future of the community and the province, and allow the station to continue to be a source of employment for the community;

supporting jobs and investment created by the mining sector in northern communities;
contributing $60 million annually to the Northern Ontario Heritage Fund Corporation (NOHFC). Under its new mandate, NOHFC will provide support for private-sector job creation, youth and emerging technologies, while continuing to invest in public infrastructure projects that support economic development;

embarking on a new approach to Aboriginal Peoples, many of whom live in northern Ontario. The government is responding to the socioeconomic gap that exists between Aboriginal Peoples and other Ontarians by working on a number of initiatives, including actively engaging Aboriginal Peoples in creating a new, effective partnership in support of economic development. This includes developing proposals to enable Aboriginal Peoples to share fairly in the benefits of natural resource development. The government is also supporting literacy by providing:

- $6 million to First Nations libraries to help strengthen literacy and promote lifelong learning for people of all ages;
- more than $800,000 for the Lieutenant Governor’s summer camp initiative that encourages literacy among aboriginal youth in northern Ontario. This initiative builds on the success of the Lieutenant Governor’s Book Program, which provided over 850,000 books to First Nations and Native Friendship centres across Ontario;

committing to initiatives under the Northern Prosperity Plan, such as:

- the Northern Ontario Grow Bonds pilot program, which is providing financing for new and expanding firms;
- a $15 million investment over three years, which began in 2005, to support geological mapping, which will help open the mining potential of the Far North;
- the Go North Investor Program, which is putting northern Ontario on the radar for international investors and attracting new, innovative anchor investments to northern communities, such as
  - an investment in SIAG Great Lakes LP, a joint venture of more than $35 million between Algoma Steel Inc. and German-based SIAG. This state-of-the-art facility will use innovative technology to manufacture steel wind towers, bringing approximately 140 high-value jobs to Sault Ste. Marie;
  - the Northern Communities Investment Readiness initiative, which is helping northern communities identify and market their strengths to the world; and
  - Ontario’s North, a new video that is part of a Go North marketing package that will be taken to international markets to showcase the north’s business advantages, sector strengths and quality of life.

**Forest Products**

Ontario’s forest-products industry plays a vital role in the Province’s economy, particularly in northern Ontario, where it provides employment for some 30,000 people and is the mainstay of many communities.
Although global demand for wood and paper products continues to grow at a healthy rate and Ontario has many strengths in terms of experienced workers, proximity to key markets and a large resource base, the industry is facing a challenging period. The difficulties facing the industry include a strong Canadian dollar; U.S. softwood lumber duties; increasing supplies of low-cost plantation-grown fibre abroad; and weakening North American demand for newsprint and office papers.

Fundamental changes are needed to address these challenges.

Following up on the report of the Minister of Natural Resources’ Advisory Council on Forest Sector Competitiveness in 2005, the government announced $680 million in assistance for the industry to help lever investments to improve its competitiveness. The Ministry of Natural Resources is moving to implement this assistance, including the $150 million Forest Prosperity Fund, cost-sharing for forest access roads, enhanced forest inventories and streamlined forestry approval processes. In addition, on February 22, 2006, the Premier announced a further $220 million over three years to help strengthen the industry.

The forest industry’s continuing strength requires a sustained effort and the cooperation of companies, workers, communities and senior levels of government. This includes ensuring that the federal government follows through on the November 2005 commitment of a $1.5 billion, five-year national program to help forest companies, workers and communities.

**ONTARIO IS ENCOURAGING FOREST INDUSTRY TRANSFORMATION**

- **$350 million** in loan guarantees to stimulate new investments.
- **$150 million** in grants to encourage new investment in co-generation, energy conservation and more value-added products.
- **$28 million** annually to help fund forest access roads.
- **$10 million** annually to fund enhanced forest resource inventories.
- **$5 million** over five years to promote new and innovative wood products.
- **Streamlining forestry-related regulations and approval processes.**

**Recently announced initiatives**

- A three-year extension of the transitional revenue limit on a portion of OPG output will help bring stability to the Ontario forest industry’s electricity costs.
- Increased funding for forest access roads from $28 million to $75 million per year.
- A one-time $70 million refund in stumpage charges.
- A three-year, $3 million annual reduction in stumpage charges for white birch and veneer-grade poplar.

This Budget is also proposing to parallel federal tax measures to support co-generation systems that use a form of biomass called black liquor.
Mining

Mining has played a long and distinguished role in Ontario. Some of the world’s outstanding deposits of nickel, gold and copper have been discovered here. Today, Ontario’s first diamond mine is under development.

Currently, Ontario produces some $7 billion per year of metals, non-metallic minerals and aggregates. The industry directly employs 50,000 people across Ontario. In addition, Toronto has emerged as one of the world’s premier centres of mining finance. Over 1,100 mining and exploration companies are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange.

The mining industry is currently enjoying an exceptional boom in global demand, prices and profitability, and has a bright future, owing in large part to the robust demand expected from rapidly growing economies such as China and India.

Sustained strong investment in mineral exploration is also essential to the industry’s continued well-being. Exploration expenditures in Ontario have nearly tripled since 2001 to an estimated $321 million in 2005, and are vital to the ongoing need to identify new ore reserves to sustain long-term mining activities.

New mining developments currently underway in Ontario include DeBeers’ diamond mine near Attawapiskat; Falconbridge’s new Nickel Rim mine in the Sudbury area; the expansion of Falconbridge’s copper-zinc mine in Timmins; and the expansion of Goldcorp’s gold mine in Red Lake.

Ontario has an opportunity to play a larger role in mining-related technology and innovation, and in the creation of an expanding range of value-adding goods and services. The recent launch of the new Centre for Excellence in Mining Innovation in Sudbury represents a new milestone in this direction. The Ontario Government is providing $10 million to Laurentian University to help launch the new Centre.
ONTARIO SUPPORT FOR MINING

- $10 million to Laurentian University to support the recent launch of the new Centre for Excellence in Mining Innovation in Sudbury.
- Recently announced Ontario Mineral Development Strategy to promote sound, sustainable growth.
- $15 million over three years for geological mapping in the Far North.
- One-stop Internet access to provincial mining-related services.
- $60 million over six years for cleanup of abandoned mines to protect the public and the environment.
- A reduction in the Ontario mining tax rate from 10 per cent to 5 per cent for new remote mines in Ontario.
- A three-year mining tax holiday on the first $10 million of profits generated by a new mine or a major expansion of an existing mine located in Ontario.
- A 10-year mining tax holiday on the first $10 million of profits generated by a new mine opened in a remote Ontario location.
- A 25 per cent resource allowance for corporate income tax purposes.
- Mineral exploration is eligible for flow-through share treatment and a five per cent share credit.

MANUFACTURING

The McGuinty government recognizes that a vibrant manufacturing sector is essential to Ontario’s prosperity. Manufacturing accounts for about 17 per cent of Ontario’s employment, 21 per cent of the province’s GDP and 88 per cent of its international merchandise exports. Ontario’s highly skilled workforce and labour cost advantages, including public health care, contribute to the competitiveness of the sector.

From 1994 to 2004, Ontario led North America in manufacturing job growth with a gain of 259,000 net new manufacturing jobs — more than any other Canadian province or U.S. state. Today, Ontario has the second-highest number of manufacturing employees of any province or state in North America. However, in 2005, Ontario’s manufacturing sector came under increased competitive pressures due to a higher Canadian dollar and higher energy costs. As a result, manufacturing employment in 2005 declined 3.3 per cent.

Despite these pressures, the sector is proving resilient. Ontario manufacturing productivity increased 4.3 per cent in 2005. The higher dollar made it less expensive for companies to make capital investments to improve productivity by lowering the price of imported machinery and equipment. In 2005, expenditures in new machinery and equipment by Ontario’s manufacturing sector increased by 7.4 per cent. This was the highest increase since 1998.

That being said, the government appreciates how difficult it has been for employees facing job loss or uncertainty in the manufacturing sector. The government provides a number of services for affected workers. These include:
- a $2.1 billion Jobs and Skills Renewal Strategy, including a One-Stop Training and Employment System that provides programs for the quick retraining and rapid re-employment of workers affected by layoffs and plant closures;

- adjustment committees, which provide a range of services for affected workers including job search assistance, vocational and business counselling, and training information; and

- ongoing support and strategies to encourage the auto industry to make new investments in Ontario.

The Ontario Government has been investing in the competitiveness of the manufacturing sector by putting in place policies that foster a positive investment climate in the province, including the enhancement of Ontario’s economic infrastructure.

- In the past two years, Ontario surpassed Michigan as the leading auto-producing jurisdiction in North America. Ward’s, the leading source of automotive market analysis and data, forecasts that Ontario will continue to lead North America over the near future.

- The Ontario Automotive Investment Strategy (OAIS) ensures that Ontario enhances its competitive advantage in this key export industry. So far, the government’s auto strategy has leveraged over $5.7 billion in new investment in this sector.

  - Four of the world’s leading auto producers — General Motors, Toyota, Ford and DaimlerChrysler — have committed to making new investments in Ontario in cutting-edge auto manufacturing technology, confirming that Ontario continues to be one of the best places in the world to manufacture automobiles.

  - Last year’s announcement of a new Toyota auto plant in Woodstock – the first greenfield assembly plant in the province in nearly 20 years – was quickly followed in March of this year by announcements to establish a Hino Motors Ltd. truck assembly facility in Woodstock, and a Toyotetsu auto-parts plant in Simcoe. These announcements are further proof that the government’s auto strategy is working, and confirm Ontario’s economic advantages in attracting investment – great location, a skilled workforce, and excellent health care and education systems.

- Hybrid electric vehicles promise to be an emerging growth area for the future of the automobile sector, helping to reduce greenhouse gases. To promote the use of hybrid electric vehicles in Ontario, and signal the importance for Ontario of maintaining leadership in North American auto production, the Ontario Government proposes to double the maximum retail sales tax rebate for qualifying hybrid electric vehicles from $1,000 to $2,000 for vehicles delivered to purchasers after March 23, 2006.

- Ontario’s $500 million Advanced Manufacturing Investment Strategy (AMIS) will help Ontario’s industrial community make investments in leading technologies and innovations in order to keep them globally competitive.
The Ontario Government’s Reaching Higher plan for postsecondary education will make $6.2 billion in new cumulative investments by 2009–10 in universities and colleges, apprenticeships and skills programs to help address skills shortages in manufacturing as well as provide training for workers in transition.

The government’s ReNew Ontario plan is expanding and improving provincial highways and relieving congestion at border crossings. The government is also investing an additional $1.2 billion in transit, roads and bridges under the Move Ontario plan announced in this Budget.

The three years of stable pricing on electricity provided by OPG for all electricity consumers will help the province’s manufacturers to better manage electricity costs.

TAX INCENTIVES THAT BENEFIT ONTARIO’S MANUFACTURING SECTOR

- A proposed five per cent rate cut in Ontario’s capital tax beginning in 2007.
- A corporate income tax (CIT) rate that is two percentage points below the general CIT rate.
- The proposed doubling, subject to federal implementation, of the non-capital loss carry-forward period from 10 to 20 years.
- A 25 to 30 per cent refundable tax credit for hiring apprentices in industrial, construction, motive power and certain service trades.
- Corporate tax incentives for research and development.
- A bonus 30 per cent CIT deduction for new pollution control equipment.
- A 100 per cent CIT writeoff for new assets used to generate electricity from clean, alternative or renewable sources.
- A capital tax exemption for new assets used to generate electricity from clean, alternative or renewable sources.
- A retail sales tax (RST) exemption for production machinery and equipment.
- An RST exemption for reinforced concrete used to make production machinery and equipment.
- An RST exemption for materials incorporated into goods for sale.

Further information on these and other Ontario tax provisions can be found in the 2005 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review, Background Papers.

Despite the challenges faced by Ontario’s manufacturing sector, the government is confident that manufacturing will continue to be a successful and productive participant in Ontario’s diverse economy.

