
## PORG - 2001 - 1831





Canadians' Views on the Canada Health Act

# **Final Report**

Health Canada

POR-04-103 Contract # H1011-040095/001/CY

March 2005



#### Confidentiality

C

Any material or information provided by Health Canada and all data collected by Decima will be treated as confidential by Decima and will be stored securely while on Decima's premise (adhering to industry standards and applicable laws).



Decima Research is ISO 9001:2000 CERTIFIED

ma

#### Toronto

······

2345 Yonge Street Suite 405 Toronto, ON M4P 2E5 (416) 962-2013

info@decima.com

#### Ottawa

160 Elgin Street Suite 1820 Ottawa, ON K2P 2P7 (613) 230-2013

#### Montreal

630 Sherbrooke Street West Suite 1101 Montreal, QC H3A 1E4 (514) 288-0037

www.decima.com

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Executive Summary	3
Sommaire exécutif	5
Detailed Analysis	7
Profile and Assessment of the Health Care System	7
Implementation and Enforcement of the Canada Health Act	13
Survey Methodology	25

#### Appendices

A: Questionnaire (English and French)

B: Detailed Banner Tables (under separate cover)



### Introduction

The five principles of the Canada Health Act (CHA) are the cornerstone of the Canadian health care system. These principles are:

- 1. Public administration: the administration of the health care insurance plan of a province or territory must be carried out on a non-profit basis by a public authority;
- 2. Comprehensiveness: all medically necessary services provided by hospitals and doctors must be insured;
- 3. Universality: all insured persons in the province or territory must be entitled to insured health services provided under public health insurance plans on uniform terms and conditions;
- 4. Portability: coverage for insured services must be maintained when an insured person moves or travels within Canada or travels outside the country; and
- 5. Accessibility: reasonable access by insured persons to medically necessary hospital and physician services must be unimpeded by financial or other barriers.

The CHA also contains provisions related to extra-billing and user charges:

- No extra-billing by medical practitioners or dentists for insured health services provided to insured persons under the health care insurance plan of the province or territory;
- No user charges to insured persons for insured health services by hospitals or other providers • under the terms of the health care insurance plan of the province or territory.

The five principles reflect the values that inspired Canada's single-payer, publicly-financed health care system. The Act aims to ensure that all residents of Canada have access to medically necessary hospital and physician services on a prepaid basis. The CHA provides the provinces and territories with criteria and conditions that they must satisfy in order to qualify for the full value of their share of federal cash transfers under the Canada Health Transfer (CHT).

Health Canada works with the provinces and territories to ensure that the principles of the CHA are respected and to resolve issues through consultation, collaboration and cooperation. To support Health Canada in this role, public opinion research is required to gauge Canadians' views on the Canada Health Act. The research will be used to update and further explore Canadians' views on the Canada Health Act, including support for the five principles and their application across Canada.

The objectives of this research include informing policy and program development and delivery, as well as providing insights for communications endeavours.

tentative efforts

41

#2

inter

upl



This report presents the results of a national survey of 1,504 Canadian adults (aged 18 years and older), conducted between March 7 and 13, 2005. The results drawn from this sample can be expected to be accurate to the full population of Canadians to within plus or minus 2.5 percentage points, in 19 out of 20 samples. The margins of error are larger for sub-samples. A more detailed description of the methodology used to conduct this survey is provided at the back of this report.

This report begins with an executive summary highlighting key findings from the survey, followed by a detailed analysis section. Appended to this report are a copy of the survey questions (both English and French) and a set of detailed "banner" tables (under separate cover) that present results for each question by region and demographic categories. The key findings section of this report denotes these tables by question number (e.g. Q.1) for easy reference.





### **Executive Summary**

This report presents the findings of a national survey on Canadians' views on issues that relate to the *Canada Health Act*.

At the highest level, two-thirds of Canadians prefer a public health care system with equal access and no direct charges, while one-third would prefer a two-tier – both a public and private – system of health care. In addition, just over half of Canadians feel that funds transferred to the provinces should be spent in accordance with national principles. When asked about the current state of the country's health care system, most Canadians consider it to be "fair," and half feel that access to health care has deteriorated over the past two years.

Among the key findings of this study:

- Two-thirds agree that their provincial government is doing a good job respecting the principles of the CHA, and six in ten believe that the federal government is enforcing them.
- Eight in ten Canadians believe it is a key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the CHA, but only half believe it is currently doing a good job in that role.
- Financial penalties for provinces that do not meet the principles of the CHA are supported by half of Canadians, and most of those are more supportive if larger penalties are applied for "repeat offenders."
- A strong majority of Canadians consider it important to have universal access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without direct charges, but less than half support the withholding of federal funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges.
- Canadians appear to be highly supportive of universality and accessibility, but are also open to private delivery of insured health services as long as they do not have to pay directly for those services.

Responses to the survey vary by region and other socio-demographics, as well as by self-reported health status and experience with the health care system. In general, residents of Quebec and Alberta are the least receptive to federal enforcement of the Canada Health Act, and most supportive of provincial autonomy or choice in health care matters. Residents of Ontario most often report "unreasonably" long waiting times for health care services, and Ontarians are also less positive than others about the current state of Canada's health care system.

Those who have waited "unreasonable" periods for access to health services tend to be among the most supportive of federal enforcement of the principles of the CHA, including the use of financial penalties.



### Sommaire exécutif

Ce rapport présente les résultats d'un sondage national concernant l'opinion des Canadiens et des Canadiennes à l'égard de certains enjeux relatifs à la *Loi canadienne sur la santé*.

Tout d'abord, les deux tiers des Canadiens et des Canadiennes préfèrent un système de santé public offrant un accès égal à tous sans avoir à payer directement pour les services, alors qu'un tiers d'entre eux préfèreraient un système de santé à deux vitesses, à la fois public et privé. De plus, un peu plus de la moitié de la population canadienne croit que les fonds transférés aux provinces devraient être dépensés conformément aux principes nationaux. Lorsque nous avons demandé aux Canadiens et aux Canadiennes ce qu'ils pensaient de l'état actuel du système de soins de santé du pays, la plupart nous ont répondu qu'ils le trouvaient « passable ». Par ailleurs, la moitié des répondants ont trouvé que l'accès aux soins de santé s'était détérioré au cours des deux dernières années.

Certains points saillants qui ressortent de cette étude :

- Les deux tiers des répondants croient que leur gouvernement provincial réussit à bien respecter les principes de la LCS et six répondants sur dix croient que le gouvernement fédéral voit à l'application de ces principes.
- Au Canada, huit citoyens sur dix croient que de voir à l'application des principes de la LCS est un rôle fondamental du gouvernement fédéral alors que seulement la moitié des répondants croient qu'il s'acquitte bien de ce rôle.
- La moitié des Canadiens et des Canadiennes sont favorables à ce que les provinces qui ne respectent pas les principes de la LCS soient passibles de sanctions monétaires. La majorité d'entre eux y sont davantage favorables si des pénalités plus lourdes sont imposées aux provinces qui contreviennent à la loi de façon répétée.
- Une forte majorité de Canadiens et de Canadiennes croient qu'il est important d'avoir un accès universel aux services médicaux et hospitaliers dont ils ont besoin sans avoir à payer directement. Par contre, moins de la moitié d'entre eux sont favorables à ce que le gouvernement fédéral retienne une partie de la contribution pécuniaire aux provinces qui permettent la surfacturation et les frais modérateurs.
- Les Canadiens et les Canadiennes semblent être fortement favorables à l'universalité et à l'accessibilité des soins, mais ils sont également ouverts à recevoir des soins de santé assurés en clinique privée tant et aussi longtemps qu'ils n'ont pas à payer directement pour ces services.

Les réponses au sondage varient selon la région et d'autres facteurs sociodémographiques, notamment l'état de santé des répondants ainsi que leur expérience face au système de santé. De



manière générale, les résidents du Québec et de l'Alberta sont les moins réceptifs à l'idée que le gouvernement fédéral voit à l'application de la *Loi canadienne sur la santé*. Ils sont également les plus susceptibles d'être en faveur de l'autonomie provinciale en matière de soins de santé. Les résidents de l'Ontario sont les plus susceptibles de mentionner les délais d'attentes « déraisonnables » pour obtenir des services de soins de santé et ils sont également moins positifs que les autres Canadiens et Canadiennes à l'égard de l'état actuel du système de santé au Canada.

Ceux qui ont dû attendre des délais « déraisonnables » pour obtenir des soins de santé se retrouvent généralement parmi ceux qui sont les plus favorables à ce que le gouvernement fédéral soit responsable de voir à l'application des principes de la LCS, y compris le recours aux sanctions monétaires.



### **Detailed Analysis**

000


#### Profile and Assessments of the Health Care System

In order to contextualize the survey results and provide an understanding of the factors shaping attitudes toward the *Canada Health Act* and its application, the survey included questions about respondents' attitudes and perceptions of the health care system, as well as, about their own health status and experience accessing health care services.

#### Individual Profile and Experience Accessing Health Care

# Two-thirds of Canadians consider themselves to be in excellent or very good health, half feel they have waited an unreasonable period to access a medically necessary health service, and four in ten say they have paid out-of-pocket for a medically necessary service or procedure.

When asked about their general health, compared to other people their age, two-thirds of Canadians consider themselves to be in excellent (29%) or very good (34%) health. Another one-quarter (26%) consider themselves to be in generally "good" health, while one in ten say they are generally in fair (8%) or poor (2%) health. (Q.31)




Health Status of Canadians

The self-reported overall health status of Canadians varies by region, age, and income level. The greatest proportions reporting excellent or very good health are found among residents of Quebec (70%), younger Canadians (68% of those aged 18 to 44 years, compared to 60% 45 to 54 and 56% aged 55 and older), and those with the highest annual household incomes (75% among those with annual incomes greater than \$100,000, compared to 54% among those with incomes below \$40,000).

Given that waiting times are an important component of access to the health care system, Canadians were also asked if, over the past year, they or a member of their household has had to wait longer

than they considered reasonable to access a medically necessary hospital or physician service. Half (49%) of Canadians report that they have experienced an unreasonably long wait for access to health care services. (Q.29)




**Experienced Unreasonable Waiting Times** 

Unreasonably long waiting times for access to health care services are most common among residents of Ontario (52%), and lowest in British Columbia (42%) and Alberta (44%). Canadians aged 55 years and older are much less likely than others to report waiting for a longer than reasonable period of time for access to health care (34%, compared to 55% of those aged 54 years and younger). University educated Canadians are also less likely than others to have waited an unreasonable period of time for health care services (42% with a university degree, compared to 52% of others).

In addition to waiting times for accessing the health care system, Canadians were also asked about their experience with extra-billing for medically necessary physician services, user charges for medically necessary hospital services, and paying out of pocket for medically necessary procedures or diagnostic tests. The combined results across these three types of charges/fees reveal that four-inten Canadians (or a member of their household) have paid at least one charge/fee. (Q.19-21).







Specifically, one-quarter (25%) of Canadians report having paid an extra-billing charge for a medically necessary physician service, one-in-six (16%) have paid a user charge for a medically necessary hospital service, and one-quarter (24%) have paid out of pocket of pocket for a medically necessary procedure or diagnostic test at a private clinic.

These results vary by region of residence, as well as, socio-demographic factors such as age, education, income, and employment status.

Across the regions, residents of BC, Alberta, and Ontario are most likely to report having paid extrabilling and/or user charges, while Quebec residents are least likely to have these charges, but most likely to have paid out of pocket for procedures or diagnostic services at a clinic.

The proportion that has paid an extra-billing charge increases with income level, and is higher among than who are self-employed, compared to others. The proportion that has paid out of pocket for a medical service at a private clinic increases with education and income level, and is lowest among those who are unemployed or on a disability pension.


Those Canadians who report having waited an unreasonable period of time for access to medical services are also more likely than others to have paid an extra-billing charge (29%, compared to 21% of others) and a user charge (20%, compared to 12% of others).



#### Assessments of the Health Care System

# Most Canadians consider the state of Canada's health care system to be fair, while about one in five rate the system as poor or very poor. Half say access to health care has deteriorated.

In addition to questions about their personal experiences, Canadians were asked to rate the state of the health care system in Canada today. Half of Canadians (50%) consider the state of Canada's health care system to be fair, while another three in ten consider the system to be in very good (24%) or excellent (3%) shape. The remaining one in five consider the system to be in a poor (16%) or very poor (5%) state. (Q.1)



State of Canadian Health Care System

The most positive assessment of the state of Canada's health care system comes from Albertans (32%). Residents of Ontario and Quebec are the least positive about the state of Canada's health care system. Nearly one-quarter of residents in these two provinces rated the state of Canada's health care system to be either poor (17% Ontario and 15% Quebec) or very poor (5% and 9% respectively).

In addition to regional differences, assessments of Canada's health system also vary by age and gender. Men (32% excellent or very good) are more positive in their assessments of Canada's health care system than women (23%). Older Canadians (age 55 years and older, 33% excellent or very good) are the most positive about the state of health care in Canada, while those aged 45 to 54 years give the worst assessments (22% excellent or very good and 28% poor or very poor, compared to 21% of others).

Canadians were also asked their opinions about access to health care over the past two years, and one-half (51%) believe it has deteriorated, including 18% who think it has deteriorated greatly and 33% somewhat. Four in ten (39%) say access has stayed the same over the past two years, and one in twenty (6%) report an improvement. (Q.2)



Reports of deteriorating access to health care over the past two years are most common among residents of Ontario (59%), women (57%, compared to 46% of men), and among Canadians aged 35 to 54 years (60%, compared to 51% of those aged 55 and older, and 42% of those aged 18 to 34).

Not surprisingly, opinions about the accessibility of health care are associated with overall assessments of the state of the system, and with experiences in the system. Six in ten (61%) among those who consider the state of health care to be in fair or poor shape consider that access is deteriorating, as do the same proportion (62%) who report having waited an unreasonable time for access, and more than half (55%) who have paid for a medical service, including extra-billings, user fees, and/or clinic charges.

#### Health Care System Preferences

Two-thirds of Canadians prefer a single public health care system in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary services and no direct charges, and more than half believe that federal funds transferred for health care should be spent in accordance with national principles.

In order to obtain views on the acceptability of conditional transfer payments in the health sector, survey participants were asked whether they believe that the federal government should ensure that funds transferred to the provinces for health care are spent in accordance with national principles, or if the provinces should not have to meet national principles since they fund a significant proportion of the cost of health care and are responsible for delivering health care services.

A slight majority (55%) of Canadians feel that funds transferred to the provinces should be spent in compliance with national principles, whereas one-third (36%) believe the provinces should not be required to meet national principles. The remaining 9% did not provide a clear answer either way. (Q.3)

The "provincial choice" position is most common among residents of Alberta (45%), Quebec (44%), and the Atlantic region (39%). Canadians with annual household incomes in excess of \$100,000 are also more likely than others to support this position (43% compared to 35% of others). The strongest supporters of health care spending in accordance with national standards are Canadians with annual household incomes between \$60,000 and \$80,000 (64%).

Education levels are also associated with response to this question; 13% among those with a high school education did not respond, compared to 8% of college graduates and 4% with a university degree.



In another question, Canadians were asked to express a preference between two health care system models:

- A <u>two-tier</u> health care system, defined as: having both a private system in which patients pay directly out of their pockets for faster access to services and a public system where all Canadians are entitled to access medically necessary health services without direct charges; and,
- 2. A <u>single public</u> system of health care in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary health care services without direct charges and no one can pay directly for faster access.

Two-thirds (66%) of Canadians prefer one public system of health care, while three in ten (31%) say they would prefer a two-tier system. There is higher than average (66%) preference for a single public system in Atlantic Canada (76%) and Ontario (72%). (Q.4)

Certain sub-groups within the population are more supportive of a two-tier system, including:

- Residents of Quebec (42%), Manitoba and Saskatchewan (33%), Alberta (32%), and BC (32%);
- Men (34%, compared to 28% of women);
- University educated Canadians (34% compared to 27% with a high school education);
- Those with annual household incomes greater than \$100,000 (46%, compared to 29% of others), as well as self-employed individuals (40%); and
- Canadians with excellent or very good overall self-reported health status (34% compared to 27% of others).

However, those Canadians who believe that access to the health care system has deteriorated over the past two years are less supportive of a two-tier system (27% compared to 35% of others).



#### Implementation and Enforcement of the Canada Health Act


Two-thirds agree that their provincial government is doing a good job respecting the principles of the CHA, and six in ten believe that the federal government is enforcing them.

Survey respondents were read the following preamble prior to being asked their views on the principles of the CHA.

Canada's federal health legislation, the Canada Health Act, is based on five principles. These are: universality, accessibility, portability, comprehensiveness and public administration. The aim of the Canada Health Act is to ensure that all residents of Canada have reasonable access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without direct charges.

At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay.

Two-thirds of Canadians agree (67% overall, 17% strongly) that <u>their</u> provincial government is doing a good job, in general, of respecting the principles of the CHA. Three in ten either disagree (17%) or strongly disagree (11%). (Q.5)



Respecting Canada Health Act Principles by Province

The following sub-groups of Canadians are more inclined to agree that their own provincial government is doing a good job:

• Residents of Manitoba and Saskatchewan (80%) and Atlantic Canada (75%), particularly in comparison to BC (57%);



- Men (73%), compared to women (62%);
- Those with annual household incomes over \$60,000 (74%), compared to those with lower incomes (64%);
- Canadians with a university education (75%), compared to those with secondary education (62%);
- People who self-report their overall health status as excellent or very good (72%), compared to those in good, fair, or poor health (60%);
- Those who rate the state of the health care system as excellent (86%), compared to those who are less favourable (60%);
- Those who believe access to the system is better (83%) or unchanged (78%) over the past two years, compared to those who believe it has deteriorated (57%); and,
- People who have not waited an unreasonable length of time for access to health care (75%), compared to those who have (60%).

When asked if they agree or disagree that, in general, the federal government is enforcing the principles of the CHA, six in ten Canadians agree (60% overall, 13% strongly). One in five (21%) disagree somewhat with this statement and one in ten (12%) strongly disagree. The following population sub-groups are most likely to believe that the federal government is enforcing the principles of the CHA: (Q.6)


- Residents of Alberta (69%) and Atlantic Canada (68%), particularly in comparison to Ontario (55%), and BC (56%);
- People who self-report their overall health status as excellent or very good (64%), compared to those in good, fair, or poor health (53%);
- Those who rate the state of the health care system as excellent or very good (73%), compared to those who are less favourable (55%);
- Those who believe access to the system is better (74%) or unchanged (69%) over the past two years, compared to those who believe it has deteriorated (51%); and,
- People who have not waited what they consider to be an unreasonable length of time for access to health care (67%), compared to those who have (52%).

# Eight in ten Canadians believe it is a key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the CHA, but only half believe it is currently doing a good job.

In another series of questions, Canadians were asked to what extent they agree that it is a key role of the federal government to enforce the provisions of the Canada Health Act, either in their own



province or in all provinces.<sup>1</sup> Overall, eight in ten Canadians agree that the federal government should enforce these principles, whether in all provinces (85%), or specifically in their own province (81%). More Canadians strongly agree with federal enforcement of the Act in all provinces (59%), than strongly agree with federal enforcement in their own province (52%). (Q.7)



The following sub-groups are more likely than others to agree that it is a key role of the federal government to enforce the CHA in...


All Provinces (% strongly/somewhat agree)	Your province (% strongly/somewhat agree)
<ul> <li>Residents of Atlantic Canada (96%), Ontario (92%), and BC (91%);</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residents of Atlantic Canada (88%), particularly NFLD, NS, and PEI (96%), and Ontario (87%),</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Canadians who are either unemployed or looking for work (92%); and,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>particularly in comparison to Quebec (71%);</li> <li>Women (86%), compared to men (77%);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Supporters of a single public health care system (88%).</li> </ul>	• Those aged 44 years of age and younger (87%), compared to 76% of older Canadians; and,
	<ul> <li>Supporters of a single public health care system (86%).</li> </ul>

With views on the importance of federal enforcement of the CHA established, Canadians were then asked if they believe the federal government is doing a good job or a poor job of enforcing the Canada Health Act, either in all provinces or their own province. Overall, only about half of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Half of the survey participants were randomly selected for each version of the question.



Canadians believe that the federal government is doing a good job enforcing the Act, either in all provinces (47%), or in their own province (51%). (Q.8)




The following sub-groups are more likely than others to consider that the federal government is doing a good job of enforcing the CHA in...

All Provinces (% Very/good job)	Your province (% Very/good job)
<ul> <li>Residents of Atlantic Canada (52%), Quebec (55%), and Manitoba and Saskatchewan (60%);</li> <li>Men (52%), compared to women (42%);</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residents of Quebec (60%), Manitoba and Saskatchewan (58%), and Atlantic Canada (57%);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Those rating the state of the health care system as excellent or very good (63%); and,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Those with a post-secondary education (55%), compared to 44% with secondary education;</li> </ul>
• Those who have not paid directly for health care services (52%, compared to 41% that have).	• Those rating the state of the health care system as excellent or very good (63%); and,
	• People who self-report their overall health status as excellent or very good (54%), compared to others (45%).

Eight in ten Canadians also believe the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act, either in all provinces (82%, compared to 14% that disagree) or their own province (84%, compared to 13% that disagree). (Q.9)





#### Federal Government Should Do More to Enforce CHA

The following population sub-groups tend to say that the federal government <u>should not</u> be doing more to enforce the principles of the CHA in ...

All Provinces (% disagree)	Your province (% disagree)
<ul> <li>Residents of Quebec (20%), and Alberta (17%);</li> <li>Men (18%), compared to women (10%);</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residents of Quebec (22%), Alberta (24%) and Manitoba and Saskatchewan (16%);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Canadians who are self employed (18%), employed (14%), or retired (12%), compared to unemployed Canadians (4%);</li> </ul>	• Those aged 35 years and older (16%), compared to those aged 25 to 34 (7%) and 18 to 24 years (4%);
<ul> <li>Those with annual household incomes over \$100,000 (24%), compared to those with lower incomes (12%);</li> </ul>	• Canadians with annual household incomes over \$100,000 (23%), compared to those with lower incomes (10%);
<ul> <li>Canadians with a university education (23%), compared to others (10%);</li> </ul>	• Those with a post-secondary education (16%), compared to 8% with secondary education;
<ul> <li>Supporters of a two-tier health care system (23%), compared to 9% of others; and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Those rating the state of the health care system as excellent or very good (19%, compared to 10% of others);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Those who have not had to wait an unreasonable length of time for access to the health care system (17%), compared to those who have (12%).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>People who self-report their overall health status as excellent or very good (16%), compared to others (8%); and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Supporters of a two-tier health care system (23%), compared to 8% of others.</li> </ul>




Canadians were also asked who should be responsible for the final decision in the case of a disagreement over the interpretation of the CHA:

- 1. The federal government because it is responsible for the enforcement of the Act; or
- 2. The provincial governments because they are primarily responsible for delivering health care services.

Half (50%) of Canadians believe the federal government should have the final decision, while four in ten (42%) believe this should be a provincial government responsibility. Support for the federal government is highest among Canadians with annual household incomes above \$60,000 (56%), compared to others (47%), as well as among those with advanced university degrees (60% graduate), compared to 49% of others. Residents of Quebec (61%) and Alberta (48%) are most supportive of provincial responsibility. Among those who would prefer a two-tier health care system, only 40% side with the federal government in making final decisions on the CHA, whereas 52% side with the provincial governments. (Q.10)

Canadians were next informed that the federal and provincial governments have agreed to a process in the case of differences related to the interpretation of the Canada Health Act, involving a three person panel that could be asked to provide advice and recommendations. They were then asked if they consider this to be a fair arrangement or if it means the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the CHA.

Half (51%) of Canadians believe that using a three person panel is fair, while one-third (36%) feel this means that the federal government is not enforcing the Act. (Q.11)



#### Method for Settling Differences

Panel is the only fair way to settle differences

The largest proportions indicating that the use of a panel means that the federal government is not doing its job are found in Quebec (41%), Ontario and Atlantic Canada (36% each). This position is also taken by men (39%) compared to women (32%); those who feel that the health care system is in a fair or poor state (40%) compared to others (27%); those who have experienced an unreasonably long wait for access to health care (40% v. 32% of others); and respondents in fair or poor overall health (40% v. 33% of others).

# Financial penalties for provinces that do not meet the principles of the CHA are supported by half of Canadians, and most of those are more supportive if larger penalties are applied for "repeat offenders."

Slightly more than half of Canadians strongly (25%) or somewhat (29%) support the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces that violate the *Canada Health Act*. Four in ten somewhat (20%) or strongly (23%) oppose this practice. (*Q.12*)


Opposition to the use of financial penalties is greatest in Quebec (52% somewhat or strongly oppose), Alberta (46%), and New Brunswick (45%), as well as among those who feel that access to health care has deteriorated over the past two years (47%), and those who have waited what they consider to be an unreasonable period of time for health care services (47%). The strongest supporters of financial penalties are residents of NFLD, NS, and PEI (32% strongly support), retired Canadians (32% strongly support), and those with advanced university degrees (36% strongly support).

Among supporters of federal penalties, three-quarters (72%) say their support would increase if larger penalties were applied to provinces that repeatedly violate the Act. Among opponents of penalties, however, half 48% say their opposition to penalties would increase, and one-third (32%) say it would decrease, if larger penalties were applied to repeat offenders. (Q.13, 14)

Canadians were also asked if they would support federal government "reimbursement" of the money a province was penalized, if it comes back into compliance with the principles of the CHA. Threequarters either somewhat (31%) or strongly (46%) support this notion. Support for reimbursement does not vary significantly by region or socio-demographics, although supporters of financial penalties (20%) are more opposed to reimbursement than opponents (14%). (*Q.15*)

Canadians were asked to choose between two approaches to improving compliance with the CHA – having the federal government provide provinces with a guide that explains how it interprets the Act, or having the provinces responsible for their own interpretation of the principles. Two-thirds (68%) prefer that the federal government provide the provinces with a guide, while only one-quarter (28%) feel the provinces should be responsible for their own interpretation. (*Q.16*)






#### Improving Compliance with Canada Health Act Principles

Support for provincial interpretation is highest in Quebec (45%), Alberta (38%), and among those who support a two-tier system of health care (38%), those who support penalties for non-compliance (43%), and among those who believe the provincial governments should have the final say in disagreements over the interpretation of the CHA (45%).

# A strong majority of Canadians consider it important to have universal access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without direct charges, but less than half support the withholding of federal funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges.

When asked how important it is for all Canadians to have access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without paying direct charges, nine in ten consider it either extremely (62%), very (27%) or somewhat (9%) important. Only two percent of Canadians consider this not very (1%) or not at all (1%) important. (Q.17)




#### Importance of Access without Direct Charges

Decima Research Inc., 2005



The proportion considering no-charge access to be "extremely" important is highest in Atlantic Canada (72%), and lowest in Quebec (47%), as well as among those who prefer a single public system of health care (71%, compared to 45% of those favouring a two-tier system), and those who feel that access has deteriorated over the past two years (66%, compared to 59% of others). Canadians who believe that the federal government should have the final decision in disputes over the CHA (69%) are also more likely than others (54%) to consider it extremely important that all Canadians have no-charge access to health care.

However, when asked if they would support the federal government withholding health care funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges, only about four in ten (44%) are supportive, while half (51%) are opposed. This is true despite having being informed, prior to the question, that the extra-billing and user charges are not allowed under the CHA for medically necessary services. (Q.18)




Opposition to the federal government withholding funds is strongest in Quebec (58%), among young people aged 18 to 34 years (59% compared to 47% of others), and respondents with fair or poor overall (self-reported) health (55% compared to 49% of others).

Also opposed to federal withholding of health care funds from provinces that permit extra-billing or user charges are those who generally oppose penalties for non-compliance with the CHA (45% compared to 20% of others), and those who believe that the provinces should have the final decision in disagreements over the interpretation of the CHA.




Canadians appear to be highly supportive of universality and accessibility, but are also open to private delivery of health services as long as they do not have to pay directly for those services.

A series of "agree – disagree" statements were included in the survey in order to assess views on private delivery of health care services as well as on direct payment for services.



These results indicate that Canadians are firmly committed to access based on need, and not ability to pay for health care services, with nine in ten either strongly (78%) or somewhat agreeing (14%). (Q.23)

A clear majority of Canadians agree (79% overall, 58% strongly) that provinces should have policies in place to ensure that all medically necessary services provided by private clinics do not require direct payment. Support for this position is highest among the youngest cohort (89% aged 18 to 24), and lowest among the older group (73% aged 55 years and older). Support for this position is also





strong among those who prefer a single public health care system (85%, compared to 70% of others), and those who prefer the federal government have the final say in disagreements over the CHA (82%, compared to 76% of others). (Q. 27)

Sizeable majorities are also in favour of provinces paying for all medically necessary services when provided in private clinics, with seven in ten agreeing to this when the question references services such as knee surgeries and diagnostic tests (46% strongly and 24% somewhat), and six in ten agreeing when the same services and abortion are mentioned (34% strongly and 28% somewhat). (*Q. 26*)

With abortion included in the question, support for provincial coverage of services offered in clinics is highest in Quebec (66%) and Ontario (65%), and lowest on the Prairies (50%). Support in this context is also higher among those aged 18 to 24 (79%) and 25 to 34 (68%) compared to older Canadians (60% aged 35 to 54 and 57% of those 55 and older).

With abortion excluded from the question, support for provincial coverage of services offered in clinics does not vary significantly by region or age group, but is most strongly supported by Canadians in the lowest income and education brackets (79% of those with annual household incomes below \$40,000, compared to 64% of others; and 77% among those with a secondary education or less, compared to 69% of college graduates, and 62% of university graduates).

Two thirds of Canadians strongly (46%) or somewhat (21%) agree that only people with high incomes are able to afford to pay out of pocket to get quicker access at private clinics. While there are relatively few significant variations in response to this item by socio-demographic variables, it is noteworthy that the highest proportion who strongly disagree with this statement are those with no post secondary education (25%, compared to 16% of others). Moreover, those who most strongly agree with this statement are those who rate the state of the health care system as fair or poor (48%, compared to 41% of others), those who prefer one health care system (50%, compared to 40% of others), and those who believe they have waited an unreasonable period for access to health care (50%, compared to 43% of others). (Q. 22).

Two-thirds also agree either strongly (42%) or somewhat (21%) that paying to get faster access to health care services covered by Medicare is "queue jumping – plain and simple." Disagreement with this statement is highest in Quebec (41% strongly disagree, compared to 10% to 20% in other provinces). Disagreement with this statement is also higher than average among those who prefer a two-tier system (45%, compared to 27% of others), feel that the provinces should have the final say in disagreements over the CHA (41%, compared to 26% of others), and those opposed to federal financial penalties for non-compliance with the CHA (39%, compared to 27% of others). (Q.25)

Six in ten Canadians agree either strongly (32%) or somewhat (29%) that "there is nothing wrong with private for-profit delivery of health care as along as I can use my provincial health card." Agreement with this approach is highest in Quebec (68%) and lowest in Ontario and BC (both 57%).



Men (66%) are more likely women (57%) to hold this view, as are those with a post-secondary education (69%, compared to 56% with post-secondary training). Those most opposed to this view are supporters of: a single public health care system (40%, compared to 17%); federal final decision in disagreements (37% compared to 28%); and, financial penalties for non-compliance with the principles of the CHA (37%, compared to 29%). (Q.24)

Just under six in ten strongly (35%) or somewhat (22%) agree that "paying to get faster access to diagnostic services enables paying patients not only to get faster test results, but also to get faster follow-up treatment. Disagreement with this statement is highest in Quebec (45%) and lowest in BC (29%) as well as among the youngest Canadians (56%, compared to 37% of others). Disagreement with this statement also declines as income and education levels increase (from 47% among those with annual household incomes below \$40,000 to 22% over \$100,000; and from 51% of those with no post-secondary education to 28% of university graduates). Those who have paid out of pocket for health services are less inclined to disagree with this statement (35%, compared to 42%), as are those who prefer a two-tier system (24%, compared to 46%). (Q.28)



## **Survey Methodology**

#### **Questionnaire Design**

The questionnaire for this survey was developed by Decima Research, in close consultation with Health Canada. The questionnaire was translated by Decima's in-house translation team. Prior to being finalized, the survey was pre-tested in French and English.

#### Sample Design and Selection

The sample for this study was designed to complete interviews with a representative sample of 1,500 adult Canadians from households selected randomly across the country. The sample was stratified by region or province to ensure adequate sub-samples for meaningful regional analysis.

The sample was drawn using SurveySampler technology, which ensures that all residential listings in Canadian provinces have an opportunity to be selected for inclusion in the survey. Within those households selected, respondents 18 years or older were screened for random selection using the "last birthday" method, which provides an efficient means of ensuring the sample approximates the population according to gender and age level. Up to eight callbacks were used to reach selected respondents who may not have been available at the time of the call.

#### **Survey Administration**

This survey was conducted in English and French by telephone using computer-assisted-telephoneinterviewing (CATI) technology, from Decima's facilities in Ottawa, Toronto, and Montreal, from March 7-13, 2005. The survey averaged 15 minutes in length.

All interviewing was conducted by fully trained and supervised interviewers, and a minimum of 10 percent of all completed interviews were independently monitored and validated in real time.



#### **Sample Disposition**

A total of 17,966 telephone numbers were called for this study, from which 1,504 households were qualified as eligible (adults 18 years and older) and completed the survey. The overall response rate is 11%. The final disposition of all contacts is presented in the following table, consistent with the reporting standards established by the Marketing Research and Intelligence Association (MRIA).

A. Total Number Attempted (Lines 1-14)	17,966
1. Not in Service	2,868
2. Fax/Modem	528
3. Business/Residential	562
B. Total Eligible Numbers (Lines 4-14)	14,008
4. Busy	130
5. Answering Machine	2,259
6. No Answer	2,291
9. Selected/Eligible Respondent Not Available	1,544
7. Language	295
8. Illness, Incapable	46
C. Total Asked (Lines 10-14)	7,443
10. Household Refusal	2,579
11. Respondent Refusal	3,202
12. Qualified Respondent Break Off	101
D. Co-operative Contacts (Lines 13-14)	1,561
13. Disqualified	57
14. Completed Interview	1,504
Refusal Rate = (10+11+12)/C	79.03
Response Rate = D/B	11.14

#### Sample Disposition Report



#### Sample Distribution

A sample of 1,504 drawn from the Canadian population would be expected to provide results accurate to within plus or minus 2.5 percent in 95 out of 100 samples. The margin of sampling error will be greater for regional and provincial sub-samples, as presented below.

Region/Province	Unweighted Sample	Margin of Error <sup>1</sup>
NFLD, NS, PEI	100	± 9.8%
New Brunswick	201	± 6.9%
Québec	251	± 6.2%
Ontario	350	± 5.2%
Manitoba/Saskatchewan	200	$\pm 6.9\%$
Alberta	200	*•± 6.9%
British Columbia	202	± 6.9%
CANADA /	1,504	± 2.5%

#### Sample Distribution by Region

<sup>1</sup>Percentage based on 95% confidence level



#### **Sample Characteristics**

The characteristics of the final sample are presented below, in terms of the distribution across region and demographic strata, and how they compare with the population. The banner tables provided under separate cover present the results for all survey questions by each of these categories (as well as others).