The Entertainment and Creative Cluster

In 2004, the entertainment and creative cluster contributed nearly $9.9 billion to Ontario’s economy (2.3 per cent of GDP). Ontario is North America’s third-largest employer in the entertainment and creative industries, after California and New York. In 2005, Ontario employment in the cluster totalled approximately 185,000 people, accounting for 42 per cent of Canada’s total workforce in this cluster. In addition to this direct economic contribution, the presence of the cluster enhances quality of life, creativity and innovation, which further boosts economic growth by attracting tourists, businesses, skilled workers, and highly mobile professionals and investors.
The entertainment and creative cluster includes a broad range of creative content industries, including film and television production, sound recording, and book and magazine publishing. The cluster also includes fast-growing new media industries (e.g., digital special effects and interactive products like video and computer games) and independent artists, authors, musicians and filmmakers.

The development of this cluster is characterized by the enhancement of economic linkages and synergies among these various creative industries, as well as linkages with other related industries, including fashion, architecture, graphic and industrial design, computing (hardware and software) and telecommunications.

In order to reinforce and further support the entertainment and creative cluster, the government is committing to a number of initiatives including:

- a proposed extension of the enhanced 18 per cent rate for the Ontario Production Services Tax Credit until March 31, 2007;

- a proposed enhancement of the Ontario Interactive Digital Media Tax Credit to provide more support for smaller companies and to facilitate access to this tax credit for large companies and more industries, such as Ontario-based interactive video-game developers;

- providing $49 million to support Ontario’s major cultural agencies and attractions. The Royal Ontario Museum, Art Gallery of Ontario, Canadian Opera Company, National Ballet School, Royal Conservatory of Music and Gardiner Museum of Ceramic Art will all receive funding to help them realize their full economic potential as premier tourist attractions;

- allocating $10 million to the Ontario Heritage Trust in support of its mandate to identify, preserve and protect Ontario’s heritage, as well as to increase public awareness of the importance of heritage conservation;

- establishing the Entertainment and Creative Cluster Partnerships Fund. This new fund will provide $7.5 million over the next three years to promote cooperation between firms among the different sectors in the cluster. Project areas will include entrepreneurship and skills development, domestic and international marketing, new product prototype funding and development of cluster performance measures; and

- providing $1 million in support for development and production plans for the 2007 Toronto International Arts Festival. This is in addition to $1 million in support provided to Festival organizers in 2005. From a base in Toronto, the Festival will highlight and make use of artistic and cultural venues and resources from other parts of Ontario including Niagara, Stratford and Muskoka.
MARKETS FOR VIDEO AND COMPUTER GAMES AND ONTARIO’S POTENTIAL

According to a June 2005 report by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), the global market for video and computer games is expected to register one of the highest growth rates among the various sectors of the entertainment and creative cluster, with a projected compound annual growth rate of 16.5 per cent over the 2005–09 period.2

The video- and computer-game sector consists of four segments: PC games, console games, online games and wireless games. According to the PwC report, online and wireless games have the fastest growth potential, with projected compound annual growth rates of 45.5 per cent and 49.7 per cent respectively between 2005 and 2009.

Factors that position Ontario as a successful growth hub in the video-game area include:

- a large pool of creative talent and a strong record of innovation, supported by well-established postsecondary institutions such as Sheridan, Seneca, George Brown, Ryerson and McMaster, which offer a wide range of programs that produce highly skilled graduates;
- researchers and developers achieving success in parallel fields such as multimedia and animation;
- finance, business and marketing strengths vital to the production, publication, distribution and commercialization of new products;
- proximity and linkages with the United States, home of the largest video-game industry and the second-largest market; and
- one of the most ethnically diverse regions in the world, which positions the province well to link with and gain access to the Asia and Pacific markets, which are projected to experience one of the fastest rates of growth between 2005 and 2009.

The government’s support to the video- and computer-games segment is reflected in the proposal to enhance the existing Ontario Interactive Digital Media Tax Credit to facilitate access to this program for Ontario-based video-game developers.

---

Section VII: Building the Investment Climate

Continued growth in Ontario’s economy depends on the vitality of the investment climate and the health of its diverse economic sectors. Creating opportunity for investment is important to the health of the economy. There are a number of ways that the government can support a strong investment climate, including many of the measures related to infrastructure, education, training and innovation discussed in the previous sections.

Competitive Taxes: Accelerating Ontario Capital Tax Rate Cut

A competitive tax system is essential to attract business investment and foster economic growth in a highly competitive global economy.

Although corporate income tax rates in Ontario remain competitive with surrounding jurisdictions, Ontario’s capital tax — which taxes business investment rather than profits — is widely recognized as a barrier to attracting investment and fostering new economic growth. One of the key areas where the Ontario economy has underperformed the United States is investment as a share of GDP. This has stood in the way of high-productivity job creation, which is needed to fully utilize our skilled workforce.

The government enacted legislation in 2004 to enhance Ontario’s investment climate by gradually phasing out the province’s capital tax at a pace that enabled the funding of key government priorities such as education, health and infrastructure. This capital tax elimination plan included increases to the deduction, followed by reductions to the capital tax rates until the tax is eliminated in 2012. The deduction is being increased from $5 million in 2004 to $15 million in 2008, which will have the effect, by 2008, of exempting more than 14,000 additional corporations from the capital tax. Starting on January 1, 2009, the capital tax rates are being reduced every year so that by January 1, 2012, the tax is completely eliminated.

However, recognizing the increased importance of attracting new investment to the province, the government is proposing, in this Budget, to accelerate the capital tax rate cut. Effective January 1, 2007, the current rate would be cut by five per cent — a full two years earlier than currently scheduled. Further, the government intends to eliminate the tax in 2010 should the fiscal position of the Province allow.

Increased capital investment creates widespread benefits to people throughout the Ontario economy. It provides incentives to invest in new technology that raises productivity, allowing companies to pay higher wages and create more and better jobs. The capital tax rate cut proposed in this Budget will further enhance Ontario’s already competitive business environment in a fiscally responsible way.
Combined corporate income tax rates in Ontario compare favourably with most competing jurisdictions. Ontario’s combined statutory corporate income tax rates are below the average for the United States, particularly for manufacturing, and are below the combined rates in each of the Great Lakes states against which Ontario competes most directly.³

**Ontario Supports Small Business Through the Tax System**⁴

- Ontario’s preferential small business corporate income tax (CIT) rate permits eligible Canadian-controlled private corporations to save $825 million annually.
- Small businesses, credit unions, caisse populaires, family farm corporations and family fishing corporations that are exempt from Ontario’s capital tax save over $255 million annually.
- Small businesses are exempt from Ontario’s corporate minimum tax.
- Private-sector employers eligible for an Employer Health Tax Exemption save $575 million annually.
- Small businesses hiring apprentices or co-op students are eligible for enhanced refundable tax credits.

With Ontario’s highly educated workforce, competitive tax environment, health care cost advantage, and supportive business environment, Ontario remains a leader in attracting new business and fostering a new generation of economic growth. The McGuinty government is committed to policies that will help Ontario improve this economic advantage.

**Modernizing Business and Financial Services Regulation**

Along with competitive taxation, a competitive regulatory framework also supports a positive investment climate and a growing economy. Ontario is continuing to modernize its business and financial regulation in order to maintain a high-quality business environment, stay ahead of fast-changing global markets and build on Ontario’s economic advantage.

Modern regulation supports both dynamic markets that unlock economic growth potential and consumer choice, and provides protection for consumers and investors. This includes making sure regulation is up-to-date and internationally competitive, so that businesses can adapt to changing markets and to new ways of serving customers. High-quality business and financial regulation, which supports market confidence and efficiency, can be a key competitive advantage that helps attract investment.

³ A detailed comparison of marginal effective tax rates (METR) – another way to look at tax competitiveness – was carried out by the federal Department of Finance in the paper *Tax Expenditures and Evaluations* (November 2005). They found that, using a projection of proposed federal and provincial tax changes, the METR for manufacturing in Ontario would be below the average for the mid-western region of the United States, which includes key manufacturing states such as Michigan, Illinois and Ohio.

Modern and competitive regulation is pivotal to the growth of two key sectors of the economy: business services and financial services. They represent Ontario’s top two traded industry clusters, according to the Institute for Competitiveness and Prosperity.

A high-quality regulatory environment is among the many advantages that Canadian financial institutions recognize as helping to anchor their location in Toronto. Toronto’s pool of skilled professionals is another such advantage — Toronto is home to the second-largest number of financial analysts in the world, after New York. More businesses locate their head office in Toronto than in any other city in Canada.

Canada, however, is the only major nation without a national securities regulator, and this may contribute to Canada falling short of achieving its full economic potential. Ontario will continue to promote the creation of a common securities regulator in Canada.

Modern regulation and commercial laws that protect the public interest on a cost-effective basis help companies start up and grow. As a result, governments are taking a closer look at their business regulations to reduce barriers to growth for small and medium-sized businesses, a key engine of growth.

The Small Business Agency of Ontario has been set up to:

- promote regulatory best practices, including facilitating compliance while protecting health and safety;
- streamline paperwork; and
- ensure that small business interests are part of government decision-making. Last fall, through the agency, the government launched a new online Regulatory Registry to facilitate the exchange of information between government regulators and businesses regarding the impact of regulatory proposals on small business.

Regulatory reforms undertaken by the Ministry of Labour to help advance safe, fair and harmonious workplace practices are having a positive impact on Ontario’s economic climate. More effective, targeted inspection and enforcement have helped to create safer workplaces.
On February 20, 2006, the government introduced the Mortgage Brokerages, Lenders and Administrators Act, 2006 to replace the Mortgage Brokers Act. The proposed legislation will strengthen consumer protection and modernize the regulatory framework in a fast-growing segment of the financial services market.

With over $23 billion in assets, credit unions and caisses populaires play a vital role in the economic life of communities throughout Ontario. The government has consulted on proposals to modernize the rules governing credit unions and caisses populaires. The proposals would streamline regulatory processes; enhance the ability of credit unions and caisses populaires to manage risk, strengthen governance, and increase operating efficiency; and improve consumer protection. The government plans to release draft regulations on rules for capital adequacy and lending, and to introduce amendments to the Credit Unions and Caisses Populaires Act at the earliest opportunity.

The government is making continued progress on business law reforms. It has introduced legislation to update the legal framework for the electronic transfer of securities, and has enacted provisions that will eliminate some of the inconsistencies and duplication between business and securities laws. The government is exploring further legislative changes to update business laws and make them more consistent with Ontario securities laws.

The government responded to the Standing Committee on Finance and Economic Affairs (SCFEA) Report on the Five-Year Review of the Securities Act by implementing civil liability for secondary-market disclosure. The government also enacted new provisions to strengthen the legislature's oversight of the Ontario Securities Commission (OSC), and give the OSC broader rule-making authority in relation to corporate and investment fund governance, and provide shareholder flexibility to communicate during a takeover bid.

The government has also approved OSC fee reductions that more closely align the revenues they generate with the costs of regulation — these changes are expected to cut OSC fee revenues by 16.7 per cent on average in each of the next three years.

The McGuinty government continues its strong advocacy for a common securities regulator in Canada, which is key to making Canada’s securities markets more competitive and efficient. The Minister of Government Services has received and is carefully considering “A Blueprint for a New Model — A Discussion Paper by the Crawford Panel on a Single Canadian Securities Regulator,” while engaging other jurisdictions in discussing how to move forward towards that objective.

The government plans to propose additional legislative changes to harmonize securities regulation across jurisdictions and streamline Ontario’s securities and corporate laws.

The government is working with other jurisdictions and the Canadian Public Accountability Board (CPAB) to maintain an effective and well-functioning oversight system for audit firms, which supports public confidence in the integrity of financial reporting by public companies.

Ontario endeavours to ensure that provincially incorporated businesses operate under fair and appropriate rules that are consistent with those in other jurisdictions. The government intends to amend the Insurance Act, and make complementary amendments to the Corporations Act, to allow Ontario’s farm mutual insurance companies and other provincially regulated insurers to operate under investment and corporate governance rules similar to those at the federal level.
The Ontario economy has faced substantial challenges from high oil prices and a record-high increase in the Canadian dollar over the past two years. Despite these obstacles, Ontario’s economic growth over this period has exceeded the expectations of most forecasters.

The ability of the economy to withstand these external risks is an indication of strong fundamentals and the hard work and ingenuity of Ontario’s people and businesses. Ontario has a vibrant and highly diversified economy that is well positioned to compete in the world economy.

These challenges from the global economy will be a fact of life for the foreseeable future. This makes it even more important for all levels of government to work together to ensure that the environment for doing business in Ontario is as strong as possible, to maximize our competitive advantages and encourage investment that creates growth in productivity and rising standards of living for Ontario families.

The Ontario Government has the responsibility for providing some of the key economic resources and services that will contribute to strengthening Ontario’s economic advantage.

The government’s plan includes key elements that will:

- bring Ontario’s economic infrastructure up to 21st-century standards;
- create a comprehensive, efficient and safe provincial transit system;
- ensure a reliable and environmentally sustainable supply of electricity;
- invest in education and health care;
- foster a culture of innovation;
- work with key sectors such as forestry to adjust to changing conditions; and
- ensure competitive tax rates, including a proposed five per cent capital tax rate cut.

The government’s strategy is about maximizing the potential of Ontarians. Ontario’s economy has some of the strongest fundamentals in the world. Ontario is already a great place to live and do business, but it has the potential to be even greater. The right government policies, carried out in a fiscally responsible manner, will help Ontario achieve its potential.
PAPER B: Appendix

Ontario’s Economic Outlook
Introduction and Overview

This appendix outlines Ontario’s current economic outlook. Ontario is expected to see sustained economic growth, despite the challenges arising from the global environment. The growing economy will contribute to revenue growth, keeping the fiscal plan on track.

The Ontario economy showed moderate growth in 2005, with real gross domestic product (GDP) increasing an estimated 2.7 per cent, significantly higher than the 2.0 per cent projection used for planning purposes in the 2005 Budget. Economic growth in 2005 was also well above the average private-sector projection at the time of the 2005 Budget (2.3 per cent). This is a testament to the fundamental strength of the Ontario economy.

Although short-term interest rates moved moderately higher and oil prices reached record levels, consumer spending, the housing market and real business investment held up well in 2005. Ontario’s exporters faced significant challenges because of the sharp rise in the Canadian dollar, but managed to record modest gains, increasing exports for the second straight year. Employment continued to rise, reducing the annual average unemployment rate to 6.6 per cent — the lowest rate in four years.

External forces continue to represent a challenge for Ontario’s growth prospects. The Canadian dollar has continued to climb, reaching a 14-year high in early 2006, while oil prices remain close to all-time highs. The Bank of Canada has indicated that it may continue to raise interest rates. Most forecasters expect limited interest rate increases as national growth moderates and inflation remains low.

The economic forecast underlying the fiscal plan is intended to be prudent, taking into account significant risks from adverse external developments. The Ministry of Finance is therefore projecting real GDP growth of 2.3 per cent for 2006. As Ontario adjusts to the higher Canadian dollar and energy prices ease, growth is expected to improve to 2.5 per cent in 2007 and 2.9 per cent in 2008. These projections of economic growth support the outlook for base taxation revenue growth of 3.6 per cent in 2006–07 and an average of 4.7 per cent over the following two years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real GDP Growth</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal GDP Growth</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI Inflation</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e = Ministry of Finance estimate for real and nominal GDP growth; p = projection.
Sources: Statistics Canada and Ontario Ministry of Finance.

1 This Budget is based on information available up to March 16, 2006.
Ontario’s Economic Outlook

This section discusses the Ontario economic outlook for 2006 to 2008. In order to establish reasonable fiscal plans, the government consults with private-sector forecasters to develop economic projections. The Minister of Finance met with private-sector economists in the process of developing this Budget to obtain expert opinion on the economic challenges facing the province and advice on economic projections and assumptions. The assumptions about Ontario’s economic growth that are used for planning purposes are typically below the average private-sector forecast.

Currently, private-sector economists, on average, expect Ontario real GDP growth of 2.6 per cent in 2006, 2.6 per cent in 2007 and 3.1 per cent in 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private-Sector Forecasts for Ontario Real GDP Growth (Per Cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Board (February)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Insight (January)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Spatial Economics (November)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Toronto (March)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Montreal (December)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC Financial (March)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotiabank (March)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD Bank (February)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesbitt Burns (March)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIBC World Markets (February)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private-Sector Survey Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario’s Planning Assumption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sources: Ontario Ministry of Finance and Ontario Ministry of Finance Survey of Forecasts (March 2006).*
Ontario is part of an increasingly integrated global economy. This economic outlook reflects developments in external forces that have adversely affected Ontario’s growth prospects. Oil prices have risen sharply over the past two years and are expected to remain high. The Canadian dollar is now expected to remain above 85 cents US over the medium term. The U.S. economy is expected to grow at a healthy rate in 2006, although more slowly than last year’s pace.

Private-sector forecasters expect oil prices to average $60.50 US per barrel in 2006 and $56.60 US per barrel in 2007, reflecting strong global demand and potential supply disruptions due to ongoing political instability in key oil-producing regions. High oil prices reduce the amount of discretionary income available for households to spend on other goods and services. For many businesses, high oil prices reduce profits and their ability to fund new investments.

Forecasts for the Canadian dollar currently average 86.6 cents US for 2006 and 86.9 cents US for 2007. The rise in the Canadian dollar is presenting a significant challenge for Ontario’s export-oriented manufacturing sector. Despite the high dollar, manufacturing output has increased and firms have raised productivity. Partly offsetting its negative impact on competitiveness, the high dollar benefits Ontario households and businesses by lowering the cost of imported consumer goods and machinery and equipment.

U.S. economic growth is expected to decline from 3.5 per cent in 2005 to 3.4 per cent in 2006 and 3.0 per cent in 2007. While growth will benefit from relatively low interest rates and post-hurricane rebuilding, high levels of consumer debt and the massive U.S. fiscal and current account deficits raise questions about the sustainability of U.S. economic growth.

The Bank of Canada is expected to raise interest rates in 2006 and long-term bond yields are expected to move up. This will dampen household and business spending.

The table below highlights the average private-sector forecast of several external factors that are key determinants of Ontario’s economic growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY EXTERNAL FACTORS AFFECTING ONTARIO’S ECONOMY</th>
<th>AVERAGE PRIVATE-SECTOR FORECAST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Dollar (Cents US)</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Oil ($ US per Barrel)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Real GDP Growth (Per Cent)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month Treasury Bill Rate (Per Cent)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year Government Bond Rate (Per Cent)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next table shows the typical range for the first- and second-year impact of changes in these external factors on the real growth of the Ontario economy. These estimates are based on historical relationships and illustrate the upper and lower bounds for the average response. The results show the implications of changes in key assumptions in isolation from changes to other external factors. The combination of other circumstances can also have a substantial bearing on the actual outcome. The range of possible impacts reflects a variety of factors. For example:

- A percentage point increase in U.S. real growth would add 0.3 to 0.7 percentage points to real growth in Ontario in the first year. In this case, the range in part reflects the fact that the impact on Ontario growth depends on the composition of U.S. growth.

- A five-cent rise in the Canadian dollar would reduce Ontario real growth by 0.2 to 0.9 percentage points in the first year. This range reflects a number of uncertainties, such as the extent to which firms pass lower import costs through to domestic prices for goods and services in Canada.

- A sustained $10 US per barrel increase in the price of world crude oil would lower U.S. growth and trim Ontario’s real growth by 0.3 to 0.7 percentage points in the first year. This impact assumes a matching rise in natural gas prices, since it is a substitute source of energy. The range is due in part to uncertainty regarding the degree to which higher energy costs affect consumer and business expectations and behaviour.

- A one percentage point rise in nominal interest rates would reduce Ontario real GDP growth by 0.1 to 0.5 percentage points in the first year. Real growth would be reduced further in the second year, owing to the length of time it takes for monetary policy changes to affect spending. Higher interest rates discourage interest-sensitive spending such as housing and durable-goods purchases. The range in part reflects the extent to which the negative impact would be offset by higher interest income.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts of Changes in Key Assumptions on Ontario Real GDP Growth</th>
<th>First Year</th>
<th>Second Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Dollar Appreciates by Five Cents US</td>
<td>-0.2 to -0.9</td>
<td>-0.7 to -1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Crude Oil Prices Increase by $10 US per Barrel</td>
<td>-0.3 to -0.7</td>
<td>-0.1 to -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Real GDP Growth Increases by One Percentage Point</td>
<td>+0.3 to +0.7</td>
<td>+0.4 to +0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Interest Rates Increase by One Percentage Point</td>
<td>-0.1 to -0.5</td>
<td>-0.2 to -0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Impacts based on changes being sustained.

Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.
OIL PRICES

Crude oil prices soared to record levels in 2005, climbing 36 per cent to an average of $56.50 US per barrel. This was the fourth consecutive year that oil prices rose. Over this period, prices for this key commodity have more than doubled. The sharp rise in oil prices reflects a combination of very strong global demand and some supply disruptions in key oil-producing regions.

Accompanying the rise in crude oil prices, the price of natural gas spiked by about 45 per cent in 2005. Strong demand, in combination with hurricane-induced supply losses, contributed to a very tight market and high prices for natural gas.

Private-sector forecasters predict that the main factors affecting energy markets in recent years will continue to play a significant role in 2006. As a result, crude oil prices are expected to average $60.50 US per barrel in 2006. As additional production capacity comes online over the medium term, private-sector forecasters expect oil prices will decline to $49.90 US by 2008.

The sharp run-up in oil prices during the past two years has not appreciably slowed the growth of the global economy. Unlike past periods, when oil price spikes contributed to significantly slower economic growth, the recent increase in prices has been mainly the result of strong demand, not steep withdrawals of supply. Furthermore, industrial economies, including Ontario, have become more energy efficient over the past three decades, reducing the rate of energy consumption relative to GDP by about 50 per cent over this period.

For planning purposes, oil prices are assumed to average $61 US per barrel in 2006, and then gradually decline to $57 US in 2007 and $52 US in 2008, slightly higher than the private-sector average.
The U.S. economy continued to expand at a strong pace in 2005, with real GDP growing 3.5 per cent, following a gain of 4.2 per cent in 2004. Forecasters expect continued healthy growth in the U.S. economy, although at a slower pace. The Blue Chip Economic Indicators survey projects U.S. real GDP growth of 3.4 per cent in 2006, 3.0 per cent in 2007 and 3.1 per cent in 2008.

While forecasters expect the U.S. economy to continue growing at a healthy pace, there are a number of risks to growth on the horizon.

To combat increased inflation pressures, the U.S. Federal Reserve has tightened monetary conditions considerably, increasing its benchmark rate 350 basis points since June 2004. The Federal Reserve estimates that a 100 basis point rise in interest rates reduces real growth by 0.6 percentage points in the first year and 1.1 percentage points in the second year. Although forecasters expect the pace of consumer spending growth to ease modestly in 2006, rising interest rates, in combination with already high gasoline prices and elevated personal debt levels, create a risk of a sharper slowdown. The residential construction sector has been an important driver of the current U.S. expansion, as the low interest rate environment encouraged strong housing demand. However, signs of weakening housing market activity appeared in late 2005, prompted in part by rising mortgage rates and the accompanying deterioration in home affordability. This softening could lead to a slower pace of new homebuilding. If home prices also decline, household wealth would be lower and reduced funds would be available for consumer spending through mortgage equity withdrawal. Higher interest rates would also increase financing costs, leaving less money available for discretionary consumer spending.