	Sample <sup>1</sup>	Population <sup>2</sup>
	%	%
Region/Province		
NFLD, NS, PEI	3	5
New Brunswick	6	2
Québec	25	24
Ontario	37	38
Manitoba/Saskatchewan	7	7
Alberta	9	10
British Columbia	13	13
Education Level		
Grade school/Some high school	15	23
Completed high school	23	24
College/some university	30	31
University graduate	31	23
Gender		
Male	48	49
Female	52	51
Age		
18-34	32	29
35-54	39	41
55+	27	29
· · · · · · · · ·		

#### Weighted Sample Distribution (percent)

<sup>1</sup> Weighted data (by region/province)

<sup>2</sup> 2001 census

Appendix A: Survey Questionnaire (English and French)

1

#### Health Canada Canadians' Views on the Canada Health Act

#### **Final Questionnaire**

Good morning/afternoon/evening. My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_ and I am calling from Decima Research, a public opinion research company. Today we are conducting a study to find out what people think about some important issues facing Canada's health care system. Please be assured that we are not selling or soliciting anything. This survey is registered with the national survey registration system.

[IF ASKED: The survey should take no more than 15 minutes to complete] [IF ASKED: I can tell you at the end who sponsored this survey]

[IF ASKED: The registration system has been created by the Canadian survey research industry to allow the public to verify that a survey is legitimate, get information about the survey industry or register a complaint. The registration system 's toll-free telephone number is 1-800-554-9996].

We choose telephone numbers at random and then select one person from each household to be interviewed. To do this, we would like to speak to the person in your household, 18 years of age or older, who has had the most recent birthday. Would that be you?

(IF PERSON SELECTED IS NOT AVAILABLE, ARRANGE FOR CALL-BACK. IF PERSON SELECTED IS NOT AVAILABLE OVER INTERVIEW PERIOD, ASK FOR PERSON WITH NEXT MOST RECENT BIRTHDAY)

A. First, to see if your household qualifies, do you or does anyone in your household work for an advertising company, a market research firm, or the media?

Yes (THANK AND TERMINATE) No (CONTINUE)

- 1. Overall, how would you rate the state of the health care system in Canada today? Is it excellent, very good, fair, poor or very poor?
  - Excellent
  - Very good
  - Fair
  - Poor
  - Very poor
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 2. In your opinion, has access to health care in Canada deteriorated, stayed the same, or improved over the past two years?
  - Improved
  - Stayed the same SKIP TO Q3

- Deteriorated

VOLUNTEERED

- Don't know
- No answer / Refused

2a. And would that be somewhat or greatly?

- Greatly
- Somewhat
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 3. Moving on, some people say that (ROTATE) it's the federal government's job to make sure the provinces respect national principles such as universal access in exchange for federal health care funding. Other people say that (ROTATE) since the provinces fund a significant portion of the cost of health care and are responsible for delivering health care services, they should not have to meet national principles in return for the funds transferred from the federal government: Which view is closer to your own?

#### ROTATE OPTIONS

- Funds transferred should be spent on health care in compliance with national principles.
- Provinces should be able to spend funds transferred from the federal government without having to meet national principles.
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused

- 4. Which of the following do you think is better . . . (ROTATE) Having a two-tier health care system that is, having both a private system in which patients pay directly out of their pocket for faster access to services and a public system where all Canadians are entitled to access medically necessary health services without direct charge OR (ROTATE) Having one public system of health care in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary health care services without direct charges and no one can pay directly for faster access.
  - Having two health care systems at the same time
  - Having one public system of health care
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- Ab Canada's federal health legislation, the Canada Health Act, is based on five principles. These are: universality, accessibility, portability, comprehensiveness and public administration. The aim of the Canada Health Act is to ensure that all residents of Canada have reasonable access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without direct charges.
- Ac the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... (READ)
  - 5. That, in general, **your** provincial government is doing a good job in respecting these principles?
    - Strongly agree
    - Somewhat agree
    - Somewhat disagree
    - Strongly disagree
    - VOLUNTEERED
    - Don't know
    - No answer / Refused
  - 6. That, in general, the federal government is enforcing these principles?
    - Strongly agree
    - Somewhat agree
    - Somewhat disagree
    - Strongly disagree
    - VOLUNTEERED
    - Don't know
    - No answer / Refused

60

- 7. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province / all provinces?
  - 00

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 8. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province / all provinces?
  - 1 A very poor job
  - 2 Poor job
  - 3 Good job
  - 4 Very good job
  - VOLUNTÉERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 9. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing **more** to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) **your** province / **all** provinces?

020

040

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 10. When the federal and provincial governments cannot agree on the interpretation of the Canada Health Act, who should be responsible for the final decision? (READ AND ROTATE)
  - The federal government because it is responsible for the enforcement of the Canada Health Act;
  - The provincial governments because they are primarily responsible for delivering health care services;

#### VOLUNTEERED

- OTHER (specify)
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused

4

- 11. The federal and provincial governments have agreed to a process in the case of differences related to the interpretation of the Canada Health Act. The process involves a three person panel that could be asked to provide advice and recommendations. Some people say that this is the only fair way to settle differences between the federal and provincial governments. Other people say that using a panel means that the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the Canada Health Act. Which view is closer to your own?
  - A panel is the only fair way to settle differences between the federal and provincial governments;
  - Using a panel means the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the Canada Health Act

VOLUNTEERED

Don't know

- No answer / Refused
- 12. Provinces that do not meet the five principles of the Act can face financial penalties. The penalties vary depending on the severity of the violation. The penalty is deducted from the overall federal contribution to the province for health care. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the principles of the Canada Health Act?
  - Strongly support
  - Somewhat support
  - Somewhat oppose (SKIP TO Q 14)
  - Strongly oppose (SKIP TO Q 14)

VOLUNTEERED

- Don't know (SKIP TO Q 15)
- No answer / Refused (SKIP TO Q 15)
- 13. (ASK ONLY OF THOSE WHO SUPPORT PENALITES IN Q12) Would your support for penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?
  - Increase
  - Decrease

VOLUNTEERED

- Stayed the same
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 14. (ASK ONLY OF THOSE WHO OPPOSE PENALITES IN Q12) Would your opposition to penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?
  - Increase
  - Decrease

VOLUNTEERED

- Stayed the same
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused

- 15. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government reimbursing a province for the money it was penalized, if it comes back into compliance with the principles of the Canada Health Act?
  - Strongly support
  - Somewhat support
  - Somewhat oppose
  - Strongly oppose
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 16. Which of the following do you think provides a better approach to improving compliance with the Canada Health Act principles? Having the Federal Government provide provinces with a guide that explains how it interprets the Canada Health Act? Having the provinces responsible for their own interpretation of the principles of the Act?

### ROTATE OPTIONS

- The Federal Government provide provinces with a guide
- The provinces responsible for their own interpretation
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 17. How important is it to you that all Canadians have access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without paying direct charges. Would you say this is extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important?
  - Extremely important
  - Very important
  - Somewhat important
  - Not very important
  - Not at all important
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused

Under the Canada Health Act, extra-billing and user charges are not allowed for medically necessary physician and hospital services. Examples of this include, if a doctor were to charge you for an office visit that is covered by a provincial health insurance plan, that charge would be extra-billing. If you were charged a fee for being provided treatment at a hospital emergency department, the fee would be considered a user charge.

- 18. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government withholding some health care funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges?
  - Strongly support
  - Somewhat support
  - Somewhat oppose
  - Strongly oppose
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 19. Have you or a member of your household ever paid an extra-billing charge for a medically necessary physician service?
  - Yes
  - No

VOLUNTEERED

- Don't know
- No answer / Refused
- 20. Have you or a member of your household ever paid a user charge for a medically necessary hospital service?
  - Yes
  - No
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 21. Have you or a member of your household ever paid out of pocket to get a medically necessary procedure or diagnostic test at a private clinic?
  - Yes - No VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused

For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree (is that strongly or somewhat?) (RANDOMIZE)

- 22. Only people with high incomes are able to afford to pay out of pocket to get quicker access for medically necessary services at private clinics. (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
- 23. Access to medically necessary services must be based on need and not a person's ability to pay for that service. (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)

- 24. There is nothing wrong with private for-profit delivery of health care services as long as I can use my provincial health card. (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
- 25. Paying to get faster access to health care services covered by Medicare is queue jumping plain and simple. [IF NECESSARY: Queue jumping is defined as jumping to the front of the line] (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
- 26. Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, abortions and diagnostic tests when provided in a private clinic.(50/50 SPLIT SAMPLE WITH ABORTION IN AND ABORTION OUT). (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
- 27. Provinces should have policies in place to ensure all medically necessary services in private clinics are provided without people having to pay out of pocket. (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
- 28. Paying to get faster access to diagnostic services enables paying patients **not only** to get faster test results **but also** to get faster follow-up treatment than other patients who do not pay for faster access to services (Please tell me if you agree or disagree. IF NECESSARY: Is that strongly or somewhat support or oppose.)
  - Strongly agree
  - Somewhat agree
  - Somewhat disagree
  - Strongly disagree
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused
- 29. Over the past year, have you or a member of your household had to wait longer than you thought was reasonable to get access to a medically necessary hospital or physician service?
  - Yes
  - No
  - VOLUNTEERED
  - Don't know
  - No answer / Refused

### Demographics

I have a few final questions which will help us analyze the results of the survey. I'll remind you that all of your answers are strictly confidential.

30. First, in what year were you born?

- (Record year XXXX)
- Don't know/ Refused

31. Compared to other people your age, would you say your health is generally:

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Only fair
- Poor
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't know
- No answer / Refused

32. What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed? (DO NOT READ)

- Public/elementary school or less (grade 1-8)
- Some high school
- Graduated from high school
- Community/technical college or CEGEP
- Trade certification
- Some community college or university
- Bachelor's degree
- Professional certification
- Graduate degree
- VOLUNTEERED
- Refused

33. Which of the following best describes your own present employment status (READ)?

- Working full-time
- Working part-time
- Unemployed or looking for a job
- Self-employed
- Stay at home full-time
- Student
- Retired
- VOLUNTEERED
- Disability pension
- Refusal

34. What was your annual household income from all sources before taxes for 2004?

- Less than \$20,000
- \$20,000 \$29,999
- \$30,000 \$39,999
- \$40,000 \$49,999
- \$50,000 \$59,999
- \$60,000 \$79,999
- \$80,000 \$99,999
- \$100,000 or plus
- VOLUNTEERED
- Don't Know/ Refused

35. And finally, may I have the first three digits of your postal code?

- RECORD
- Don't know/Refused

# RECORD

### 36. Gender

- Male
- Female
- 37. Language of interview
  - English
  - French

### 38. Province

- Alberta
- British Columbia
- Manitoba
- Newfoundland
- New Brunswick
- Nova Scotia
- Ontario
- Prince Edward island
- Quebec
- Saskatchewan

### 39. Community size

- 1 million plus
- 100,000 to 1 million
- 25,000 to 100,000
- 10,000 to 25,000
- 5,000 to 10,000
- Less than 5,000

# Santé Canada Points de vue des Canadiens et des Canadiennes à l'égard de la Loi canadienne sur la santé.

# Questionnaire Final

Bonjour/bonsoir. Je m'appelle \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ et je vous téléphone du Centre de recherche Décima, une firme d'étude sur l'opinion publique. Nous effectuons aujourd'hui un sondage pour savoir ce que les gens pensent de certains enjeux importants auxquels le système de soins de santé canadien fait face actuellement. Soyez assuré(e) que nous ne tentons pas de vous vendre quoi que ce soit. Cette étude est enregistrée auprès de l'organisme national responsable de la recherche par sondage.

[AU BESOIN : Ce sondage ne devrait pas durer plus de 15 minutes] [AU BESOIN : Je pourrai vous dire à la fin qui est le commanditaire de ce sondage]

[AU BESOIN : Le système d'enregistrement a été mis sur pied par le Conseil canadien de la recherche par sondage afin de permettre au public de vérifier la légalité des sondages, d'obtenir des renseignements sur l'industrie de la recherche par sondage ou de loger une plainte. Le numéro sans frais à composer pour vérifier l'enregistrement du sondage est le 1-800-554-9996].

Nous sélectionnons les numéros de téléphone de façon aléatoire et choisissons par la suite une personne par foyer pour répondre au questionnaire. Pour ce faire, nous aimerions parler à la personne de votre foyer, âgée d'au moins 18 ans, qui a été la dernière à célébrer son anniversaire. Serait-ce vous?

(SI LA PERSONNE CHOISIE N'EST PAS DISPONIBLE, FIXEZ UN RENDEZ-VOUS POUR LA RAPPELER. SI LA PERSONNE CHOISIE NE SERA PAS DISPONIBLE PENDANT TOUTE LA PÉRIODE DU SONDAGE, DEMANDEZ À PARLER À LA PERSONNE QUI A ÉTÉ L'AVANT-DERNIÈRE À CÉLÉBRER SON ANNIVERSAIRE)

A. Tout d'abord, assurons-nous que votre foyer est admissible à répondre au sondage. Est-ce que vous, ou un autre membre de votre foyer, travaillez pour une agence de publicité, une firme d'études de marché ou les médias?

> Oui (REMERCIEZ ET TERMINEZ) Non (CONTINUEZ)

- 1. Dans l'ensemble, comment évaluez-vous l'état actuel du système canadien des soins de santé? Est-il excellent, très bon, passable, mauvais ou très mauvais?
  - Excellent
  - Très bon
  - Passable
  - Mauvais
  - Très mauvais
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 2. Selon vous, l'accès aux soins de santé au Canada s'est-il détérioré, est-il demeuré le même ou s'est-il amélioré au cours des deux dernières années?
  - Amélioré
  - Demeuré le même PASSEZ À Q3
  - Détérioré
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 2a. Et se serait-il passablement ou grandement
  - Grandement
  - Passablement
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 3. Poursuivons, certaines personnes disent que Le gouvernement fédéral doit s'assurer que les provinces se conforment aux principes nationaux comme l'accès universel en contrepartie des montants qu'il verse pour les soins de santé. Puisque les provinces financent une partie importante des coûts de santé et sont responsables de dispenser les soins de santé, elles ne devraient pas avoir à se conformer aux principes nationaux en contrepartie des montants transférés par le gouvernement fédéral. Tandis que d'autres personnes disent que Le gouvernement fédéral doit s'assurer que les provinces se conforment aux principes nationaux comme l'accès universel en contrepartie des montants qu'il verse pour les soins de santé. Puisque les provinces financent une partie importante des coûts de santé et sont responsables de dispenser les soins de santé, elles ne devraient pas avoir à se conformer aux principes nationaux comme l'accès universel en contrepartie des montants qu'il verse pour les soins de santé. Puisque les provinces financent une partie importante des coûts de santé et sont responsables de dispenser les soins de santé, elles ne devraient pas avoir à se conformer aux principes nationaux en contrepartie des montants transférés par le gouvernement fédéral. Lequel de ces points de vue se rapproche le plus du vôtre?

### ALTERNEZ LES CHOIX

- Les montants transférés aux provinces pour les soins de santé devraient être dépensés en conformité avec les principes nationaux.
- Les provinces ne devraient pas avoir à se conformer aux principes nationaux en contrepartie des montants transférés par le gouvernement fédéral..

### DIT SPONTANÉMENT

- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse

- 4. Selon vous, laquelle de ces situations est la meilleure . . . (ALTERNEZ) Avoir un système de soins de santé à deux vitesses, c'est-à-dire avoir à la fois un système de santé privé où les patients paient directement eux-mêmes pour obtenir un accès plus rapide aux services et un système public où tous les Canadiens peuvent recevoir les soins de santé médicalement nécessaires sans avoir à payer directement... (ALTERNEZ) Avoir un seul système public où tous les Canadiens et reçoivent les soins de santé que nécessite leur état sans avoir à payer directement et où personne ne peut payer pour avoir un accès plus rapide à ces services.
  - Avoir deux systèmes de soins de santé en même temps
  - Avoir un seul système de soins de santé
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

La législation fédérale en matière de soins de santé, la Loi canadienne sur la santé, se fonde sur cinq principes. Ces principes sont : l'universalité, l'accessibilité, la transférabilité, l'intégralité et la gestion publique. L'objectif de la Loi canadienne sur la santé est de garantir que tous les résidents du Canada aient un accès raisonnable aux services médicaux et hospitaliers qui sont médicalement nécessaires **sans** avoir à payer directement.

Lors de la rencontre des premiers ministres en septembre dernier, tous les premiers ministres provinciaux et le premier ministre du Canada se sont engagés à respecter les cinq principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé et que l'accès aux soins de santé médicalement nécessaires devrait être fondé sur le besoin et non la capacité de payer. Êtes-vous fortement en accord, passablement en désaccord ou fortement en désaccord avec le fait... (LISEZ)

5. Que, de façon générale, votre gouvernement provincial réussit à bien respecter ces principes?

- Fortement en accord
- Passablement en accord
- Passablement en désaccord
- Fortement en désaccord

DIT SPONTANÉMENT

- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse

6. Que, de façon générale, le gouvernement fédéral voit à l'application de ces principes?

- Fortement en accord
- Passablement en accord
- Passablement en désaccord
- Fortement en désaccord

DIT SPONTANÉMENT

- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse

- 7. Êtes-vous fortement en accord, passablement en accord, passablement en désaccord ou fortement en désaccord que c'est un rôle fondamental du gouvernement fédéral de voir à l'application des principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé (DIVISEZ L'ÉCHANTILLON EN DEUX) dans votre province/ dans toutes les provinces?
  - Fortement en accord
  - Passablement en accord
  - Passablement en désaccord
  - Fortement en désaccord
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 8. Comment évaluez vous le rendement du gouvernement fédéral dans l'application des principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé (DIVISEZ L'ÉCHANTILLON EN DEUX) dans votre province/ dans toutes les provinces?
  - 1 très faible rendement
  - 2 faible rendement
  - 3 bon rendement
  - 4 très bon rendement

DIT SPONTANÉMENT

- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse
- 9. Êtes-vous fortement en accord, passablement en accord, passablement en désaccord ou fortement en désaccord avec le fait que le gouvernement fédéral devrait faire plus pour voir à l'application des principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé (DIVISEZ L'ÉCHANTILLON EN DEUX) dans votre province/ dans toutes les provinces?
  - Fortement en accord
  - Passablement en accord
  - Passablement en désaccord
  - Fortement en désaccord
  - **DIT SPONTANÉMENT**
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 10. Quand le gouvernement fédéral et les gouvernements provinciaux ne peuvent s'entendre sur l'interprétation de la Loi canadienne sur la santé, qui devrait être responsable de prendre la décision finale (LISEZ ET ALTERNEZ)?
  - Le gouvernement fédéral parce qu'il est responsable de voir à l'application de la Loi canadienne sur la santé;
  - Les gouvernements provinciaux parce qu'ils sont les premiers responsables de la prestation des services de soins de santé;

DIT SPONTANÉMENT

- AUTRE (précisez)
- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse

- 11. Le gouvernement fédéral et les gouvernements provinciaux se sont entendus sur la procédure à suivre pour le règlement des différends qui peuvent survenir dans l'interprétation de la Loi canadienne sur la santé. Cette procédure prévoit la formation d'un comité de trois personnes qui pourrait être appelé à fournir des avis et des recommandations. Certaines personnes disent que cette approche est la seule façon de régler équitablement les différends entre le gouvernement fédéral et les gouvernements provinciaux. D'autres personnes disent que le recours à un comité signifie que le gouvernement fédéral ne s'acquitte pas bien de son rôle de voir à l'application des principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé. Lequel de ces points de vue se rapproche le plus du vôtre?
  - Le comité est la seule façon de régler équitablement les différends entre le gouvernement fédéral et les gouvernements provinciaux;
  - Le recours à un comité signifie que le gouvernement fédéral ne s'acquitte pas bien de son rôle dans l'application de la Loi canadienne sur la santé
     DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 12. Les provinces qui ne se conforment pas aux cinq principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé sont passibles de pénalités monétaires. Les pénalités varient en fonction de la gravité du défaut. La pénalité est déduite des paiements de transfert fédéraux à la province. Êtes-vous fortement favorable, passablement favorable, passablement opposé(e) ou fortement opposé(e) à ce que le gouvernement fédéral impose des pénalités monétaires aux provinces qui contreviennent aux principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé?
  - Fortement favorable
  - Passablement favorable
  - Passablement opposé(e) (PASSEZ À Q 14)
  - Fortement opposé(e) (PASSEZ À Q 14)
  - **DIT SPONTANÉMENT**
  - Refuse (PASSEZ À Q 15)
  - Ne sait pas (PASSEZ À Q 15)
- 13. (POSEZ SEULEMENT À CEUX QUI SONT EN FAVEUR DES PÉNALITÉS À Q12) Est-ce que votre soutien en faveur des pénalités monétaires augmenterait ou diminuerait si le gouvernement fédéral imposait des pénalités plus lourdes aux provinces qui contreviennent à la Loi de façon répétée?
  - Augmenterait
  - Diminuerait
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Demeurerait le même
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

- 14. (POSEZ SEULEMENT À CEUX QUI SONT CONTRE LES PÉNALITÉS À Q12) Est-ce que votre opposition aux pénalités monétaires augmenterait ou diminuerait si le gouvernement fédéral imposait des pénalités plus lourdes aux provinces qui contreviennent à la Loi de façon répétée?
  - Augmenterait
  - Diminuerait
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Demeurerait le même
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 15. Êtes-vous fortement en accord, passablement en accord, passablement en désaccord ou fortement en désaccord à ce que le gouvernement fédéral rembourse une province du montant de la pénalité imposée si elle se conforme de nouveau aux principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé?
  - Fortement en accord
  - Passablement en accord
  - Passablement en désaccord
  - Fortement en désaccord
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 16. Selon vous, laquelle des approches suivantes serait la meilleure pour renforcer le respect des principes de la Loi canadienne sur la santé? Que le gouvernement fédéral fournisse aux provinces un guide qui explique son interprétation de la Loi canadienne sur la santé? Que les provinces soient responsables d'interpréter elles-mêmes les principes de la Loi?

### ALTERNEZ LES CHOIX

- Que le gouvernement fédéral fournisse aux provinces un guide
- Que les provinces soient responsables d'interpréter elles-mêmes les principes de la Loi DIT SPONTANÉMENT
- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- Refuse
- 17. Dans quelle mesure est-il important pour vous que tous les Canadiens aient accès aux services médicaux et hospitaliers qui sont médicalement nécessaires sans avoir à payer directement? Diriez-vous que cela est extrêmement important, très important, passablement important, pas très important ou pas de tout important?
  - Extrêmement important
  - Très important
  - Passablement important
  - Pas très important
  - Pas de tout important
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

En vertu de la Loi canadienne sur la santé, il est interdit de surfacturer ou d'imposer des frais modérateurs aux Canadiens pour les services médicaux et hospitaliers qui sont médicalement nécessaires. Par exemple, si un médecin vous facturait pour une visite médicale couverte en vertu d'un régime provincial d'assurance-santé, il s'agirait de surfacturation. Si on vous facturait des honoraires pour avoir été traité(e) à l'urgence d'un hôpital, ces honoraires seraient considérés comme des frais modérateurs.

- 18. Êtes-vous fortement favorable, passablement favorable, passablement opposé(e) ou fortement opposé(e) à ce que le gouvernement fédéral retienne une partie des paiements de transfert aux provinces qui permettent la surfacturation et les frais modérateurs?
  - Fortement favorable
  - Passablement favorable
  - Passablement opposé(e)
  - Fortement opposé(e)
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 19. Est-ce que vous, ou un membre de votre foyer, avez déjà été surfacturé par un médecin pour des services médicalement nécessaires?
  - Oui
  - Non
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 20. Est-ce que vous, ou un membre de votre foyer, avez déjà payé des frais modérateurs pour un service hospitalier qui était médicalement?
  - Oui
  - Non
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse
- 21. Est-ce que vous, ou un membre de votre foyer, avez déjà payé de vos poches pour faire effectuer dans une clinique privée une procédure médicale ou un test diagnostic qui était médicalement nécessaire?
  - Oui
  - Non
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

Veuillez me dire si vous êtes en accord ou en désaccord avec chacun des énoncés suivants. (ALTERNEZ)

- 22. Il n'y a que les plus fortunés qui peuvent se permettre de payer de leurs poches pour accéder plus rapidement aux services médicalement nécessaires dans des cliniques privées. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
- 23. L'accès aux services médicalement nécessaires devrait être établie en fonction du besoin et non de la capacité de payer. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
- 24. Il n'y a rien de mal à la prestation de services médicaux par des entreprises à but lucratif tant que je peux y utiliser ma carte d'assurance-santé provinciale. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
- 25. Payer pour avoir accès plus rapide aux services de santé couverts par l'assurance-santé c'est de passer avant les autres dans la file d'attente purement et simplement. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?) (AU BESOIN : c'est de se retrouver à la tête de la file)
- 26. Les régimes provinciaux d'assurance-santé devraient payer pour tous les services médicalement nécessaires tels que les chirurgies des genoux, les avortements et les tests diagnostics lorsqu'ils sont faits dans des cliniques privées. (Divisez l'échantillon 50/50 avec L'AVORTEMENT et sans L'AVORTEMENT. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
- 27. Les gouvernements provinciaux devraient avoir des politiques en vigueur pour garantir que tous les services médicalement nécessaires fournis par les cliniques privées sont offerts gratuitement. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
- 28. Payer pour avoir plus rapidement accès aux services diagnostics permet aux patients qui paient de **non seulement** obtenir les résultats des tests plus rapidement **mais aussi** d'obtenir des suivis de traitement plus rapides que les patients qui ne paient pas. (AU BESOIN : Etes-vous en accord ou en désaccord avec cet énoncé? PRÉCISEZ AU BESOIN : est-ce fortement ou passablement en accord/en désaccord?)
  - Fortement en accord
  - Passablement en accord
  - Passablement en désaccord
  - Fortement en désaccord
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

- 29. Au cours de la dernière année, est-ce que vous ou un membre de votre foyer avez dû attendre plus longtemps que ce que vous croyez raisonnable pour accéder à des services médicaux ou hospitaliers qui sont médicalement nécessaires??
  - Oui
  - Non
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

### Démographiques

Les questions suivantes nous permettront d'analyser les résultats du sondage. Je vous rappelle que toutes vos réponses demeureront strictement confidentielles.

30. Tout d'abord, quelle est votre année de naissance?

- (Entrez l'année XXXX)
- Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
- 31. De manière générale, comparativement aux personnes de votre âge, diriez-vous que votre état de santé est:
  - Excellent
  - Très bon
  - Bon
  - Passable
  - Faible
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas/ Pas de réponse
  - Refuse

32. Quel est le plus haut niveau de scolarité que vous ayez atteint? (NE LISEZ PAS)

- École primaire ou moins (de la 1<sup>re</sup> à la 8<sup>e</sup> année)
- Études secondaires en partie
- Études secondaires terminées
- Collège communautaire/technique ou CÉGEP
- Certificat professionnel
- Études universitaires en partie
- Baccalauréat
- Diplôme professionnel
- Diplôme d'études supérieures
- DIT SPONTANÉMENT
- Refuse

33. Lequel des énoncés suivants décrit le mieux votre statut d'emploi actuel (LISEZ)?

- Travailleur(se) à temps plein
- Travailleur(se) à temps partiel
- Sans emploi ou à la recherche d'emploi
- Travailleur(se) autonome
- Au foyer à temps plein
- Étudiant(e)
- Retraité(e)
- DIT SPONTANÉMENT
- Reçoit des prestation d'invalidité
- Refus
- 34. En cumulant toutes les sources de revenu, quel a été le revenu total de votre foyer avant impôts en 2004?
  - Moins de 20 000 \$
  - De 20 000 \$ à 29 999 \$
  - De 30 000 \$ à 39 999 \$
  - De 40 000 \$ à 49 999 \$
  - De 50 000 \$ à 59 999 \$
  - De 60 000 \$ à 79 999 \$
  - De 80 000 \$ à 99 999 \$
  - 100 000 \$ ou plus
  - **DIT SPONTANÉMENT**
  - Ne sait pas / Refuse
- 35. Et, pour terminer, pourriez-vous me donner les <u>trois premiers caractères</u> de votre code postal?
  - ENTREZ
  - DIT SPONTANÉMENT
  - Ne sait pas / Refuse

### RECORD

36. Sexe

- Homme
- Femme
- 37. Langue dans laquelle s'est déroulée l'entrevue
  - Anglais
  - Français

Santé Canada – Points de vue des Canadiens et des Canadiennes à l'égard de la Loi canadienne sur la santé – Questionnaire Final – Le 8 mars, 2005

### 38. Province

- Alberta
- Colombie-Britannique
- Manitoba
- Terre-Neuve
- Nouveau-Brunswick
- Nouvelle-Écosse
- Ontario
- Île-du-Prince-Édouard
- Québec
- Saskatchewan

39. Taille de la communauté

- 1 million et plus
- De 100 000 à 1 million
- De 25 000 à 100 000
- De 10 000 à 25 000
- De 5 000 à 10 000
- Moins de 5 000

)

2/2

283119

# **Canadians' Views on the Canada Health Act**

# **Banner Tables**

Prepared for: Health Canada POR-04-103 Contract # H1011-040095/001/CY

Date:

March 2005



130 pages

1

Q1. Overall, how would you rate the state of the health care system in Canada today? Is it excellent, very good, fair, poor or very poor? Base: All

Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age	***********	
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(
Total	1,504 100.0%		84 100.0%	125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%	106 100.0%	135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	
Excellent	42	1	1	2	15	9	4	6	6	27	15	6	6	9	6	
	2.8%	2.2%	1.5%	1.7%	4.0%	1.6%	3.4%	4.4%	2.8%	3.7%	1.9%					2
Very good	367		20	33	72	146		37	52	201	165	37	87	59	60	
	24.4%	30.7% E		26.2% E	18.8%	26.0% E		27.8% E	26.4%	27.9% K	21.2%	25.8%	25.4%	20.7%	20.1%	28
Fair	749		48	67	195	275	54	70	88	347	402	71	189	157	142	
	49.8%	45.9%	57.7% I		51.2%	49.2%	50.7%	51.7%	44.5%	48.0%	51.4%	49.8%	54.8% P		47.5%	45
													P	P		
Poor	236 15.7%		10 11.7%	18 14.0%	56 14.7%	96 17.2%		15 10.8%	36 18.1%	98 13.5%	138 17.7%					
	10170	10.00	11.7.0	14.0%	18.76	17.2% H		10.8%	10.1%	13.5%	17.7%	15.5%	13.1%	14.9%	21.4% MP	14
Very poor	82		4	5	33	29	3	4	8	32	50				21	
	5.4%	2.5%	4.4%	3.8%	8.7% BDGH	5.1%	3.2%	2.8%	4.1%	4.4%	6.4%	2.8%	3.5%	5.5%	7.1%	6
Don't know	23	_	1	1	8	5	1	2	6	12	11	1	4	2	3	
	1.5%		0.7%	0.4%	2.1%	0.9%	0.9%	1.7%	2.9%	1.6%	1.4%					2
No answer/Refused	7		-	-	2	-	2	1	2	6	1	2	1	-	2	
	0.5%				0.5%		1.7%	0.7%	1.2%	0.8%	0.1%	1.3%	0.3%		0.7%	0
Top 2 box	408		21	35	87	155	30	43	58	228	180	42	93	67	66	
	27.1%	32.9%	25.5%	28.0%	22.9%	27.6%	28.7%	32.2% E	29.2%	31.6% K	23.0%	29.9%	27.0%	23.7%	22.2%	31
Bottom 2 box	317	9	13	22	89	125	19	18	44	129	188	26	57	58	85	
	21.1%	21.2%	16.1%	17.8%	23.3% H	22.3% Н	18.1%	13.6%	22.3% H	17.9%	24.1% J		16.6%	20.4%		20
Mean	3.03	3.11	3.06	3.08	2.95	3.02	3.11	3.21	3.06	3.13	2.94	3.13	3.09	3.01	2.88	3
								E		ĸ		0	0			
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	З
CHI-SQUARE		<							>	<36.	092>	<		51.918-		
SIGNIFICANCE					21.	5%*				100.				98.6%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

# Decima Research Inc.

Q1. Overall, how would you rate the state of the health care system in Canada today? Is it excellent, very good, fair, poor or very poor? Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				nuage			Income					ation	
L	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
1	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(I
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%			98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		<b>4</b> 99 100.0%	267 100.0%	16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
Excellent	42 2.8%	6 3.5%	19 2.3%			2 1.8%	-	30 2.6%	11 3.4%	13 2.9%	7 2.6%	2 1.3%	4 3.6%	7 3.4%	16 2.9%	13 2.6%	7 2.8%	3.1
Very good	367 24.4%	28 16.6%	202 23.8%			21.9%	-	306 26.2% I	61 18.1%	98 21.0%	59 22.7%	68 34.8% JKM	24 21.3%	56 27.7%		113 22.7%	81 30.3% O	32.9
Fair	749 49.8%	89 52.7%	441 51.9% E	44.3%			6 57.9%	571 48.9%	178 52.8%	240 51.3%	131 50.5%	102 52.4%	52 46.2%	95 46.9%		263 52.7%	139 51.9%	44.8
Poor	236 15.7%	28 16.4%	130 15.3%			23 23.6%	<b>4</b> 37.0%	190 16.3%	<b>4</b> 6 13.5%	78 16.6% L	44 17.0% L	13 6.6%	24 21.4% L	35 17.1% L	19.5%	77 15.4% Q	25 9.3%	14.
Very poor	82 5.4%	18 10.6% CF	39 4.6% F	7.8%	5.7%		1 5.1%	50 4.3%	32 9.4% H	27 5.7%	13 5.2%	8 4.0%	7 6.4%	7 3.4%	42 7.5% Q	27 5.5%	6 2.4%	3.
Don't know	23 1.5%	0 0.3%	12 1.4%			2.0%	-	15 1.3%	8 2.4%	7 1.5%	<b>4</b> 1.5%	2 0.9%	1 1.2%	3 1.5%	11 1.9%	5 1.0%	5 1.9%	1
No answer/Refused	7 0.5%	-	5 0.6%			-	-	5 0.4%	2 0.5%	5 1.0%	1 0.5%	-	-	-	1 0.3%	0 0.1%	4 1.5%	
Top 2 box	408 27.1%	3 <b>4</b> 20.1%	222 26.1%				-	336 28.8% I	72 21.4%	112 23.9%	66 25.3%	70 36.0% JK	28 24.8%	63 31.1%		126 25.3%	88 33.1% 0	36.
Bottom 2 box	317 21.1%	46 27.0%	169 20.0%			23 23.9%	4 42.1%	240 20.6%	77 22.9%	105 22.4% L	58 22.1% L	21 10.6%	31 27.7% L	41 20.5% L	27.0%	104 20.9% Q	31 11.6%	18
Mean	3.03	2.86	3.04 B		3.17 BG	3.01	2.53	3.07 I	2.92	2.99	3.01	3.23 JKM	2.94	3.11	2.92	3.02	3.23 OP	3.
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.119		>	<27 100		<		-52.857		>	<	70	.217	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Î