The very large U.S. fiscal and current account deficits raise concerns about the sustainability of the pace of U.S. economic growth. The U.S. federal budget deficit fell 23 per cent to $319 billion US in the 2005 fiscal year, or 2.6 per cent of GDP, compared to 3.5 per cent in 2004. However, the government’s budget shortfall is likely to worsen in 2006, reflecting in large part post-hurricane rebuilding expenditures, expanded prescription-drug coverage and an extension of the alternate minimum tax threshold. The U.S. current account deficit as a share of GDP reached 6.4 per cent in 2005, up from 5.7 per cent in 2004. Private-sector forecasters expect the U.S. current account deficit to show little improvement in 2006, owing to moderate foreign demand and the U.S. dollar’s strength against the euro and Japanese yen over the past year.
Both the fiscal and current account deficits require a large amount of external financing and have made the United States increasingly dependent on foreign capital inflows. If the confidence of international investors in the health of the U.S. economy were to falter, this could sharply reduce funds supplied to U.S. markets, potentially resulting in considerably higher interest rates and weakening consumer and business demand.

The Canadian dollar has steadily appreciated against the U.S. dollar for three consecutive years, reaching a 14-year high of over 88 cents US in early 2006. The Canadian dollar was the strongest-performing major currency in 2005. Compared to other major currencies, the Canadian dollar appreciated 5.0 per cent against the U.S. dollar, 18.5 per cent versus the euro and 19.8 per cent against the Japanese yen in 2005.

The strength of Canada’s currency presents a significant challenge to Ontario exporters as they compete in an increasingly competitive global marketplace for traded goods and services. The high dollar tends to have a bigger impact on Ontario than the other provinces. In 2005, international exports were equivalent to 42 per cent of Ontario’s GDP — more than seven percentage points higher than the rest of Canada.
Ontario manufacturers have responded to the high dollar by increasing investment in machinery and equipment, thereby boosting productivity, finding operating efficiencies and introducing other cost-saving measures. Since about 60 per cent of Ontario machinery and equipment is imported, the high dollar has significantly lowered the cost of these investment goods. The higher dollar also improves the purchasing capacity of consumers by reducing prices of imported goods and lowering international travel costs.

There is a wide range of views among forecasters about the future path of the Canadian dollar, with forecasts ranging from 84.1 to 89.0 cents US in 2006 and from 81.6 to 88.6 cents US in 2008. For the purposes of this Budget, the Canadian dollar is prudently assumed to average 87.0 cents US — above the average private-sector forecast for the dollar in every year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE CANADIAN DOLLAR OUTLOOK (CENTS US)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private-Sector Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Assumption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = projection.

Sources: Ontario Ministry of Finance and Ontario Ministry of Finance Survey of Forecasts (March 2006).
Despite facing the competitive challenge of an almost 38 per cent appreciation of the Canadian dollar since early 2002, Ontario exports have continued to grow, bolstered by a strong world economy. In 2004, Ontario’s total real exports advanced 5.6 per cent, led by a 7.3 per cent rise in international merchandise exports, the strongest gain in four years. Although the pace of export growth slowed in 2005, real exports rose an estimated 2.7 per cent. This ongoing strength in exports also reflects the adaptability of Ontario industry, which has responded to the high dollar by increasing productivity, lowering input costs and shifting to higher-value products.

Economic growth in the rest of Canada, the United States and overseas is expected to provide solid demand for Ontario exports in 2006. However, the ongoing strength of the Canadian dollar is projected to limit total export growth to 2.5 per cent in 2006. As exporters adjust to the higher value of the Canadian dollar, Ontario exports are expected to rise by an average of 3.2 per cent in the 2007 and 2008 period. Ontario real imports are forecast to increase by an average of 3.7 per cent a year from 2006 to 2008.

The United States is Ontario’s most important trading partner, by a wide margin, purchasing almost 90 per cent of the province’s international merchandise exports in 2005. The auto sector accounted for about 45 per cent of the province’s merchandise exports to the United States. Demand for motor vehicles in the United States picked up slightly to 17.4 million units in 2005, from 17.3 million in 2004. While U.S. motor-vehicle sales are expected to decline to 16.9 million units in 2006, inventory levels have been reduced significantly and production is expected to rise. In addition, the introduction of new models should give Ontario exports a boost. Ontario’s share of North American vehicle production has risen from 15.7 per cent in 2003 to 16.4 per cent in 2005. Ontario is now the largest motor-vehicle assembler in North America, surpassing Michigan in the past two years. Over the medium term, Ontario auto exports will benefit from new production lines, including the new Toyota plant in Woodstock, which is scheduled to begin production in 2008. Toyota’s investment decision was followed in March 2006 with announcements of plans to establish a Hino Motors Ltd. truck assembly plant, also in Woodstock, and a Toyotetsu auto parts plant in Simcoe.
Machinery and equipment exports, which account for about 21 per cent of the province’s international merchandise exports, increased 4.4 per cent in value in 2005. With robust corporate profits, strong cash flows and high rates of capacity utilization, U.S. businesses are expected to increase real outlays on investment in equipment and software by 9.8 per cent in 2006 and 5.9 per cent a year during the 2007 to 2008 period, providing a growing market for Ontario exporters.

Industrial goods and materials exports (such as iron, steel, other metals, rubber and plastics), which account for about 19 per cent of Ontario’s international merchandise exports, were the strongest-growing export category in 2005, up 6.5 per cent in current dollars. Global growth is expected to remain buoyant in 2006 and 2007, continuing to provide solid demand for Ontario exports of these products.

The rapidly growing emerging economies, including Brazil, Russia, India and China, have become increasingly important markets for Ontario exports. Over the past four years, Ontario merchandise exports to India had a threefold increase, followed by Brazil (up 87 per cent), Russia (up 77 per cent) and China (up 67 per cent). These countries account for only one per cent of Ontario’s exports but almost eight per cent of Ontario’s imports. Over the medium term, these emerging markets are expected to maintain strong rates of economic growth, creating opportunities for Ontario.

Since 2002, Ontario’s nominal exports to other provinces increased by a cumulative 15.3 per cent. Sales to other provinces as a share of total Ontario exports have increased from 27.6 per cent in 2002 to 30.9 per cent in 2005. This has occurred in part because of strong economic growth in some other regions of Canada and in part because of the adverse impact of the rising Canadian dollar on Ontario’s international exports.

**INTEREST RATES AND INFLATION**

The Bank of Canada has increased its benchmark overnight rate five times, for a total of 125 basis points, to 3.75 per cent since September 2005. The Bank has increased interest rates based on its belief that the Canadian economy is operating at close to full capacity, which could lead to rising inflationary pressures. The core rate of inflation in Canada was 1.6 per cent in 2005 and has remained under two per cent for 26 consecutive months. Forecasters generally expect the Bank will increase interest rates at least one more time, by 25 basis points, while some expect as many as three interest rate hikes.

Canadian three-month treasury bill rates are forecast to average 4.0 per cent in 2006, 4.3 per cent in 2007 and 4.5 per cent in 2008. Ten-year Government of Canada bond yields are expected to increase modestly, rising from an average of 4.5 per cent in 2006 to 4.8 per cent in 2007 and 5.2 per cent in 2008.
The U.S. Federal Reserve Board has continued to raise interest rates at a measured pace since June 2004 and has pushed the key target for the federal funds rate to 4.5 per cent, for a total increase of 350 basis points. Currently, short-term U.S. interest rates are 75 basis points above the equivalent rates in Canada. Forecasters expect the Federal Reserve to stop increasing interest rates sometime in mid-2006.

### Canadian Interest Rate and Inflation Outlook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-month Treasury Bill Rate</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year Government Bond Rate</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario CPI Inflation Rate</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = projection.

Sources: Bank of Canada, Statistics Canada and Ontario Ministry of Finance.

The Ontario consumer price index (CPI) inflation rate is expected to average 2.1 per cent in 2006, similar to the 2.2 per cent pace of 2005. Recent inflationary pressure has come from the sharp rise in oil prices, which caused Ontario gasoline pump prices to increase by 13.4 per cent in 2005, after a 9.4 per cent increase in the previous year. Other energy-related items recorded large price increases in 2005, including home heating fuel oil (22.9 per cent) and natural gas (4.3 per cent). Excluding food and energy prices, which tend to be volatile, inflation in Ontario was just 1.2 per cent in 2005, down from 1.4 per cent in 2004. The appreciation of the Canadian dollar has helped to offset some of the impact of rising oil prices on measured inflation rates. As the impact of high oil prices subsides, Ontario’s CPI inflation rate is expected to average 1.8 per cent in 2007 and 2008.

This CPI inflation forecast excludes the impact of any potential changes in the federal goods and services tax (GST). Reducing the GST rate by one percentage point would lower consumer prices by about 0.6 percentage points in the month. This is less than the one per cent since consumer purchases such as basic groceries and rent are exempt from GST. The impact on Ontario’s annual CPI inflation rate would be smaller if the reduction occurred partway through the year.
EMPLOYMENT TO STRENGTHEN

The Ontario economy added 81,200 net new jobs in 2005, an increase of 1.3 per cent over 2004. Almost 200,000 net new jobs have been created since October 2003. These new jobs include 74,000 in the education sector, 47,000 construction jobs, 36,000 in the finance, insurance, real estate and leasing sector, 31,000 wholesale and retail trade jobs and 18,000 professional, scientific and technical service jobs. Over 90 per cent of the jobs created were full time.

Ontario’s unemployment rate fell to an average of 6.6 per cent in 2005, the lowest annual rate since 2001.

Employment is expected to increase by 1.3 per cent in 2006, or 85,000 jobs. As economic growth strengthens, job creation is expected to accelerate, with employment rising by an annual average of 1.6 per cent in the 2007 to 2008 period, generating an additional 209,000 jobs. Solid job gains are projected to steadily lower Ontario’s annual average unemployment rate to 6.1 per cent by 2008.

Total wages and salaries increased by 4.9 per cent in 2005, far outstripping the 2.2 per cent increase in consumer prices. Wages and salaries are forecast to grow annually, averaging 4.8 per cent over the next three years, contributing to a comparable growth in personal income.

The projected growth in employment and incomes supports the projected average annual growth in Personal Income Tax (5.8 per cent), Ontario Health Premium (4.6 per cent) and Employer Health Tax (4.3 per cent) revenues over the 2006–07 to 2008–09 period.
INCOME GROWTH SUPPORTS HOUSEHOLD SPENDING

Personal income rose by an estimated 4.7 per cent in 2005, up from 3.8 per cent in 2004. Income gains throughout 2005 contributed to the ability and willingness of consumers to spend. Personal income is anticipated to rise 4.7 per cent in 2006.

These income gains will support increased real consumer spending, which is expected to rise a healthy 2.8 per cent in 2006, following an estimated 3.4 per cent gain last year.

Over the medium term, real consumer spending is expected to grow by an average of 3.0 per cent in 2007 and 2008. The savings rate dipped to an estimated 1.3 per cent in 2005, the fourth consecutive year that the rate has hit a record low as consumer spending continued to outstrip income growth. However, this savings-rate measure does not take into account all financial sources available for spending, such as pensions, capital gains, and mortgage refinancing and home equity loans.

Retail sales are projected to rise 4.2 per cent in 2006, following a 4.7 per cent gain in 2005. The strong rise in the value of retail sales in 2005 was in part due to higher gasoline pump prices. Retail sales, excluding gas stations, increased 3.7 per cent in 2005. Over the 2007 to 2008 period, retailers are expected to enjoy a 4.6 per cent rise in sales per year. This growth in consumer spending supports the projected increases in Retail Sales Tax revenues, averaging 5.6 per cent over the 2006–07 to 2008–09 period.

Ontario housing starts reached 78,800 units in 2005, below the 85,100 units recorded in 2004. Despite lower starts last year, the housing market performed above expectations. Housing starts are anticipated to decline further in 2006 to an average of 73,500 units. They are expected to move higher over 2007 and 2008, averaging 75,000 units per year.

Following four years of record activity, home resales slipped 0.2 per cent in 2005, reflecting in part higher short-term mortgage rates. Housing prices continued to climb, rising an average 7.3 per cent to $263,000 in 2005, following an 8.1 per cent gain in 2004. Given the high level of activity in recent years, fewer households are expected to buy homes in 2006. For planning purposes, home resales are projected to decline by close to five per cent in 2006 and prices are expected to rise by a more modest three per cent. This housing market outlook leads to a projected 1.4 per cent decline in Land Transfer Tax revenues in 2006–07, with growth recovering over the medium term.
Over the past few years, housing affordability has deteriorated modestly, mainly a reflection of rising house prices as the five-year mortgage rate moved down. Over the forecast period, mortgage payments as a share of after-tax income are expected to remain well below those experienced in the late 1980s.