Q1. Overall, how would you rate the state of the health care system in Canada today? Is it excellent, very good, fair, poor or very poor? Base: All Banner 3

				are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer the essary	Wait		nt Health
		Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
a Total		1,504 100.0%		1,066 100.0%		774 100.0%	582 100.0%		468 100.0%	756 100.0%		805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%	947 100.0%	552 100.0%
Unweighted '	N '	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Excellent		42 2.8%		-	11 12.7% EF	7 0.9%	22 3.7% E	2.8%	13 2.7%	19 2.5%		25 3.1%	17 2.6%	19 2.8%	23 2.7%	13 1.8%	28 3.7%		
Very good		367 24.4%		-	41 47.6% EF	109 14.1%	205 35.3% E	24.8%	115 24.6%	204 27.0%		205 25.5%	147 22.8%	142 21.7%	225 26.5%	121 16.5%	242 32.0% 0	268 28.3% R	17.7%
Fair		749 49.8%		749 70.2%		407 52.6% D	279 47.8%		223 47.6%	380 50.3%		417 51.9%	307 47.4%	321 49.0%	428 50.4%	367 49.9%	375 49.7%	467 49.3%	280 50.6%
Poor		236 15.7%		236 22.1%		170 22.0% DF	58 10.0% D	15.1%	79 16.9%	106 14.0%		110 13.7%	117 18.1%	114 17.3%	122 14.4%	163 22.2% P	71 9.4%	123 13.0%	
Very poor		82 5.4%		82 7.7%		70 9.0% F	11 1.9%		32 6.8%			32 4.0%	46 7.1% K	51 7.8% N	30 3.6%	65 8.9% P	16 2.2%	45 4.8%	
Don't know		23 1.5%		-	-	7 1.0%	7 1.1%		5 1.1%	8 1.0%		11 1.3%	11 1.7%	5 0.8%	17 2.1%	1 0.1%	20 2.6% 0	18 1.9%	
No answer/Re	fused	7 0.5%		-	0 0.5%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	1 0.2%	5 0.5%	2 0.5%			4 0.5%	2 0.4%	4 0.6%	3 0.4%	5 0.6%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	
Top 2 box		<b>4</b> 08 27.1%		-	52 60.2% EF	116 14.9%	227 39.0% E	27.6%	128 27.3%	223 29.5%		230 28.6%	164 25.4%	161 24.5%	247 29.2%	134 18.3%	270 35.8% O		20.7%
Bottom 2 box		317 21.1%		317 29.8%	3 3.4%	240 31.0% DF	69 11.9% D	19.8%	111 23.6%	143 18.9%		142 17.7%	163 25.2% K	165 25.2% N	152 17.9%	228 31.1% P	88 11.6%	168 17.7%	
Mean		3.03	4.10 C	2.63	3.70 EF	2.75	3.29 E		3.00	3.08	3.00	3.10 L	2.96	2.94	3.11 M	2.80	3.27 0	3.11 R	
Median		3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		,	<2422 100		<	-373.789- 100.0%*	>		596> .8%*		.989> .8%*		658> 8%*	<30. 100.		<219. 100.			.156> .0%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.
"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1
or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

I

Q2/2A. In your opinion, has access to health care in Canada deteriorated, stayed the same or improved over the past two years? Base: All

Banner 1

ſ

						rion					ıder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504		84 100.0%		380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		544 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Greatly Deteriorated	268 17.8%				65 17.1% H	125 22.3% BCDGH	11.3%	13 9.5%	38 19.1% GH	114 15.7%	154 19.8%	13 9.1%		61 21.5% LM	22.5%	103 18.99 LA
Somewhat Deteriorated	501 33.3%				117 30.7%	205 36.7%		40 29.6%	64 32.4%	216 29.8%	285 36.5% J	<b>44</b> 30.7%		99 34.8%		169 31.19
Deteriorated	5 0.4%		1 0.7%		1 0.4%	1 0.2%		1 0.8%	1 0.5%	3 0.4%	3 0.3%	-	1 0.2%	-	1 0.2%	0.69
Stayed the same	582 38.7%				158 41.6%	192 34.3%		62 45.8% F	71 35.7%	301 <b>4</b> 1.7%	281 36.0%	67 47-4% NC	50.9%	96 33.7%		202 37.19
Somewhat Improved	75 5.0%		4.6%		18 4.7%	18 3.2%		11 8.0% F	10 5.2%	45 6.2%	30 3.9%	8 5.4%		13 4.5%		42 7.79
Greatly Improved	9 0.6%		2 2.4%		3 0.7%	-	1 0.9%	2 1.2%	2 1.0%	8 1.1%	2 0.2%	2 1.1%		2 0.6%	<b>4</b> 1.2%	0.49
Improved	2 0.1%		-	-	-	1 0.3%		-	-	1 0.2%	0 0.1%	-		1 0.5%		ر 0.29
Don't know	52 3.4%				18 4.8%	14 2.5%		6 4.7%	9 4.6%	29 4.0%	23 2.9%	9 6.3%		11 3.9%	-	14 3.39
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%		1 0.7%		-	4 0.6%		1 0.5%	3 1.5%	6 0.9%	3 0.4%	-	1 0.2%	2 0.5%	-	4 0.7%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<							>		457> 7%*	<		82.066-		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

# Decima Research Inc.

### Q2/2A. In your opinion, has access to health care in Canada deteriorated, stayed the same or improved over the past two years? Base: All Banner 2

					nt Status				ruage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%		98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	5 255	15
Greatly Deteriorated	268 17.8%	39 23.1% F	159 18.7%	21 14.7%		11 11.1%	3 28.2%	208 17.8%	60 17.9%	89 18.9%	36 14.0%	36 18.3%	24 21.4%	34 17.0%				2 16.9
Somewhat Deteriorated	501 33.3%	55 32.6%	286 33.7%	57 40.5% E		33 33.4%	5 53.5%	394 33.7%	107 31.7%	154 32.9%	93 35.8%	56 28.9%	<b>4</b> 5 39.9%	66 32.8%				5 35.9
Deteriorated	5 0.4%	, -	1 0.1%	-	3 1.4%	1 1.0%	-	4 0.3%	1 0.4%	-	2 0.8%	2 0.8%	-		3 0.5%			
Stayed the same	582 38.7%	60 35.5% G	336 39.6% G	50 35.6% G	39.1%	40 40.8% G	1 9.5%	<b>444</b> 38.0%	139 41.2%	175 37.5%	109 42.0%	87 44.7% M	35 31.2%	78 38.6%				6 37.1
Somewhat Improved	75 5.0%	9 5.4%	28 3.3%	5 3.4%		5 5.3%	1 4.9%	64 5.4%	12 3.5%	34 7.2% KLM	4 1.7%	4 2.1%	2 2.0%	15 7.5% KLM	6.0%	2.79		1 7.4
Greatly Improved	9 0.6%	1 0.8%	4 0.4%	3 1.9%		1 0.6%	-	7 0.6%	3 0.8%	2 0.5%	-	2 0.9%	1 0.7%	3 1.3%				
Improved	2 0.1%	-	2 0.2%	-	-	-	-	2 0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.19		0.9
Don't know	52 3.4%	<b>4</b> 2.6%	26 3.0%	5 3.8%	-	7 7.1%	0 3.8%	37 3.1%	15 4.5%	14 3.0%	13 4.9%	8 4.0%	3 2.5%	4 1.9%		4.39	6 3.6%	0.7
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%	-	7 0.8%	-	2 0.8%	1 0.6%	-	9 0.8%	-	-	2 0.7%	1 0.3%	2 2.2%	2 0.9%		0.6%		1.2
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.284 .8%*		>	<8.0 57.		<		51.773 98.5%*		>	<		7.177 5.8%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

.....

Decima Research Inc.

Q2/2A. In your opinion, has access to health care in Canada deteriorated, stayed the same or improved over the past two years? Base: All

Banner 3

1

1

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%	947 100.0%	55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Greatly Deteriorated	268 17.8%		248 23.2% B	Ī	268 34.6%	-	183 18. <b>4</b> %	81 17.3%	131 17.4%			133 20.5% K	151 23.0% N	117 13.8%	183 24.9% P	8 <b>4</b> 11.1%	167 17.6%	-
Somewhat Deteriorated	501 33.3%	101 24.8%	396 37.1% B	-	501 64.7%	-	357 35.9% H	128 27. <mark>4</mark> %	264 34.9%			227 35.1%	210 32.0%	291 34.3%	275 37.4% P	219 29.0%	303 32.0%	
Deteriorated	5 0.4%			-	5 0.7%	-	3 0.3%	2 0.5%	2 0.2%		3 0.3%	2 0.3%	2 0.2%	4 0.4%	2 0.2%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	4 0.4%	0.2
Stayed the same	582 38.7%			-		582 100.0%		207 44.3% G				236 36.4%	227 34.6%	355 41.9% M	227 30.9%	353 46.8% O		
Somewhat Improved	75 5.0%		2.8%	75 86.9%	- ,:	-	49 4.9%	25 5.4%	33 4.4%			25 3.8%	43 6.6% N	32 3.8%	26 3.5%	49 6.4% O		
Greatly Improved	9 0.6%			9 10.9%	-	-	5 0.5%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	6 0.8%		<b>4</b> 0.5%	4 0.7%	1 0.1%	9 1.0% M	3 0.4%	7 0.9%	5 0.5%	0.7
Improved	2 0.1%			2.1%	-	-	2 0.2%	-	0 0.1%	-	0 0.1%	1 0.2%	0 0.1%	1 0.2%	-	2 0.2%		
Don't know	52 3.4%					-	27 2.7%	19 <b>4</b> .0%				17 2.7%	18 2.7%	34 4.0%	18 2.4%	32 4.2%	38 4.0%	
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%				-	-	8 . 0	1	5 0.6%		7 0.8%	2 0.3%	4	5 0.6%	2	6 0.8%		

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5

•

Decima Research Inc.

Q3. Moving on, some people say that it's the federal government's job to make sure the provinces respect national principles such as universal access in exchange for federal health care funding. Other people say that since the provinces fund a significant portion of the cost of health care and are responsible for delivering health care services, they should not have to meet national principles in return for the funds transferred from the federal government. Which view is closer to your own?

# Base: All

Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%			283 100.0%		544 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Funds transferred should be spent on health care	824 54.8%				175 46.1%	335 59.9% EH	52.7%	66 49.0%	124 62.5% EH	401 55.4%	424 54.3%			156 55.0%		301 55.3%
Prov. should spend funds trans. regardless of nat. princ.	541 36.0%		31 37. <b>4</b> %		165 43.5% FI	173 30.9%		61 45.1% FGI	56 28.4%	269 37.2%	272 34.9%			105 36.9%		192 35.39
Don't know	109 7.3%		5 6.2%		30 7.8%	41 7.3%		7 4.9%	14 7.3%	37 5.1%	73 9.3% J			16 5.7%		4
No answer/Refused	29 1.9%		1 1.1%		10 2.6%	11 2.0%		1 1.0%	<b>4</b> 1.8%	17 2.3%	12 1.6%		4	72.4%	10 3.3%	1.8
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				778 0%*			>	<11. 98.		<		15.504- 78.5%		;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

.

Q3. Moving on, some people say that it's the federal government's job to make sure the provinces respect national principles such as universal access in exchange for federal health care funding. Other people say that since the provinces fund a significant portion of the cost of health care and are responsible for delivering health care services, they should not have to meet national principles in return for the funds transferred from the federal government. Which view is closer to your own?

# Base: All

.

Banner 2

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	Juage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504	170	848	140	225	98	10	1,167	337	468	260	195	112	202	562	499	267	164
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Funds transferred should	824	84	473	74	133	53	6	681	143	241	140	125	63	106	289	278	155	9
be spent on health care	54.8%	49.7%	55.8%	52.7%	58.9%	53.6%	57.9%	58.4% I	42.4%	51.6%	53.9%	64.2% JN	56.6%	52.4%	51.5%	55.8%	58.0%	59.1
Prov. should spend funds	541	74	305	47	69	36	2	387	154	166	100	60	40	88	197	180	101	6
trans. regardless of	36.0%	43.4%								35.5%								36.2
nat. princ.		E							н					L				
Don't know	109	10	50	17	20	9	2	79	30	52	11	8	5	6	63	35	5	
	7.3%	5.7%	5.8%	12.3%	8.7%	9.1%	19.2%	6.8%	8.9%	11.1% KLMN	4.3%	4.0%	4.9%	3.0%	11.2% PQR			2.3
No answer/Refused	29	2	21	2	4	1	-	19	10	8	8	2	3	3	12	5	6	
	1.9%	1.2%	2.5%	1.3%	1.6%	0.6%		1.6%	3.0%	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%	2.8%	1.2%	2.2%	1.0%	2.3%	2.49
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.124		>		.702>	<		35.986		>	<		.229	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q3. Moving on, some people say that it's the federal government's job to make sure the provinces respect national principles such as universal access in exchange for federal health care funding. Other people say that since the provinces fund a significant portion of the cost of health care and are responsible for delivering health care services, they should not have to meet national principles in return for the funds transferred from the federal government. Which view is closer to your own?

Base: All Banner 3

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responder	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%				.774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%	633 100.0%		646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Funds transferred should be spent on health care	824 54.8%			<b>4</b> 8 55.9%	<b>4</b> 38 56.6%	307 52.7%	578 58.1% H	225 48.2%		260 41.2%		313 48.5%	376 57.3%	<b>44</b> 9 52.9%		412 54.6%		310 56.1%
Prov. should spend funds trans. regardless of nat. princ.	541 36.0%			30 34.3%	270 34.9%	225 38.7%	340 34.1%	192 41.1% G	26.6%	316 50.0% I		276 42.8% K	220 33.5%	321 37.9%		279 37.0%		180 32.5%
Don't know	109 7.3%				49 6.4%	43 7.3%	64 6.4%	39 8. <b>4</b> %	38 5.0%	46 7.3%		42 6.5%	45 6.8%	65 7.6%		49 6.5%		
No answer/Refused	29 1.9%		24 2.3% B	2 2.7%	17 2.1%	7 1.3%	14 1.4%	11 2.4%	10 1.3%	10 1.6%		15 2.2%	16 2.4%	13 1.6%		14 1.9%		
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<10 98	.042> .2%	<	4.56	>	<13 99			.934> 0.0%		588>	<4.9 82.		<6 11.			576> .4%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. "\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q4. Which of the following do you think is better . . . Having a two-tier health care system – that is, having both a private system in which patients pay directly out of their pocket for faster access to services and a public system where all Canadians are entitled to access medically necessary health services without direct charge OR Having one public system of health care in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary health necessary health care services without direct charges and no one can pay directly for faster access. Base: All

### Banner 1

....

					Reg	gion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%					544 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Having two health care systems at the same time	468 31.1%		19 23.1%		162 42.5% BCDFGHI	139 24.9%		43 31.6% BD	63 31.9% BD	249 34.5% K	219 28.0%					16: 29.89
Having one public system of health care	995 66.2%			75.9%	207 54.5%	404 72.1% E	63.6%	89 66.0% E	133 66.8% E	<b>447</b> 61.8%	548 70.2% J					36 66.4
Don't know	32 2.1%				7 1.9%	13 2.3%			3 1.3%	20 2.8%	12 1.5%				0.4%	1 2.8 L
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%		-		4 1.1%	4 0.7%	-	-	-	6 0.9%	3 0.3%		1 0.3%		2 0.6%	1.19
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			53 100				>	<13. 99.		<		16.952- 84.9%*		;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q4. Which of the following do you think is better ... Having a two-tier health care system – that is, having both a private system in which patients pay directly out of their pocket for faster access to services and a public system where all Canadians are entitled to access medically necessary health services without direct charge OR Having one public system of health care in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary health cares same health care services without direct charges and no one can pay directly for faster access.

# Base: All

Banner 2

		********		Employme	nt Status			Lang	uage			Income	L			Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%						337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Having two health care systems at the same time	<b>4</b> 68 31.1%	69 40.5% DEG	270 31.9% G	23.6%	29.8%		1 4.9%		141 41.9% H	130 27.7%	76 29.4%	60 30.5%	33 29.8%	93 46.2% JKLM	26.6%		35.8%	52 31.7%
Having one public system of health care	995 66.2%	97 57.3%	555 65.4%			71 72.4%	8 80.8%		185 54.8%	331 70.8% N	173 66.7% N	134 68.4% N	71 63.3%	106 52.4%				109 66.5%
Don't know	32 2.1%	<b>4</b> 2.2%	18 2.1%		7 3.3%	-	1 14.3%	25 2.1%	7 2.1%	7 1.5%	7 2.5%	2 1.2%	6 5.0%	2 0.8%				2 1.0%
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%	-	5 0.6%	1 0.8%	3 1.2%	-	-	5 0.4%	<b>4</b> 1.2%	-	3 1.3%	-	2 1.9%	1 0.6%	3 0.5%		3 1.2%	1 0.7%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		29 98	.193 .5%*		>	<27. 100		<		-43.053 100.0%*		>	<		.481 .3%*	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q4. Which of the following do you think is better . . . Having a two-tier health care system – that is, having both a private system in which patients pay directly out of their pocket for faster access to services and a public system where all Canadians are entitled to access medically necessary health services without direct charge OR Having one public system of health care in which all Canadians are entitled to the same level of access to medically necessary health necessary health care services without direct charges and no one can pay directly for faster access. Base: All

#### Banner 3

1

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System		ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	g Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responder	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Goođ	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%				77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Having two health care systems at the same time	468 31.1%				211 27.3%	207 35.6% E		468 100.0%	189 25.0%	244 38.6% I		228 35.3% К	218 33.2%	250 29.5%		236 31.2%		148 26.8%
Having one public system of health care	995 66.2%			56 64.3%	543 70.1% F	361 62.0%	995 100.0%	-	553 73.2% J	371 58.6%		402 62.2%	424 64.6%	571 67.3%	489 66.5%	500 66.3%		390 70.6%
Don't know	32 2.1%		26 2.5%		13 1.7%	12 2.0%	-	-	10 1.3%	15 2.4%		12 1.9%	9 1.4%	23 2.7%	12 1.7%	.18 2.3%	20 2.1%	12 2.1%
No answer/Refused	9 0.6%		7 0.6%		7 0.9%	2 0.4%	-	-	3 0.4%	2 0.4%	-	4 0.6%	5 0.8%	4 0.4%	8 1.0%	1 0.2%		3 0.5%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			086> .1%	<	12.834 95.4%*	>	<1462 100.			.534> 0.0%	<12 99		<6.1 89.		< <b>4</b> .9 82.		<8.1 95.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q5. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, <u>your</u> provincial government is doing a good job in respecting these principles? Base: All

#### Banner 1

				Ger	Age													
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB		Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+		
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1		
Total	1,504		84 100.0%	125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%	106 100.0%	135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142		283	298	54		
Unweighted 'N'	1,504		201	301	251	350		200	202	633	871	100.0%		100.0%		100.0		
					201	550	200	200	202	033	871	90	242	283	312	54		
Strongly agree	252		16		75	83	28	28	16	128	124	17	38	47	60	1:		
	16.8%	15.0%	19.0% I		19.7% I	14.9% I		20.9% I	7.9%	17.7%	15.9%	11.8%	11.0%	16.5%	20.2% M	21.		
Somewhat agree	761		45	72	193	273	57	69	97	397	363	75	206	144	148			
•	50.6%		53.6%		50.9%	48.8%		50.9%	48.9%	54.9% K	46.5%			50.9%		2 43.		
Somewhat disagree	257	7	12	19	58	106	9	21	44	88	170	34	61	52	20			
	17.1%		14.8%	15.2% G	15.3% G	18.9% G	8.6%	15.6% G	22.3% G	12.1%	21.7% J		17.6%	18.5%		14		
Strongly disagree	171		8	10	34	71	8	14	34	88	82	13	28	29	40			
	11.3%	5.1%	9.8%	8.2%	8.8%	12.7% BG		10.1%	17.2% BCDEG	12.2%	10.6%	9.1%	8.1%	10.1%	13.5%	14.		
Don't know	52 3.5%		2 2 . 8%	2 1.9%	18 4.6%	20 3.5%	4 3.7%	3	5 2.6%	17 2.4%	35			9				
			2.01	2150		5.5%	5.7%	2.10	2.0%	2.40	4.3%	1.7%	2.1%	3.0%	3.0%	4.		
No answer/Refused	11		-	-	2	7	0	-	2	5	7	-	3	3	2			
	0.8%				0.6%	1.2%	0.4%		1.0%	0.7%	0.9%		0.8%	1.0%	0.5%	0		
Top 2 box	1,013	33	61	94	268	356	85	97	113	525	487	92	244	191	208	2		
	67.3%	79.1%	72.6%	74.8%	70.6%	63.7%		71.8%	56.8%	72.6%	62.4%			67.4%		65.		
		FI	FI	FI	I		EFI	I		Ŕ								
Bottom 2 box	428		21	29	92	177	17	35	79	176	252	47	88	81	80			
	28.5%	20.9%	24.6%	23.4%	24.1%	31.6%		25.8%	39.6%	24.3%	32.3%	33.4%		28.5%		29		
	1		G	G	G	BDG		G	BCDEGH		J							
lean	<u>-</u> 2.76	2.89	2.84	2.86	2.86	2.69	3.04	2.85	2.49	2.81	2.72	2.69	2.76	2.77	2.79	2		
		I	I	I	FI	I	CDFHI	I							2.75	-		
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3		
CHI-SQUARE		<			78.	53			>	<40.	585>	<		51.031-				
SIGNIFICANCE					99.	5%*				100.		10.	51.031 99.5%*					

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q5. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, <u>your</u> provincial government is doing a good job in respecting these principles? Base: All

Banner 2

.

			Employment Status						juage			Income			Education				
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab. Pension		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(F	
Cotal	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%		98 100.0%			337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%			267 100.0%	16 100.0	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.04	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0	
Inweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15	
Strongly agree	252	30	141	14				186	67	68	41	36	22	47				:	
	16.8%	17.5%	16.6% D		24.0% CDF	11.6%	19.7%	15.9%	19.7%	14.6%	15.8%	18.2%	19.5%	23.0% J		17.1% 0		22.4	
omewhat agree	761	79	458	74					170	227	134	119	54	101		250	136		
	50.6%	46.7%	54.0% E			46.5%	33.8%	50.6%	50.5%	48.6%	51.4%	60.8% Ј	47.9%	49.9%	49.9%	50.2%	50.9%	53.	
omewhat disagree	257	27	140	29				202	55	97	38	25	18	30		91	41		
	17.1%	16.1%	16.5%	20.8%	13.5%	29.6% CE		17.3%	16.3%	20.8% L	14.6%	12.7%	15.6%	15.1%	18.3%	18.2%	15.2%	13.	
trongly disagree	171	28	84	11				141	29	51	38	16	17	22			24		
	11.3%	16.3% D	9.9%	7.6%	14.1%	11.3%	28.2%	12.1%	8.7%	10.9%	14.8%	8.3%	14.7%	11.0%	15.4% PQR		8.9%	7.	
on't know	52	5	23	8	14	1	2	38	14	19	7	-	2	2	23	22	2		
	3.5%	2.8%	2.7%	5.6%	6.2% CF		18.3%	3.3%	4.0%	4.0% N	2.6%		2.2%	1.0%	4.1% QR			1.	
o answer/Refused	11	1	3	4	3	-	-	9	2	5	2	-	-	-	3	4	1		
	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%					0.8%	0.7%	1.1%	0.8%				0.6%	-	0.4%	1.	
op 2 box	1,013	109	599						237	295	175	154	76	147				1	
	67.3%	64.2%	70.6%	62.9%	64.8%	58.2%	53.5%	66.5%	70.2%	63.2%	67.3%	79.0% JK	67.4%	73.0% J		67.3%	74.8% 0	76.	
ottom 2 box	428	55	224	40				344	84	148	76	41	34	53		136	64		
	28.5%	32.4%	26.4%	28.4%	27.6%	40.8% C		29.4%	25.0%	31.7% L	29.4%	21.0%	30.4%	26.0%	33.7% QR	27.3%	24.0%	21.	
ean	2.76	2.68	2.80	2.71	2.81	2.59	2.55	2.73	2.85	2.70	2.71	2.89 J	2.74	2.86	2.61	2.80 O	2.91	2.	
ledian	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.	
					201														
HI-SQUARE		<		55 98	.412		>		398> .1%*	<		34.814 82.5%*		>	<	51 100	.997 .0%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q5. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, <u>your</u> provincial government is doing a good job in respecting these principles? Base: All

#### Banner 3

		State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	nt Healt}
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	 . Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	 (Q)	(R)
Total	1,504		1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	633	805	646	656	848	735	755	947	552
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	596	792	917	582
Strongly agree	252	123	126	33	86	119	153	92	115	122	140	103	105	147	89	163	186	
	16.8%	30.2% C	11.8%	38.3% EF	11.1%	20.4% E	15.4%	19.7%	15.2%			15.9%	16.0%	17.4%	12.1%	21.5% 0	19.6%	66 11.9%
Somewhat agree	761	227	519	39	354	335	506	241	376	326	420	320	321	440	349	405	101	
	50.6%	55.5% C	48.7%	44.5%	45.7%	57.5% DE	50.8%	51.4%	49.8%			49.5%	48.9%	51.9%	47.5%	405 53.6% O	52.2%	264 47.9%
Somewhat disagree	257	33	221	9	169	76	172	75	148	94	130	120	112	145	148	108	139	
	17.1%	8.1%	20.8% B	10.1%	21.8% DF	13.0%	17.3%	16.1%	19.6% J			18.5%	17.1%	17.1%	20.1% P	14.3%		117 21.2%
Strongly disagree	171	13	154	5	136	27	126	39	93	61	83	79	90	80	115	54	91	78
	11.3%	3.2%	14.5% B	5.4%	17.6% DF	4.7%	12.7% Н	8.4%	12.3%			12.3%	13.7% N	9.5%	15.7% P	7.2%		14.29
Don't know	52	11	35	1	22	21	29	19	18	25	23	22	21	31	28			
	3.5%	2.7%	3.3%	1.7%	2.9%	3.5%	3.0%	4.0%	2.4%			3.4%	3.2%	3.7%	28 3.8%	20 2.6%	30 3.2%	22 3.9%
No answer/Refused	11		10	-	6	5	9	2	5	4	9	2	7	4	6	6	7	5
	0.8%	0.3%	1.0%		0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%		0.3%	1.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%		0.9%
Top 2 box	1,013		645	72	440	454	659	333	491	448	560	423	426	587	438	567	680	330
	67.3%	85.7% C	60.5%	82.8% E	56.9%	77.9% E	66.2%	71.1%	65.0%	70.9% I		65.5%	64.9%	69.2%	59.6%	75.1% 0	71.8%	59.8%
Bottom 2 box	428		376	13	305	103	298	115	241	155	213	199	203	225	263	163	231	195
	28.5%	11.3%	35.2% B	15.4%	39.4% DF	17.7%	29.9%	24.5%	32.0% J	24.5%		30.8%	30.9%	26.6%	35.8% P	21.5%	24.3%	35.4%
Mean	2.76	3.16 C	2.60	3.18 E	2.52	2.98 E	2.72	2.86 G	2.70	2.84 I	2.80	2.72	2.70	2.80	2.59	2.93	2.85 R	2.60
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE		<207.	546>	<	-206.143	>	<13.	128>	<18	433>	< A	97>	10	109	<92			
SIGNIFICANCE		100.	.0%*		100.0%*		93.	1%*	99	.0%*	51.	7%*	83.	3%*	100.0		<50	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q6. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, the federal government is enforcing these principles? Base: All

Banner 1

1

		Region									nder					
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504 100.0%	42 100.0%		125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%				544 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Strongly agree	190 12.6%	-		20 15.8% FI	74 19.3% FI	47 8.5%		22 16.1% FI	13 6.4%	98 13.6%	92 11.8%	13 9. <b>4</b> %	29 8.5%			100 18.4% LMN
Somewhat agree	706 46.9%		49.4%	66 52.6% E	159 41.8%	260 46.5%		72 53.1% E	98 49.3%	350 48.5%	355 45.5%	77 54.2% P	51.8%	48.7%	47.7%	209 38.4%
Somewhat disagree	317 21.1%	8 18.9%		23 18.5%	69 18.2%	134 24.0% H	21.1%	22 16.6%	46 23.1%	137 18.9%	180 23.0%	37 26.2% 0		24.7%	14.5%	100 18. <b>4</b> %
Strongly disagree	180 12.0%			9 7.5%	55 14.3% BCDG	73 13.0% DG	7.5%	11 8.4%	24 12.2%	95 13.1%	85 10.9%	12 8.3%	25 7.2%			79 14.5% MN
Don't know	99 6.6%			7 5.7%	20 5.2%	40 7.1%		8 5.7%	16 8.2%	37 5.1%	62 8.0%	3 1.9%	21 6.0%		5.2%	51 9.4% LO
No answer/Refused	12 0.8%		-	-	<b>4</b> 1.1%	5 1.0%		-	1 0.7%	6 0.8%	7 0.8%	-	3 0.8%		2 0.6%	5 0.9%
тор 2 box	896 59.6%		67.3%	86 68.4% FI	233 61.2%	308 55.0%		93 69.3% FI	110 55.7%	<b>44</b> 9 62.1%	447 57.2%	90 63.7%	208 60.4%			309 56.8%
Bottom 2 box	497 33.0%	11 25.7%		33 25.9%	124 32.5%	207 37.0% BCDH	28.6%	34 25.1%	70 35.4% DH	232 32.1%	265 34.0%	49 34.5%	113 32.9%			179 32.9%
Mean	2.65		2.83 FI	2.81 FI	2.71	2.55	2.76 I	2.82 FI	2.55	2.66	2.64	2.66	2.66	2.65	2.62	2.68
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				.034 .4%*			>		91> 1%*	<		70.229- 100.0%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q6. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, the federal government is enforcing these principles? Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				guage			Income			Education				
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab. Pension		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College		Post Građ	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R	
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%				337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.09	
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15	
Strongly agree	190 12.6%	25 14.5%	91 10.7%	20 14.7%	39 17.4% C	11.8%		120 10.3%	71 20.9% H	65 13.9%	31 12.1%	24 12.4%	12 10.5%	31 15.3%				2( 12.29	
Somewhat agree	706 46.9%	70 41.0%	443 52.2% BDE	53 37.6%	87 38.7%	44 44.9%	4 34.9%	569 48.7% I	137 40.6%	198 42.4%	127 49.0%	105 53.8% J	58 51.7%	95 46.8%				8: 50.09	
Somewhat disagree	317 21.1%	33 19.6%	172 20.3%	35 24.9%	39 17.5%		17.4%	259 22.2%	58 17.2%	113 24.1% M	53 20.4%	48 24.8%	16 14.4%	37 18.3%				3 22.2	
Strongly disagree	180 12.0%	26 15.6%	95 11.2%	17 12.1%	31 13.7%	7 7.4%	1 9.6%		53 15.8%	58 12.4% L	32 12.3% L	12 6.0%	17 15.1% L	30 14.8% I	14.1%			1 8.3	
Don't know	99 6.6%	16 9.3% F	41 4.8% F	12 8.7% F	26 11.5% CF	0.6%	1 14.3%	85 7.3%	14 4.2%	32 6.8%	15 5.7%	6 3.1%	8 7.1%	10 4.8%			2.4%	1 7.4	
No answer/Refused	12 0.8%	-	7 0.8%	3 2.0%	3 1.1%	-	-	8 0.7%	4 1.3%	2 0.4%	2 0.6%	· -	1 1.2%		5 0.9%	-			
Top 2 box	896 59.6%	94 55.5%	533 62.9%	73 52.3%	126 56.2%		-	688 59.0%	207 61.5%	263 56.3%	158 61.0%	129 66.2% J	70 62.2%	125 62.0%		296 59.3%		10 62.1	
Bottom 2 box	<b>4</b> 97 33.0%	60 35.2%	267 31.5%	52 37.0%	70 31.2%		3 26.9%	386 33.1%	111 33.0%	171 36.5%	85 32.7%	60 30.7%	33 29.5%	67 33.1%		166 33.2%		5 30.5	
Mean	2.65	2.60	2.66	2.61	2.69	2.61	2.85	2.63	2.71	2.62	2.65	2.75	2.63	2.66	2.59	2.63	2.76	2.7	
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		3.0	
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			. 63 . <b>4</b> %*		>	<35. 100.		<		-24.771 36.0%*		>	<		.837 .1%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q6. At the First Ministers' Meeting last September, all provincial premiers and the Prime Minister committed to supporting the five principles of the Canada Health Act and that access to medically necessary health services would be based on need, not ability to pay. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree ... that, in general, the federal government is enforcing these principles? Base: All

Banner 3

4

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	g Finan- halities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ ▼. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%		774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	468 100.0%	756 100.0%		805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Strongly agree	190 12.6%	78 19.2% C	109 10.2%		80 10.3%	76 13.0%		75 16.1% G	90 11.9%		118 14.7% L	64 9.9%	84 12.8%	106 12.5%		110 14.6%		6 11.5
Somewhat agree	706 46.9%	219 53.6% C	475 44.5%		315 40.7%	325 55.9% DE	48.0%	212 45.3%	367 48.6%	289 45.6%	404 50.2% L	284 43.9%	290 44.2%	416 49.0%		397 52.6% O	50.3%	22 <b>4</b> 1.1
Somewhat disagree	317 21.1%	68 16.7%	246 23.1% B	14.0%	195 25.2% DF	101 17.3%	205 20.6%	106 22.7%	175 23.2%			145 22.4%	141 21.5%	176 20.7%	186 25.4% P	130 17.2%		13 24.9
Strongly disagree	180 12.0%	16 3.8%	160 15.0% B	3.7%	134 17.3% DF	38 6.6%		50 10.7%	81 10.7%		60 7.5%	116 17.9% K	92 14.1%	88 10.3%		66 8.7%		14.3
Don't know	99 6.6%	26 6.5%	65 6.1%		46 5.9%	35 6.1%		23 4.9%	39 5.2%		53 6.6%	34 5.3%	40 6.1%	59 7.0%	48 6.5%	47 6.2%	55 5.8%	7.7
No answer/Refused	12 0.8%		11 1.0%		<b>4</b> 0.5%	7 1.2%		2 0.3%	3 0.4%		7 0.8%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	9 1.3%	4 0.4%	6 82.0	6 0.8%	10 1.0%	0.4
Тор 2 box	896 59.6%		584 54.8%		395 51.0%	401 68.8% E	59.3%	287 61.3%	457 60.5%	379 59.9%		348 53.8%	37 <b>4</b> 57.0%	522 61.5%	380 51.7%	507 67.2% O	602 63.5% R	29 52.6
Bottom 2 box	497 33.0%	84 20.5%	406 38.1% B	17.7%	329 42.5% DF	139 23.9%		156 33.4%	256 33.9%			261 40.3% K	234 35.6%	263 31.1%	301 40.9% P	195 25.9%		21 39.3
Mean	2.65	2.94 C	2.54	3.11 EF	2.47	2.81 E		2.70	2.65	2.66	2.78 L	2.49	2.60	2.69	2.51	2.79 0	2.71 R	2.5
Median	3.00		3.00		3.00	3.00		3.00	3.00	3.00		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.515> .0%*	<	155.819- 100.0%*	>		394> .1%*		653> .9%*	<66. 100.		<9.9 80.		<82. 100.		<33. 100.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q7\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>all</u> provinces? Base: n=779 Banner 1

					Reg	ion				Gen	der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	
Total	779	25	44	68	184	307	51	69	99	364	415	80	190	134	154	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			10
Inweighted 'N'	773	58	106	164	120	191	99	100	99	310	463	54	134	138	160	
strongly agree	459	18	27	45	86	194	28	33	74	199	260	46	105	79	97	
	59.0%	72.4% EGH	61.3% E	65.3% EH	46.6%	63.1% EH	54.3%	48.0%	74.6% EGH	54.7%	62.7%	57.8%				6
omewhat agree	204	5	16	21	45	89	13	20	16	101	103	22	61	36	36	
	26.2%	19.1%	36.6% BI	30.3% I	24.4%	29.0% I	26.1%	29.1% I	16.0%	27.8%	24.8%	27.1%				2
comewhat disagree	48	2	1	2	20	9	6	6	5	26	22	6	13	10	6	
	6.2%	6.1%	1.4%	3.1%	10.9% CDF	2.9%	12.1% CDF	7.9% C	5.2%	7.2%	5.3%	7.9%				
trongly disagree	46	-	-	-	25	8	2	9	3	27	19	4	8	9	9	
	5.9%				13.4% FGI	2.6%	3.2%	13.0% FGI	2.7%	7.4%	4.6%	5.3%	4.0%	6.4%		
on't know	18	1	0	1	6	6	2	1	1	10	7	2	3	1	4	
	2.3%	2.4%	0.7%	1.3%	3.3%	2.0%	3.4%	1.9%	1.5%	2.9%	1.7%	1.9%	1.5%			
o answer/Refused	<b>4</b> 0.5%	-	-	-	2 1.3%	1 0.4%	0 0.8%	-	-	-	4 1.0%	-		-	1 0.9%	
op 2 box	663	23	43	65				1 1000							0.9%	
	85.2%	91.5% EH	97.9% EFGHI	65 95.6% EGH	131 71.0%	283 92.2% EGH	41 80.5%	53 77.1%	90 90.6% EH	300 82.5%	363 87.5%	68 84.8%	167 87.7%	114 85.0%	134 87.1%	ε
ottom 2 box	94	2	1													
	12.1%	6.1%	1.4%	2 3.1%	45 24.3% BCDFI	17 5.5%	8 15.3% CDF	15 21.0% BCDFI	8 7.9%	53 14.6%	41 9.8%	11 13.2%	21 10.8%	19 14.0%	14 9.4%	1
ean	3.42	3.68 EGH	3.60 EGH	3.63 EGH	3.09	3.56 EH	3.37	3.14	3.65 EGH	3.34	3.50	3.40	3.41	3.38	3.50	
edian	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	J		. ~~			
HI-SQUARE										4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
IGNIFICANCE		<			143. 100.	499			>	<10.	765> 1%*	<		13.457		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q7\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) all provinces? Base: n=779

# Banner 2

x.

				Employmen				Contraction Contraction	Juage			Income					ation	
*	Total	Self Employ.		Unempl.			Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	779 100.0%		406 100.0%		115 100.0%	67 100.0%		619 100.0%	160 100.0%	257 100.0%	124 100.0%	96 100.0%	60 100.0%	114 100.0%		252 100.0%	139 100.0%	81 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	773	81	412	83	146	40	6	667	106	252	129	89	60	107	301	264	129	74
Strongly agree	- <b>4</b> 59 59.0%		235 57.9%		70 61.1%	<b>44</b> 65.9%			68 42.8%	160 62.4%	81 65.7%	57 59.7%	32 54.2%	64 56.4%		144 57.2%		52 64.5%
Somewhat agree	204 26.2%		114 28.1% F	42.8%	23 19.7%	9 13. <b>4</b> %			43 27.2%	62 24.3%	25 20.2%	27 28.0%	17 27.8%	30 26.2%		65 25.7%		19 23.4%
Somewhat disagree	48 6.2%		29 7.1% BD	1.6%	7 5.9%	8 12.0%		33 5.4%	15 9.2%	13 5.1%	9 6.9%	6 6.2%	5 9.2%	6 5.3%		16 6.3%		2.69
Strongly disagree	46 5.9%		22 5.4% D	1.1%	9 7.9% D	6 8.7%		21 3.4%	25 15.5% H	9 3.7%	7 5.8%	5 4.9%	5 8.8%	12 10.3%		21 8.2%	8 6.0%	6 7.7%
Don't know	18 2.3%		3 0.8%	4 5.0%	5 4.1%	-	1 35.1%		6 3.8%	9 3.4%	2 1.3%	1 1.1%	-	1 0.8%		5 2.1%		-
No answer/Refused	<b>4</b> 0.5%		2 0.6%	-	1 1.3%	-	-	2 0.2%	2 1.5%	3 1.1%	-	-	-	1 1.0%		1 0.6%	1 0.8%	1 1.7%
Тор 2 box	663 85.2%		349 86.0%			53 79.3%			112 70.0%	223 86.7%	106 85.9%	84 87.7%	49 82.0%	94 82.6%		208 82.8%	116 83.3%	71 87.9%
Bottom 2 box	94 12.1%		51 12.5% D	2.7%	16 13.8% D	14 20.7% D		55 8.8%	39 24.6% H	23 8.8%	16 12.8%	11 11.1%	11 18.0%	18 15.7%		36 14.4%	22 15.9%	8 10.4%
Mean	3.42	3.48	3.41	3.48	3.42	3.37	3.85	3.52 I	3.03	3.52	3.48	3.44	3.27	3.31	3.50	3.36	3.33	3.47
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.128		>	<76 100		<		-17.107 5.4%*		>	<		.384 .2%*	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q7\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) all provinces? Base: n=779 Banner 3

		State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Pen	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Heal
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	
Total	779	215	544	45	395	302	518	242	200									
	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	242 100.0%	382 100.0%		402 100.0%	345 100.0%	321 100.0%	458 100.0%	37 <b>4</b> 100.0%	397 100.0%	500 100.0%	100.
Unweighted 'N'	773	218	537	53	388	299	523	229	387	333	414	326	311	462	352	412	480	2
Strongly agree	459	124	323	29	247	164	334	117	277	150	282	164	194	265	245	212	302	
	59.0%	57.6%	59.5%	62.9%	62.4%	54.1%	64.5% H	48.2%	72.5% J	44.0%	70.0% L	47.7%	60.5%	57.9%	65.4% P	53.3%	60.3%	1 56.
Somewhat agree	204		136	10	97	91	123	77	81	107	94	99	78	126	81	120	128	
	26.2%	30.3%	25.0%	21.5%	24.6%	30.1%	23.8%	31.7%	21.1%	31.4% I	23.3%	28.6%	24.4%	27.5%	21.5%	30.3% 0	25.6%	26.
Somewhat disagree	48	14	34	2	17	23	30	17	14	33	17	30	22	26	20	28	30	
	6.2%	6.5%	6.2%	5.4%	4.4%	7.6%	5.8%	6.9%	3.6%	9.7% I	4.2%	8.8% K	6.7%	5.8%	5.5%	6.9%	5.9%	6
Strongly disagree	46		35	-	22	19	20	24	5	41	5	39	22	24	21	25	31	
	5.9%	4.0%	6.4%	7.9%	5.7%	6.2%	3.9%	9.9% G	1.4%	11.9% I	1.2%	11.4% K	6.8%	5.3%	5.7%	6.2%	6.2%	5
Don't know	18	3	12	1	9	6	8	5	4	9	2							
	2.3%	-		1.3%	2.3%	1.9%		2.2%	1.1%	2.5%	3 0.7%	11 3.1% K	3 0.8%	15 3.3% M	6 1.6%	10 2.6%	7 1.5%	3
No answer/Refused	4	0	4	0	2	-	2	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	1	3	3	
	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%		0.3%	1.0%	0.3%		0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0
Top 2 box	663	189	459	38	344	255	458	194	358	257	375	263	272	391	325	332	430	
	85.2%	87.9%	84.5%	84.4%	87.0%	84.3%	88.3% H	79.9%	93.6% J	75.4%	93.3% L	76.3%	84.9%	85.3%	86.9%	83.6%	85.9%	83
Bottom 2 box	94	23	69	6	40	42	51	41	19	74	22	69	43	51	42	52	61	
	12.1%	10.5%	12.7%	13.3%	10.1%	13.9%	9.8%	16.8% G	4.9%		5.4%	20.2% K	13.5%	11.1%	11.1%	13.2%	12.1%	12
lean	3.42	3.44	3.42	3.43	3.48	3.35	3.52	3.22	3.67	3.11	3.64	3.17	3.41	3.43	3.50	3.35	3.43	3
							н		J		L	/		5.45	5.50	5.55	3.43	3
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4
CHI-SQUARE		<4.1	123>	<	-10.378	>	<30.	029>	<121	.047>	<95.	866>	<2.6	63>	<12.	122>	<6	76
IGNIFICANCE		23.	.4%*		26.6%*		100.	0%*	100	.0%*	100.	0%*	8.69	**	90.			**

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q7\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province? Base: n=725

Banner 1

						jion				Gen				Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	725 100.0%		40 100.0%	57 100.0%	196 100.0%	253 100.0%	54 100.0%	66 100.0%	99 100.0%	359 100.0%	366 100.0%	61 100.0%			145 100.0%	27 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	731	42	95	137	131	159	101	100	103	323	408	42	108	145	152	27
Strongly agree	377	11	22	32	77	140	30	34	63	182	195	34	70	88	68	15
	52.0%	63.4% E			39.4%	55.5% E		51.2%	63.9% E	50.6%	53.3%	54.5%	45.5%	58.8%	46.9%	55.5
Somewhat agree	213				62	79		19	19	94	119	17	65	43	39	6
	29.4%				31.7% I	31.1% I	30.7%	28.9%	19.3%	26.1%	32.7%			28.8%		23.5
Somewhat disagree	69	0	4	4	25	19	5	4	11	42	27	8	10	9	23	2
	9.5%	1.6%	9.2% B		13.0% B	7.6% B		6.1%	11.5% B	11.7%	7.3%	12.9%	6.5%	6.1%	15.7% MN	9.2
Strongly disagree	56	. 0	2	3	30	12	2	8	2	37	19	3	9	4	15	
	7.7%		5.8%	4.8%	15.4% BCDFGI	4.6%		11.7% BGI	2.1%	10.4% K	5.1%	4.7%	6.1%	2.4%	10.2% N	10.3
Don't know	7 1.0%		0 0.7%		-	2		1 2.1%	3 3.1%	2 0.7%	5 1.3%	-	-	4	1 0.5%	0.3
			0.7%													0.
No answer/Refused	3 0.4%		-	-	1 0.6%	1 0.6%		-	-	2 0.5%	1 0.3%		-	1 1.0%		0.7
Top 2 box	590				139	219		53	83	276	314				107	2
	81.4%	96.0% CEFGHI			71.1%	86.6% E		80.1%	83.2% E	76.7%	86.0% J		87.5% O		73.6%	79.0
Bottom 2 box	125 17.2%			2	56 28.4%	31 12.2%		12 17.9%	14 13.7%	79 22.1%	46 12.5%				38	10
	17.2%	4.0%	15.0% B		28.4% BCDFGI	12.2%	11.8%	17.9% B	13.7%	22.1% K	12.5%	17.64	12.5%	8.5%	25.9% MN	19.9
Mean	3.27	3.57	3.34	3.41 E	2.96	3.39 E		3.22	3.50 EH	3.18	3.36 J		3.27	3.50 OP	3.11	3.:
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.0
CHI-SQUARE		<			74	.484			>	<24.	64>	<		54.583-		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

# Q7\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province? Base: n=725 Banner 2

						nt Status				ruage			Income				Educ	ation	
		Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Građ
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(1
To	tal	725	79	443	50	110	31	6	548	177	211	136	99	52	88	261	247	128	
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				100.
Un	weighted 'N'	731	76	401	57	154	28	10	609	122	225	127	95	52	86	270	251	126	
St:	rongly agree	377	42	214	33	65	19	3	310	66	118	65	53	26	42	139	127	73	
		52.0%	53.7%	48.4%	65.4% C		60.1%	55.8%	56.7% I	37.4%	56.1%	48.0%	53.0%	50.1%	47.5%	53.4%			42.
So	mewhat agree	213	23	138	11	28	8	3	157	56	55	37	35	19	25	76	68	32	
		29.4%	29.7%	31.1%	22.7%	25.0%	25.1%	44.2%	28.8%	31.4%	26.2%	27.2%	35.2%	36.1%	27.8%	29.3%	27.6%		42.
So	mewhat disagree	69	7	47	4			-	45	24	18	21	9	3	5	24	28	12	
		9.5%	8.5%	10.6%	7.9%	6.6%	12.9%		8.2%	13.6%	8.7%	15.1%	9.0%	5.6%	6.0%	9.1%	11.5%	9.2%	5.
St	rongly disagree	56	6	36	2				26	30	17	11	3	4	15				
		7.7%	7.0%	8.1%	4.0%	9.2%	2.0%		4.7%	17.0% Н	7.9%	8.3%	2.8%	7.4%	16.9% L		7.7%	8.6%	9.
Do	n't know	7	1	5	-	1		-	7	-	2	1	-	0	2	2	5	<u>-</u>	
		1.0%	1.1%	1.2%		0.8%			1.3%		0.9%	0.4%		0.8%	1.7%	0.9%	1.9%		
No	answer/Refused	3	-	3	1.	-	_	-	2	1	1	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	
		0.4%		0.7%					0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	1.0%				1.2%			
Toj	р 2 юж	590	66	352	44	92			468	122	173	102	88	45	67	215	195	105	
		81.4%	83.4%	79.5%	88.1%	83.4%	85.2%	100.0% BCDE	85.5% I	68.8%	82.3%	75.2%	88.2% K	86.3%	75.4%	82.7%	79.0%	82.1%	84.
Bot	ttom 2 box	125	12	83	6	17	5		71	54	35	32	12	7	20	40	47	23	
		17.2%	15.5%	18.6%	11.9%	15.8%	14.8%		12.9%	30.6% H	16.6%	23.4% L	11.8%	13.0%	22.9%	15.2%	19.1%	17.9%	15.
Mea	an	3.27	3.32	3.22	3.49	3.34	3.43	3.56	3.40 I	2.90	3.32	3.17	3.38	3.30	3.08	3.33	3.25	3.30	3
Med	đian	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3
CH	I-SQUARE		<		16	.033		>	<68	754>	<		-30 491					.147	
	GNIFICANCE					3%*			100.				66.0%*		>	·		.147 7%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q7\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that it is the key role of the federal government to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>your</u> province? Base: n=725

# Banner 3

\$

Danner 5

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	725 100.0%		523 100.0%		379 100.0%	280 100.0%	477 100.0%	226 100.0%	374 100.0%		402 100.0%	302 100.0%	336 100.0%	390 100.0%		358 100.0%		276 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	731	198	523	47	374	282	491	219	388	285	404	302	327	404	344	380	437	292
Strongly agree	377 52.0%		264 50.5%		201 53.1%	143 51.2%	282 59.1% H	86 38.2%	247 66.0% J	33.1%		116 38.6%	179 53.3%	198 50.9%		166 46.4%		148 53.7%
Somewhat agree	213 29.4%		159 30.5%		102 27.0%	92 32.9%	126 26.4%	76 33.7%	102 27.2%			89 29.4%	83 24.8%	130 33.4% M		117 32.6%		82 29.7%
Somewhat disagree	69 9.5%		49 9.4%		37 9.8%	22 8.0%		27 11.8%	17 4.6%		17 4.2%	48 15.7% K	36 10.7%	33 8.4%		42 11.6%		20 9.5%
Strongly disagree	56 7.7%		<b>44</b> 8.3%		33 8.7%	20 7.1%	20 4.3%	33 14.7% G	<b>4</b> 1.1%	49 16.7% I	2.6%	44 14.4% K	33 9.8%	23 6.0%	28 7.8%	28 7.8%		1 6.19
Don't know	7 1.0%		<b>4</b> 0.7%	2 4.7%	2 0.6%	2 0.7%	3 0.7%	<b>4</b> 1.6%	4 1.1%	1 0.4%	3 0.7%	<b>4</b> 1.3%	2 0.7%	5 1.2%	2 0.5%	5 1.5%		0.8
No answer/Refused	3 0.4%		3 0.5%		3 0.8%	-	3 0.6%	-	-	2 0.7%		2 0.5%	3 0.7%	1 0.1%	3 0.7%	1 0.1%		0.2
Top 2 box	590 81.4%		<b>424</b> 81.0%		303 80.1%	236 84.1%	408 85.5% Н	162 71.9%	348 93.2% J	65.9%		205 68.0%	262 78.0%	328 84.3%		282 79.0%		23: 83.49
Bottom 2 box	125 17.2%		93 17.8%		70 18.5%	42 15.1%	63 13.1%	60 26.4% G			6.9%	91 30.2% K	69 20.5%	56 14.4%	54 15.0%	69 19 <b>.4</b> %	82 18.3%	43 15.69
Mean	3.27	3.38	3.25	3.11	3.26	3.29	3.42 H	2.97	3.60 J		3.53 L	2.94	3.23	3.31	3.35	3.20	3.24	3.32
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			2 <b>4</b> 9> .9%*	<	7.718 9.6%*	>	<57 100			.554> .0%*	<142. 100.		<14. 95.		<12. 91.		<2. 10.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q8\_ALL. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>all</u> provinces? Base: n=736

Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	736 100.0%			60 100.0%	171 100.0%	271 100.0%		74 100.0%	102 100.0%	372 100.0%	364 100.0%	71 100.0%		142 100.0%		28
Unweighted 'N'	755	46	99	145	115	171	108	110	106	330	425	50	111	147	147	28
Very Good job	42 5.7%			2 3.4%	20 11.6% CDFGI	12 4.4%		4 5.3%	2 1.7%	28 7.6%	14 3.7%			7 4.9%		19 6.69
Good job	306 <b>41</b> .5%			48.3%	74 43.1%	93 34.4%		32 43.1%	45 44.4%	165 44.4%	141 38.7%		42.6%	64 44.8%		110 38.29
Poor job	257 34.9%			19 31.6%	47 27.2%	113 41.7% E	29.8%	23 30.4%	39 38.1%	133 35.8%	124 34.1%			51 35.8%		10: 35.4
Very poor job	49 6.7%				10 6.0%	20 7.5% G	2.0%	8 11.3% G	5 5.1%	25 6.8%	24 6.5%			4 2.8%	12 8.5%	2: 8.0
Don't Know	78 10.6%			5 8.1%	19 11.4%	32 12.0%		7 10.0%	11 10.7%	19 5.0%	60 16.4% Ј			16 11.6%		3 10.8
No answer/Refused	<b>4</b> 0.5%				1 0.6%	-	2 2.8%	-	-	2 0.5%	2 0.6%		1 0.4%	0 0.2%	-	1.0
Тор 2 box	348 47.2%				93 54.7% F	105 38.8%		36 48.4%	47 46.1%	193 52.0% K	154 42.4%	42 59.8%		71 49.6%		12: 44.8
Bottom 2 box	306 41.6%				57 33.3%	133 49.2% EG	19 31.9%	31 41.6%	44 43.2%	158 42.6%	148 40.7%	23 31.7%		55 38.6%		12 43.4
Mean	2.52	2.52	2.54	2.54	2.68 F	2.41	2.68 F	2.47	2.48	2.56	2.48	2.63	2.44	2.59	2.54	2.4
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			53. 70.	.699 .1%*			>		74> 0%*	<		32.597- 74.9%*		:

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q8\_ALL. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) all provinces?

Base: n=736 Banner 2

1

						nt Status				juage			Income					ation	
		otal	Self Employ.					Disab.	English		<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1	736 .00.0%	85 100.0%	<b>4</b> 16 100.0%			51 100.0%	<b>4</b> 100.0%	584 100.0%	152 100.0%	223 100.0%	124 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	103 100.0%		241 100.0%		8 100.0
	1	.00.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.04	100.04	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'		755	84	414	56	154	33	7	651	104	236	130	95	56	97	292	258	125	7
Very Good job		42	4	24	2		3	1	24	18	10	10	7	2	7				
		5.7%	4.2%	5.8%	3.7%	6.0%	5.8%	20.0%	4.0%	12.0% H	4.6%	7.8%	7.5%	3.5%	6.4%	6.6%	4.8%	3.6%	8.5
Good job		306	35	179	17	48	22	1	246	60	94	61	44	19	41	129	101	42	3
		41.5%	40.9%	42.9%	31.4%	40.8%	44.3%	31.2%	42.2%	39.2%	42.3%	48.9%	44.7%	36.1%	39.3%	45.4%	41.8%	35.1%	38.5
Poor job		257	24	155			17	-	211	46	76	37	33	25	40				2
		34.9%	27.6%	37.3%	37.3%	33.0%	33.1%		36.1%	30.4%	34.0%	29.7%	33.0%	47.0%	39.0%	28.4%	39.1% O		31.29
Very poor job		49	7	23				1	42	8	18	7	5	3	9	26	10	7	
		6.7%	8.7%	5.6%	10.4%	8.7%	1.7%	13.6%	7.1%	4.9%	7.9%	5.5%	5.0%	4.7%	9.1%	9.2% P		5.5%	6.79
Don't Know		78	15	33			7	1	59	19	24	10	9	4	6		24	11	1:
		10.6%	17.7% C	7.8%	16.7%	11.1%	14.0%	35.1%	10.0%	12.8%	10.8%	8.1%	9.4%	8.0%	6.2%	9.6%	10.0%	9.6%	15.19
No answer/Refused		4	1	2	0	-	1	-	3	1	1	-	0	0	-	2		1	
		0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	1.2%		0.5%	0.7%	0.4%		0.3%	0.8%		0.8%	0.3%	0.7%	
Top 2 box		348	38	203					270	78	105	70	52	21	47				39
		47.2%	45.1%	48.7%	35.1%	46.9%	50.1%	51.3%	46.2%	51.2%	46.9%	56.7%	52.3%	39.6%	45.7%	52.0% Q		38.6%	47.09
Bottom 2 box		306	31	179				1	253	54	94	44	38	28	50		104	61	31
		41.6%	36.3%	43.0%	47.7% G		34.8%	13.6%	43.3%	35.3%	41.9%	35.2%	38.0%	51.7%	48.1%	37.6%	43.1%	51.1% 0	37.99
Mean		2.52	2.50	2.53	2.34	2.50	2.64	2.89	2.48	2.67 H	2.49	2.64	2.61	2.42	2.46	2.55	2.53	2.41	2.57
Median		3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE			<					>	<18	.475>	<				>	<	26	.448	;
SIGNIFICANCE						3%*			99	.0%*			4.7%*				81	.0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q8\_ALL. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>all</u> provinces? Base: n=736

Banner 3

			re Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	g Finan- halities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Heal
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(
Fotal	736 100.0%		519 100.0%	38 100.0%	383 100.0%	281 100.0%	485 100.0%	227 100.0%	379 100.0%	300 100.0%		323 100.0%	313 100.0%	423 100.0%	36 <b>4</b> 100.0%	366 100.0%		2 100.
Unweighted 'N'	755	208	535	46	388	287	506	222	390	305	402	325	309	446	350	398	454	:
Very Good job	42 5.7%		24 4.6%	2 5.0%	21 5.5%	16 5.5%		16 7.3%	16 4.3%			19 5.8%	15 4.7%	27 6.4%	21 5.8%	21 5.7%		4.
300d job	306 41.5%		188 36.2%	19 50.4%	134 34.9%	140 49.8% E		91 40.1%	158 <b>4</b> 1.6%	130 <b>4</b> 3.2%		120 37.2%	114 36.4%	192 45.3% M	38.3%	163 44.6%		37.
Poor job	257 34.9%		213 41.1% B	11 29.8%	153 39.8% F	83 29.6%	172 35.4%	78 34.4%	141 37.1%	101 33.8%		127 39.2%	118 37.7%	139 32.9%	142 39.1%	114 31.0%		1 38.
Very poor job	49 6.7%		35 6.8%	3 8.5%	31 8.1%	15 5.4%	34 7.0%	15 6.8%	31 8.1%	17 5.8%		26 8.0%	27 8.7%	22 5.2%		21 5.8%		7
Don't Know	78 10.6%		56 10.8%	2 6.3%	42 11.0%	27 9.5%	<b>4</b> 8 9.8%	25 10.9%	33 8.8%	26 8.7%		28 8.8%	37 11.7%	41 9.8%	31 8.5%	46 12.5%		10
No answer/Refused	4 0.5%		3 0.5%	-	3 0.7%	1 0.3%	3 0.6%	1 0.5%	1 0.1%	2 0.6%	1 0.1%	3 1.0%	2 0.7%	2 0.4%	2 0.7%	2 0.4%		0
top 2 box	348 47.2%		212 40.8%	21 55.4%	155 40.4%	155 55.3% E	229 47.2%	107 47.3%	174 45.8%	153 51.1%		139 43.0%	129 41.2%	219 51.7% M	44.1%	184 50.2%		42
Bottom 2 box	306 41.6%		248 47.8% B	15 38.3%	183 47.8% F	98 34.9%	206 42.4%	93 41.2%	171 45.2%	119 39.6%		152 47.2% K	145 46.5% N	161 38.1%	170 46.7% P	135 36.9%		46
lean	2.52	2.74 C	2.44	2.55	2.43	2.61 E	2.51	2.54	2.46	2.59	2.58	2.45	2.42	2.59 M	2.47	2.57	2.57	2
fedian	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<65. 100.	766> 0%*	<	-30.593 99.4%*	>	<1. 2.3	64> %*		832> .2%*		.793> .5%*	<16. 97.		<11. 86.			261 .6%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1

4 - - -

Q8\_YOUR. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province? Base: n=768

Banner 1

.

						rion					lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB		Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(
Total	768	23	43	66	209	289	47	60	97	351	417	71	192	141	159	2
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		100.
Unweighted 'N'	749	54	102	156	136	179	92	90	96	303	446	46	131	136	165	
Very Good job	43	1	3	4	21	12	2	1	3	22	21	5	3	14	8	
	5.6%	2.5%	7.3%	5.6%	10.1% BHI	4.3%	3.8%	1.9%	2.7%	6.2%	5.0%	6.5%	1.4%	9.9% M		7.
Good job	347	14	20	34	104	113	25	32	39	160	186	26	106	56	71	1
	45.1%	58.7% FI	47.6%	51.5% F	49.6%	39.1%		52.5% F	40.2%	45.7%	44.7%	37.2%				42
Poor job	258	8	14	22	63	103	13	19	38	113	145	30	59	49	53	
	33.6%	34.0%	32.7%	33.2%	30.2%	35.7%	28.2%	32.0%	38.7%	32.2%	34.7%	42.8%				33
Very poor job	45		3		6	24	2	4	4	24	21	3	9	6	11	
	5.9%	4.8%	7.4%	6.5%	2.7%	8.4% E		6.2%	4.6%	6.7%	5.1%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	6.6%	8
Don't Know	67	-	2	2	16	30	4	4	11	24	43					
	8.8%		4.3%		7.4%	10.5% D	9.2%	7.4%	11.2% D	6.9%	10.4%	6 8.8%		15 10.8%		7.
No answer/Refused	8	-	0	0	-	6	_	-	3	8	0	_	3	1	3	
	1.1%		0.7%	0.5%		1.9%			2.7%	2.3% K	0.1%		1.4%	1.0%		1.
Top 2 box	389	14	23	38	125	126	27	33	42	182	207	31	108	70	79	1
	50.7%		54.9%		59.7% FI	43.4%		54.4%	42.9%	51.9%	49.7%	43.8%	56.5%	49.3%		49
Bottom 2 box	303	9	17	26	69	128	15	23	42	137	166	33	67	55	63	-
	39.5%	38.8%	40.1%	39.7%	32.9%	44.1% E		38.2%	43.3%	39.0%	39.9%	47.4%	35.1%	39.0%	39.9%	41
Mean	2.56	2.59	2.58	2.58	2.72 FI	2.45	2.62	2.54	2.48	2.57	2.55	2.50	2.58	2.62	2.54	2
fedian	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			40. 18.	127			>	<1.8	11>	<		29.074-		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q8\_YOUR. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>your</u> province? Base: n=768 Banner 2

					nt Status			Lang	juage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(
Total	768	85	433	87	107	47	6	583	185	244	136	96	59	99	277	258	147	
1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				100.
Unweighted 'N'	749	73	399	84	146	35	9	625	124	241	126	89	56	96	279	257	130	
Very Good job	43	5	21	4	8	5		22	20	15	12	4	0		15			
	5.6%	6.1%	4.8%			10.3%		3.8%	11.0% H	6.0% M	9.1% M	4.2%	0.7%	3 2.9%			6.4%	1
Good job	347	36	215	25	39	25	5						990110					
	45.1%	42.6%	49.6% DE	28.6%		25 52.4% D		256 43.9%	91 49.0%	112 46.0%	54 39.6%	41 42.2%	31 53.0%	55 55.5% K	38.2%		49.2%	48
Poor job	258	29	133	41	41	13	1	205	54	84	48	39	22	19	104	85	43	
	33.6%	33.8%	30.8%	47.7% CG		27.7%	15.1%	35.1%	29.0%	34.4% N	35.3% N	40.2% N	36.8%	19.6%				32
Very poor job	45	ç	24	4	6	2	0	41	4	15	8	3	2	12	36	4		
	5.9%	10.8%	5.4%	4.5%		3.9%		7.0% I	2.3%	6.1%	6.1%	2.6%	3.3%	12.5% L	12.8%	1.7%	2.7%	1
Don't Know	67	4	39	8	12	3	-	51	16	• 15	14	10	4	8	17	22	17	
	8.8%	5.1%	9.1%	9.6%	10.7%	5.8%		8.8%	8.7%	6.1%	10.0%	10.8%	6.2%	8.1%				12
No answer/Refused	8	1	1	4	1	-	-	8	-	3	-	_	_	1	1	4	1	
	1.1%	1.7%	0.3%	4.9%	1.3%			1.5%		1.3%			÷	1.4%				3
Тор 2 рож	389	41	235	29		30	5	278	111	127	66	45	31	58	121	143	82	
	50.7%	48.6%	54.4% D	33.2%	44.3%	62.7% D	78.1% DE	47.7%	60.0% H	52.0%	48.7%	46.4%	53.7%	58.4%	43.6%	55.5% O	55.6%	50
Bottom 2 box	303	38	157	45		15	1	245	58	99	56	41	23	32	139	89	47	
	39.5%	44.6%	36.2%	52.3% C		31.5%	21.9%	42.0% I	31.3%	40.5%	41.3%	42.8%	40.1%	32.1%	50.2% PQR		31.7%	33
Mean	2.56	2.47	2.59 D	2.39	2.52	2.73 D	2.71	2.50	2.75 H	2.56	2.57	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.38	2.67	2.68	2
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00			3
CHI-SQUARE		<		42	.861		>	<28	482>	·		-31 151					.426	
SIGNIFICANCE					.0%*			100.	0%*	,		69.0%*		>	<		.426	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q8\_YOUR. Do you think the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job or a very poor job of enforcing the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province?

#### Base: n=768 Banner 3

.

. .