Despite households incurring record-high debt levels in 2005, debt costs have remained well contained. The ratio of Canadian debt costs to personal after-tax income was 7.6 per cent in the fourth quarter of 2005, below the 8.1 per cent average since 1980.

**Ontario Housing Affordability**

**Canadian Household Debt Interest Costs**

Note: The average monthly carrying costs for an average-priced home as a share of after-tax household income, based on payments amortized over 25 years and a 25 per cent down payment. e = estimate; p = projection.

Sources: Bank of Canada, Canadian Real Estate Association, Statistics Canada and Ontario Ministry of Finance.

**INVESTMENT TO LEAD GROWTH**

Business investment spending on machinery and equipment remained robust in 2005, with estimated annual real growth of 6.7 per cent. At the same time, investment in commercial and industrial construction declined, with higher oil prices and the rise in the Canadian dollar the likely contributing factors.

Healthy corporate financial positions, along with competitive pressures and high capacity utilization rates, have encouraged spending on machinery and equipment. Recently, Canadian manufacturing industries have been operating at historically high rates of capacity utilization. This should stimulate stronger investment in the manufacturing sector.

**Canadian Manufacturing Capacity Utilization Rate**

Source: Statistics Canada.
The Canadian debt-to-equity ratio has continued to decline in the last couple of years. Ontario pre-tax corporate profits increased by 6.2 per cent in 2005 and corporate profits as a share of GDP increased to 12.9 per cent, a 31-year high. Canadian corporations’ internally generated cash flows have steadily increased, providing an important source of financing for business investment.

The high dollar is expected to dampen corporate profits in 2006, with growth slowing to 3.8 per cent. Profits are projected to increase by an average of 4.4 per cent in 2007 and 2008. Based on this profit growth projection, Ontario Corporation Tax revenues are forecast to grow by 1.2 per cent in 2006–07 and increase by an average of 3.1 per cent over the following two years.

According to Statistics Canada’s investment intentions survey, Ontario businesses, including government business enterprises, intend to increase nominal investment spending on structures by 18.3 per cent in 2006 and on machinery and equipment by 8.8 per cent.

Real investment in machinery and equipment is projected to rise by 7.9 per cent in 2006. With over 60 per cent of capital equipment in Ontario imported, mainly from the United States, the strong dollar will continue to lower the cost of imported investment goods. Machinery and equipment investment is projected to increase by an average of 5.9 per cent from 2007 through 2008.

Commercial and industrial construction is expected to recover in 2006, with 5.9 per cent growth. In the past year, several large projects have been announced in the automotive industry. In addition, the government has advanced 33 projects to increase electricity supply, with investments totalling about $11 billion. The government’s $500 million Advanced Manufacturing Investment Strategy will encourage investment by providing companies with loans towards projects involving process or technology innovations or energy efficiencies. This strategy is designed to help companies across the province take steps to develop and use leading technologies and innovations. Ontario’s auto strategy has leveraged more than $5.7 billion of investment in Ontario. Projects supported through this strategy will undertake significant levels of investment in the next two years.
Ontario is expected to remain among the fastest-growing regions when compared to major industrial countries over the 2006 to 2008 period. Private-sector forecasts expect real GDP growth in Ontario to average 2.8 per cent a year during 2006 through 2008, slightly trailing Canada as a whole and the United States.

### Ontario and G7 Economic Growth, 2006 to 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Consensus Forecasts (February 2006) and Ontario Ministry of Finance Survey of Forecasts (March 2006).
# Details of the Ontario Economic Outlook

This table shows the key details of the updated economic outlook for 2006 to 2008.

## The Ontario Economy, 2004 to 2008

(Per cent change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Projected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal consumption</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential construction</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-residential construction</td>
<td>(7.1)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Economic Indicators

|                                | Actual       | Projected   |
|                                | 2004 | 2005e | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Retail sales                   | 3.2  | 4.7   | 4.2  | 4.5  | 4.7  |
| Housing starts (000s)          | 85.1 | 78.8  | 73.5 | 74.5 | 75.5 |
| Personal income                | 3.8  | 4.7   | 4.7  | 4.8  | 5.0  |
| Wages and salaries\(^1\)       | 4.0  | 4.9   | 4.7  | 4.7  | 4.9  |
| Corporate profits              | 14.1 | 6.2   | 3.8  | 4.3  | 4.5  |
| Consumer Price Index           | 1.9  | 2.2   | 2.1  | 1.8  | 1.8  |

### Labour Market

|                                | Actual       | Projected   |
|                                | 2004 | 2005e | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Employment                     | 1.7  | 1.3   | 1.3  | 1.5  | 1.7  |
| Job creation (000s)            | 103  | 81    | 85   | 97   | 112  |
| Unemployment rate (per cent)   | 6.8  | 6.6   | 6.3  | 6.2  | 6.1  |

\(^1\) Includes supplementary labour income.
e = estimate for GDP and components only; all other components are actual.

Sources: Statistics Canada, Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation and Ontario Ministry of Finance.
Ontario’s economy performed much better than anticipated in 2005, despite the high dollar and rise in oil prices. Real GDP rose by an estimated 2.7 per cent in 2005, 0.7 percentage points higher than the 2005 Budget projection. Ontario created 81,000 jobs last year, 16,000 more jobs than expected in the 2005 Budget. Stronger job creation led to better income gains and more spending. Personal income rose 4.7 per cent in 2005, 0.9 percentage points higher than expected in the 2005 Budget. This income growth spurred increased household spending. Retail sales were stronger than expected due to higher consumer spending and higher spending on gasoline sales. Housing starts were also stronger than expected. Stronger growth led to higher corporate profits.

The outlook for Ontario growth over the 2006 to 2008 period, however, has declined since the May 2005 Budget. Ontario real GDP growth is now projected to be 0.5 percentage points lower in 2006, 0.9 percentage points lower in 2007 and 0.4 percentage points lower in 2008. This moderation in the province’s growth prospects reflects the rapid appreciation in the Canadian dollar and sharply higher oil prices.

The next table shows the key forecast assumptions in the 2006 Budget compared to the 2005 Budget projection.
# Changes in Key Economic Forecast Assumptions, 2005 Budget Compared to 2006 Budget

(Per Cent Change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Budget of</td>
<td>Budget of</td>
<td>Budget of</td>
<td>Budget of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.7e</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.3e</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Sales</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Starts (000s)</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.7e</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and Salaries¹</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.9e</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Profits</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.2e</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Creation (000s)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key External Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude Oil ($ US per Barrel)</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Real Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Dollar (Cents US)</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month Treasury Bill Rate</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year Government Bond Rate</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Includes supplementary labour income.

e = estimate.

PAPER C

Details of Taxation Measures
Emphasizing Priorities

INTRODUCTION

Ontario’s tax system provides a variety of incentives to encourage economic growth and job creation. These incentives include support for research and development, manufacturing and processing, mining and exploration, apprenticeship training, and the entertainment sector and creative industries.

This Budget builds on the government’s plan to invest in people and strengthen the Ontario economy while maintaining fiscal responsibility. Measures proposed in this Budget, such as accelerating the Ontario capital tax rate cut and fostering new investment in the entertainment and creative cluster, would advance Ontario’s economic performance in the global economy.

This paper provides information on the taxation measures proposed in the Budget. For precise details of these measures, the reader is advised to consult the amending legislation.

ENCOURAGING ECONOMIC GROWTH

Accelerating Ontario’s Capital Tax Rate Cut

In 2004, the government legislated a plan to eliminate Ontario’s capital tax by 2012 — a key element of the government’s strategy to promote new investment, economic growth and job creation.

This Budget proposes to build on the government’s original plan by accelerating the capital tax rate cut. Effective January 1, 2007 — a full two years earlier than the first currently scheduled rate cut — every corporation still paying capital tax would have its rate reduced by five per cent. Further, the government intends to eliminate the tax in 2010 should the fiscal position of the Province allow.

Ontario’s capital tax, which taxes business investment rather than profits, is widely recognized as a barrier to attracting new investment and fostering economic growth. By proposing to accelerate the capital tax rate cut, the government is further enhancing Ontario’s already competitive tax system.

The following table sets out the government’s proposed changes to the capital tax elimination plan originally outlined in the 2004 Ontario Budget:
**Ontario’s Capital Tax Elimination Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deduction ($ M)</th>
<th>Rates (%)</th>
<th>Financial Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st $400 M of Taxable Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regular Corporations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2005</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2006</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2007(^2)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2008</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2010</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2012</td>
<td>Eliminated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Shading denotes proposed capital tax rate cut.
2 Proposed reduction would be pro-rated for taxation years straddling the effective date.

---

**Extending the Carry-Forward Period for Business Losses Under the Corporations Tax Act**

A fair and efficient tax system helps encourage business investment. Recognizing business losses and profits in determining tax liability over the course of economic cycles ensures both fairness and efficiency. Ontario allows non-capital losses to be carried back up to three years, and the 2004 Ontario Budget extended the period over which non-capital losses can be carried forward from seven to 10 years.

In November 2005, the federal government proposed an extension of the non-capital loss carry-forward period from 10 to 20 years. Subject to federal implementation, Ontario proposes to parallel the federal extension and effective date. This would further support Ontario businesses, particularly those engaged in research and innovation.

**Entertainment and Creative Cluster Initiatives**

Ontario’s entertainment and creative cluster stimulates the creation of high value-added jobs and new investment in dynamic growth industries.

Ontario’s world-class entertainment and creative cluster is strengthened by its highly skilled talent pool, quality of life and social diversity. It also benefits from its record of innovation, competitive business climate and supportive tax regime. The Ontario Government invests more than $120 million per year in targeted media tax credits, which have played a critical role in advancing Ontario as one of the largest entertainment and creative centres in North America.
This Budget proposes measures that would foster economic growth and job creation in the entertainment and creative cluster by ensuring that Ontario maintains its competitive position and seizes emerging opportunities in the international film and new media sectors.

**Corporations Tax Act**

*Enhancing the Ontario Production Services Tax Credit*

Ontario provides tax incentives to support film and television production in the province. The incentives are in the form of refundable tax credits, based on eligible Ontario labour expenditures.

The Ontario Film and Television Tax Credit (OFTTC) is available to Ontario-based, Canadian-controlled corporations for eligible film and television productions. The Ontario Production Services Tax Credit (OPSTC) is available to Ontario-based corporations for foreign-based and domestic productions not claimed under the OFTTC. Effective January 1, 2005, the OFTTC rate was raised to 30 per cent from 20 per cent until December 31, 2009, and the OPSTC rate was increased to 18 per cent from 11 per cent until March 31, 2006.

As announced on February 9, 2006, the government proposes to extend the 18 per cent tax credit rate for the OPSTC until March 31, 2007. This proposed extension reflects the government’s commitment to support Ontario’s film and television industry, and to help ensure that it remains competitive.

*Expanding the Ontario Interactive Digital Media Tax Credit*

The Ontario Interactive Digital Media Tax Credit (OIDMTC) is a 20 per cent refundable tax credit for eligible expenditures incurred by qualifying corporations, with annual gross revenues of up to $20 million and total assets of up to $10 million, to develop eligible interactive digital media products in Ontario. This refundable tax credit is targeted at smaller, multimedia companies that develop and market their own interactive digital media products, such as educational CD-ROMs or games.

The Budget proposes to raise the tax credit rate from 20 per cent to 30 per cent for corporations qualifying under the existing OIDMTC provisions. This would provide greater support for Ontario’s smaller interactive digital media companies while creating more opportunities for Ontario’s highly skilled talent pool.