Danner 5

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Imposing cial Per	alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
		Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(1
Total	768 100.0%	203 100.0%	547 100.0%	48 100.0%	391 100.0%	301 100.0%	510 100.0%	241 100.0%	376 100.0%		418 100.0%	324 100.0%	343 100.0%	425 100.0%	371 100.0%	389 100.0%	491 100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	749	208	525	54	374	294	508	226	385	313	416	303	329	420	346	394	463	2
Very Good job	43	20	22	3	24	13	26	15	19	21	14	27	17	26	20	23	31	1
	5.6%	10.0% C	4.1%	6.1%	6.1%	4.4%		6.0%				8.3% K	4.9%	6.1%	5.4%	5.8%	6.3%	
Good job	347	107	233	23	155	158	230	111	180	141	199	140	159	188	157	186	233	11
	45.1%	52.6% C	42.5%	47.9%	39.6%	52.5% E	45.1%	46.0%	48.0%	42.3%	47.7%	43.3%	46.2%	44.2%	42.2%	47.9%	47.5%	40.4
Poor job	258	49	207	14	148	91	167	87	130	111	144	107	114	144	134	122	151	10
	33.6%	24.0%	37.8% B		37.8%	30.3%	32.8%	35.9%	34.7%	33.3%	34.4%	33.2%	33.3%	33.8%	36.1%	31.4%	30.8%	38.1
Very poor job	45	6	37	4	33	6	32	13	21	18	16	27	20	25	31	13	26	
	5.9%	3.0%	6.7%	7.5%	8.6% F	2.1%	6.3%	5.2%	5.7%	5.5%	3.9%	8.4% K	5.9%	5.8%	8.5% P	3.5%	5.3%	6.8
Don't Know	67	21	40	4	26	28	48	14	20	42	39	20	28	39	23	42	45	
	8.8%	10.5%	7.2%	8.6%	6.8%	9.3%	9.3%	6.0%	5.3%	12.6% I		6.2%	8.2%	9.2%	6.2%	10.7%	9.2%	8.1
No answer/Refused	8	_	8	-	4	4	7	2	5	1	6	2	5	3	6	3	4	
	1.1%		1.5%		1.1%	1.4%		0.8%	1.3%			0.6%	1.5%	0.8%	1.5%	0.7%	0.9%	1.0
Тор 2 рож	389	127	255	26	179	171	256	126	200	161	213	167	175	214	177	209	264	12
	50.7%	62.6% C	46.7%	54.0%	45.8%	56.9% E	50.2%	52.1%	53.1%	48.5%	50.9%	51.7%	51.1%	50.3%	47.6%	53.7%	53.8% R	
Bottom 2 box	303	55	244	18	181	98	200	99	152	129	160	134	134	169	166	136	178	12
	39.5%	27.0%	44.6% B	37.4%	46.4% F	32.5%	39.2%	41.1%	40.3%	38.8%	38.3%	41.6%	39.2%	39.7%	44.6% P	34.9%	36.2%	45.
Mean	2.56	2.78	2.48	2.58	2.47	2.66	2.55	2.57	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.55	2.55	2.56	2.48	2.63	2.61	2.4
		C				E										0	R	
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.0
CHI-SQUARE		<43.	221		25 000												<14.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q9\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) all provinces? Base: n=769

Banner 1

						gion					der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	) (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1
Total	769 100.09				213 100.0%	272 100.0%		62 100.0%	106 100.0%	37 <b>4</b> 100.0%	395 100.0%	88 100.0%	179 100.0%			20 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	75:	2 39	110	149	137	171	99	92	104	318	434	59	125	134	155	20
Strongly agree	39) 51.5				103 48.6%	156 57.2% G	43.7%	29 46.4%	51 48.3%	185 49.4%	211 53. <b>4</b> %	57 64.2% P	92 51.2%		56.1%	1: 44.:
Somewhat agree	23 30.5				63 29.6%	75 27.6%	19	19 29.9%	36 33.6%	108 28.8%	127 32.1%	16 18.4%		34.2%	24.4%	35.
Somewhat disagree	65 8 - 79				23 11.0%	14 5.2%		5 8.1%	13 12.6%	40 10.8%	26 6.7%	4	19 10.8%		14	8.
Strongly disagree	40 5.29		1 1.3%		19 8.9% CD	10 3.6%		6 9.3% CD	3 3.0%	28 7.6% K	12 3.0%	5 5.2%				6.
Don't know	3 ( 3 . 9%		•		<b>4</b> 2.0%	17 6.4% CD	4.2%	3 4.5%	3 2.4%	11 3.0%	19 4.7%	6 7.1%				5.
No answer/Refused	0.39		1 1.3%		-	-	1 0.9%	1 1.8%	-	2 0.5%	1 0.1%	-	1 0.3%		1	0.
rop 2 box	630 81.99				166 78.1%	231 84.9%	43 79.1%	47 76.4%	87 81.9%	292 78.2%	338 85.5% J	73 82.6%				2 79.
Bottom 2 box	107				42 19.9% CDF	24 8.8%		11 17.3% CD	17 15.6%	69 18.4% K	38 9.6%	9 10.3%	27 15.1%			14.
Mean	3.34	3.45	3.46	3.46 H	3.20	3.48 E		3.21	3.30	3.24	3.43 J	3.52 P		3.30	3.39	3
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			47.	327 9%*			>	<24. 99.		<		19.358- 11.3%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q9\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>all</u> provinces? Base: n=769

#### Banner 2

.

					nt Status				ruage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self					Disab. Pension		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	769 100.0%	85 100.0%	433 100.0%	70 100.0%	109 100.0%	62 100.0%	2 100.0%	588 100.0%	182 100.0%	25 <b>4</b> 100.0%	115 100.0%	100 100.0%	64 100.0%	110 100.0%		263 100.0%	138 100.0%	8 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	752	80	409	70	143	39	4	632	120	251	119	92	62	104	269	266	128	8
Strongly agree	396 51.5%	41 47.9%	221 51.0%		47.3%	34 54.6%	1 37.8%	305 51.9%	90 49.9%	142 56.1%	65 56.1%	50 49.7%	32 50.7%	48 43.6%		129 49.1%	61 43.9%	3 39.5
Somewhat agree	235 30.5%	26 30.5%	136 31.5%				1 62.2%	185 31.4%	50 27.5%	73 28.8%	32 27.7%	38 38.0%	19 29.6%	32 29.0%		95 36.2% OQ	32 23.1%	39.09
Somewhat disagree	67 8.7%	7 8.7%	39 9.0%			7 11.6%	-	<b>4</b> 9 8.3%	18 9.9%	14 5.4%	13 11.2%	б 6.4%	9 13. <b>4</b> %	15 13.3%		19 7.2%	25 18.2% OPR	6.9
Strongly disagree	40 5.2%	8 9.8%	22 5.0%		5 4.4%			21 3.6%	19 10.4% H	14 5.6%	2 2.0%	2 1.9%	3 5.4%	12 11.0% KL	3.6%	9 3.2%	13 9.1%	9.1
Don't know	30 3.9%	3 3.1%	14 3.2%	3 3.6%		3 4.1%		26 4.4%	4 2.3%	9 3.6%	<b>4</b> 3.0%	4 3.9%	-	3 3.0%		11 4.0%	7 5.4%	5.5
No answer/Refused	2 0.3%	-	1 0.2%		1 0.6%	1 1.0%		2 0.4%	-	1 0.4%	-	-	1 0.8%	-	1 0.4%	1 0.2%	1 0.4%	
Top 2 box	630 81.9%	67 78.4%	357 82.5%	65 92.7% BCE	79.6%	<b>4</b> 8 76.7%	2 100.0% BCEF	490 83.4%	140 77.3%	216 85.0% N	97 83.7%	88 87.7% N	51 80.3%	80 72.6%		225 85.3% Q	93 66.9%	6 78.5
Bottom 2 box	107 13.9%	16 18.5% D	61 14.0% D	3.7%	14 12.4% D			70 11.9%	37 20.4% H	28 11.0%	15 13.2%	8 8.4%	12 18.9%	27 24.4% JL	9.8%	27 10.4%	38 27.3% OP	1 16.0
Mean	3.34	3.20	3.33	3.64 BCE		3.31	3.38	3.38 I	3.20	3.41 N	3.42 N	3.41 N	3.27	3.08	3.49 QR	3.37 Q	3.08	3.1
Median	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.681 .5%*		>		.032> .6%*	<		-40.845 94.5%*		>	<	75 100	. 528 . 0%*	;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q9\_ALL. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>all</u> provinces? Base: n=769

Banner 3

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer the essary	Wait	Responden	
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	769 100.0%	200 100.0%	556 100.0%		399 100.0%	292 100.0%	498 100.0%	264 100.0%		333 100.0%	402 100.0%	340 100.0%	331 100.0%	<b>4</b> 39 100.0%		376 100.0%		270
Unweighted 'N'	752		534		385	288	500	241			404	316	317	435		396		28:
Strongly agree	396		309		233	135	282	110		2.0.0	222							
Scrongly agree	51.5%		55.6% B		233 58.4% DF	46.2%	282 56.6% H	41.6%			222 55.2% L	158 46.5%	169 51.2%	227 51.7%		154 41.0%		144 53.59
Somewhat agree	235 30.5%		154 27.8%		107 26.7%	95 32.6%	148 29.8%	85 32.2%			134 33.5%	97 28.5%	92 27.9%	142 32.4%		139 36.9% O	31.9%	75 27.79
Somewhat disagree	67 8.7%	22 11.1%	42 7.6%		26 6.6%	33 11.4%	30 6.0%	36 13.6% G	5.3%		24 6.0%	42 12.2% K	35 10.5%	32 7.3%		42 11.1%		17 6.49
Strongly disagree	40 5.2%		27 4.9%		18 4.6%	15 5.3%	16 3.3%	24 9.0% G	1.9%	32 9.7% I	6 1.5%	30 8.7% K	18 5.4%	22 5.1%		21 5.5%		1: 7.0
Don't know	30 3.9%		22 3.9%		14 3.5%	13 4.4%	20 3.9%	9 3.3%			14 3.5%	13 3.7%	15 4.7%	14 3.3%		20 5.3%		
No answer/Refused	2 0.3%		1 0.2%		1 0.3%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	1 0.2%			1 0.3%	1 0.3%	1 0.3%	1 0.3%		1 0.3%		0.4
Тор 2 вох	630 81.9%		463 83.3%		340 85.1%	230 78.7%	430 86.4% H	195 73.9%		73.6%	356 88.7% L	255 75.0%	262 79.1%	369 84.1%		293 77.9%		21 81.1
Bottom 2 box	107 13.9%	32 15.9%	70 12.5%		45 11.2%	49 16.7%	46 9.3%	60 22.6% G	7.2%		30 7.5%	71 21.0% K	53 15.9%	54 12.4%		62 16.6%		3 13.4
Mean	3.34	3.23	3.40 B	3.08	3.44 DF	3.25	3.46 H	3.10	3.52 J		3.48 L	3.18	3.31	3.36	3.46 P	3.20	3.33	3.3
Median	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.945> .7%*	<	24.153 95.6%*	>	<55. 100.			.125> .0%*	<60. 100.		<5.7 42.		<34. 100.			407: .7%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q9\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>your</u> province? Base: n=735

Banner 1

.

						ion					lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	735 100.0%		37 100.0%	63 100.0%	167 100.0%	288 100.0%		73 100.0%	93 100.0%	3 <b>4</b> 9 100.0%	386 100.0%					28 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	752	61	91	152	114	179	101	108	98	315	437	37	117	149	157	28
Strongly agree	377 51.3%		53.1%	56.2%	85 50.8% G	155 54.0% G	29.5%	31 43.2% G	55 59.1% GH	173 49.7%	204 52.8%					13 49.5
Somewhat agree	240 32.7%			21 33.7%	43 25.6%	102 35.3%		22 29.8%	28 30.0%	110 31.4%	131 33.9%					8 31.0
Somewhat disagree	<b>4</b> 8 6.5%				13 7.9% C	11 3.9%		11 15.8% CDFI	4 4.8%	27 7.7%	21 5.4%				13 8.6%	2 7.1
Strongly disagree	48 6.5%				23 13.6% BCDFI	12 4.2%		6 7.8%	2 2.3%	29 8.2%	19 5.0%		5 3.2%			2 7.1
Don't know	18 2.4%				<b>4</b> 2.2%	6 2.2%		2 3.4%	3 2.8%	9 2.6%	9 2.2%		5 3.0%	4 2.6%	1 0.6%	1 3.6
No answer/Refused	<b>4</b> 0.6%		-	1 0.9%	-	1 0.4%		-	1 1.0%	2 0.5%	2 0.6%		-	0 0.2%	1 0.6%	1.8
Тор 2 box	617 84.0%		91.1%	89.9%	128 76.3%	257 89.3% EGH	79.1%	53 73.0%	83 89.0% EH	283 81.1%	334 86.7%		89.6%	83.0%	124 81.9%	22 80.4
Bottom 2 box	96 13.0%				36 21.5% BCDFI	23 8.1%		17 23.6% BCDFI	7 7.1%	55 15.8%	40 10.4%				26 16.9% LM	4 14.2
Mean	3.33	3.52 GH			3.16	3.43 EGH		3.12	3.52 EGH	3.26	3.38	3.59 P		3.32	3.28	3.3
Median	4.00		4.00		4.00	4.00		3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00			4.00	4.00	4.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				.061 .9%*			>		04> 4%*	<				

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q9\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) <u>your</u> province? Base: n=735 Banner 2

					nt Status				nuage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.		Unempl.			Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(
Total	735	85	415	70	116	36	8	579	156	214	144	95	48	92	283	235	129	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				100
Unweighted 'N'	752	77	404	70	157	29	12	644	108	226	137	92	50	89	302	249	127	
Strongly agree	377	58	217	29	50	18	4	300	77	115	79	54	28	31	164	112	57	
	51.3%	68.1%	52.2%	42.1%	43.0%	48.8%	54.4%	51.8%	49.6%	53.7%	55.1%	56.6%	58.8%	34.0%	57.9%			49
		CDE								N	N	N	N		PQ			
omewhat agree	240	17	138		39	12	2	200	40	71	48	29	. 9	36	91	72	46	
	32.7%	19.7%	33.2% B		33.7% B	33.1%	27.4%	34.6%	25.7%	33.4%	33.0%	31.0%	19.2%	39.1% M		30.7%	36.1%	34
Comewhat disagree	48	6	23	4	11	4	-	34	13	10	8	3	5	8	15	17	12	
	6.5%	6.8%	5.6%	5.2%	9.3%	11.4%		6.0%	8.5%	4.8%	5.2%	2.9%	9.5%	9.1%	5.2%	7.3%	9.0%	5
trongly disagree	48	4	28	4	10	2	-	25	23	11	7	8	1	13	9	24	9	
	6.5%	4.5%	6.7%	6.3%	8.2%	6.8%		4.3%	14.6% H	5.1%	5.0%	8.3%	2.5%	13.7% M		10.2% 0		7
on't know	18	1	8	3	5	-	1	15	3	4	2	0	4	4	4	9	3	
	2.4%	1.0%	1.9%	3.6%	4.0%		18.2%	2.6%	1.6%	2.1%	1.4%	0.4%	8.0%	4.2%	1.5%			2
o answer/Refused	4	-	2	0	2	-	-	4	-	2	0	1	1	-	-	1	2	
	0.6%		0.4%	0.6%	1.7%			0.7%		0.9%	0.3%	0.8%	2.0%			0.3%		
op 2 box	617	74	354	59	89	29	7	500	117	186	127	83	38	67	255	185	103	
	84.0%	87.8%	85.3%		76.7%	81.8%	81.8%	86.4%	75.3%	87.1%	88.1%	87.6%	78.0%	73.1%		78.5%		83
			E					I		N	N	N			PQ			
ottom 2 box	96	10	51	8	20	7	-	60	36	21	15	11	6	21	24	41	21	
	13.0%	11.2%	12.3%	11.6%	17.6%	18.2%		10.3%	23.1% H	9.9%	10.2%	11.2%	12.0%	22.8% JK			16.1%	1
ean	3.33	3.53	3.34	3.25	3.18	3.24	3.67	3.38	3.12	3.40	3.41	3.38	3.49	2.97	3.47	3.21	3.22	
		E						I		N	N	N	N	2.37	PQ		3.22	
edian	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	
HI-SQUARE		<		24	.062		>	<40.	971>	<		-37.445		>	<		.349	
IGNIFICANCE				8.3	2%*			100.				89.1%*		,,	,		.349	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q9\_YOUR. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree that the federal government should be doing more to enforce the principles of the Canada Health Act in (SPLIT SAMPLE 50 /50) your province? Base: n=735

#### Banner 3

4

r 1

			are Syst.				Preferred		Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paiđ of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
		Excel./	Fair/ Poor/				One tier	Two tier	Federal	Provin.							Excel./	Fair/ Poor/
	Total	V. Good	V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	System	System	Govern.	Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	V. Good	V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	· (R
Total	735 100.0%	208 100.0%	511 100.0%	<b>4</b> 0 100.0%	375 100.0%	290 100.0%	497 100.0%	20 <b>4</b> 100.0%	370 100.0%		403 100.0%	306 100.0%	325 100.0%	409 100.0%		379 100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'	752	213	526	51	377	293	514	207	390	305	414	312	321	431	348	396	451	30
Strongly agree	377 51.3%	72	298	19	230	116		81	214		215	148	176	201		165		
all a set Gale in the	51.3%	34.8%	58.3% B	46.2%	61.3% F	40.1%	57.5% H	39.5%	57.8% J		53.3%	48.2%	54.0%	49.1%	59.8% P	43.5%	47.8%	57.0
Somewhat agree	240 32.7%	91 43.5% C	144 28.3%	11 26.2%	95 25.5%	124 42.8% DE	159 32.1%	69 33.9%	120 32.4%		143 35.5%	91 29.8%	96 29. <b>4</b> %	145 35.4%	-	144 38.1% 0	32.3%	
Somewhat disagree	<b>4</b> 8 6.5%	21 9.9%	25 4.9%		21 5.5%	21 7.3%	25 5.0%	21 10.4%	15 3.9%		18 4.4%	28 9.1%	21 6.3%	27 6.6%	20 5.8%	27 7.2%	33	1
	0100	5.50	1.50	10.0%	5.5%	1.50	5.0%	10.45	3.3%	10.0% I	4.40	9.1% K	0.3%	0.0%	5.0%	7.28	1.28	5.1
Strongly disagree	<b>4</b> 8 6.5%	20 9.5%	27 5.3%	<b>4</b> 9.6%	22 5.8%	20 6.8%		26 12.6%	15		15 3.8%	33 10.7%	23 7.0%	25 6.1%		30		
	0.50	5.50	5.5%	3.0%	5.0%	0.00	3.3%	12.0% G	4.25	10.5% I		10.7% K	7.0%	0.1%	5.2%	7.9%	8.6% R	
Don't know	18 2.4%	3 1.5%	14 2.8%	3 6.9%	6 1.5%	8 2.7%	6 1.2%	7 3.5%	5 1.2%		11 2.7%	5 1.5%	8 2.4%	10 2.4%	6 1.7%	10 2.7%		1.
o answer/Refused .	<b>4</b> 0.6%	2 0.8%	2 0.4%	0 1.0%	2 0.4%	1 0.4%	4 0.7%	1 0.3%	2 0.5%		1 0.3%	2 0.7%	3 0.8%	2 0.4%	2 0.5%	2 0.6%		
гор 2 вож	617 84.0%	163 78.3%	442 86.5% B	72.5%	325 86.8%	240 82.9%	<b>44</b> 5 89.6% Н	150 73.3%	333 90.2% J	225 75.3%	358 88.9% L	239 78.0%	272 83.5%	346 84.5%	302 86.8%	309 81.6%	362 80.2%	2 90.
Bottom 2 box	96 13.0%	40 19.4%	52 10.2%	8 19.6%	<b>4</b> 2 11.3%	41 14.0%	42 8.5%	47 22.9%	30 8.1%		33 8.2%	61 19.8%	43 13.3%	52 12.8%	38 11.0%	57 15.1%	72 15.8%	8.
		с						G		I		ĸ					R	5.
lean	3.33	3.06	3.44 B	3.18	3.45 F	3.20	3.46 Н	3.04	3.46 J	3.12	3.43 L	3.18	3.35	3.31	3.45 P	3.21	3.24	3.
leđian	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.
HI-SQUARE IGNIFICANCE		<46. 100.		<	-36.075	>	<63. 100.			.602> .0%*	<40. 100.		<3.1 12.		<21.	555> 7%*	<21.	.388

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

# Q10. When the federal and provincial governments cannot agree on the interpretation of the Canada Health Act, who should be responsible for the final decision? Base: All

Banner 1

					0.000	jion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1
Total	1,504 100.0%		8 <b>4</b> 100.0%	125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		54 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	5
Fed. gov. as it is responsible for the Canada Health Act	756 50.2%		55.3%	71 56.8% E	113 29.6%	338 60.4% EGH	49.5%		116 58.5% E	375 51.9%	380 48.7%	73 51.4%		148 52.2%		2 53.
Prov. gov. as they are prim. resp. for health care services	633 42.1%			46 36.8%	231 60.9% BCDFGHI	178 31.8%		64 47.7% CDFI	68 34.0%	299 41.4%	333 42.7%	65 46.0%		106 37.5%		2 40.
Both equally/work together	29 1.9%		2 2.7%	3 2.1%	9 2.3%	12 2.2%		2 1.3%	2 1.0%	9 1.3%	20 2.5%	-	6 1.7%	6 2.2%		2.
Voters/general population	13 0.9%		-	-	<b>4</b> 1.0%	<b>4</b> 0.8%		-	<b>4</b> 1.9%	3 0.4%	11 1.4%	-	6 1.6%	2 0.6%		0.
Court/tribunal	5 0.4%		1 1.2%	1 0.8%	-	<b>4</b> 0.7%		-	÷	5 0.7%	-	-	1 0.2%	-	1 0.5%	0
Other	16 1.1%		0 0.3%	0 0.2%	6 1.6%	6 1.0%		1	2 1.2%	8 1.1%	8 1.0%	-	3 0.8%	5 1.6%		0
Don't know	45 3.0%		3 3.5%	<b>4</b> 3.2%	15 3.8%	16 2.9%		2 1.4%	5 2.5%	20 2.8%	25 3.1%	4 2.6%		14 4.9%		2
No answer/Refused	7 0.5%			-	3 0.8%	1 0.2%		1 0.7%	2 0.8%	3 0.4%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	-	2 0.5%	3 1.0%		0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			119. 100.				>		831>	<		36.874- 87.8%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1

t

Q10. When the federal and provincial governments cannot agree on the interpretation of the Canada Health Act, who should be responsible for the final decision? Base: All

Banner 2

. . .

					nt Status				ruage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%		1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	.468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 100.0
Inweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Fed. gov. as it is responsible for the Canada Health Act	756 50.2%	83 48.9%	<b>4</b> 31 50.8%	68 48.5%	11 <b>4</b> 50.7%	47 47.9%		666 57.0% I	90 26.7%	216 46.2%	125 48.1%	117 59.8% JK	62 55.7%	106 52.6%				59.8
Prov. gov. as they are prim. resp. for health care services	633 42.1%	76 44.5%	349 41.1%	58 41.6%	94 41.9%	48 48.5%		421 36.0%	212 62.9% H	212 45.4% L	117 45.1% L	66 33.8%	47 41.5%	84 41.5%			42.2%	34.0
Both equally/work together	29 1.9%	1 0.6%	21 2.5% B		4 1.7%	-	2 18.1%	20 1.7%	9 2.7%	13 2.8% N	6 2.4%	3 1.3%	1 1.3%	1 0.4%				2.
Voters/general Mopulation	13 0.9%	-	11 1.2%	2 1.3%	1 0.5%	-	-	10 0.8%	4 1.1%	5 1.0%	3 1.0%	-		2 1.0%		1 0.2%		
court/tribunal	5 0.4%	-	2 0.2%		3 1.3%	1 0.6%		5 0.5%	-	1 0.1%	3 1.0%	1 0.6%	-	0.2%		1 0.3%	2 0.6%	1.
ther	16 1.1%	3 2.0%	10 1.2%			1 0.5%		10 0.9%	6 1.8%	3 0.7%	2 0.7%	5 2.3%	-	5 2.3%				0.
on't know	45 3.0%	7 4.0%	19 2.2%		7 3.0%	2 2.5%		31 2.7%	13 3.9%	16 3.4%	<b>4</b> 1.6%	3 1.3%	2 1.5%	3 1.3%				1.
answer/Refused	7 0.5%	-	6 0.7%		2 0.7%	-	-	4	3 0.9%	2 0.4%	-	2 0.9%	-	2 0.8%			-	
HI-SQUARE IGNIFICANCE		<			.057 .6%*		>	<98. 100.		<		-30.548 66.2%*		>	<		.889 .3%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q10. When the federal and provincial governments cannot agree on the interpretation of the Canada Health Act, who should be responsible for the final decision? Base: All Banner 3

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./ V. Goođ	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes		Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		55 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Fed. gov. as it is responsible for the Canada Health Act	756 50.2%	223 54.6%	523 49.0%	39 45.6%	397 51.2%	295 50.7%	553 55.6% H	189 40.4%			490 60.9% L	250 38.6%	329 50.2%	426 50.3%	377 51.2%	376 49.8%		28 52.3
Prov. gov. as they are prim. resp. for health care services	633 42.1%	159 39.0%	457 42.8%	40 46.4%	308 39.8%	255 43.8%	371 37.3%	244 52.2% G		633 100.0%		360 55.7% K	268 40.9%	364 43.0%	296 40.3%	332 43.9%		22 39.8
Both equally/work together	29 1.9%	5 1.2%	23 2.2%	1 1.4%	17 2.2%	10 1.8%	20 2.0%	8 1.6%	-	-	16 2.0%	8 1.2%	19 2.9%	10 1.2%	14 1.9%	14 1.8%	14 1.5%	1
Voters/general population	13 0.9%	2 0.4%	12 1.1%	-	11 1.4%	2 0.4%	10 1.0%	2 0.4%		-	<b>4</b> 0.5%	9 1.4%	6 1.0%	7 0.8%	5 0.7%	8 1.1%		0.4
Court/tribunal	5 0.4%	2 0.4%	4 0.4%	-	2 0.2%	2 0.3%	4 0.4%	-	-	-	5 0.6%	1 0.1%	3 0.5%	2 0.3%		3 0.4%	4 0.4%	0.2
Other	16 1.1%	<b>4</b> 1.0%	12 1.1%	2 2.1%	9 1.2%	3 0.6%	11 1.1%	5 1.2%	-	-	12 1.5%	<b>4</b> 0.6%	8 1.3%	8 0.9%	10 1.4%	6 0.8%		1.1
Don't know	45 3.0%	12 3.1%	31 2.9%	2 2.8%	26 3.3%	13 2.3%	25 2.5%	15 3.2%	-	-	26 3.2%	12 1.8%	16 2.5%	28 3.4%	25 3.5%	15 2.0%		2.0
No answer/Refused	7 0.5%	2 0.4%	5 0.4%	2 1.8%	5 0.6%	1 0.2%	2 0.2%	5 1.0%	-	-	4 0.5%	3 0.5%	5 0.8%	2 0.2%	6 0.8%	2 0.2%	3 0.3%	0.9
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<5.8 44	875> .6%*	<	-10.014 23.9%*	>	<35. 100.	.025> .0%*		8.246> .0%*	<97. 100.		<6.6 53.		<2.9 11.			)55 2%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q11. The federal and provincial governments have agreed to a process in the case of differences related to the interpretation of the Canada Health Act. The process involves a three person panel that could be asked to provide advice and recommendations. Some people say that this is the only fair way to settle differences between the federal and provincial governments. Other people say that using a panel means that the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the Canada Health Act. Which view is closer to your own?

### Base: All

1

. .

Banner 1

					Reg	gion				Ger	lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	544
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Panel's the only way to	766	21	46	67	181	278	63	74	102	348	418	68	180	147	147	292
settle diff. b/w the fed./prov. gov.	50.9%	49.7%	55.4%	53.5%	47.7%	49.7%	59.9% EF	55.1%	51.2%	48.1%	53.5%	48.0%	52.4%	51.9%	49.1%	53.7%
Panel means the fed.	538	18	27	45	157	203	26	45	62	284	254	62	111	104	111	181
gov. isn't enfor. the Canada Health Act	35.8%	44.1% GI		36.1% G	41.3% GI		24.5%	33.3%	31.4%	39.2% K	32.5%			36.6%		33.3%
Don't know	162			12	34	60		14	28	68	94			27	27	60
	10.8%	6.2%	11.8%	10.0%	9.0%	10.6%	13.7% B	10.1%	13.9% B	9.4%	12.0%	8.1%	12.7%	9.4%	9.1%	11.0%
No answer/Refused	39		-	1	8	19		2	7	24	15	-	9			11
	2.6%		0.7%	0.4%	2.0%	3.4% CD		1.6%	3.4%	3.3%	1.9%		2.6%	2.1%	4.6%	2.0%
CHI-SQUARE		<			26	.019			>	<11.	782>	<		19.04		>
SIGNIFICANCE					79	4%*				99.	2%			91.2%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

Q11. The federal and provincial governments have agreed to a process in the case of differences related to the interpretation of the Canada Health Act. The process involves a three person panel that could be asked to provide advice and recommendations. Some people say that this is the only fair way to settle differences between the federal and provincial governments. Other people say that using a panel means that the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the Canada Health Act. Which view is closer to your own?

#### Base: All Banner 2

\_\_\_\_\_

				Employme	ent Status			Lang	guage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(F
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%							337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Panel's the only way to settle diff. b/w the fed./prov. gov.	766 50.9%	89 52.5%							159 47.0%	231 49.4%	133 51.1%	116 59.4% J	58 52.1%	112 55.3%				51.3
Panel means the fed. gov. isn't enfor. the Canada Health Act	538 35.8%	62 36.7%							137 40.6%	184 39.3%	91 35.0%	59 30.2%	39 34.9%	67 33.1%				31.0
Don't know	162 10.8%	16 9.7%							34 10.1%	48 10.2%	29 11.0%	13 6.6%	11 10.2%	18 8.7%				15.
No answer/Refused	39 2.6%	2 1.1%					-	31 2.6%	8 2.3%	5 1.1%	7 2.9%	7 3.8%	3 2.7%	6 2.8%	-	13 2.6%		1.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		11 31	917 5%*		>	< <b>4</b> .4 78.		<		-14.857 75.1%		>	<		.281 .2%	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q11. The federal and provincial governments have agreed to a process in the case of differences related to the interpretation of the Canada Health Act. The process involves a three person panel that could be asked to provide advice and recommendations. Some people say that this is the only fair way to settle differences between the federal and provincial governments. Other people say that using a panel means that the federal government is not doing its job of enforcing the Canada Health Act. Which view is closer to your own?

# Base: All

. .

.

Banner 3

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	i System		ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System		Federal Govern.	Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Goođ	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%				774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Panel's the only way to settle diff. b/w the	766 50.9%				359 46.4%	318 54.6%	479 48.1%	260 55.6%	48.7%			317 49.0%	346 52.8%	419 49.5%		402 53.3%		254 46.0%
fed./prov. gov.	53.0	c	100	E		E		G				255					R	
Panel means the fed. gov. isn't enfor. the Canada Health Act	538 35.8%				302 39.0%	201 34.5%	375 37.7%	156 33.4%				266 41.2% K	228 34.7%	310 36.6%		245 32.5%		221 40.0% 2
Don't know	162 10.8%				87 11.2%	56 9.5%		40 8.6%				50 7.7%	59 9.1%	102 12.1%		94 12.5% 0	95 10.0%	65 11.8%
No answer/Refused	39 2.6%		29 2.7%		26 3.4% F	8 1.4%	27 2.7%	11 2.4%				13 2.0%	23 3.4%	16 1.9%		13 1.7%	27 2.8%	12 2.2%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.676> 0.0%	<	16.268 98.8%	>		775> .9%		308> .9%	<17 99		<7.7 95.		<15. 99.			.302> .4%

Comparison Groups: EC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q12. Provinces that do not meet the five principles of the Act can face financial penalties. The penalties vary depending on the severity of the violation. The penalty is deducted from the overall federal contribution to the province for health care. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the principles of the Canada Health Act? Base: All Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504		84 100.0%	125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%	106 100.0%	135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%	298 100.0%	544 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96				544
Strongly support	373			34	64	148	28	36	64	202	171	35	59	62	90	155
	24.89	6 32.2% E		26.9% E	16.8%	26.4% E		26.8% E	32.0% E	27.9% K	21.9%	25.0%				28.5% MIN
Somewhat support	432 28.79		24 28.7%	38 30.6%	102 26.8%	165 29.5%	29 27.4%	32 24.0%	65 33.0%	178 24.6%	254 32.6% J	<b>44</b> 31.2%				138 25.4%
Somewhat oppose	304 20.29	6 22.5%		25.9%	81 21.3%	113 20.2%		31 22.7%	24 12.1%	131 18.1%	173 22.2%	39 27.6%		71 25.2%		89 16.4%
		I			I	I	I	I				OP	OP	OP		
Strongly oppose	342 22.8%		14 17.2% B	14.4%	119 31.2% BCDFGI	114 20.4% B		31 23.0% BD	39 19.4% B	191 26.4% K	151 19.3%	19 13.6%		57 20.1%	80 26.9% L	132 24.3% L
Don't know	40 2.69				11 2.8%	11 2.0%	4 4.1%	5 3.6%	6 3.2%	14 1.9%	26 3.3%	4 2.7%	3 0.8%			26 4.8% MO
No answer/Refused	13 0.9%		0 0.4%		4 1.1%	8 1.5%	-	-	1 0.3%	8 1.1%	5 0.7%	-	6 1.6%			4 0.7%
Top 2 box	805 53.5%	66.6%	<b>44</b> 52.9%		166 43.6%	313 55.9%	57 53.7%	68 50.7%	129 65.0%	379 52.5%	425 54.4%	80 56.1%		145 51.3%	167	293 53.9%
		CEGH		E		E	E		CEGH							
Bottom 2 box	646 43.0%		38 44.9% BI	40.4%	200 52.5% BDFGI	227 40.6%	45 42.3% I	62 45.7% BI	63 31.5%	322 44.5%	325 41.6%	58 41.2%				221 40.6%
Mean	2.58	2.92 CEGH	2.61	2.71 E	2.30	2.64 E	2.62 E	2.57 E	2.80 E	2.56	2.59	2.69	2.49	2.55	2.61	2.61
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				984			>	<26. 100.		<		53.038- 99.7%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

ä

Q12. Provinces that do not meet the five principles of the Act can face financial penalties. The penalties vary depending on the severity of the violation. The penalty is deducted from the overall federal contribution to the province for health care. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the principles of the Canada Health Act? Base: All

Banner 2

4 V - 1

					nt Status				nuage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	8 <b>4</b> 8 100.0%	140 100.0%		98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		<b>499</b> 100.0%	267 100.0%	16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Strongly support	373 24.8%	51 29.9%	197 23.2%	28 20.2%			4 38.3%		52 15.5%	107 22.8%	71 27.4%	60 30.5%	27 24.4%	58 28.8%			78 29.2% P	6 36.3 0
Somewhat support	432 28.7%	36 21.1%	253 29.8% BE	55 39.5% BE	23.0%	29 29.9%	4 38.4%	346 29.6%	86 25.5%	150 32.0%	66 25.2%	51 25.9%	31 28.0%	50 2 <b>4</b> - 8%		148 29.7%	67 25.1%	5: 31.09
Somewhat oppose	304 20.2%	29 17.0% G	176 20.7% G	24 16.9%	39 17.3% G	29.6%	0 3.8%	236 20.3%	68 20.1%	90 19.2%	55 21.3%	38 19.7%	23 20.3%	39 19.3%		111 22.2%	59 22.2%	2 16.7
Strongly oppose	342 22.8%	50 29.5%	194 22.9%	27 19.2%	51 22.8%	17 17.4%	2 19.5%	226 19.4%	117 34.6% Н	101 21.7%	61 23.3%	41 21.0%	28 25.0%	51 25.2%			53 19.9%	24 14.99
Don't know	40 2.6%	2 0.9%	19 2.2%	6 4.3%		2.4%		29 2.5%	11 3.1%	17 3.6%	7 2.7%	4 2.3%	1 1.3%	-	23 4.2% R	1.8%	5 1.7%	1.1
No answer/Refused	13 0.9%	3 1.6%	10 1.2% E	-	0 0.1%		-	9 0.8%	4 1.3%	4 0.8%	-	1 0.7%	1 1.0%	<b>4</b> 1.9%			5 2.0%	
Top 2 box	805 53.5%	87 51.1%	<b>44</b> 9 53.0%	83 59.6%			8 76.7%	666 57.1% I	138 <b>4</b> 1.0%	256 54.8%	137 52.7%	110 56.4%	59 52.4%	108 53.6%		248 49.7%	145 54.3%	11: 67.3 OP
Bottom 2 box	646 43.0%	79 46.5%	370 43.6%	50 36.1%				462 39.6%	184 54.6% Н	191 40.8%	116 44.6%	79 40.7%	51 45.3%	90 <b>44</b> .5%			112 42.1%	5: 31.69
Mean	2.58	2.53	2.55	2.63	2.68	2.55	2.95	2.67 I	2.23	2.58	2.58	2.68	2.53	2.58	2.57	2.45	2.66 P	2.9
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.551 .2%*		>	<70. 100.		<		-11.735		>	<		.428 .6%*	;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q12. Provinces that do not meet the five principles of the Act can face financial penalties. The penalties vary depending on the severity of the violation. The penalty is deducted from the overall federal contribution to the province for health care. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the principles of the Canada Health Act? Base: All

Banner 3

		State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last 2	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Heal
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	
Total	1,504	100	1 000													(1)	(2)	
IOCAL	100.0%		1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	468 100.0%	756 100.0%		805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		100
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	
Strongly support	373	119	247	28	164	157	271	92	254	93	373	_	153	219	158	210	246	
	24.8%	29.2% C	23.1%	32.6% E	21.2%	26.9% E	27.3% H	19.8%	33.7% J		46.3%		23.4%	25.9%		27.8% 0	25.9%	23
Somewhat support	432	111	313	20	221	175	299	121	236	155	432	_	182	250	209	220	281	
	28.7%	27.1%	29.3%	22.8%	28.5%	30.0%	30.1%	25.9%	31.2% J		53.7%		27.8%	29.4%	28.4%	29.1%		27
Somewhat oppose	304	91	210	15	149	130	195	102	128	162	_	304	135	170	158	145	184	
	20.2%	22.3%	19.7%	17.1%	19.3%	22.3%	19.6%	21.9%	16.9%			47.0%	20.5%	20.0%		19.2%		21
Strongly oppose	342		259	15	213	106	207	126	122	198	-	342	159	183	188	153	204	
	22.8%	17.9%	24.3% B	17.8%	27.5% DF	18.1%	20.8%	26.9% G	16.1%			53.0%	24.3%	21.6%	25.5%	20.2%		
			Б		DF			G		I					Р			
Don't know	40 2.6%		27	6	23	9	19	16	14	16	-	-	17	23	19	18	19	
	2.0%	2.8%	2.6%	6.4%	3.0%	1.6%	1.9%	3.5%	1.8%	2.6%			2.6%	2.7%	2.5%	2.4%	2.1%	3
No answer/Refused	13		10	3	5	. 6	4	10	2	9	-	-	9	4	4	9	12	
	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	3.2%	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%	2.1% G	0.3%	1.4%			1.4%	0.5%	0.5%	1.3%	1.3% R	(
op 2 box	805	230	560	48	385	332	570	214	490	248	805		336	469	366	430	527	
	53.5%	56.4%	52.5%	55.5%	49.7%	56.9% E	57.3% Н	45.7%	64.9% J		100.0%		51.1%	55.3%	49.8%	56.9%		50
ottom 2 box	646		469	30	362	236	402	228	250	360	-	646	294	352	346	298	388	
	43.0%	40.2%	44.0%	34.9%	46.7% D	40.4%	40.4%	48.7% G	33.1%	56.9% I		100.0%	44.8%	41.6%	47.1% P	39.4%		4
ean	2.58	2.70 C	2.53	2.78 E	2.45	2.67 E	2.65 H	2.41	2.84 J	2.23	3.46 L	1.47	2.52	2.62	2.47	2.67	2.62	
edian	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00		3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
CHI-SQUARE		<13.	163>	<	-31.818	>	<27.	831>	<189	.518>					<20.3			
IGNIFICANCE		93.	2%*		99.6%*		100.	0%*	100	.0%*	100.	0%*	29.		99.			.85 .6%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q13. Would your support for penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?

Base: Those who support the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Ger	der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic		Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	805	28	44	72	166	313	57	68	129	379	425	80	181	145	167	293
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					100.09
Unweighted 'N'	818	67	108	175	109	201	108	101	124	333	485	54	131	149	177	293
Increase	583	22	33	55	103	246	40	53	87	294	289	56	126	114	123	209
	72.5%				62.1%	78.5%		77.5%	67.5%	77.6%	68.0%					71.3
1		E	E	E		E		E		K						
Decrease	105	5	6	11	36	33	6	6	14	29	76	14	27	13	22	3
	13.1%	18.7%	12.6%	15.0%	21.5% FGHI	10.5%	10.1%	9.2%	10.6%	7.6%	18.0% J	17.0%				13.09
											J					
Stayed the same	58			4	14	16		4	16	34	24	5			15	15
	7.2%	1.8%	7.8%	5.5%	8.4% B	5.2%	8.1%	5.7%	12.3% B	9.0%	5.7%	6.8%	6.9%	8.7%	9.1%	5.19
Don't know	49	-	2	2	11	16	5	4	12	21	28	5	15	4	4	25
	6.1%		3.5%	2.2%	6.4%	5.1%		5.3%	9.6% D	5.4%	6.7%					8.59
							D		. 5							N
No answer/Refused	9	-		1	3	2		2	-	2	7	-	-	1	3	
	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	1.7%	0.7%	2.9%	2.4%		0.5%	1.6%			1.0%	2.0%	2.09
CHI-SQUARE		<			40.	283			>	<24.	965>	<				;
SIGNIFICANCE						8%*					.0%			91.9%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

1

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q13. Would your support for penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act? Base: Those who support the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act

Base: Those who support the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 2

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	nuage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	 (G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	805	87	449	83	124	50	8	666	138	256	137	110	59	108	298	248	145	111
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%		100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	818	79	438	80	172	36	11	724	94	264	142	109	59	99	297	267	153	98
Increase	583	66	332	53	89	35	8	500	84	174	104	90	48	77	209	179	109	83
	72.5%	75.9%	73.8%	63.5%	71.4%	71.3%	96.5% BCDEF		60.6%	67.8%	76.3%	82.1% J	82.6% J					74.7%
Decrease	105	9	62	18	13	3	0	73	32	43	15	11	5	11	53	34	11	7
	13.1%	10.4%	13.9%	21.1% FG	10.6%	5.3%	3.5%		23.1% H	16.9%	10.8%	10.3%	8.9%					6.2%
Stayed the same	58	8	33	5	5	7	-	46	13	18	10	4	3	11	11	20	13	14
	7.2%	9.0%	7.3%	6.5%	4.2%	14.2%		6.8%	9.2%	7.1%	7.0%	3.8%	4.9%			8.1%		12.7% 0
Don't know	49	3	18	6	15	4	-	42	7	17	7	3	1	7	23	12	7	7
	6.1%	3.5%	4.1%	7.7%	12.2% BC	8.1%		6.3%	5.1%	6.5%	5.1%	2.8%	1.9%	6.7%			5.2%	5.9%
No answer/Refused	9	1	4	1	2	1	-	6	3	4	1	1	1		2	2		
	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%		0.9%	2.0%	1.7%	0.8%	1.0%	1.7%	1.3%		0.9%	2.8%	0.5%
CHI-SQUARE		<		29	.594		>	<18	642>			16 562				20	.832	5
SIGNIFICANCE				92	.3%*			99.		•		58.6%*		,	(		.832	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q13. Would your support for penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?

Base: Those who support the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 3

		State Health C	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	-		ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	
		Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier	Federal Govern.	Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	805 100.0%				385 100.0%	332 100.0%		21 <b>4</b> 100.0%	<b>4</b> 90 100.0%	248 100.0%		-	336 100.0%	469 100.0%		430 100.0%		276 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	818	251	555	53	384	341	589	208	515	244	818	-	332	486	355	452	516	301
Increase	583 72.5%				293 76.3%	235 70.7%		161 75.2%	374 76.3% J	166 67.1%		-	235 70.2%	348 74.2%		296 68.9%		199 72.1%
Decrease	105 13.1%			-	<b>43</b> 11.2%	49 14.8%		24 11.2%				-	52 15.5%	53 11.3%		56 13.0%		43 15.4%
Stayed the same	58 7.2%				18 4.6%	29 8.9%		17 8.0%	29 6.0%	22 8.7%		-	25 7.4%	34 7.2%	19 5.2%	38 8.9%		15 5.2%
Don't know	<b>4</b> 9 6.1%				25 6.6%	16 4.7%		11 5.4%	22 4.5%	16 6.6%		-	21 6.3%	28 5.9%		34 7.9% 0		17 6.1%
No answer/Refused	9 1.1%		6 1.2%	_	· 5 1.3%	3 0.9%	8 1.5%	0 0.2%		2 0.8%	9 1.1%	-	2 0.6%	7 1.4%	3 0.8%	6 1.4%	6 1.1%	3 1.1%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.757> .1%	<	11.676 83. <b>4</b> %*	>	<3.9 58.			572> .7%		0> )%*	<4.2 62.		<11. 97.			1%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5. Q14. Would your opposition to penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?

Base: Those who oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	
Total	646	13	38	51	200	227	45	62	63	322	325	58	155	128	123	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					100.
Unweighted 'N'	628	31	88	119	131	136	84	91	67	281	347	38	105	126	127	:
Increase	311	7	19	26	96	101	23	33	32	158	153	26	80	57	62	
	48.2%	56.1%	49.5%	51.2%	48.1%	44.5%			50.4%	49.1%	47.2%					49.
Decrease	206	5	11	16	63	81	13	14	18	104	103	24	53	50	35	
	31.9%	38.4%	30.4%	32.5%	31.7%	35.8% H	29.8%	22.4%	29.2%	32.2%	31.6%					25
Stayed the same	58	0	4	4	15	29	2	5	4	22	25	-				
	9.0%				7.4%	12.7% BG			6.3%	33 10.1%	25 7.8%					8
Don't know	49	0	4	4	16	13	. 4	5	5	14	35	2				
	7.5%				8.0%	5.8%		8.6%	8.3%	4.3%	35 10.7% J					10
No answer/Refused	22	_	_	_	9	3	2	5	4	13	9	1		5	E	
	3.4%				4.7%	1.2%			5.7%	4.1%	2.7%			3.8%		5
CHI-SQUARE		<			26	112					704					
SIGNIFICANCE					43.	3%*			>	<10. 97.		<		26.409- 95.1%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q14. Would your opposition to penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?

Base: Those who oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 2

	- 16																
al	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired		Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
646	79	370		90	46	2	462	184	191	116	79	51					5
0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
628	74	348	53	111	30	5	505	123	189	107	69	50	92	239	236	94	5
311	32	196		45	14	2	220	91	87	56	46	27					30
8.2%	40.7%	52.9% F	43.7%	50.3%	29.7%	100.0% BCDEF	47.6%	49.6%	45.6%	48.5%	57.8%	53.9%	52.2%	44.1%	45.4%	61.7% OP	57.69
206	24	116	23		22	_	154	53	69	32	20	16			78	29	1:
81.9%	30.0%	31.3% E	44.9% E	18.1%	47.4% E		33.2%	28.7%	36.1%	27.9%	25.7%	30.5%	34.1%	36.1%	32.4%	26.0%	22.39
58	14	25	1	9	9	-	43	15	17	17	5	5	5	16	27	7	
9.0%	17.6% CD				18.5% D		9.3%	8.1%	8.8%	14.8%	6.4%	9.9%	5.6%	6.7%	11.4%	6.6%	12.69
49	7	19	5	15	2	-	32	17	14	5	3	3	3	23			
7.5%	9.0%	5.0%	10.2%	16.2% CF	4.4%		6.9%	9.1%	7.4%	4.7%	3.8%	5.7%	3.4%	10.0%	6.6%	5.3%	3.79
22	2				-		14	8	4	5	5	-					-
3.4%	2.7%	4.0%		5.8%			3.0%	4.5%	2.1%	4.1%	6.2%		4.8%	3.1%			3.79
	(A) 646 00.0% 628 311 18.2% 206 31.9% 58 9.0% 49 7.5%	(A)         (B)           646         79           100.0%         100.0%           628         74           311         32           18.2%         40.7%           206         24           19.9%         30.0%           58         17.6%           9.0%         17.6%           22         2	( $\lambda$ )       ( $B$ )       (C)         646       79       370         100.0%       100.0%       100.0%         628       74       348         311       32       196         18.2%       40.7%       52.9% $F$ 206       24       116         11.9%       30.0%       31.3%       E         58       14       25       9.0%       17.6%         9.0%       17.6%       9.0%       5.0%         22       2       15	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E) $646$ 79       370       50       90 $600.0\%$ 100.0\%       100.0\%       100.0\%       100.0\% $628$ 74       348       53       111 $311$ 32       196       22       45 $18.2\%$ 40.7\%       52.9%       43.7%       50.3% $F$ 206       24       116       23       16 $51.9\%$ 30.0%       31.3%       44.9%       18.1% $E$ $E$ $E$ 9       9.0%       17.6%       6.8%       1.2%       9.7% $7.5\%$ 9.0%       5.0%       10.2%       16.2%       CF $22$ 2       15 $-$ 5	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F) $646$ 79       370       50       90       46 $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $628$ 74       348       53       111       30 $311$ 32       196       22       45       14 $18.2\%$ 40.7%       52.9%       43.7%       50.3%       29.7% $206$ 24       116       23       16       22 $18.9\%$ 30.0%       31.3%       44.9%       18.1%       47.4%         E       E       E       E       9       9 $9.0\%$ 17.6%       6.8%       1.2%       9.7%       18.5%         D       D       D       D       D       D       2 $49$ 7       19       5       15       2       2 $7.5\%$ 9.0%       5.0%       10.2%       16.2%       4.4%         CF       22       2       15       5       -	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G) $646$ 79       370       50       90       46       2 $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $628$ 74       348       53       111       30       5 $311$ 32       196       22       45       14       2 $18.2\%$ 40.7%       52.9%       43.7%       50.3%       29.7% $100.0\%$ $18.2\%$ 40.7%       52.9%       43.7%       50.3%       29.7% $100.0\%$ $8CDEF$ F       E       E       E       E       E $206$ 24       116       23       16       22       - $58$ 14       25       1       9       9       - $9.0\%$ 17.6%       6.8%       1.2%       9.7%       18.5%       - $9.0\%$ 7.0%       5.0%       10.2%       16.2%       4.4%       - $7.5\%$ 9.0%       5.0%       10.2%       16.2%       4.4%       -	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H) $646$ 79       370       50       90       46       2       462 $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $100.0\%$ $628$ 74       348       53       111       30       5       505 $311$ 32       196       22       45       14       2       220 $18.2\%$ $40.7\%$ $52.9\%$ $43.7\%$ $50.3\%$ $29.7\%$ $100.0\%$ $47.6\%$ $18.2\%$ $40.7\%$ $52.9\%$ $43.7\%$ $50.3\%$ $29.7\%$ $100.0\%$ $47.6\%$ $80.0\%$ $31.3\%$ $44.9\%$ $18.1\%$ $47.4\%$ $33.2\%$ $BCDEF$ $206$ $24$ $116$ $23$ $16$ $22$ $ 154$ $9.0\%$ $17.6\%$ $6.8\%$ $1.2\%$ $9.7\%$ $18.5\%$ $9.3\%$ $9.0\%$ $17.6\%$ $6.8\%$ $10.2\%$ $16.2\%$ $4.4\%$ $6.9\%$ <	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I) $646$ 79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184 $100.0\%$ 100.0\%       100.0\% </td <td>(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J)         <math>646</math>       79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191         <math>100.0\%</math>       100.0\%</td> <td>(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J)       (K)         646       79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191       116         100.0%       10</td> <td>(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J)       (K)       (L)         646       79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191       116       79         100.0%<td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td></td>	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J) $646$ 79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191 $100.0\%$ 100.0\%       100.0\%	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J)       (K)         646       79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191       116         100.0%       10	(A)       (B)       (C)       (D)       (E)       (F)       (G)       (H)       (I)       (J)       (K)       (L)         646       79       370       50       90       46       2       462       184       191       116       79         100.0% <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

. .

.

Q14. Would your opposition to penalties increase or decrease if the Federal government applied larger penalties for provinces that repeatedly violate the Act?

Base: Those who oppose the federal government imposing financial penalties on provinces if they violate the Canada Health Act Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to				-	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary	Wait		nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	646 100.09		469 100.0%		362 100.0%	236 100.0%		228 100.0%		360 100.0%		646 100.0%	29 <b>4</b> 100.0%	352 100.0%		298 100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'	628	150	464	39	347	224	397	216	239	350	-	628	278	350	317	308	371	25
Increase	311 48.29				165 <b>4</b> 5.7%	122 51.9%	183 <b>4</b> 5.6%	121 52.8%		180 50.1%		311 48.2%	143 48.6%	169 47.8%		154 51.7%		
Decrease	206 31.99		153 32.6%		116 32.1%	78 33.2%		74 32.3%		113 31.3%		206 31.9%	89 30.3%	117 33.3%		85 28.4%		
Stayed the same	58 9.09		45 9.6%		39 10.7% D	16 6.8%	42 10.5%	16 6.8%		37 10.3%		58 9.0%	29 9.7%	29 8.3%		25 8.4%	26 6.8%	
Don't know	49 7.59		33 7.0%		28 7.8%	15 6.3%	32 7.8%	13 5.6%		19 5.2%		49 7.5%	19 6.6%	29 8.3%		23 7.9%	27 6.9%	
No answer/Refused	22 3.49		16 3.5%		13 3.7%	4 1.8%	15 3.7%	5 2.4%		11 3.1%		22 3.4%	14 4.9%	8		11 3.6%	14 3.6%	
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<1.7 21.	751> .9%	<	14.324 92.6%	>		517> . 2%		775> .3%		0> )%*	<4. 66.		<3.9 58.			548

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q15. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government reimbursing a province for the money it was penalized, if it comes back into compliance with the principles of the Canada Health Act? Base: All

Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(	A) (B	) (C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,5	04 4:	2 84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	544
	100.	0% 100.09	\$ 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,5	04 10	0 201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Strongly support	e	96 2:			186	258		63	86	331	365					232
	46.	3% 51.99	\$ 42.6%	45.7%	49.0%	46.1%	42.7%	46.8%	43.5%	45.7%	46.8%	44.5%	43.4%	50.7% P		42.6%
Somewhat support	4	67 13			103	175			64	211	255					173
	31.	0% 31.89	% 37.3% E		27.2%	31.3%	37.5% E		32.5%	29.2%	32.7%	31.3%	35.2%	31.1%	27.6%	31.8%
Somewhat oppose	1	36	5 8	13	37	52	. 8	9	16	68	67	23	27	22	25	44
	9.	0% 10.8	\$ 9.8%	10.1%	9.8%	9.3%	7.9%	6.8%	8.0%	9.5%	8.6%	15.9%	7.8%	7.7%	8.3%	8.1%
Strongly oppose		24			20	56		14	19	74	50	11				47
	8.	3% 3.3	\$ 6.7%	5.6%	5.3%	10.1% BDE		10.0% B	9.8% B	10.2% K	6.5%	7.5%	9.6%	6.1%	8.2%	8.69
Don't know		59			19	15	3	8	10	30	29	1				34
	3.	9% 2.1	\$ 1.9%	2.0%	5.0%	2.8%	3.3%	6.2% CD	5.2%	4.2%	3.7%	0.8%	3.3%	3.4%	3.7%	6.3% I
No answer/Refused			- 1		14				2	8	14	-	2	3		14
	1.	5%	1.6%	1.1%	3.7% FGH		6 0.9%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	1.8%		0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6% M
Top 2 box	1,1				289	433			151	542	621	107				405
	77.	3% 83.7	\$ 80.09	81.2%	76.1%	77.49	80.3%	76.1%	76.0%	74.9%	79.5%	75.8%	78.7%	81.8% P		74.4%
Bottom 2 box			6 14			108			35	142	118	33				91
	17.	3% 14.2	% 16.5%	15.7%	15.1%	19.4%	15.6%	16.9%	17.8%	19.7% K	15.1%	23.4%	17.4%	13.8%	16.5%	16.7%
Mean	3.	22 3.3	5 3.20	3.25	3.31	3.17	3.20	3.21	3.17	3.17	3.27	3.14	3.17	3.32	3.27	3.19
Median	3.	00 4.0	0 3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				.097			>		.043>	<		23.782-		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q15. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government reimbursing a province for the money it was penalized, if it comes back into compliance with the principles of the Canada Health Act? Base: All

#### Banner 2

					nt Status				nuage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
1	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504	170	848	140	225	98	10	1,167	337	468	260	195	112	202	562	499	267	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Strongly support	696	77	410	66	97	38	5	525	171	209	132	96	53	94	263	239		
	46.3%	45.4%	48.4%	46.9%		38.7%			50.8%	44.7%	51.0%	48.9%	47.4%	46.6%	46.7%	48.0%		6 42.2
Somewhat support	467	52	243	48	73	44	3	376	90	163	74	51	35	58	179	146	87	5
	31.0%	30.8%	28.7%	34.3%	32.4%	45.2% C	32.1%	32.3%	26.8%	34.9%	28.7%	26.1%	31.1%	28.6%		29.2%		32.5
Somewhat oppose	136	11	77	9	21	13	2	103	33	50	30	19	6	15	45	50	25	1
	9.0%	6.2%	9.1%	6.7%	9.2%	13.2%	19.9%	8.8%	9.8%	10.6%	11.5% M	9.6%	5.0%	7.3%				8.9
Strongly oppose	124	20	77	9	15	2	-	112	13	27	18	15	12	26	43	40	25	1
	8.3%	11.8% F	9.1% F	6.8%	6.5%	2.3%		9.6% I	3.8%	5.9%	6.8%	7.8%	11.1%	12.7% J				9.0
Don't know	59	8	26	8	16			42	17	14	3		_					
	3.9%	4.9%	3.1%	5.4%				3.6%	4.9%	3.1%	1.3%	6 3.2%	5 4.4%	8 3.7%	26 4.7%	19 3.8%		3.9
No answer/Refused	22	·2	15	_	4	1		9	13	4								
	1.5%	0.9%	1.8%		1.7%	0.6%		0.8%	3.9% H	0.8%	2 0.7%	8 4.3% Ј	1 1.0%	2 1.1%	6 1.0%	5 1.0%		3.5
Top 2 box	1,163	130	654	113	170	82	8	901	262	373	207	147	88	152	441	385	207	
	77.3%	76.2%	77.0%	81.2%	75.4%	83.9%	80.1%	77.2%	77.6%	79.7%	79.7%	75.0%	78.5%	75.2%	78.5%	77.2%		12 74.8
Bottom 2 box	260	31	154	19	35	15	2	214	46	77	48	34	18	40	88	90	50	2
	17:3%	18.0%	18.1%	13.5%	15.7%	15.5%	19.9%	18.4%	13.6%	16.5%	18.4%	17.4%	16.1%	20.0%	15.7%	18.0%		2 17.8
Mean	3.22	3.17	3.22	3.28	3.23	3.21	3.28	3.18	3.37 H	3.23	3.26	3.26	3.21	3.15	3.25	3.23	3.18	3.1
Median	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.0
CHI-SQUARE		<			266													
SIGNIFICANCE		,		17	.200		>	<18.	314> 9%*	<		-22.722 25.3%*		>	<	5.	154	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q15. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government reimbursing a province for the money it was penalized, if it comes back into compliance with the principles of the Canada Health Act?

#### Base: All Banner 3

.

.

			are Syst.		HC Last 2				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- malities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(F
Total	1,504 100.0%				77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		468 100.0%			805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.C%	755 100.0%	9 <b>4</b> 7 100.0%	55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Strongly support	696 46.3%		500 46.9%		385 49.7% F	250 42.9%		202 43.1%		296 46.8%		318 49.2%	311 47.3%	385 45.5%	355 48.3%	335 44.4%		25 46.5
Somewhat support	467 31.0%				239 30.9%	183 31.4%		150 32.1%				197 30.4%	196 29.8%	271 32.0%	218 29.7%	245 32.4%		17 30.8
Somewhat oppose	136 9.0%			11 12.4%	49 6.3%	72 12.3% E	9.6%	38 8.2%				45 7.0%	45 6.8%	91 10.7% M	56 7.6%	79 10.4%	90 9.5%	4 8.0
Strongly oppose	12 <b>4</b> 8.3%			5 5.8%	69 8.9%	48 8.2%		47 10.1%		6.3%		45 7.0%	61 9.4%	63 7.4%	68 9.2%	56 7.4%		4 7.6
Don't know	59 3.9%				20 2.6%	23 4.0%		19 4.1%				25 3.9%	32 5.0%	27 3.1%	31 4.2%	26 3.4%		2 5.2
No answer/Refused	22 1.5%				12 1.6%	7		11 2.4%				16 2.4% K	11 1.7%	11 1.3%	8 1.0%	14 1.9%		1 1.8
Top 2 box	1,163 77.3%				624 80.6% F	433 74.3%		352 75.3%				515 79.6%	506 77.1%	657 77.4%	574 78.0%	580 76.8%	734 77.5%	42 77.3
Bottom 2 box	260 17.3%				118 15.3%	119 20.5% E	5 17.0%	85 18.2%				91 14.0%	106 16.2%	154 18.1%	123 16.8%	135 17.8%		8 15.7
Mean	3.22	3.18	3.24	3.26	3.27	3.15	3.24	3.16	3.20	3.26	3.16	3.30 K	3.23	3.21	3.24	3.20	3.20	3.2
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.12> .4%*	<	26.318 97.6%*	>		93> 6%*		367> .8%*		.726> .2%*	<9. 75.		<7. 60.		<1.9 4.0	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q16. Which of the following do you think provides a better approach to improving compliance with the Canada Health Act principles? Having the Federal Government provide provinces with a guide that explains how it interprets the Canada Health Act? Having the provinces responsible for their own interpretation of the principles of the Act? Base: All

#### Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	202	200	
	100.0%				100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					298 100.0%	100
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	
The fed. gov. provide	1,017	30	62	92	191	432	79	79	145	482	535	91	227	206	213	
provinces with a guide	67.6%	71.8%	73.9%	73.2%	50.3%	77.2%		58.5%	73.0%	66.7%	68.5%					66
		EH	EH	EH		EH	EH		EH							
The provinces	427		21		170	110	24	51	40	219	208	47	104	69	76	
responsible for their own interpretation	28.4%	25.5%	24.7%	24.9%	44.8% BCDFGI	19.7%	22.3%	38.0% BCDFGI	20.0%	30.3%						27
Don't know	46	1	0	1	12	16	3	5	10	17	29	4	10	4	5	
	3.1%	2.1%	0.4%	0.9%	3.0% C	2.9% C	2.4%	3.5% C	5.0% CD	2.4%						4
No answer/Refused	14		1	1	7	1	1		4	5	9	_	3	5	4	
	0.9%	0.7%	1.1%	0.9%	1.8%	0.2%	0.9%		2.0%	0.7%			1.0%			0
CHI-SQUARE		<			108.	865			>	<5.	24>	<				
SIGNIFICANCE					100.	0%*				84.				94.2%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q16. Which of the following do you think provides a better approach to improving compliance with the Canada Health Act principles? Having the Federal Government provide provinces with a guide that explains how it interprets the Canada Health Act? Having the provinces responsible for their own interpretation of the principles of the Act?

### Base: All

Banner 2

				Employmen	nt Status			Lang	ruage			Income				Eđuc	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504		848		225			1,167	337	468	260	195	112	202				164
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
The fed. gov. provide	1,017	113	581	99	144	65	7	853	164	306	174	139	84	137	362	340	195	11
provinces with a guide	67.6%	66.7%	68.4%	70.7%	64.2%	66.2%	65.4%	73.1% I	48.6%	65.4%	66.9%	71.3%	74.8%	67.9%	64.5%	68.2%	72.9% 0	69.9%
The provinces	427	49	239	36	67	29	2	270	156	141	77	48	25	61	168	144	65	44
responsible for their own interpretation	28.4%	28.8%	28.2%	25.5%	29.9%	29.7%	21.1%	23.1%	46.4% H	30.1%	29.6%	24.6%	22.7%	30.1%	29.9%	28.8%	24.4%	26.69
Don't know	46	4	22		13	3	-	36	10	16	8	3	1	3	26			2
	3.1%	2.5%	2.6%	2.8%	5.8%	3.2%		3.1%	2.9%	3.3%	3.1%	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%	4.6% QR	2.7%	1.2%	1.5%
No answer/Refused	14	3	7	1	0	1	1	7	7	6	1	5	1	1	6	1	4	3
	0.9%	2.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.1%	0.9%	13.5%	0.6%	2.1%	1.2%	0.4%	2.4%	1.2%	0.3%	1.0%	0.3%	1.5%	2.0%
CHI-SQUARE		<		29	.004		>	<80.	112>	<		-13.911		>	<	17	.989	>
SIGNIFICANCE				98	. 4%*			100	0.0%			69.4%*				96	. 5%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q16. Which of the following do you think provides a better approach to improving compliance with the Canada Health Act principles? Having the Federal Government provide provinces with a guide that explains how it interprets the Canada Health Act? Having the provinces responsible for their own interpretation of the principles of the Act? Base: All

#### Banner 3

		State ( Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer the essary		Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier System		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504	408	1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	633	805	646	656	848	735	755	947	552
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
The fed. gov. provide	1,017	286	718	62	531	385	715	271	613	328	639	346	449	568	490	517	639	27/
provinces with a guide	67.6%	70.1%	67.3%	71.9%	68.7%	66.2%						53.5%	68.4%	67.0%		68.5%		
The provinces	427	111	306	24	217	170	241	179	118	282	139	278	188	238	222	203	273	151
responsible for their own interpretation	28.4%	27.1%	28.7%	27.8%	28.0%	29.1%	24.2%	38.3% G				43.0% K	28.7%	28.1%		26.9%		
Don't know	46	10	. 33	0	20	19	28	14	19	19	22	14	14	32	16	29	27	19
	3.1%	2.4%	3.1%	0.4%	2.6% D	3.3% D	2.8%	3.1%	2.5%			2.2%	2.1%	3.8%		3.8%		
No answer/Refused	14	2	9	-	6	8	11	3	6	3	5	8	5	9	R	6	7	7
	0.9%	0.4%	0.9%		0.7%	1.4%	1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	1.2%	0.8%	1.1%	1.1%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<2.0 44	)87> .5%	<	5.545 52.4%*	>	<31. 100	595> 1.0%	<144 10	.962> 0.0%	<119 100		<3.80 71.		<5.6 87.0			431> .2%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/OR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q17. How important is it to you that all Canadians have access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without paying direct charges. Would you say this is extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important? Base: All Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB		Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	54
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Extremely important	932				180	378		82	136	415	517			187	195	31
	62.0%				47.3%	67.5%		60.9%	68.6%	57.4%	66.2%		61.6%	66.0%	65.4%	57.9
		EGH	E	EGH		E	E	E	E		J			P	P	
Very important	400		19		142	134	18	39	41	194	206					17
	26.6%	16.1%	22.5%	20.3%	37.3% BCDFGI	24.0%	17.4%	29.1% BDG	20.5%	26.8%	26.3%	23.1%	27.2%	24.9%	20.8%	31.8
Somewhat important	129				48	35	14	8	18	83	46	14	33	20	31	3
	8.6%	4.8%	6.6%	6.0%	12.7% BCDFH	6.2%	12.8% BCDFH	5.7%	8.9%	11.5% K	5.9%	10.2%	9.5%	7.0%	10.3%	7.3
Not very important	18	1	1	1	6	4	3	3	1	13	5	4	1	3	3	
	1.2%	1.4%	0.6%	0.9%	1.7%	0.7%	2.8%	2.2%	0.5%	1.8%	0.6%	2.8%	0.3%	1.1%	1.0%	1.
Not at all important	14		-	-	1	5		2	2	13	1		-			
	0.9%	1.2%		0.4%	0.3%	1.0%	2.1%	1.8%	1.0%	1.8% K	0.1%		0.3%	1.0%	1.8%	0.9
Don't know	7	-	-		1	4	1	_	1	2	5	-	3	-	1	
	0.5%	5			0.4%	0.7%	0.9%		0.5%	0.3%	0.7%		0.8%		0.5%	0.4
No answer/Refused	3		-	-	1	-		1	-	3	1	-	1		1	
	0.2%	5			0.4%		1.4%	0.4%		0.4%	0.1%		0.3%		0.2%	0.4
Top 2 box	1,332				322	512		121	177	609	723					4
	88.6%	92.5% EG			84.6%	91.5% EG		89.9% G	89.0% G	84.3%	92.6% J		88.8%	90.9%	86.2%	89.
Bottom 2 box	32	1	1	2	7	9	5	5	3	26	6	4	2	6	9	
	2.1%				2.0%	1.6%		4.0%	1.6%	3.6%	0.8%	2.8%				2.
							CD	С		K					,	
Mean	4.49	4.65	4.63	4.63	4.31	4.57	4.39	4.46	4.56	4.37	4.59	4.48	4.51	4.54	4.48	4.4
		EG	EG	EGH		E			E		J					
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.0
CHI-SQUARE		<							>			<				
SIGNIFICANCE					99	.8%*				100.	0%*			65.5%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

.

Q17. How important is it to you that all Canadians have access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without paying direct charges. Would you say this is extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important? Base: All Banner 2

					nt Status				uage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab.	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(1
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%		10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				1 100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515		1
Extremely important	932	103	547	82	122	64	7	774	158	296	151	136	81	128	337	304	182	
	62.0%	60.3%	64.4% E	58.4%	54.3%	64.9%	72.2%	66.3% I	46.9%	63.3%	58.1%	69.7% K	72.0% K	63.5%				1 62.
Very important	400	42	206	42	85	22	1	276	124	120	85	42	14	42	170	131	56	
	26.6%	24.5%	24.3%	30.3%	38.0% BCFG	22.3%	13.5%	23.7%	36.7% Н	25.6% M	32.7% LMN	21.5%	12.5%	20.8%		26.3%		24.
Somewhat important	129	17	77	13	10		1	81	48	43	15	13	13	21	37	50	22	
	8.6%	9.8%	9.1% E	9.4%	4.4%	9.3%	14.3%	6.9%	14.3% H	9.2%	6.0%	6.6%	11.9%	10.6%	6.7%	10.1%	8.2%	10.
Not very important	18	з	8	1	3	3		15	3	5	3	3	3	3	12	2	3	
	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%	1.0%	1.2%	3.5%		1.3%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	2.8%	1.4%				0.
Not at all important	14	5	4	1	2			13	1	3	2	2	1	5	2	6	2	
	0.9%	2.9%	0.5%	0.9%	1.0%			1.1%	0.3%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	2.4%	0.4%	1.3%		1.
Don't know	7 0.5%	0.2%	5 0.6%	-	2 0.9%	-	-	6 0.5%	1 0.4%	2	3	-	-	1		-	1	
					0.9%			0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	1.1%			0.7%	0.3%	0.9%	0.4%	0.
No answer/Refused	3 0.2%	1 0.6%	2 0.2%	-	1 0.2%	7	-	2 0.2%	1 0.4%	-	1 0.4%	-	-	1 0.5%			1 0.4%	
Тор 2 box	1,332 88.6%	144 84.8%	752 88.7%	124 88.7%	208 92.3% B		9 85.7%	1,050 90.0% I	282 83.6%	415 88.8%	236 90.8%	178 91.2%	95 84.5%	170 84.3%				1 87.
Bottom 2 box	32	8	12	3	5	3		28	4	7	5	4	4	8	14	9	5	
	2.1%	4.6%	1.4%	1.9%	2.2%	3.5%		2.4%	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	2.2%	3.6%	3.9%				1.
Mean	4.49	4.39	4.52	4.44	4.45	4.49	4.58	4.54 I	4.30	4.51	4.49	4.58	4.52	4.43	4.48	4.47	4.56	4.
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		48	.699 .7%*		>	<54.	173>	(		-38.388		>	<	25	.305	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

٠

Q17. How important is it to you that all Canadians have access to medically necessary physician and hospital services without paying direct charges. Would you say this is extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important? Base: All

#### Banner 3

.

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- malities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait		nt Health
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%		1,066 100.0%		77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Extremely important	932 62.0%		656 61.5%		508 65.6% F	342 58.8%	703 70.7% H	212 45.3%		54.5%		390 60.3%	404 61.6%	528 62.3%	468 63.6%	464 61.4%		323 58.5%
Very important	400 26.6%		282 26. <b>4</b> %		185 23.9%	167 28.7%	232 23.3%	153 32.7% G			26.6%	164 25.4%	166 25.3%	234 27.6%	182 24.8%	209 27.6%		164 29.7%
Somewhat important	129 8.6%			4.7%	65 8.4%	55 9.5%		75 16.1% G	6.1%		7.3%	66 10.2%	60 9.2%	69 8.1%	64 8.7%	62 8.2%		47 8.6%
Not very important	18 1.2%				8 1.0%	9 1.5%	5 0.5%	11 2.5% G	1.1%			7 1.0%	10 1.5%	9 1.0%	7 0.9%	11 1.4%		8 1.5%
Not at all important	14 0.9%		-	-	6 0.8%	4 0.7%	-	11 2.3% G	0.6%	-		11 1.7% K	10 1.5%	4 0.4%	8 1.1%	5 0.7%		6 1.0%
Don't know	7 0.5%		4 0.4%		2 0.2%	3 0.5%		3 0.7%				6 1.0%	5 0.7%	2 0.3%	5 0.7%	2 0.3%		1 0.2%
No answer/Refused	3 0.2%		3 0.3%		1 0.2%	2 0.3%		2 0.4%				3 0.5%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%		3 0.5%
Тор 2 box	1,332 88.6%				692 89. <b>4</b> %	510 87.5%		78.0%		85.3%		554 85.7%	570 86.9%	762 89.9%	650 88.4%	672 89.1%		487 88.2%
Bottom 2 box	32 2.1%				13 1.7%	13 2.2%		22 4.8% G	1.7%			17 2.7%	20 3.0%	12 1.5%	15 2.1%	16 2.1%		14 2.6%
Mean	4.49	4.52	4.48	4.38	4.53	4.45	4.64 H	4.18	<b>4</b> .59 J		4.54 L	4.44	4.45	4.51	4.50	4.49	4.52	4.44
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			243> .9%*	<	27.876 96.7%*	>		.636> .0%*		.997> .0%*		.234> .1%*	<11. 79.		<2. 6.0			239> .9%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q18. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government withholding some health care funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges? Base: All

Banner 1

				*********		jion				Ger	der			Age	**********	
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec			Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1
Total	1,504				380	560		135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	5
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	5
Strongly support	354				55	153		36	47	182	172	19	61	73	92	1
	23.5%	25.2% E			14.5%	27.4% E		26.7% E	23.8% E	25.1%	22.1%	13.8%	17.7%	25.6% L	30.9% LM	25.
Somewhat support	304	7	18	25	74	105	23	28	49	136	168	31	69	68	53	1
	20.2%	16.0%	22.1%	20.1%	19.4%	18.8%		20.8%	24.7%	18.8%	21.5%	22.0%				20.
Somewhat oppose	300	-			72	117	21	24	38	138	162	32	87	61	43	
	19.9%	19.7%	22.6%	21.6%	19.1%	20.9%	20.1%	18.0%	19.2%	19.0%	20.8%	22.7%	25.2% OP	21.6% O		17.
Strongly oppose	471				149	168	25	44	50	234	237	54	114	65	98	;
	31.3%	33.6%	26.0%	28.6%	39.3% CDFGI	30.0%	23.7%	32.4%	25.0%	32.4%	30.3%	38.0% N	33.1% N		32.8% N	31.
Don't know	59	2	3	5	24	12	4	3								
	3.9%				6.3% FH	2.1%		3 2.1%	11 5.7%	24 3.3%	35 4.5%	5 3.5%		10 3.6%		4
No answer/Refused	16		1		5	5		-	3	10	7	-	2	6	1	
	1.1%		0.7%	0.5%	1.4%	0.8%	2.3%		1.6%	1.3%	0.8%		0.4%	2.0%	0.5%	1.
Top 2 box	658 43.8%				129	259	53	64	96	317	341	51		141	145	2
	43.8%	41.2%	47.4% E		33.9%	46.2% E		47.5% E	48.5% E	43.9%	43.6%	35.7%	37.6%	49.7% LM		45.
Bottom 2 box	771	22	41	63	222	284	46	68	88	372	399	86	201	127	140	
~ ×	51.3%	53.3%	48.6%	50.2%	58.3% CGI	50.8%	43.8%	50.4%	44.2%	51.5%	51.1%	60.7% NO	58.2%	44.7%		48.
Mean	2.38	2.35		2.44	2.10	2.45		2.43	2.51	2.38	2.37	2.12	2.23	2.55	2.49	2
			E	E		E	E	E	E					LM	LM	
Median	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2
CHI-SQUARE		<			55.	614			>	<3.9	56>	<		61.21		
SIGNIFICANCE					76.	0%*					5%*			100.0%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

.