The Budget also proposes to extend eligibility for the OIDMTC at a rate of 20 per cent to multimedia developers that exceed the current size test and to fee-for-service work done in Ontario. For fee-for-service work, the OIDMTC would be based on the Ontario salaries and wages of a corporation that develops all or substantially all of an eligible product under contract with an arm’s-length party. The extension to fee-for-service work would enable, for example, a video-game developer creating a product in Ontario under contract with a publishing company to be eligible for the OIDMTC.
These enhancements would increase Ontario’s competitiveness in this high-growth sector, help attract more investment to the province, and encourage Ontario companies to invest in new technologies and provide more skilled jobs in Ontario.

These measures would be effective for expenditures incurred after March 23, 2006 and before January 1, 2010. Prior to 2010, the government will consult with stakeholders on the effectiveness of the OIDMTC and these proposed enhancements.

**Retail Sales Tax Act**

*Expanding the Retail Sales Tax Exemption for Complimentary Admissions Tickets*

Ontario’s entertainment sector is also supported by targeted retail sales tax incentives aimed at encouraging attendance at a variety of Ontario’s entertainment-related venues.

One example of this support is Ontario’s current exemption for donations of admissions tickets to registered charities by owners and operators of places of amusement. This provides both direct benefits to charities for their client groups, and indirect benefits of using the tickets for charitable fundraising.

To build on this support, Ontario proposes to expand this exemption to include complimentary tickets donated to community colleges, schools and universities. Not-for-profit organizations, as defined by the Minister, would also be eligible recipients for exempt complimentary tickets. This proposal would be effective for tickets donated after March 23, 2006 by owners and operators of places of amusement.

This measure would encourage additional donations to more eligible organizations.

**Extending the Retail Sales Tax Exemption for Destination Marketing Fees**

In December 2003, the Greater Toronto Hotel Association announced that it would impose a voluntary three per cent destination marketing fee. The proceeds of the destination marketing fees are to be used to fund destination marketing initiatives, which encourage tourism. A number of regions in Ontario have since implemented a destination marketing fee to promote tourism in their communities. The destination marketing fee would ordinarily be subject to the five per cent retail sales tax on accommodations as part of the fair value of the accommodations.

The 2004 Ontario Budget introduced a one-year retail sales tax exemption for destination marketing fees to support the hotel industry’s initiative in promoting tourism in Ontario. The 2005 Ontario Budget extended this temporary exemption to June 30, 2006.
The government proposes to extend the temporary retail sales tax exemption for destination marketing fees for an additional year. Destination marketing fees billed on or before June 30, 2007 would qualify for exemption from the five per cent retail sales tax on accommodations. Eligibility rules would remain unchanged.

This measure would continue to support an industry-sponsored initiative to finance marketing that promotes Ontario as a destination for tourism.

**HELPING SENIORS**

**Income Tax Act**

**Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits for Seniors**

The Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits for seniors were established in 1992 to provide assistance to seniors with modest incomes. In 2004, the government enriched these refundable credits by increasing the underlying property tax credit amount for seniors by 25 per cent, from $500 to $625. In 2005, the government enriched the credits again by increasing the income threshold at which senior couples’ benefits are reduced to $22,250.

The 2006 minimum level of income guaranteed by the Ontario and federal governments for eligible senior couples is rising because of increases to Old Age Security (OAS) and Guaranteed Income Supplement (GIS) payments. As a result of these increased amounts, the minimum level of income guaranteed by governments, including Ontario’s Guaranteed Annual Income System (GAINS), for qualifying Ontario senior couples is rising above $22,250 in 2006.

The Government of Ontario wants seniors who receive the guaranteed minimum level of income to get the full benefit of the Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits. To achieve this goal, the government proposes to increase the income threshold for senior couples in 2006. The new level would be determined when the federal government finalizes OAS and GIS amounts for 2006. This means that about 695,000 senior families would benefit in 2006 from an estimated $94 million in enrichments to the Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits for seniors made by this government since 2004.¹

¹ Estimate based on anticipated adjustment to the 2006 income threshold for senior couples.
ENCOURAGING ENERGY CONSERVATION AND EFFICIENCY

Corporations Tax Act

Supporting Renewable Energy in the Forestry Sector
Black liquor is a byproduct of the paper-making process, formed during the pulping of wood. It is also a form of biomass — Canada’s second-largest renewable energy source.

Renewable bioenergy technologies are capital intensive. To help the industry remain competitive and to support investment in advanced technologies, Ontario proposes to parallel, subject to federal implementation, the capital cost allowance provisions announced by the federal government in November 2005 relating to co-generation systems that use black liquor. Encouraging businesses to invest in this form of bioenergy would help to support increased energy efficiency.

Retail Sales Tax Act

Doubling the Retail Sales Tax Rebate for Hybrid Electric Vehicles
The government is committed to further encouraging energy conservation in Ontario. Hybrid vehicles help conserve energy as they are more fuel efficient than comparable traditional models. Hybrids also provide a positive environmental benefit by reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The government currently refunds the eight per cent retail sales tax paid on an eligible hybrid electric vehicle, to a maximum of $1,000.

The government proposes to double the maximum retail sales tax rebate for qualifying hybrid electric vehicles from $1,000 to $2,000 for vehicles delivered to purchasers after March 23, 2006. The government also proposes to introduce a sunset date of March 31, 2012 for the rebate for hybrid electric vehicles, including the proposed enhancement. Prior to 2012, the government will consult with stakeholders on the effectiveness of this tax expenditure program. The maximum rebate for alternative fuel vehicles other than hybrid electric vehicles will remain unchanged.

Doubling the rebate would help to make fuel-efficient hybrid vehicles more attractive for Ontarians. This measure also supports the auto industry’s efforts to improve vehicle technology and produce more fuel-efficient vehicles.
Gasoline Tax Act

Supporting Ontario Ethanol Production

The government is committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by implementing a Renewable Fuels Standard, which will require an average of five per cent ethanol content in gasoline, effective January 1, 2007. Building on this commitment, on June 17, 2005, the Premier announced a new 12-year, $520 million Ontario Ethanol Growth Fund to support the production of ethanol in the province. As the Premier’s announcement indicated, to help fund this major investment in ethanol production, Ontario proposes to remove the exclusion of ethanol from the definition of gasoline under the Gasoline Tax Act. Ethanol would, therefore, be subject to the same tax treatment as gasoline.

It is proposed that this change would coincide with the implementation of the Renewable Fuels Standard, regulated under the Environmental Protection Act, to be effective January 1, 2007.

PARALLELING FEDERAL MEASURES

Corporations Tax Act

Agricultural Cooperative Corporations

To help agricultural cooperative corporations preserve their capital, the 2005 federal budget proposed a tax deferral for certain patronage dividends received by members of these cooperatives. Where a patronage dividend is received in the form of eligible shares, the federal measure would defer the income inclusion from the year the share is received to the year the share is disposed of. This deferral would remove the need for agricultural cooperatives to pay a portion of patronage dividends in cash in order to satisfy their members’ tax liabilities on the share dividend.

If enacted federally, Ontario would automatically parallel this measure under its personal income tax collection agreement with the federal government. To ensure a consistent tax treatment between individual and corporate members of agricultural cooperatives and to support Ontario’s agricultural sector, Ontario also proposes to parallel the federal measure and its effective dates for corporate members of agricultural cooperatives.

Expenses Incurred in Issuing Shares, Options and Other Interests

On November 17, 2005, the federal government released proposed legislation to limit the expenses a taxpayer can claim in respect of certain transactions, such as the issuance of shares. The federal proposals would clarify that the amount of an expenditure on which a tax credit or deduction may be claimed is limited to the cash outlay.

If implemented federally, Ontario proposes to parallel the federal provisions and their effective date.
**Income Tax Act**

**Federal Plan to Introduce a New Dividend Tax Credit**

On November 23, 2005, the federal government proposed changes to the taxation of dividend income, including the establishment of a second dividend tax credit. This proposal is designed to reduce the income taxes paid on eligible dividend income from Canadian corporations.

Critical details of the federal proposal are unavailable at this time. Ontario will review the federal legislation when it is introduced and will respond at that time.

**Reducing Tax Compliance and Administration Costs**

The government is committed to reducing the costs incurred by businesses in complying with Ontario’s tax rules and the cost to government of administering the tax system. Cutting red tape and streamlining tax administration benefit all taxpayers. Reducing the tax compliance costs faced by Ontario businesses increases their cash available for reinvestment and Ontario’s attractiveness as a place to invest.

Identifying opportunities to improve and simplify service delivery is an ongoing process. The government has implemented a number of measures, and several major initiatives are underway:

- employers have benefited from simplification of the process of remitting monthly instalments for Employer Health Tax by the change in the instalment base from an estimated payroll to an actual payroll, virtually eliminating any overpayments or underpayments of tax during a year;
- the electronic land registration system was enhanced to allow refund applications of Land Transfer Tax to be submitted electronically for first-time purchasers of newly constructed homes; and
- a pilot project is proceeding April 1, 2006 to simplify the Retail Sales Tax determination for small businesses providing computer program-related services.

**Corporate Tax Collection Agreement**

In May 2004, Ontario and the federal government signed an agreement that commits both governments to explore opportunities for collaboration in delivering public services. In November 2004, this Ontario-federal collaboration was extended to include the design of a federal collection and processing system for Ontario’s corporate taxes that would:

- eliminate duplication and streamline tax collection so that Ontario businesses are more competitive because of reduced compliance costs;
- provide savings to Ontario taxpayers through reduced government administration;
preserve the policy and administrative flexibility that Ontario needs to achieve its fiscal and economic objectives, while respecting the federal government’s national objective of building a more integrated tax system; and

recognize the Ontario and federal governments’ commitments to their employees.

The integration of Ontario and federal corporate taxes is a significant undertaking that raises numerous issues with respect to policy flexibility, fiscal impacts, tax administration and human resources. Considerable progress has been made in resolving these issues and Ontario will work with the new federal government to conclude a memorandum of agreement on corporate tax collection as quickly as possible.

The memorandum of agreement would outline the commitments and undertakings by both governments necessary to implement a single corporate tax administration, including entering into a formal corporate income tax collection agreement and negotiating detailed agreements on matters relating to tax administration and human resources.

The government will introduce legislation that, if approved by the legislature, would authorize Ontario to enter into a corporate income tax collection agreement with the federal government following the signing of the memorandum of agreement. The proposed legislation would also permit Ontario to delegate the collection of other Ontario corporate taxes, such as the capital tax, to the federal government.

Ontario currently works closely with the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) on numerous corporate tax administration initiatives to improve efficiency and reduce the compliance burden on businesses, including:

- coordinated and concurrent audits where feasible;
- extensive information sharing, including listings of proposed audits and audit results; and
- joint outreach activities, such as membership on local Tax Executive Advisory Groups and Tax Practitioner Consultation Groups.

To further Ontario’s collaboration with the CRA in reducing corporate tax compliance costs for taxpayers, legislation will be proposed that would permit an early integration of federal and Ontario tax audits. The proposed legislation would enable the CRA to audit Ontario’s corporate taxes for taxation years ending before the commencement of a corporate tax collection agreement.
COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT FUNDS ACT

Labour-Sponsored Investment Funds (LSIFs)

On August 29, 2005, the government announced its intention to end the LSIF tax credit. On September 30, 2005, following consultations with industry, the timetable for the phase-out of the tax credit was established, which was subsequently implemented in the Budget Measures Act, 2005 (No. 2). Investors who purchase LSIF shares will have the opportunity to receive a provincial tax credit until the end of the 2010 tax year.

The Ministry of Finance also announced in September that it would consult further with the industry on transition rules governing pacing, eligibility and other reporting requirements. As a result of the consultations, the government proposes to introduce amendments to the Community Small Business Investment Funds Act that would:

- give LSIFs more flexibility in the management of their portfolios by expanding the types of investments they can hold;
- parallel the federal program’s investment rules and restrictions; and
- create wind-up rules.

The proposed schedule for the phase-out and other complementary measures would encourage LSIFs to continue to support the portfolios of companies in which they have invested.