Q18. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government withholding some health care funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges?

### Base: All

.

Banner 2

					nt Status				nuage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%			98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Strongly support	35 <b>4</b> 23.5%	42 24.7% F	200 23.5% F		28.9%		1 12.9%		48 14.3%	82 17.5%	69 26.4% J	66 33.9% J	29 25.8%	57 28.0% J	18.8%			47 28 - 6% O
Somewhat support	304 20.2%	26 15.3%	167 19.7%				2 20.7%	237 20.3%	67 19.9%	107 22.9%	42 16.0%	33 16.7%	22 19.3%	32 16.1%				29 17.4%
Somewhat oppose	300 19.9%	41 24.3% G	169 19.9% G		16.2%	25.1%	0 3.8%	238 20. <b>4</b> %	62 18.3%	91 19.5%	53 20.6%	33 17.0%	30 27.0%	43 21.4%				46 27.7% P
Strongly oppose	471 31.3%	54 31.9%	266 31.3%				5 48.3%	339 29.0%	133 39.3% H	170 36.3% М	73 28.0%	57 29.2%	28 25.3%	64 31.8%		31.5%		38 23.4%
Don't know	59 3.9%	3 2.1%	40 4.7%			3 2.7%	1 14.3%	36 3.1%	22 6.6%	14 3.1%	19 7.2% LN	3 1.5%	3 2.7%	5 2.5%				<b>4</b> 2.2%
No answer/Refused	16 1.1%	3. 1.8%	8 0.9%				-	11 0.9%	5 1.6%	3 0.6%	5 1.8%	3 1.8%	-	1 0.4%				1 0.7%
Top 2 box	658 <b>4</b> 3.8%	68 39.9%	366 43.2%				-	543 46.5% I	115 34.1%	189 40.4%	110 42.5%	99 50.6% J	51 45.1%	89 44.0%				76 46.0%
Bottom 2 box	771 51.3%	96 56.2%	434 51.2%						194 57.7% Н	261 55.9%	126 48.6%	90 46.2%	59 52.2%	107 53.1%		49.1%		84 51.1%
Mean	2.38	2.34	2.37	2.45	2.53 F		1.98	2.46 I	2.10	2.22	2.45 J	2.57 J	2.47	2.41	2.22	2.38	2.62 OP	2.53 0
Median	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<					>	<38 100		<		39.025 91.9%*		>	<		.031 .0%*	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q18. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the federal government withholding some health care funds from provinces that allow extra-billing or user charges? Base: All

Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504	408	1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	633	805	646	656	848	735	755	947	55
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Strongly support	354	105	245	20	194	121	288	61	232	95	267	81	155	199	159	193	228	12
	23.5%	25.8%	23.0%	23.5%	25.1%	20.7%	29.0% H	13.0%	30.7% .T	15.1%	33.2%	12.5%	23.7%	23.4%		25.6%		22.79
							н		J		L							
Somewhat support	304 20.2%	93 22.9%	200 18.8%	17 19.6%	143 18.4%	129 22.2%	185 18.6%	112 23.9%	157 20.8%	131 20.7%	201 24.9%	101 15.7%	115 17.5%	189		161		94
							10100	23.50	20.0%	20.7%	24.9% L	13.7%	17.5%	22.3% M		21.3%	22.2% R	17.09
Somewhat oppose	300	78	219	13	143	134	174	113	136	132	143	143	137	163	140	156	188	11
	19.9%	19.1%	20.5%	14.5%	18.5%	23.0%	17.5%	24.1% G	C 2010	20.9%	17.8%	22.1%	20.8%	19.3%		20.7%		20.1
Strongly oppose	471	114	349	36	259	166	305	156	203	238	158	292	216	255	258	209	274	19
	31.3%	27.9%	32.7%	41.1% F	33.4%	28.6%	30.6%	33.3%	26.8%	37.7% I	19.6%	45.1% K	33.0%	30.0%	35.2% P	27.7%		35.29
Don't know	59	16	39	1	25	28	34	20	22	30	30	24	25	34	26	30	37	
	3.9%	3.9%	3.7%	1.3%	3.2%	4.7% D	3.4%	4.4%			3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	4.0%		4.0%		2 3.9
No answer/Refused	16	2	14	-	10	5	9	6	6	6	6	6	8	8	9	6	10	
	1.1%	0.4%	1.3%		1.3%	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%		0.8%		1.1
Top 2 box	658	199	445	37	337	250	473	173	389	226	468	182	270	388	301	354	438	21
	43.8%	48.7% C	41.8%	43.1%	43.5%	42.9%	47.6% H	36.9%	51.5% J		58.1% L	28.1%	41.2%	45.8%		46.9%		.39.7
Bottom 2 box	771	192	568	48	402	300	479	269	338	371	301	434	353	418	399	365	462	30
	51.3%	47.0%	53.2%	55.6%	51.9%	51.6%	48.1%	57.4% G	44.8%		37.4%	67.2% K	53.8%	49.3%		48.3%		55.3
Mean	2.38	2.49	2.34	2.26	2.37	2.37	2.48	2.18	2.58		2.75	1.95	2.34	2.41	2.29	2.47	2.43	2.2
Median	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	н 2.00	2.00	J 3.00		L 3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0		
CHI-SQUARE			351													2.00		2.0
SIGNIFICANCE		87.	351> 6%*	<	-14.753 60.5%*	>	<60. 100.	22>	<82 100	.181> .0%*	<292. 100.	271> 0%*	<9.0 75.		<15. 97.			427 9%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q19. Have you or a member of your household ever paid an extra-billing charge for a medically necessary physician service? Base: All

Banner 1

.

						Reg	gion				Ger	nder			Age		
		Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.		Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
		(A)	(B)	(C)		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
	Total	1,504 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%				544 100.0%
	Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
	Yes	373 24.8%		22.8%	22.2%	40 10.4%	164 29.2% E	25.2%	43 31.5% DE	73 36.7% BCDEG	184 25.4%	189 24.2%	24 17.2%				148 27.2% L
	No	1,091 72.6%		74.7%	75.7%	334 87.9% BCDFGHI	380 67.9%			119 60.0%	514 71.1%	577 73.9%	107 75.6%				383 70.4%
1.5	Don't know	38 2.5%				5 1.3%				7 3.3%	23 3.2%	14 1.8%	10 7.1%				11 2.0%
	No answer/Refused	2 0.1%		0.4%		1 0.4%	-	-	. · · ·	-	1 0.2%	0 *%	-	-	-	-	2 0.4%
	CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			78 100				>		504> .8%*	<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.902- 99.8%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP
Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages
Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.
\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1
or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Q19. Have you or a member of your household ever paid an extra-billing charge for a medically necessary physician service? Base: All Banner 2

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	Juage			Income				Eđuc	ation	
L	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired		Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	17C 100.0%	848 100.0%				10 100.0%		337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Yes	373 24.8%	55 32.3% EF	216 25.4%						30 8.8%	95 20.3%	63 24.1%	46 23.7%	34 30.7%	59 29.2% J				4 29.09
No	1,091 72.6%	112 66.0%	617 72.7%	100 71.8%			8 75.5%		303 89.7% H	365 78.1%	190 73.1%	138 70.7%	77 68.8%	142 70.3%		368 73.9%		11 67.9
Don't know	38 2.5%	3 1.7%	16 1.8%			7 7.4%	-	34 2.9% I	<b>4</b> 1.1%	7 1.6%	7 2.8%	9 4.8% MN	1 0.6%	1 0.5%				3.1
No answer/Refused	2 0.1%	-	-	-	2 0.8%	-	-	0 *%	1 0.4%	0 0.1%	-	1 0.7%	-	-	_	2 0.4%	-	
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		31 99	.107 .2%*		>	<70. 100.	.019> .0%*	<		-27.163 99.3%*		>	<		486 .3%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. "\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q19. Have you or a member of your household ever paid an extra-billing charge for a medically necessary physician service? Base: All

Banner 3

. . . .

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%				582 100.0%		468 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Yes	373 24.8%				214 27.7% F	117 20.0%		103 21.9%	197 26.0%			164 25.4%	373 56.8%	-	215 29.3% P	156 20.6%		
No	1,091 72.6%	300 73.5%			543 70.1%	448 76.9% DE	71.2%	354 75.5%	541 71.7%			466 72.2%	265 40.3%	827 97.5% M		582 77.1% O	73.4%	
Don't know	38 2.5%	12 3.0%			15 2.0%	18 3.1%		12 2.5%				14	19 2.8%	19 2.3%		18 2.3%		
No answer/Refused	2 0.1%		2 0.2%		2 0.2%		2 0.2%	-	0 *%		0 *%	1 0.2%	-	2 0.2%	2 0.2%	-	1 0.2%	0.19
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			5 <b>4</b> 7> .3%*	<	19.922 99.7%*	>		85> 2%*		074> .0%*		.09> .6%*	<650. 100.		<17. 99.			.58: .6%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Q20. Have you or a member of your household ever paid a user charge for a medically necessary hospital service? Base: All Banner 1

					Reg	ion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504				380	560		135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	544
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Yes	235				42	85		28	45	119	116	23	42	48	58	86
	15.6%	14.9%	20.2% EG		11.1%	15.1%	11.8%	20.6% EG	22.6% EG	16.4%						15.89
No	1,222	36	62	98	328	455	91	102	147	571	651	105	291	222		
	81.2%	85.1% CI	74.7%		86.3% CDHI	81.2%		75.8%	74.2%	79.0%	83.3%			232 82.0%	232 77.9%	44 81.89
Don't know	46	_	4	4	10	20	2		<i>c</i>	22						
	3.1%		5.1%			3.6%		4 3.0%	6 3.2%	32 4.5% K	14 1.8%		3.3%	2 0.7%		13 2.4%
No answer/Refused																
No answer/Keruseu	0.1%	-	-	-	-		-	1 0.6%	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-	1 0.3%	-	-
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			33. 95.	348 8%*			>		137> 9%*	<		37.921 100.0%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

. . . \*

Q20. Have you or a member of your household ever paid a user charge for a medically necessary hospital service? Base: All Banner 2

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	ruage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%		225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%		337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		499 100.0%	267 100.0%	164 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Yes	235 15.6%	31 18.5%	136 16.0%				3 30.2%		33 9.9%	66 14.2%	<b>4</b> 3 16.7%	25 12.7%	21 18.9%	32 15.7%		86 17.3%		24 14.6%
No	1,222 81.2%	136 80.1%	691 81. <b>4</b> %			67 68.8%	7 69.8%		295 87.6% Н	387 82.9%	206 79.3%	167 85.6%	89 79.4%	165 81.7%		398 79.8%	213 79.5%	136 83.0%
Don't know	<b>4</b> 6 3.1%	2 1.4%	21 2.5%		7 3.0%	12 12.1% BC	-	38 3.2%	8 2.5%	14 2.9%	10 4.0%	3 1.7%	2 1.7%	4 2.1%	20 3.5%	14 2.7%	9 3.3%	4 2.4%
No answer/Refused	1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 0.4%	-	1 0.2%	-	-
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.141		>		02>	<		10.69 44.4%*		>	<		889 .9%*	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 1 1

Q20. Have you or a member of your household ever paid a user charge for a medically necessary hospital service? Base: All Banner 3

			State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	nt Healt)
		Total	Excel./ V. Goođ	Fair/ Poor/ .V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System		Federal Govern.		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total		1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%				582 100.0%		468 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'		1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Yes		235 15.6%	54 13.3%			136 17.5% F	75 12.8%		60 12.8%				111 17.2%	235 35.8%	-	145 19.7% P	89 11.8%		
No	(91)	1,222 81.2%	342 83.9%				<b>4</b> 89 83.9%	792 79.6%	392 83.8%				513 79.3%	392 59.7%	830 97.9% M	77.8%	640 84.7% O		
Don't know		46 3.1%	11 2.6%			19 2.5%	18 3.1%		15 3.3%				22 3.4%	29 4.4% N	18 2.1%		25 3.4%		
No answer/Refused		1 0.1%	1 0.2%	-	-	-	1 0.1%	-	1 0.2%	-	1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	-	-	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	~ .
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			<4.81	854> .7%*	<	9.959 87.4%*	>		209> 4%*		963> .0%*		.25> 2%*	<377. 100.		<18. 100.			495 .7%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 . . . .

Q21. Have you or a member of your household ever paid out of pocket to get a medically necessary procedure or diagnostic test at a private clinic? Base: All

Banner 1

. . .

					Reg	gion				Ger	der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	5
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	5
Yes	359				137	115	15	28	51	186	172	38	77	69	75	1
	23.8%	11.8%	10.4%	10.9%	36.0% BCDFGHI	20.5% BCD		21.0% BCD	25.5% BCDG	25.8%	22.0%	26.5%	22.4%	24.4%	25.0%	20.
No	1,125	37	73	110	241	434	90	106	145	526	600	99	264	212	223	4
	74.8%	87.5% EFI			63.3%	77.5% E		78.6% E	73.3% E	72.7%	75.8%			74.9%		78.
Don't know	17	-	2	2	3	9	1	1	3	8	9	5		2	1	
	1.1%		1.9%	1.3%	0.7%	1.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%	3.6%		0.6%	0.2%	1.
No answer/Refused	3	0	-	0	-	3	-	-	-	3	0	-	3	-	-	
	0.2%	0.7%		0.2%		0.5%				0.4%	*%		0.8%			0.3

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

#### Q21. Have you or a member of your household ever paid out of pocket to get a medically necessary procedure or diagnostic test at a private clinic? Base: All Banner 2

Self Employ. (B) 170 100.0% 157 38			100.0%	(F) 98	(G) 10	English (H) 1,167	Trench (I) 337	<40K (J) 468	40K to <60K (K) 260	Income 60K to <80K (L)	80K to <100K	100K+ (N)	High Sc. or Less (0)		Univer.	Post Grad (R
Employ. (B) 170 100.0% 157	(C) 848 100.0%	(D) 140 100.0%	(E) 225 100.0%	(F) 98	Pension (G) 10	(H) 1,167	(I)	(J)	<60K (K)	<80K (L)	<100K (M)	100K+ (N)	or Less (0)			Grad
170 100.0% 157	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98	10	1,167								(P)	(Q)	(F
100.0% 157	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				337	468	260							
	813	140				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%	562 100.0%	<b>4</b> 99 100.0%		1 100.
38			300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
22.6% DG	230 27.1% DEG	17 12.2%		25 25.4% G			118 34.9% Н	85 18.1%	58 22.3%	46 23.5%	31 27.7%	69 34.0% JKL	98 17.4%	25.4%		33.
129 75.9%	608 71.7%	123 87.8% BCEF		70 71.3%		908 77.8% I	217 64.3%	376 80.4% N	200 77.1% N	148 75.8%	81 72.3%	132 65.5%	450 80.0% QR	74.2%	71.3%	1 64.
3 1.5%	7 0.9%	-	4 1.9%	3 3.3%	-	15 1.3%	3 0.8%	7 1.4%	2 0.6%	1 0.8%	-	1 0.5%	14 2.6% PQ	1 0.3%	0 0.2%	0.
-	3 0.3%	-	0 0.1%	-	-	3 0.3%	-	0 0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0 0.1%	, -	1.
	129 75.9% 3 1.5%	129 608 75.9% 71.7% 3 7 1.5% 0.9% - 3 0.3%	129 608 123 75.9% 71.7% 87.8% BCEF 3 7 - 1.5% 0.9% - 3 - 0.3%	129         608         123         177           75.9%         71.7%         87.8%         78.6%           BCEF         C           3         7         -         4           1.5%         0.9%         1.9%         -         3           -         3         -         0         0.3%         0.1%	129         608         123         177         70           75.9%         71.7%         87.8%         78.6%         71.3%           BCEP         C         7         -         4         3           1.5%         0.9%         1.9%         3.3%           -         3         -         0         -           0.3%         0.1%         -         -         -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 1 . 1

1 4 4

# Canadians' Views on the Canada Health Act

Q21. Have you or a member of your household ever paid out of pocket to get a medically necessary procedure or diagnostic test at a private clinic? Base: All

### Banner 3

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System		ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responder	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System		Federal Govern.	Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Fotal	1,504 100.0%				77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Yes	359 23.8%			25.9%	184 23.8%	137 23.6%	200 20.1%	153 32.8% G	159 21.1%			175 27.1% К	359 54.6%	-	194 26.4%	164 21.7%		118 21.39
ĨO	1,125 74.8%		73.1%		583 75.4%	435 74.7%		306 65.4%				467 72.3%	289 <b>44</b> .1%	836 98.6% M	72.1%	584 77.4% O	696 73.5%	425 77.09
Don't know	17 1.1%		13 1.2%		3 0.4%	10 1.7%		6 1.2%		7 1.2%	12 1.5%	4 0.6%	8 1.3%	9 1.0%		7 0.9%	8 0.9%	9 1.6%
No answer/Refused	3 0.2%		3 0.3%		3 0.4%	-	0 *%	3 0.6%	3 0.4%		3 0.3%	0 *%	-	3 0.4%	3 0.4%	-	3 0.3%	0 0.1%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			124> .6%*	<	9.506 85.3%*	>	<33. 100.			156> .7%	<10. 98.		<612. 100		<7. 94.		<5.4 85.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q22. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Only people with high incomes are able to afford to pay out of pocket to get quicker medical access at private clinics. Base: All

Banner 1

						gion				Ger	der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		54- 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Strongly agree	693 46.1%			48.3%	189 49.8% G	247 44.1%		58 43.1%	100 50.2% G	313 43.3%	380 48.7%	62 44.1%		1 <b>4</b> 2 50.1%		24 45.8
Somewhat agree	311 20.7%	-	19 22.2%		76 20.1%	116 20.7%		25 18.7%	40 20.4%	162 22.4%	149 19.1%	28 19.4%		60 21.2%		10 19.7
Somewhat disagree	165 11.0%		9 10.9%		39 10.2%	65 11.7%		14 10.7%	18 9.0%	92 12.7%	74 9.4%	18 12.8%		31 10.9%		4 8.6
Strongly disagree	289 19.2%		15 18.3%		69 18.0%	107 19.2%		34 25.1%	3 <b>4</b> 16.9%	134 18.5%	155 19.8%	32 22.4%				12 22.2
Don't know	36 2.4%		1 1.0%		6 1.6%	19 3.4% D	2.2%	2 1.8%	5 2.3%	16 2.2%	20 2.5%	-	7 2.2%	4 1.3%		2.
No answer/Refused	10 0.7%		-	-	1 0.3%	5 0.9%		1 0.6%	2 1.2%	6 0.9%	4 0.5%	2 1.3%		1 0.3%		0.
Top 2 box	1,005		58 69.8%		266 69.9%	362 64.7%		83 61.8%	140 70.6%	475 65.8%	529 67.8%	90 63.5%		202 71.3%		3 65.
Bottom 2 box	454 30.2%		24 29.2%		107 28.2%	173 30.9%		48 35.8% I	51 25.9%	225 31.2%	228 29.2%	50 35.2%		77 27.1%		1 30.
Mean	2.97	2.97	3.00	2.99	3.04	2.94	2.82	2.82	3.08	2.93	3.00	2.86	2.98	3.07	2.97	2.
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	з.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			18.	.081 )%*			>	<9. 75.		<		21.904- 21.4%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

. ... 9

Q22. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Only people with high incomes are able to afford to pay out of pocket to get quicker medical access at private clinics.

# Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				nuage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab.	English		<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%			10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Strongly agree	693 <b>4</b> 6.1%	79 46.4%	401 47.2%	59 41.9%		39 39.5%	6 59.1%	532 45.6%	161 47.8%	239 51.1% MN	116 44.7%	104 53.1% MN	39 34.7%	82 40.8%				7 43.3
Somewhat agree	311 20.7%	34 19.8%	168 19.8%	33 23.6%		26 26.1%		246 21.1%	65 19.3%	94 20.1%	59 22.8%	36 18.3%	27 24.5%	47 23.2%			65 24.2%	3 22.9
Somewhat disagree	165 11.0%	21 12. <b>4</b> %	106 12.5% E	12 8.4%			0 4.1%	128 11.0%	37 11.1%	28 6.0%	24 9.4%	26 13.1% J	21 18.8% JK	26 12.9% J	7.2%			24.55
Strongly disagree	289 19.2%	29 17.0%	153 18.1%	30 21.2%			2 22.6%	222 19.0%	67 19.8%	96 20.5% L	54 20.7%	26 13.3%	20 18.3%	42 20.8%		16.8%	43 16.0%	2 14.3
Don't know	36 2.4%	5 2.9%	13 1.6%	7 4.8%			1 14.3%		6 1.8%	9 1.8%	6 2.4%	2 0.8%	2 2.2%	4 1.8%	16 2.9%		3 1.2%	3.6
No answer/Refused	10 0.7%	2 1.4%	6 0.7%	-	1 0.2%		-	9 0.8%	1 0.3%	2 0.5%	-	3 1.4%	2 1.4%	1 0.4%			4 1.6%	1.4
Тор 2 вож	1,005 66.8%	112 66.1%	569 67.1%	92 65.5%			6 59.1%		226 67.0%	333 71.1% M	175 67.5%	140 71.4%	66 59.2%	129 64.0%				109 66.29
Bottom 2 box	454 30.2%	50 29.5%	260 30.6%	<b>4</b> 1 29.6%			3 26.6%	350 30.0%	104 30.8%	124 26.5%	78 30.1%	52 26.4%	• 42 37.1%	68 33.7%		147 29.5%	79 29.5%	4 28.89
Mean	2.97	3.00	2.99	2.91	2.94	2.81	3.12	2.97	2.97	3.04	2.94	3.14 MN	2.79	2.86	2.89	3.02	2.98	3.0
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.988 3%*		>		755> 2%*	<		-43.692 97.0%*		>	<		.279	;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q22. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Only people with high incomes are able to afford to pay out of pocket to get quicker medical access at private clinics. Base: All

Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	 (Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%				77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		468 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	596	792	917	582
Strongly agree	693 46.1%				378 48.9% D	258 <b>44</b> .2%	496 49.9% H	186 39.8%	376 49.8% J	41.6%		292 45.2%	291 44.4%	402 47.4%	367 49.9% P	325 43.0%		244 44.1%
Somewhat agree	311 20.7%			20 23.3%	132 17.1%	135 23.1% E	18.5%	113 2 <b>4</b> .2% G	142 18.8%			132 20.4%	138 21.0%	173 20.5%	135 18.3%	17 <b>4</b> 23.0%		11 <b>4</b> 20.6%
Somewhat disagree	165 11.0%			9 10.4%	82 10.6%	71 12.1%		72 15.4% G	70 9.3%			79 12.2%	82 12.5%	83 9.8%	62 8.5%	99 13.2% 0	11.6%	54 9.7%
Strongly disagree	289 19.2%			21 24.6%	153 19.8%	110 18.9%	198 19.9%	82 17.6%	149 19.7%		155 19.2%	125 19.3%	127 19.3%	162 19.1%	153 20.9%	134 17.7%	172 18.2%	116 21.1%
Don't know	36 2.4%		28 2.6%	3 3.9%	22 2.8%	8 1.3%	20 2.0%	12 2.6%	13 1.8%			17 2.6%	14 2.1%	22 2.5%	15 2.1%	16 2.1%		20 3.6%
No answer/Refused	10 0.7%			1 1.0%	6 0.8%	2 0.3%	9 0.9%	1 0.3%	5 0.6%		6 0.8%	2 0.3%	5 0.7%	6 0.7%	3 0.5%	7 0.9%	4 0.5%	6 1.0%
Тор 2 вож	1,005 66.8%			52 60.2%	510 65.9%	392 67.4%	680 68.3%	300 64.0%	518 68.6%		551 68.4%	424 65.6%	429 65.4%	576 67.9%	501 68.2%	<b>4</b> 99 66.1%	645 68.1%	357 64.7%
Bottom 2 box	454 30.2%		313 29.3%	30 34.9%	236 30.4%	181 31.1%	286 28.8%	155 33.0%	219 29.0%		234 29.1%	204 31.5%	209 31.8%	245 28.9%	215 29.3%	233 30.9%	282 29.8%	170 30.8%
Mean	2.97	2.87	3.00	2.76	2.99	2.94	3.01	2.89	3.01	2.90	2.99	2.94	2.93	2.99	3.00	2.94	2.99	2.92
Median	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<9. 79	791> .9%*	<	-12.588 44.1%*	>	<28. 100.	493> 0%*	<15 97	.659> .2%*	<3.3 14.	35> 8%*	<4.7 31.		<17. 98.			703> .7%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 13 3

Q23. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Access to medically necessary services must be based on need and not a person's ability to pay for that service.

# Base: All

14 3

Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24			45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%				54- 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Strongly agree	1,167 77.6%				285 75.1%	<b>44</b> 5 79.6%		99 73 <b>.4</b> %	161 81.0%	534 73.8%	633 81.0% J	109 77.0%				413 75.99
Somewhat agree	209 13.9%				64 16.7%	67 12.0%		21 15.3%	23 11.7%	118 16.3% K	91 11.7%	22 15.5%		14.0%		70 12.99
Somewhat disagree	49 3.2%				12 3.1%			9 6.6% F	7 3.3%	25 3.5%	23 3.0%	6 4.4%			8 2.8%	2 4.2
Strongly disagree	63 4.2%				16 4.1%		1.9%	4 2.7%	5 2.7%	39 5.4%	24 3.1%					21 5.19
Don't know	13 0.9%				3 0.7%			3 2.0%	3 1.3%	6 0.9%	7 0.8%	-	- 1 0.2%			1.7 M
No answer/Refused	4 0.3%		- 1 0.7%		1 0.4%			-		1 0.1%	3 0.4%	10.4%		1 0.5%		0.2
тор 2 box	1,376 91.5%				349 91.8%			120 88.7%	184 92.6%	652 90.2%	724 92.7%	131 92.5%		92.6%		48 88.8
Bottom 2 box	111 7.4%				27 7.2%	41 7.3%		13 9.3%	12 6.1%	64 8.9%	47 6.0%	10 7.1%			24 8.0%	5 9.4
Mean	3.67	3.75	3.66	3.69	3.64	3.67	3.62	3.63	3.73	3.60	3.73 J	3.67	3.66	3.71	3.69	3.63
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			30 2.0				>		905> 8%*	<		23.085- 27.1%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Q23. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Access to medically necessary services must be based on need and not a person's ability to pay for that service. Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				ruage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab. Pension		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less			Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	(Q)	()
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%		1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				10 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
Strongly agree	1,167 77.6%	136 80.3%	652 76.8%	112 80.2%	169 75.0%	83 84.6%		914 78.3%	253 75.0%	360 77.0%	197 75.7%	159 81.6%	89 79.6%	154 76.4%				1 82.
Somewhat agree	209 13.9%	21 12.4%	127 15.0%	16 11.5%	30 13.3%	9 8.7%		155 13.3%	54 16.0%	63 13.4%	42 16.1%	25 12.8%	16 14.6%	29 14.5%				13.
Somewhat disagree	<b>4</b> 9 3.2%	5 3.0%	24 2.9%	5 3.6%	9 4.0%	3 2.6%		38 3.3%	11 3.1%	16 3.3%	9 3.3%	2 1.3%	3 2.8%	10 4.8%		3.5%	2.9%	0.1
Strongly disagree	63 <b>4</b> .2%	6 3.6%	36 4.2%	6 4.1%	13 5.8%	2 2.1%		47 4.0%	16 4.7%	23 5.0%	10 3.8%	8 4.3%	3 2.3%	6 3.0%		15 3.1%	14	1.
Don't know	13 0.9%	1 0.7%	6 0.7%	1 0.6%	3 1.4%	2 2.0%		10 0.9%	3 0.8%	5 1.0%	2 0.7%	-	1 0.6%	2 1.2%	7	3		1.
No answer/Refused	4 0.3%	-	3 0.4%	-	1 0.5%	-	-	3 0.2%	1 0.4%	1 0.3%	1 0.4%	-	-	-	-	3 0.6%		
Тор 2 вох	1,376 91.5%	158 92.7%	779 91.8%	128 91.7%	199 88. <b>4</b> %	91 93.3%		1,069 91.6%	307 91.0%	423 90.4%	238 91.8%	184 94.4%	94.3%	18 <b>4</b> 90.9%		460 92.2%		1 95.
Bottom 2 box	111 7.4%	11 6.7%	60 7.1%	11 7.7%	22 9.8%	5 4.7%		85 7.3%	26 7.8%	39 8.3%	18 7.1%	11 5.6%	6 5.2%	16 7.9%	9.1%	6.6%	8.0%	2.
Mean	3.67	3.70	3.66	3.69	3.61	3.79	3.78	3.68	3.63	3.64	3.66	3.72	3.73	3.66	R 3.62	R 3.70		3.
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4-00	4.00	4.00	4.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		12	.01 )%*		>	<2.0 <b>4</b> .6	94> %*	<		-10.732 .1%*		>	<		0.9 .5%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 14 2

Q23. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Access to medically necessary services must be based on need and not a person's ability to pay for that service.

# Base: All

Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%		1,066 100.0%		77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	468 100.0%	756 100.0%		805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	. 581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Strongly agree	1,167 77.6%	317 77.6%	832 78.0%		629 81.2% D	<b>444</b> 76.3% D		290 62.0%	620 82.0% J		643 80.0%	495 76.6%	508 77.4%	659 77.7%	573 77.9%	587 77.7%		42 77.0
Somewhat agree	209 13.9%		143 13.4%		8 <b>4</b> 10.8%	94 16.1% E	9.2%	108 23.0% G	86 11.3%		13.5%	88 13.7%	89 13.5%	120 14.2%	93 12.7%	112 14.9%		7 13.6
Somewhat disagree	<b>4</b> 9 3.2%				21 2.7%	18 3.2%		29 6.2% G	14 1.9%		2.8%	21 3.3%	21 3.2%	28 3.3%	22 3.0%	26 3.4%		1 2.9
Strongly disagree	63 4.2%				33 4.3%	20 3.4%		32 6.9% G				36 5.6% К	36 5.4%	27 3.2%	40 5.4%	23 3.0%		2 4.9
Don't know	13 0.9%		7 0.7%	0 0.6%	3 0.4%	6 1.0%	-	6 1.4%		6 1.0%		5 0.8%	3 0.5%	10 1.1%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	6 0.8%		1.3
No answer/Refused	<b>4</b> 0.3%		4 0.4%	-	3 0.4%	1 0.1%		2 0.5%			3 0.4%	-	-	<b>4</b> 0.5%	3 0.4%	1 0.1%		0.3
Тор 2 вож	1,376 91.5%		976 91.5%		712 92.0%	538 92.4%		398 85.0%	705 93.4% J		752 93.4%	584 90.3%	596 90.9%	779 91.9%	666 90.6%	699 92.6%	873 92.2%	50 90.7
Bottom 2 box	111 7.4%				55 7.1%	38 6.6%		61 13.1% G			5.5%	58 8.9% K	56 8.6%	55 6.5%	62 8.5%	48 6.4%	66 6.9%	4 7.8
Mean	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.39	3.70 D	3.67 D		3.43	3.73 J	3.60	3.73 L	3.63	3.64	3.69	3.65	3.69	3.68	3.6
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			518> 1%*	<	34.91 99.8%*	>	<136 100			.484> .0%*		92> 3%*	<6.6 53.		<8.7 72.		<1.8 3.0	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q24. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: There is nothing wrong with private for-profit delivery of health care services as long as I can use my provincial health card. Base: All

Banner 1

						gion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(F
Total	1,504			125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142		283	298	54
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100,0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Strongly agree	480	13	24	37	148	168	37	40	49	284	196	44	90	82	94	20
	31.9%	31.9%	28.5%	29.7%	38.9% CDFI	29.9%	35.4% I	30.0%	24.9%	39.3% K	25.1%	31.1%				38.4 M
Somewhat agree	439	15	26	41	109	151	32	43	63	190	248	53	118	88	84	12
	29.2%	36.1%	30.9%	32.6%	28.7%	27.0%	30.3%	31.7%	31.6%	26.3%	31.8% J	37.6% P	34.2%	31.0%	28.3%	22.6
Somewhat disagree	187	5	12	16	29	86	7	23	26	72	114	13	48	47	30	5
	12.4%	11.0%	13.8% EG	12.9% EG	7.5%	15.3% EG		17.2% EG	13.0%	10.0%	14.7% J	9.4%		16.7% OP	9.9%	10.1
Strongly disagree	303 20.1%			24 19.5%	63 16.5%	128 22.8%	22 20.9%	22 16.4%	43 21.7%	142 19.7%	160	26		50		11
							20.9%	10.46	21.7%	19.7%	20.5%	18.2%	19.8%	17.8%	24.7%	20.4
Don't know	71		5 5.9%	6 5.1%	21 5.5%	22 3.9%	5	6	11	19	52	5		13		3
	1.0.0	5.10	5.5%	5.1%	5.5%	3.9%	5.1%	4.3%	5.7%	2.7%	6.7% J	3.7%	4.1%	4.7%	4.9%	5.9
No answer/Refused	24		0	0	10	5	2	1	6	14	10	-	7	3	2	1
	1.6%		0.3%	0.2%	2.8% CDH	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%	3.0% D	2.0%	1.3%		1.9%			2.6
Top 2 box	919	28	50	78	257	319	69	83	112	475	444	97	208	170	178	33
	61.1%	68.0%	59.4%	62.3%	67.7% FI	57.0%	65.7%	61.6%	56.6%	65.6% K	56.9%	68.7%		59.9%		61.0
Bottom 2 box	489		29	41	92	214	29	45	69	215	275	39	116	98	103	16
	32.5%	28.6%	34.4% E	32.4% E	24.1%	38.2% EG	27.5%	33.6% E	34.7% E	29.7%	35.2%	27.6%		34.4%		30.5
Mean	2.78	2.85	2.72	2.76	2.98 FI	2.67	2.86	2.79	2.65	2.89 K	2.67	2.85	2.71	2.75	2.71	2.
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			56.	475			>			<		43.492-		
DIGHT FICANCE					78.	.4%*				100.				96.9%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 12 2

Q24. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: There is nothing wrong with private for-profit delivery of health care services as long as I can use my provincial health card.