ELECTRICITY ACT, 1998

Refund of Transfer Tax

The municipal electricity utility (MEU) transfer tax is a 33 per cent tax paid by a municipality or MEU that sells or transfers electricity assets to another entity. It was intended as a one-time tax on the sale of such assets. However, where the proceeds of that sale are used to acquire other electricity assets, which are subsequently sold, transfer tax may apply to that second sale. The effect is a “cascading” of tax on the proceeds of the first sale.

The Electricity Act, 1998 authorizes the Minister of Finance to set rules to relieve this “cascading” of transfer tax. Rules have been developed that would allow for the refund of transfer tax where the proceeds of a transfer are reinvested in other eligible electricity assets.
A draft regulation setting out the proposed rules will be posted on the Ministry of Finance website for industry comment with a view to finalizing the proposals in the summer of 2006.

**Payments-in-Lieu of Tax**

The Electricity Act, 1998 requires a municipal electricity utility (MEU) that is exempt from federal or Ontario corporate income tax to make payments-in-lieu (PIL) equal to the amount of tax it would be liable to pay if it were not exempt. This ensures a fair tax treatment between public- and private-sector electricity utilities.

For both federal and Ontario corporate income tax purposes, corporations are allowed to deduct donations made to a municipality. This deduction provides a benefit to MEUs and their municipal shareholders that is unavailable to private-sector electricity utilities. Unlike a private-sector utility, an MEU can make a donation to its municipal shareholder instead of paying it an after-PIL dividend.

To maintain a level playing field between public- and private-sector electricity utilities and their shareholders, it is proposed that MEUs not be allowed to deduct the value of gifts made to an Ontario municipality on or after March 23, 2006.

**LAND TRANSFER TAX ACT**

**Unregistered Transfers of Land Between Affiliated Corporations — Effect of Registration**

The Land Transfer Tax Act provides for the deferral and cancellation of tax for unregistered transfers of land between affiliated corporations, subject to certain conditions, unless a transfer is registered. Court interpretation has resulted in certain transfers between affiliated corporations being viewed as exempt even if they are registered. To address this, the Land Transfer Tax Act would be amended to reinforce the original intent of the provision.

Proposed amendments include specifying that if a document is:

- registered during the deferral period, the deferred tax would become payable; or
- registered after the deferred tax is cancelled, tax would be payable on the registration based on the earlier unregistered transfer of beneficial ownership.

Amendments to the interpretation of the term “affiliate” will be proposed to clarify that it does not extend beyond the specified criteria.
**MINING TAX ACT**

**Deduction of Fines and Penalties**

In 2005, the federal government enacted legislation implementing its 2004 budget measure to deny an income tax deduction for fines and penalties imposed under the law of a country, political subdivision of a country, or other body that has the authority to impose the fine or penalty. Ontario’s Corporations Tax Act automatically adopted the federal provision.

The government proposes to apply the income tax restriction on deducting fines and penalties to the computation of tax payable under the Mining Tax Act. This measure would be effective for fines and penalties imposed after March 23, 2006.

**RETAIL SALES TAX ACT**

**Clearance Certificates**

A clearance certificate is required when assets are sold in the course of a sale of a business to which the Bulk Sales Act applies. The certificate states that taxes payable or collectable by the seller have been paid. In certain circumstances, all of the applicable taxes may not have been collected, resulting in revenue loss to the Province.

It is proposed that clearance certificate provisions under the Retail Sales Tax Act be amended to ensure that outstanding taxes may be collected from vendors after a certificate has been issued. The proposed measure would not affect the purchaser’s protection associated with a clearance certificate.

**TOBACCO TAX ACT**

**Tobacco Enforcement**

Amendments will be proposed to the Tobacco Tax Act to strengthen Ontario’s tobacco-related enforcement activities, including enhancements to allow greater information sharing among provincial, municipal and federal counterparts.
Technical Amendments

To improve administrative effectiveness and enforcement, and maintain the integrity and equity of Ontario’s tax system, as well as enhance legislative clarity and regulatory flexibility to preserve policy intent, legislation will be proposed, including amendments to the following statutes:

- Assessment Act
- Business Regulation Reform Act
- Certified General Accountants Association of Ontario Act, 1983
- Chartered Accountants Act, 1956
- Community Small Business Investment Funds Act
- Corporations Tax Act
- Education Act
- Electricity Act, 1998
- Employer Health Tax Act
- Fuel Tax Act
- Gasoline Tax Act
- Highway Traffic Act
- Income Tax Act
- Land Transfer Tax Act
- Mining Tax Act
- Ministry of Revenue Act
- Municipal Act, 2001
- Public Service Pension Act
- Race Tracks Tax Act
- Retail Sales Tax Act
- Tobacco Tax Act
## 2006 Budget Impact Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Encouraging Economic Growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating Ontario’s Capital Tax Rate Cut</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td>(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extending the Carry-Forward Period for Business Losses Under the Corporations Tax Act</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing the Ontario Production Services Tax Credit</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding the Ontario Interactive Digital Media Tax Credit</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding the Retail Sales Tax Exemption for Complimentary Admissions Tickets</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extending the Retail Sales Tax Exemption for Destination Marketing Fees</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helping Seniors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Property and Sales Tax Credits for Seniors²</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Encouraging Energy Conservation and Efficiency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Renewable Energy in the Forestry Sector</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling the Retail Sales Tax Rebate for Hybrid Electric Vehicles</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Ontario Ethanol Production</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paralleling Federal Measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Measures</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Taxation Changes</strong></td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Small, non-existent or prevents revenue loss.
2. Estimate based on anticipated adjustment to the 2006 income threshold for senior couples.
3. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

---

---

---
Borrowing and Debt Management
Long-Term Public Borrowing

As an agency of the Ministry of Finance, the primary goal of the Ontario Financing Authority (OFA) is to manage the borrowing, debt and cash management activities of the Province and the Ontario Electricity Financial Corporation (OEFC) in a timely and cost-effective manner.¹

The interim long-term public borrowing requirement for 2005–06 is $23.8 billion, down $3.4 billion from the $27.2 billion estimated in the 2005 Budget Plan. The change in the long-term public borrowing requirement is mainly due to a decline in the deficit and a decision to bring cash (or liquid) reserves more into line with historical levels. Cash reserves of the Province and OEFC are projected at $6.1 billion as of March 31, 2006, which compares to average cash reserves of $6.0 billion over the past five years.

Approximately 80 per cent of the Province’s borrowing program was completed in the domestic market through a number of instruments, providing a total of $19.1 billion, including:

- Syndicated issues;
- Medium-Term Notes;
- Bond auctions;
- Ontario Savings Bonds;
- Real Return Bonds; and
- Floating Rate Notes.

Following the success of Ontario’s first real return bond (RRB) issue in September 2005, the OFA issued a second RRB for $300 million in March 2006, using derivatives to cost-effectively convert the issue into fixed rate debt.

¹ A glossary of terms is included at the end of this Paper.
While the majority of borrowing was completed in the domestic market, the Province also issued successfully in the international capital markets, including:

- Bonds denominated in U.S. and New Zealand dollars; and
- Euro Medium-Term Notes (EMTNs) in Canadian and Australian dollars and Swiss francs. Ontario also issued South African rand EMTNs, the first by any province.

The OEFC is the agency of the Province responsible for managing the debt and other liabilities of the former Ontario Hydro. Interim 2005–06 results for OEFC show an excess of revenues over expenditures of $1,085 million, reducing its unfunded liability (or “stranded debt of the electricity sector”) from $20.4 billion to $19.3 billion. This is the first time that OEFC’s unfunded liability has declined below the initial level of $19.4 billion at the time of the restructuring of the old Ontario Hydro on April 1, 1999.
### 2005–06 Borrowing Program: Province and OEFC

($ Billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget Plan</th>
<th>Interim</th>
<th>In-Year Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deficit/(Surplus)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cash Items Included in Deficit</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of Major Tangible Capital Assets</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in Capital Assets</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Maturities</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Redemptions</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Pension Plan Borrowing</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease/(Increase) in Short-Term Borrowing</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Uses/(Sources) of Cash</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Long-Term Public Borrowing Requirement</strong></td>
<td><strong>27.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>(3.4)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The increase in non-cash items included in the deficit is primarily due to accounting changes related to the consolidation of hospitals, school boards and colleges. These accounting changes resulted in a $1.3 billion increase in the amortization of major tangible capital assets. Also contributing to the increase are changes in the timing of receipts and expenses on a cash versus accrual basis.

The $0.7 billion decline in debt maturities is mainly attributable to debt issues with callable or extendible features that were shifted into the 2006–07 fiscal year.
## MEDIUM-TERM BORROWING OUTLOOK: PROVINCE AND OEFC

($ BILLIONS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deficit/(Surplus)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cash Items Included in Deficit</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of Major Tangible Capital Assets</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in Capital Assets</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Maturities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently Outstanding</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Impact of Future Refinancing</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Redemptions</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Pension Plan Borrowing</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease/(Increase) in Short-Term Borrowing</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Uses/(Sources) of Cash</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Long-Term Public Borrowing Requirement</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>19.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Refinancing maturing debt remains a primary component of the medium-term borrowing outlook. Debt maturities for the Province and OEFC are projected at $15.1 billion in 2006–07, $14.9 billion in 2007–08 and $19.3 billion in 2008–09.

The Canadian domestic market will remain the main funding source for the Province in 2006–07. However, the Province will maintain a flexible approach to borrowing, monitoring both domestic and international capital markets to seek out diversified borrowing opportunities that minimize debt servicing costs.

The government will seek the approval of the legislature for additional borrowing authority to meet program requirements.
Debt

The Province’s total debt is projected to be $154.7 billion as of March 31, 2006.

Ontario’s net debt, the difference between total liabilities and total financial assets of the Province, was $140.7 billion as of March 31, 2005 and is projected to be $143.0 billion as of March 31, 2006.

The debt of the Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA) is projected to be $1.3 billion as of March 31, 2006. OSIFA’s debt is included in total debt, but not in net debt, as its debt is offset by projected net assets of $1.3 billion. OSIFA’s debt is not guaranteed by the Province.

The non-financial assets include the consolidation of hospitals, school boards and colleges, which does not impact net debt.

Total Debt Composition

Total debt (projected as of March 31, 2006) is composed of bonds and debentures issued in both the short- and long-term public capital markets and non-public debt held by certain federal and provincial public-sector pension plans and government agencies.

Public debt totals $130.6 billion, primarily consisting of bonds issued in the domestic and international long-term public markets in 10 currencies. Ontario also had $24.1 billion outstanding in non-public debt issued in Canadian dollars.
DEBT MANAGEMENT

The Province mitigates the financial risks associated with its capital market activities by adhering to prudent risk management policies and exposure limits.

The Province limits itself to a maximum interest rate reset exposure of 25 per cent of debt issued for Provincial purposes and a maximum foreign exchange exposure of five per cent of debt issued for Provincial purposes.

The Province’s interest rate reset and foreign exchange exposures remained well below policy limits in fiscal 2005–06.
**Debt Maturities**

The most significant component of the borrowing program is the refinancing of debt maturities. The OFA will continue to aim for a balanced maturity profile and take advantage of opportunities to schedule maturities into years that currently have lower levels of maturing debt.

**Cost of Debt**

The effective interest rate (on a weighted-average basis) on total debt, which is projected to be $154.7 billion as of March 31, 2006, is 6.1 per cent, compared to 6.3 per cent on March 31, 2005 and 10.9 per cent on March 31, 1991.

During 2005–06, the Bank of Canada increased short-term interest rates. However, long-term rates declined to their lowest level in 45 years, resulting in a much flatter yield curve.

The Province has taken advantage of lower long-term rates by issuing a number of longer-dated bond issues in 2005–06. Approximately 67 per cent or $16 billion in bonds were issued in terms of 10 years or longer. This has contributed to the decrease in the cost of debt.
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### Table D1

**Net Debt and Accumulated Deficit**

**Interim 2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt¹</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly Held Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debentures and Bonds²</td>
<td>99,990</td>
<td>102,958</td>
<td>116,732</td>
<td>125,279</td>
<td>123,344</td>
<td>130,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury Bills</td>
<td>5,108</td>
<td>6,274</td>
<td>3,359</td>
<td>3,747</td>
<td>4,878</td>
<td>3,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Commercial Paper²</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Strategic Infrastructure Financing Authority (OSIFA)³</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>1,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits with the Province of Ontario Savings Office (POSO)⁴</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>109,549</td>
<td>111,185</td>
<td>121,992</td>
<td>130,987</td>
<td>130,585</td>
<td>136,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Public Debt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Pension Plan Investment Fund</td>
<td>11,944</td>
<td>10,746</td>
<td>10,233</td>
<td>10,233</td>
<td>10,233</td>
<td>10,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Teachers’ Pension Fund</td>
<td>11,043</td>
<td>10,387</td>
<td>9,487</td>
<td>8,666</td>
<td>7,596</td>
<td>6,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Pension Fund</td>
<td>3,331</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,052</td>
<td>2,886</td>
<td>2,705</td>
<td>2,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Public Service Employees’ Union Pension Fund (OPSEU)</td>
<td>1,582</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1,286</td>
<td>1,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>1,047</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other⁵</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>29,597</td>
<td>27,287</td>
<td>26,365</td>
<td>25,390</td>
<td>24,127</td>
<td>22,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Debt</strong></td>
<td>139,146</td>
<td>138,472</td>
<td>148,357</td>
<td>156,377</td>
<td>154,712</td>
<td>159,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Temporary Investments</td>
<td>(5,773)</td>
<td>(7,252)</td>
<td>(8,139)</td>
<td>(13,422)</td>
<td>(6,460)</td>
<td>(6,460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Net (Assets)/Liabilities⁶</td>
<td>(1,252)</td>
<td>1,427</td>
<td>(1,348)</td>
<td>(1,028)</td>
<td>(4,037)</td>
<td>(4,072)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSIFA Net (Assets)/Liabilities³</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(313)</td>
<td>(1,265)</td>
<td>(1,254)</td>
<td>(1,737)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Debt</strong></td>
<td>132,121</td>
<td>132,647</td>
<td>138,557</td>
<td>140,662</td>
<td>142,961</td>
<td>146,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Financial Assets⁷</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(13,942)</td>
<td>(14,369)</td>
<td>(14,919)</td>
<td>(29,908)</td>
<td>(31,360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Deficit⁸</strong></td>
<td>132,121</td>
<td>118,705</td>
<td>124,188</td>
<td>125,743</td>
<td>113,053</td>
<td>115,403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Includes debt issued by the Province and Government Organizations, including Ontario Electricity Financial Corporation.
² All balances are expressed in Canadian dollars. The balances above reflect the effect of related derivative contracts.
³ OSIFA’s interim 2005-06 debt is composed of Ontario Opportunity Bonds ($323 million), Infrastructure Renewal Bonds ($650 million) and short-term commercial paper ($300 million). OSIFA’s debt is not guaranteed by the Province. OSIFA Net (Assets)/Liabilities includes cash, investments, interest and loans receivable, debt issue costs, accounts payable and loans payable.
⁴ The Province completed the sale of POSO to Desjardins Credit Union effective March 31, 2003, with the POSO liabilities to the depositors assumed by the purchaser.
⁵ Other non-public debt includes Ontario Municipal Employees Retirement Fund, College of Applied Arts and Technology Pension Plan, Ryerson Retirement Pension Plan, Ontario Immigrant Investor Corporation and indirect debt of school boards (the indirect debt of school boards was incurred in June 2003 to refinance the non-permanently financed debt of 55 school boards; an equivalent amount is included in Net Assets as advance payments to school boards).
⁶ Other Net (Assets)/Liabilities include accounts receivable, loans receivable, advances and investments in government business enterprises, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, pensions and the liability for power purchase agreements with non-utility generators.
⁷ Non-financial assets include tangible capital assets and net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges, which, starting with fiscal-year 2005-06, are consolidated using one-line consolidation.
⁸ Accumulated deficit represents net debt adjusted for non-financial assets. Accumulated deficit for 2005-06 includes the opening combined net assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges.

Source: Ontario Ministry of Finance.
## Debt Maturity Schedule
### Interim 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Canadian Dollar</th>
<th>U.S. Dollar</th>
<th>Japanese Yen</th>
<th>Euro¹</th>
<th>Other Currencies²</th>
<th>Interim 2005–06 Total</th>
<th>2004–05 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year Payable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>17,263</td>
<td>3,312</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21,035</td>
<td>24,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>8,086</td>
<td>5,405</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>14,041</td>
<td>14,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>14,872</td>
<td>3,530</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>19,404</td>
<td>12,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>8,413</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>13,090</td>
<td>19,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>5,988</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>6,240</td>
<td>12,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5 years</td>
<td>54,622</td>
<td>13,901</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>73,810</td>
<td>83,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 years</td>
<td>21,991</td>
<td>5,212</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>30,195</td>
<td>28,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15 years</td>
<td>4,693</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4,693</td>
<td>2,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20 years</td>
<td>11,767</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11,767</td>
<td>10,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25 years</td>
<td>12,843</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12,843</td>
<td>14,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–40 years³</td>
<td>21,404</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21,404</td>
<td>15,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total⁴</td>
<td>127,320</td>
<td>19,113</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>154,712</td>
<td>156,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Issued for Provincial Purposes</td>
<td>102,882</td>
<td>14,755</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>2,648</td>
<td>125,299</td>
<td>127,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEFC Debt</td>
<td>23,165</td>
<td>4,358</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>28,140</td>
<td>27,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSIFA Debt</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>1,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total⁵</td>
<td>127,320</td>
<td>19,113</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>154,712</td>
<td>156,377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Euro includes debt issued in legacy currency, i.e., French franc.
² Other currencies consist of the Australian dollar, New Zealand dollar, Pound sterling, Swiss franc, Hong Kong dollar and South African rand.
³ The longest term to maturity is to June 2, 2045.
⁴ Total foreign currency denominated debt as at March 31, 2006 is $27.4 billion (2005, $32.3 billion). Of that, $26.3 billion or 96.0 per cent (2005, $31.1 billion or 96.3 per cent) was fully hedged to Canadian dollars.
⁵ Total debt includes issues totalling $4.5 billion (2005, $2.9 billion) that have embedded options exercisable by either the Province or the bondholder under specific conditions.

## Medium-Term Outlook
### Net Debt and Accumulated Deficit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007–08 ($ Billions)</th>
<th>2008–09 ($ Billions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt</td>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>170.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Temporary Investments</td>
<td>(6.5)</td>
<td>(7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Net (Assets)/Liabilities</td>
<td>(6.2)</td>
<td>(7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSIFA Net (Assets)/Liabilities</td>
<td>(2.7)</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Debt</td>
<td>149.8</td>
<td>151.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Financial Assets</td>
<td>(32.9)</td>
<td>(34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Deficit</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>116.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DESCRIPTION OF DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The table below presents a preliminary maturity schedule of the Province’s and OEFC’s derivative financial instruments, by type, based on the notional amounts of the contracts. Notional amounts represent the volume of outstanding derivative contracts, are not indicative of credit or market risk, and are not representative of actual cash flows.

Derivatives are financial contracts, the value of which is derived from underlying instruments. The Province uses derivatives to hedge and to minimize interest costs. Hedges are created primarily through swaps, which are legal arrangements under which the Province agrees with another party to exchange cash flows based on one or more notional amounts using stipulated reference interest rates for a specified period. Swaps allow the Province to offset its existing obligations and thereby effectively convert them into obligations with more desirable characteristics. Other derivative instruments used by the Province include forward foreign exchange contracts, forward rate agreements, futures, options, caps and floors.

The Province also limits its credit risk exposure on derivatives by entering into contractual agreements (master agreements) that provide for termination netting and, if applicable, payment netting with virtually all of its counterparties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swaps:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td>7,610</td>
<td>12,518</td>
<td>10,030</td>
<td>7,727</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td>18,061</td>
<td>3,693</td>
<td>61,486</td>
<td>69,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross currency</td>
<td>4,588</td>
<td>4,438</td>
<td>4,626</td>
<td>5,020</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>9,140</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28,308</td>
<td>30,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>2,181</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,181</td>
<td>5,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caps and floors</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futures</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,822</td>
<td>16,956</td>
<td>14,656</td>
<td>12,835</td>
<td>2,343</td>
<td>27,201</td>
<td>3,693</td>
<td>92,506</td>
<td>106,127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary of Financial Terms Used in Paper D

Amortization of Major Tangible Capital Assets: the portion of the cost of major tangible capital assets owned by the Province allocated to annual expense, the portion of the cost of tangible capital assets of fully consolidated government organizations allocated to annual expense, and the Province’s portion of the cost of major tangible capital assets of hospitals, school boards and colleges allocated to annual expense.

Canada Pension Plan Borrowing: the Province has the option of borrowing from the Canada Pension Plan as a source of long-term borrowing.

Cap: a contract that allows the purchaser to put a ceiling on the contractual interest rate of a liability.

Debt Maturities: total forecasted amount of debt that will be due for repayment in the fiscal year.

Debt Redemptions: total forecasted amount of variable and step-up Ontario Savings Bonds expected to be redeemed in the fiscal year.

Derivatives: are financial contracts, the value of which is derived from underlying instruments. The Province uses derivatives to hedge and minimize interest costs.

Domestic Bonds: debt securities issued in the domestic market, clearing through the domestic clearing system.

Euro Medium-Term Notes (EMTNs): issued outside the United States and Canada and structured to meet individual investor requirements.

Floating Rate Notes (FRNs): debt instruments that bear a variable rate of interest. Coupons are linked to a floating interest rate index, and pay out at a predetermined yield spread to the index.

Floor: a contract that allows the purchaser to have a lower limit on the total rate of return of an asset.

Forward Foreign Exchange Contract: an agreement between two parties to set exchange rates in advance.

Future: an exchange-traded contract that confers an obligation to buy/sell a commodity at a specified price and amount on a future date.
Global Bonds: debt securities issued simultaneously in the international and domestic markets, settling through various worldwide clearing systems. These can be issued in a variety of currencies including Canadian and U.S. dollars.

Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents: the change in cash and other short-term liquid instruments.

Investment in Capital Assets: the cost of acquiring major tangible capital assets owned by the Province during the year, including land, buildings, highways and bridges; the cost of tangible capital assets acquired by fully consolidated government organizations, including land, buildings and equipment; and the Province’s portion of the cost of tangible capital assets acquired by hospitals and colleges during the year, including land, buildings and equipment.

Medium-Term Notes (MTNs): debt instruments offered under a registered program and structured to meet specific investor needs.

Non-Cash Items Included in Deficit: adjustments to the deficit (reported on an accrual basis) to determine cash flows to be used in operating activities. Non-cash adjustments include revenues that are earned but not received and/or expenses that were recognized but not paid during the fiscal year.

Notional Value: represents the face value of outstanding contracts. It does not represent cash flows.

Option: a contract whereby the buyer has the right to buy/sell a designated instrument at a specified price within a specified period of time.

Real Return Bonds (RRBs): debt securities that pay investors a rate of return that is adjusted for inflation using the Canadian consumer price index (CPI).

Swap: a legal arrangement, the effect of which is that each of the parties (the counterparty) takes responsibility for a financial obligation incurred by the other counterparty. An interest rate swap exchanges floating interest payments for fixed interest payments or vice versa. A cross-currency swap exchanges principal and interest payments in one currency for cash flows in another currency.

Syndicated Issues: debt securities that are underwritten by a group of investment dealers.

Treasury Bills: short-term debt instruments issued by governments on a discount basis usually for durations of 91 days, 182 days or 52 weeks.

U.S. Commercial Paper (CP): short-term debt typically issued by a government or corporation on a discount basis. CP is limited to terms of one to 270 days.
**Yield:** the effective rate of interest paid on a bond. Yield is the annual rate of return of any investment or debt and is expressed as a percentage.

**Yield Curve:** the relationship between market yields and bond maturities. It is often upward-sloping with maturity, due to investors’ requirements for a greater yield when committing their funds for a longer investment horizon.