#### Base: All Banner 2

10 3

					nt Status				ruage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(1
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%			98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				1 100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
Strongly agree	<b>4</b> 80 31.9%	52 30.8%	261 30.8%			26 26.3%	6 54.5%	354 30.3%	126 37.4%	168 36.0% L	88 33.9% L	<b>44</b> 22.6%	36 32.2%	67 33.2% L	37.5%			31.
Somewhat agree	439 29.2%	59 34.7% E	251 29.6% E	33.8%	22.0%	24 24.9%	2 19.4%	341 29.2%	98 29.1%	135 28.9%	65 25.1%	70 35.7% К	32 28.4%	65 32.3%			73 27.5%	24.
Somewhat disagree	187 12.4%	19 11.3%	108 12.7%			18 18.5%	1 9.1%	162 13.9% I	24 7.2%	47 10.0%	36 13.9%	26 13.3%	17 14.8%	25 12.1%		76 15.2% O	13.6%	12.
Strongly disagree	303 20.1%	31 18.4% G	172 20.3% G	15.7%	21.7%			244 20.9%	59 17.4%	86 18.4%	53 20.5%	42 21.5%	23 20.8%	41 20.2%				24.
Don't know	71 4.8%	8 4.7%	41 4.8%	7 5.1%	10 4.6%	3 3.4%	1 14.3%		19 5.8%	24 5.1%	12 4.8%	8 4.3%	3 2.4%	4 2.1%	27 4.8%	31 6.2% Q		4.
No answer/Refused	24 1.6%	-	15 1.8%		7 3.1%	2 2.1%	-	14 1.2%	10 3.1%	8 1.7%	5 1.8%	5 2.6%	1 1.3%	-	8 1.5%	7 1.4%	3 1.1%	2.
Тор 2 вож	919 61.1%	111 65.5%	512 60.4%				8 73.9%	695 59.5%	224 66.5%	303 64.8%	153 59.0%	114 58.3%	68 60.6%	132 65.5%		58.7%		56.
Bottom 2 box	<b>4</b> 89 32.5%	51 29.8%	280 33.0% G			42 43.2% G			83 24.6%	133 28. <b>4</b> %	89 34.4%	68 34.8%	40 35.7%	65 32.4%			44.0%	36.
Mean	2.78	2.82	2.76	2.85	2.86	2.56	3.47	2.73	2.95 H	2.88 L	2.78	2.64	2.75	2.80	2.98 PQR	2.75 Q		2.
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	з.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.893 .9%*		>		43> 9%*	<		-21.325		>	<		.091 .0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q24. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: There is nothing wrong with private for-profit delivery of health care services as long as I can use my provincial health card. Base: All

Banner 3

			State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	System	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	J Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	nt Health
		Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier System			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	 (Q)	(R)
Tot	al	1,504	408	1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	633	805	646	656	848	735	755	947	552
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Unw	reighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Str	ongly agree	480	140	336	33	243	191	257	212	224	220	236	225	231					
		31.9%	34.3%	31.5%		31.4%	32.8%	25.8%	45.3% G	29.6%	34.8%	29.3%	34.8%	231 35.1% N	249 29.4%		216 28.6%		184 33.2%
Som	what agree	439	117	311	20	217	181	280	150	204	200	225	201	184	0.55	205			
		29.2%	28.8%	29.2%		28.1%	31.0%	28.2%	32.0%	27.0%	31.6%	28.0%	31.1%	28.1%	255 30.0%	205 27.8%	230 30.5%		148 26.9%
Som	wewhat disagree	187	51	134	8	102	66	147	34	96	81	109	76	81	106	88	99	113	73
		12.4%	12.5%	12.6%	9.2%	13.2%	11.4%	14.8%	7.3%	12.7%	12.8%	13.5%	11.7%	12.4%	12.4%	12.0%	13.1%	11.9%	13.2%
								н											
Str	ongly disagree	303	81	215		159	118	255	44	185		188	110	120	183	138	162	183	119
		20.1%	19.8%	20.2%	19.7%	20.5%	20.3%	25.6% H	9.4%	24.5% J	15.6%	23.3% L	17.0%	18.2%	21.6%	18.8%	21.5%	19.3%	21.5%
Don	it know	71	14	52	7	39	18	45	19	33	24	33	25	30	42	33	34	40	22
		4.8%	3.5%	4.8%	7.8%	5.1%	3.0%	4.5%	4.1%	4.3%	3.8%	4.2%	3.9%	4.6%	4.9%	4.5%	4.5%		22 4.0%
No	answer/Refused	24	5	18	1	13	9	12	8	14	9	14	9	11	14	10			
		1.6%	1.1%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	14 1.9%		6 1.1%
Top	2 box	919	257	648	53	460	372	537	362	428	100								
-		61.1%	63.0%	60.7%	61.6%	59.5%	63.8%	54.0%	77.3%	428	420 66.4%	461 57.3%	426 65.9%	415 63.2%	504 59.4%	466 63.4%	446 59.1%		332 60.1%
									G		I		ĸ		55.10	00.40	55.1.0	01.7%	00.13
Bot	tom 2 box	489	132	349	25	261	184	402	78	281	180	297	186	201	288	226	261		
		32.5%	32.3%	32.7%	28.9%	33.7%	31.6%	40.4%	16.8%	37.2%	28.4%	36.9%	28.7%	30.6%	34.0%	30.7%	201 34.5%	295 31.2%	192 34.7%
1								н		J		L							
Mea	n	2.78	2.81	2.77	2.89	2.76	2.80	2.57	3.20	2.66	2.90	2.67	2.88	2.85	2.72	2.85	2.71	2.79	2.76
									G		I		ĸ			2.05 P	2.71	2.79	2.70
Med	ian	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	-SQUARE		<7	76>	<	6.418	>	<177.	073>	<31	942>	<2A	071>	(8 4		<10.			
SIG	NIFICANCE		. 2	*		4.5%*		100.	0%*	100	.0%*	99.	9%*	70.		<10.			4%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q25. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying to get faster access to health care services covered by Medicare is queue jumping - plain and simple.

Base: All

1. 10 3

Banner 1

				*********		jion					der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34			55+
	(A)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100
Inweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	
Strongly agree	630	21	39	59	95	277	37	55	106	299	330	42	152	122	128	
	41.9%				25.1%	49.6%		40.8%	53.3%	41.4%	42.3%	29.6%		42.9%		4
		EG	EG	EG		EG	E	E	EGH				L	L	L	
omewhat agree	313			29	65	110	27	37	43	157	156	42		56		
	20.8%	23.8%	23.4%	23.5%	17.2%	19.6%	25.8% E	27.7% EF	21.8%	21.7%	19.9%	29.7% P		19.6%	20.0%	1
Somewhat disagree	168			13	55	57		15	15	75	93	29	40	30	26	
	11.2%	10.9%	10.0%	10.3%	14.4% I	10.1%	12.7%	10.9%	7.7%	10.3%	11.9%	20.8% NOP		10.5%	8.7%	
Strongly disagree	323		13 15.0%		155 40.8%	80 14.2%		23 17.0%	28 14.3%	157 21.8%	166 21.3%	25 17.4%		58 20.4%		2
			10.00	10100	BCDFGHI		19.10	17.00	11.50	21.00	21.50	17.10	15.04	20.44	M	-
Don't know	51			6	7	26	6	3	3	23	28	4	7	15	8	
	3.4%	6 <b>4</b> .0%	5.5%	5.0% EI	1.8%	4.6%	6.1% EHI	1.9%	1.7%	3.1%	3.6%	2.5%	2.1%	5.1%	2.8%	
No answer/Refused	20	0 0	-	0	3	10	1	2	2	12	7	-	8	4	2	
	1.3%	1.0%		0.3%	0.7%	1.9%	1.3%	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%		2.3%	1.4%	0.6%	
Гор 2 вож	942				161	387		92	149	456	486	84	237	177	188	
	62.6%	6 73.4% EG			42.3%	69.2%		68.5%	75.1%	63.1%	62.2%	59.3%	68.8%	62.5%	62.9%	6
×		EG	E	EG		E	E	E	EG							
Bottom 2 box	491				210	136		38	44	232	259	54	92	88	100	
	32.7%	\$ 21.6%	25.0%	23.9%	55.2% BCDFGHI	24.4%	31.8% I	27.9%	22.0%	32.1%	33.2%	38.2%	26.8%	30.9%	33.6%	3
lean	2.87	3.18 EG			2.27	3.12 E		2.96 E	3.17	2.87	2.87	2.73	3.02	2.91	2.84	
									EG	2.072						
Median	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
CHI-SQUARE		<							>			<				
SIGNIFICANCE				1	100	. 0%*				2.5	%*			99.8%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q25. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying to get faster access to health care services covered by Medicare is queue jumping - plain and simple. Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				uage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab.	English		<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504	170	848	140	225	98	10	1,167	337	468	260	195	112	202	562		267	164
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Strongly agree	630	72	372	57	96	23	5	548	82	177	108	100	55	79	203	223	122	75
	41.9%	42.4% F	43.9% F		42.8% F	23.4%	46.0%	47.0% I	24.2%	37.9%	41.5%	51.2% JN	49.1%	38.9%			45.6%	46.79
Somewhat agree	313	36	180	23	32	36	2	264	48	102	58	35	20	42	134	0.7	17	
	20.8%	21.0%	21.2% E		14.2%	37.0% BCDE	15.6%	22.7% I	14.3%	21.8%	22.4%	18.0%	17.8%	20.8%			47 17.6%	32 19.79
Somewhat disagree	168	12	96	23	18	15	3	116	52	56	29	20	13	19	67	44	37	18
	11.2%	6.9%	11.3%	16.4% BE	8.0%	15.6%	27.8%	9.9%	15.4% H	12.1%	11.1%	10.3%	11.7%	9.2%				11.29
Strongly disagree	323	40	163	27	69	21	1	175	149	116	51	32	16	53	131		10	
	21.5%	23.4%	19.2%		30.5% CDG	21.6%	10.6%	15.0%	44.2% H	24.8% LM	19.8%	16.6%	14.6%	26.5% LM	23.3%		48 18.0%	30 18.29
Don't know	51	9	24	7	9	2	-	47	4	14	11	4	6	7	24	10	2	
	3.4%	5.2%	2.8%	5.0%	4.1%	2.4%		4.1% I	1.1%	3.1%	4.2%	1.8%	5.3%	3.5%		16 3.2%		1.99
No answer/Refused	20	2	14	3	1	-		17	3	1	3	4	2	3			-	
	1.3%	1.1%	1.6%		0.5%			1.4%	0.8%	0.2%	1.1%	2.1%	1.4%	1.2%	3 0.6%		5 1.9%	2.49
Top 2 box	942	108	552	80	128	59	6	812	130	280	166	135	75	121	337	320	169	109
	62.6%	63.4%	65.1% E		57.0%	60.4%	61.6%	69.6% I	38.5%	59.8%	63.9%	69.2% J	66.9%	59.7%				66.39
Bottom 2 box	491	52	259	50	87	36	4	290	201	173	80	52	29	72	198	155	85	48
	32.7%	30.3%	30.5%	35.9%	38.5% C	37.2%	38.4%	24.9%	59.6% Н	36.9% L	30.8%	26.9%	26.3%	35.6%	35.2%	31.2%		29.39
Mean	2.87	2.88	2.94 EF	2.84	2.73	2.64	2.97	3.08 I	2.19	2.75	2.90	3.08 JN	3.09 Ј	2.76	2.77	2.91	2.95	2.99
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE		<		58.	361			<281	768			-20 200					.711	
SIGNIFICANCE				99.	2%*		,	100.	0%*			-29.298		>	<		.711	;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 15 3

Q25. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying to get faster access to health care services covered by Medicare is queue jumping - plain and simple.

#### Base: All Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System		Federal Govern.	Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	<b>4</b> 08 100.0%	1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Strongly agree	630 <b>41</b> .9%		454 42.6%	31 36.0%	351 45.3%	229 39.3%	506 50.9% H	109 23. <b>4</b> %	375 49.6% J			242 37.4%	289 <b>44</b> .0%	341 40.2%		313 41.5%		22 41.29
Somewhat agree	313 20.8%	89 21.8%	215 20.2%	21 23.7%	150 19.4%	123 21.1%		129 27.5% G	159 21.1%			138 21. <b>4</b> %	132 20.1%	181 21.3%		142 18.9%		12: 22.09
Somewhat disagree	168 11.2%	<b>44</b> 10.7%	119 11.2%	8 8.9%	77 9.9%	73 12.6%		78 16.7% G	61 8.1%		9.4%	90 13.9% K	74 11.3%	93 11.0%		91 12.0%		6 11.8
Strongly disagree	323 21.5%	91 22.4%	228 21.4%	27 30.8%	166 21.4%	126 21.6%		133 28.4% G	133 17.7%		17.7%	161 24.9% K	130 19.8%	194 22.9%		166 22.0%		11: 21.39
Don't know	51 3.4%				23 3.0% D	22 3.8% D	3.4%	12 2.5%	16 2.2%			11 1.6%	22 3.4%	29 3.4%		32 4.2%		1 2.8
No answer/Refused	20 1.3%	-	15 1.4%	-	8 1.1%	10 1.6%		7 1.5%	11 1.4%			5 0.8%	9 1.4%	10 1.2%		11 1.4%	(T) (T) (T)	0.8
Top 2 box	942 62.6%		669 62.8%	52 59.7%	500 64.7%	352 60.4%		238 50.9%	534 70.7% J	54.2%		380 58.8%	421 64.1%	521 61.5%		456 60.3%		349 63.29
Bottom 2 box	<b>4</b> 91 32.7%	135 33.1%		34 39.7%	243 31.3%	199 34.2%		211 45.1% G	194 25.7%		27.1%	250 38.7% K	204 31.1%	287 33.9%		257 34.0%	305 32.2%	183 33.19
Mean	2.87	2.86	2.88	2.65	2.92	2.83	3.06 Н	2.48	3.06 J		3.02 L	2.73	2.93	2.83	2.90	2.85	2.88	2.8
Međian	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			578> 1%*	<	12.947 46.9%*	>	<154. 100.			.141> .0%*	<39. 100.		<4.5 28.		<5.1 36.			)79> /%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q26\_Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, <u>abortions</u> etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Random half sample that had "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 1

		-				gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female			35 to 44		55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	724	21	43	65	184	275	55	59	86	351	373	66	165	144	144	26
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	729	51	103	154	120	172	107	86	90	307	422	45	118	135	149	26
Strongly agree	250	7	11	18	70	99	11	18	33	125	125	32	50	41	59	8
	34.5%	32.8%	25.2%	27.7%	38.0% CG	35.9% G		30.9%	38.7% G	35.6%	33.4%		30.2%			30.0
Somewhat agree	203	5	14	19	52	80	16	12	23	104	99	21	63	46	29	e
	28.1%	21.9%	32.9%	29.3%	28.4%	29.2%	29.8%	21.0%	26.7%	29.6%	26.6%			31.8%	19.9%	24.0
Somewhat disagree	105		6	9	26	36	10	16	8	52	53	5	22	29	18	
	14.6%	13.7%	14.3%	14.1%	14.1%	13.0%	18.9%	27.6% CDEFI	9.3%	14.8%	14.3%					15.
Strongly disagree	133			16	31	48	14	10	15	55	78	5	26	25	32	4
	18.4%	26.8%	23.9%	24.8%	16.6%	17.4%	25.3%	17.2%	17.2%	15.7%	21.0%	7.9%	15.9%	17.5%	22.1% L	24.3
Don't know	27	1	2	2	5	10	2	1	5	13	14	3	3	4	4	
	3.7%	2.8%	3.8%	3.4%	2.9%	3.8%	3.9%	2.5%	5.7%	3.7%	3.6%	5.1%			-	5.
No answer/Refused	6		-		-	2		0	2	2	4	-	-	0	2	
	0.8%	1.9%		0.6%		0.8%	1.4%	0.8%	2.4%	0.6%	1.1%			0.3%	1.3%	1.
Top 2 box	453		25	37	122	179		31	56	229	224	52	113	86	88	1
	62.5%	54.8%	58.1%	57.0%	66.4% GH	65.1% G		51.9%	65.4%	65.2%	60.0%	79.3% NOP			61.1%	53.
Bottom 2 box	239		17	25	56	83	24	27	23	107	132	10	49	54	50	1
	33.0%	40.5%	38.1%	38.9%	30.7%	30.4%	44.2% EFI	44.8% EFI	26.5%	30.6%	35.3%	15.6%	29.5%	37.3% L		39.
Mean	2.82	2.64	2.62	2.62	2.90 G	2.88	2.48	2.68	2.95 G	2.89	2.76	3.26 NOP		2.73	2.84	2.
Median	3.00	з.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.
CHI-SQUARE		<			34.	337			>	<5.	.54>	<		53.477-		
SIGNIFICANCE					5.6	5%*					. 6%*			99.7%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

\* \*\* ?

Q26\_Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, abortions etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Those who randomly had "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 2

\*\* }

					nt Status			A Contract of the second se	juage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E) <sup>.</sup>	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	724 100.0%	7 <b>4</b> 100.0%	<b>4</b> 26 100.0%	69 100.0%	104 100.0%	40 100.0%		557 100.0%	167 100.0%	245 100.0%	112 100.0%	92 100.0%	54 100.0%	91 100.0%		247 100.0%	127 100.0%	83 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	729	67	405	70	148	28	6	616	113	244	118	94	52	82	268	260	125	71
Strongly agree	250 34.5%	22 29.7%	150 35.1%	20 28.4%		21 51.2%		184 33.0%	65 39.2%	91 37.2%	45 40.4%	28 30.7%	16 28.7%	28 30.4%		81 32.9%	45 35.6%	25 29.8%
Somewhat agree	203 28.1%	22 30.0%	118 27.7%			14 34.9%		155 27.7%	49 29.1%	72 29.5%	27 23.8%	29 31.9%	17 31.6%	24 26.5%		80 32.2%	35 27.6%	24 28.3%
Somewhat disagree	105 14.6%	9 12. <b>4</b> %	63 14.7%	12 17.7%		4 10.4%	0 6.6%	81 14.6%	24 14.5%	32 12.8%	17 15.3%	14 14.9%	8 13.9%	17 18.9%		38 15.3%	17 13.2%	11 13.4%
Strongly disagree	133 18.4%	16 21.1%	80 18.7%	16.8%	21.7%			109 19.5%	25 14.8%	40 16.1%	19 17.3%	18 19.3%	12 21.3%	21 22.8%		36 1 <b>4.4</b> %		19 22.6%
1		F	F	F	F													
Don't know	27 3.7%	2 3.2%	13 3.0%		7 6.5%	0 1.0%		23 4.0%	4 2.4%	9 3.7%	<b>4</b> 3.2%	. 1 1.5%	2 4.6%	1 1.0%	10 3.7%	9 3.7%	3 2.3%	5 5.8%
No answer/Refused	6 0.8%	3 3.5%	3 0.7%		0 0.4%		-	6 1.1%	ī	2 0.6%		2 1.7%	-	0 0.4%	-		2 1.5%	-
Тор 2 box	453 62.5%	<b>44</b> 59.7%	267 62.8%	43 61.8%			58.6%		114 68.3%	164 66.8%	72 64.2%	57 62.6%	33 60.3%	52 56.9%		161 65.1%	80 63.2%	48 58.1%
Bottom 2 box	239 33.0%	25 33.5% FG	142 33.5% FG	34.5%	35.5%	12.9%		190 34.1%	49 29.3%	71 29.0%	37 32.6%	31 34.2%	19 35.2%	38 41.6%		74 29.8%	<b>4</b> 2 33.0%	30 36.0%
Mean	2.82	2.73	2.82					2.78	2.95	2.92	2.90	2.76	2.71	2.66	2.82	2.88	2.82	2.69
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.428 1%*		>		873> .4%*	<		12.643 .6%*		>	<		925 0%*	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Q26\_Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, <u>abortions</u> etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Those who randomly had "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 3

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Pen	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	724 100.0%		510 100.0%	<b>44</b> 100.0%	366 100.0%	284 100.0%	488 100.0%	215 100.0%	353 100.0%		384 100.0%	316 100.0%	317 100.0%	407 100.0%	372 100.0%	344 100.0%	456 100.0%	265 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	729	205	511	50	358	289	503	206	371	297	391	309	314	415	342	378	443	282
Strongly agree	250 34.5%		187 36.6%	9 21.1%	138 37.6% D	89 31.3%	185 37.9% Н	59 27.3%	133 37.6%		136 35.4%	107 34.0%	118 37.3%	131 32.3%	132 35.6%	116 33.7%	151 33.1%	97 36.7%
Somewhat agree	203 28.1%		139 27.3%	15 34.1%	9 <b>4</b> 25.8%	85 30.0%	128 26.2%	68 31.5%	92 26.0%		105 27.5%	91 28.7%	84 26.6%	119 29.2%	114 30.6%	89 26.0%	144 31.6% R	
Somewhat disagree	105 14.6%		64 12.5%	5 12.1%	45 12.3%	52 18.2%	65 13.3%	38 17.7%	50 14.0%		54 14.2%	49 15.6%	46 14.4%	60 14.7%	49 13.2%	54 15.6%	56 12.2%	
Strongly disagree	133		98 19.2%	9 20.6%	71 19.5%	51 18.1%	88 18.1%	45 20.8%	66 18.8%		74 19.2%	53 16.8%	57 17.9%	77 18.8%	64 17.1%	68 19.7%	86 18.8%	47 17.7%
Don't know	27 3.7%		19 3.7%	5 12.2%	15 4.0%	4 1.5%	19 3.9%	3 1.6%	7 2.1%	13 4.4%	10 2.7%	14 4.6%	12 3.8%	14 3.6%	12 3.1%	13 3.7%	16 3.4%	11 4.1%
No answer/Refused	6 0.8%		3 0.7%	-	3 0.8%	3 1.0%	3 0.7%	2 1.2%	5 1.4%		<b>4</b> 1.0%	1 0.3%	-	6 1.4%	1 0.4%	4 1.3%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	_
Top 2 box	453 62.5%		326 63.9%	24 55.1%	232 63.4%	174 61.2%	313 64.1%	127 58.8%	225 63.6%		241 62.9%	198 62.8%	202 63.9%	250 61.5%	246 66.2%	206 59.7%	295 64.7%	
Bottom 2 box	239 33.0%	and the second se	162 31.7%	14 32.7%	116 31.7%	103 36.3%	153 31.3%	83 38.5%	116 32.8%		128 33.4%	102 32.4%	102 32.3%	136 33.5%	113 30.3%	121 35.2%	141 31.0%	95 36.1%
Mean	2.82	2.75	2.85	2.63	2.86	2.76	2.88	2.67	2.85	2.80	2.82	2.84	2.87	2.79	2.88	2.78	2.83	2.82
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<9.! 78	519> .2%*	<	-10.868 30.4%*	>		.731> .0%*		.1> 6%*		03> %*	<2.0 4.2		<5.2 37.			.279> .8%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

.. 1

Q26\_No Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Random subsample that did not have "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44		55+
	(A)	(E)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	780 100.0%		40 100.0%	60 100.0%	196 100.0%	285 100.0%		76 100.0%	112 100.0%	372 100.0%	408 100.0%			139 100.0%		277 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	775	49	98	147	131	178	93	114	112	326	449	51	124	148	163	277
Strongly agree	363 46.5%			33 53.9%	86 43.7%	133 46.8%	25 50.5%	37 49.1%	48 43.1%	184 49.5%	179 43.8%		38.2%	67 48.4%		131 47.3%
Somewhat agree	185 23.7%			11 18.7%	49 25.1%	63 22.0%		17 22.7%	33 29.1%	85 22.8%	100 24.5%				30.6%	58 20.9% L
Somewhat disagree	92 11.7%				2 <b>4</b> 12.3%	37 12.9%		8 10.5%	10 9.0%	43 11.6%	<b>4</b> 8 11.9%			14 10.2%		28 10.1%
Strongly disagree	118 15.1%				32 16.3% C	44 15.6% C	15.6%	10 12.6%	18 16.2%	52 14.1%	66 16.1%			20 14.6%		45 16.2%
Don't know	16 2.0%		1 2.9%	1 2.0%	2 1.1%	7 2.3%		2 2.6%	2 2.1%	3 0.7%	13 3.3% J		5 2.9%			12 4.3% 0
No answer/Refused	7 0.9%			-	3 1.4%	1 0.4%		2 2.5%	1 0.5%	5 1.3%	2 0.5%		-	1 0.6%		3 1.1%
Top 2 box	548 70.2%				135 68.8%	196 68.8%		54 71.8%	81 72.2%	269 72.3%	278 68.3%			102 73.1%		189 68.2%
Bottom 2 box	210 26.9%				56 28.6%	81 28.4%		17 23.1%	28 25.2%	96 25.7%	114 28.0%			34 24.8%		73 26.4%
Mean	3.05				2.99	3.03		3.14	3.02	3.10	3.00			3.09		3.05
Median CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE	3.00			4.00		3.00 .591 )%*		4.00	3.00	4.00 <2.7 9.5				3.00 34.095- 80.2%*	3.00	3.50

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

1 11 1

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

or more than 20% of the cerrs have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q26\_No Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Random subsample that did not have "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 2

					nt Status				guage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab.	English		<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)		(Q)	(1
Total	780	96	423	70		58	6	610	170	222	148	104	58	111	300	251	140	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.
Unweighted 'N'	775	90	408	70	152	40	10	660	115	233	138	90	60	111	303	255	130	
Strongly agree	363	49	176	35	63	34	4	287	76	124	66	36	26	40	172	104	45	
	46.5%	51.5%	41.6%	49.7%	52.1% C	58.0%	69.4%	47.1%	44.4%	55.8% LN	44.9%	34.9%	45.2%	36.3%		41.2%		46.
Somewhat agree	185	18	112	20	22	9	1	143	41	52	30	20	10		50			
	23.7%	18.9%	26.4%	29.1%		15.9%			24.3%	23.5%	20.2%	29 27.8%	12 21.2%	33 29.6%			30.7%	15.
Somewhat disagree	92	13	56	7	9	5	0	72	20	15	21	18	7	15	27	31	19	
	11.7%	13.8%	13.4%	9.3%	7.5%	8.5%	6.9%	11.8%	11.7%	6.7%	13.9%	17.8% J	12.5%	13.2%				17
Strongly disagree	118	13	66	8	20	10	1	89	28	27	25	17	12	21	35	37	31	
	15.1%	13.2%	15.6%	11.9%	16.9%	17.6%	8.4%		16.7%	12.2%	16.9%	16.4%	21.1%	18.7%				17
Don't know	16	2	9		6	_		14	2	4	6	2		2				
	2.0%	1.6%	2.0%		4.8%			2.3%	1.3%	1.8%	3.8%	2.1%	-	2 1.7%				2
No answer/Refused	7	1	5	-	0		-	4	3	_	0	1	-	1	2	1	1	
	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%		0.3%			0.7%	1.7%		0.3%	1.2%		0.5%				1
Top 2 box	548	67	287	55	85	43	5	431	117	176	96	65	38	73	231	173	88	
	70.2%	70.4%	67.9%	78.8%	70.5%	74.0%	84.7%	70.6%	68.7%	79.4% KLN	65.1%	62.6%	66.4%	65.9%		69.0%		61.
Bottom 2 box	210	26	122	15	29	15	1	161	48	42	45	35	19	35	62	69	51	
	26.9%	27.1%	28.9%	21.2%	24.4%	26.0%	15.3%	26.4%	28.4%	18.9%	30.8% Ј	34.1% J	33.6%	31.9% J		27.3%		34
Mean	3.05	3.12	2.97	3.17	3.11	3.14	3.46	3.06	2.99	3.25 KLN	2.97	2.84	2.90	2.85	3.26 PQ		2.74	2
Median	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			3.00	3
CHI-SQUARE		<		20	.686			< P	374>	<		-39 357				50	.579	
SIGNIFICANCE				2.0	5%*			.3	3%*			92.5%*		>			.579	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

.. 1

Q26\_No Ab. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should pay for all medically necessary services such as knee surgeries, etc. when provided in a private clinic.

Base: Random subsample that did not have "abortions" included in the list of medical procedures for Q26 Banner 3

			are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	f Finan- malities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	780 100.0%		557 100.0%	<b>4</b> 3 100.0%	<b>4</b> 08 100.0%	299 100.0%	507 100.0%	253 100.0%	402 100.0%		421 100.0%	331 100.0%	339 100.0%	441 100.0%		411 100.0%	<b>4</b> 91 100.0%	28 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	775	211	549	50	404	292	511	242	404	321	427	319	324	451	354	414	474	30
Strongly agree	363 46.5%		272 48.9%		199 <b>4</b> 8.7%	128 <b>4</b> 3.0%	246 48.5%	108 42.6%	194 48.2%		202 48.1%	151 45.6%	160 47.2%	203 46.0%		161 39.1%	208 42.4%	154 53.5
Somewhat agree	185 23.7%		121 21.7%	12 29.1%	93 22.8%	74 24.7%	102 20.1%	78 30.8% G				84 25.4%	78 22.9%	107 24.3%	65 17.8%	119 29.1% O	25.6%	5 20.4
Somewhat disagree	92 11.7%		70 12.5%		42 10.3%	43 14.4% D		36 14.2%	51 12.7%			31 9.5%	43 12.7%	49 11.0%	51 14.0%	39 9.6%	64 12.9%	2 9.7
Strongly disagree	118 15.1%		82 14.7%	7 16.9%	68 16.7%	39 13.0%	91 17.9% H	26 10.3%	64 15.8%		60 14.3%	55 16.7%	48 14.2%	70 15.8%	<b>4</b> 1 11.2%	77 18.8% O		<b>4</b> 13.8
Don't know	16 2.0%		8 1.4%	1 2.5%	<b>4</b> 0.9%	11 3.7%	7 1.5%	5 2.1%	10 2.6%		8 2.0%	6 1.7%	7 2.0%	9 2.1%	7 2.0%	9 2.2%	10 2.1%	2.0
No answer/Refused	7 0.9%	1111	4 0.7%		2 0.5%	4 1.3%	7 1.4%	-	2 0.5%		2 0.4%	3 1.0%	3 1.0%	3 0.8%	2 0.4%	5 1.3%		0.6
Top 2 box	548 70.2%		393 70.6%	32 75.7%	292 71.5%	202 67.7%	348 68.6%	185 73.4%	275 68.4%			235 71.0%	238 70.1%	310 70.3%		280 68.2%	33 <b>4</b> 68.0%	21 73.9
Bottom 2 box	210 26.9%		152 27.3%		110 27.0%	82 27.3%	145 28.5%	62 24.5%	115 28.5%		120 28.6%	87 26.2%	91 26.9%	118 26.9%	92 25.3%	116 28.3%	142 28.8%	6 23.6
Mean	3.05	3.00	3.07	3.08	3.05	3.03	3.02	3.08	3.04	3.05	3.05	3.03	3.06	3.03	3.19 P	2.92	2.98	3.1
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.84> .1%*	<	8.459 13.6%*	>		.989> .2%*		548> .3%*	<6.5 51.		<9 .4		<32. 100.			.702: .9%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

. .. .

Q27. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should have policies in place to ensure all services in private clinics are provided without people having to pay. Base: All

Banner 1

						gion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(1
fotal	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	54
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%		100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Strongly agree	871	27	48	75	196	351	53	74	122	415	455	97	175	167	194	30
	57.9%	64.1%	57.7%		51.6%	62.7%		54.8%	61.5%	57.4%	58.3%			58.8%		56.
		EG		G		EG			EG			MP		50.00	MP	50.
Somewhat agree	324	8	19	26	96	98	31	37	35	146	178	30	106	61	47	
	21.5%	18.1%	22.5%	21.0%	25.3% F	17.6%	29.0% BFI	27.6% FI	17.7%	20.1%	22.8%			21.6%		16.
Somewhat disagree	136	4	7	11	32	52	10	11	20	73	63	8	31	26	28	
	9.1%	9.5%	9.0%	9.1%	8.4%	9.3%	9.4%	8.5%	9.9%	10.1%	8.1%			9.2%		9.
Strongly disagree	126			11	40	43	9	9	13	69	57	6	25	23	24	
	8.4%	6.9%	9.4%	8.5%	10.6%	7.8%	8.7%	7.0%	6.3%	9.6%	7.2%	4.4%	7.1%	8.0%		11.
Don't know	31	1	1	1	12	9	2	1	6	13	19	1	5	5	6	
	2.1%	1.4%	0.7%	1.0%	3.2%	1.6%		0.7%	3.0%	1.8%	2.4%					3.
No answer/Refused	16		1	1	3	6	1	2	3	7	9					
	1.1%		0.7%		0.9%			1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	1.2%	1 0.4%		2 0.8%		2.
Top 2 box	1,194	34	67	101	292	449	83	111	157	561	633	127	281	228	240	
	79.4%	82.2%	80.2%	80.9%	76.8%	80.3%		82.4%	79.2%	77.6%	81.1%	89.3% NOP	81.6%	220 80.4% P	80.5%	73.
Bottom 2 box	262		15	22	72	95	19	21	32	142	120	14	56	49	52	1
	17.4%	16.4%	18.3%	17.7%	19.0%	17.1%	18.1%	15.5%	16.2%	19.7%	15.3%			17.1%		21.
lean	3.33	3.41	3.30	3.34	3.23	3.39	3.24	3.33	3.41	3.29	3.37	3.55 MP		3.35	3.41	3
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	. 4
CHI-SQUARE		<			26	356										
SIGNIFICANCE						3%*					2%*	<		55.653- 99.9%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

A 15 5

Q27. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should have policies in place to ensure all services in private clinics are provided without people having to pay.

Base: All Banner 2

\* \*\* \*

Danner Z

					nt Status				juage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%		225 100.0%	98 100.0%			337 100.0%	<b>468</b> 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%			267 100.0%	164 100.0%
	1,504	100.0%	813	100.0%	300	68			228	477	256	184	112	193				151
Unweighted 'N'	-																	
Strongly agree	871	91	493	80	127	62			174	298	157	118	65	104				88
	57.9%	53.8%	58.1%	57.0%	56.4%	63.6%	80.3% BE		51.6%	63.8% N	60.3%	60.5%	58.3%	51.2%	66.3% PQR		47.5%	53.7%
	224	26	100	20	20		1	227	96	70	61	20	22	50	58	126	62	25
Somewhat agree	324 21.5%	36 21.4%	188			20 20.1%		237	86 25.6%	72 15.5%	61 23.6%	39 20.1%	19.6%					35 21.5%
	21100	G	G			20120	5.10		20100	20101	J			J		0		
Somewhat disagree	136	24	71	14	20	7		110	26	46	20	15	9	24			34	19
	9.1%	14.2%	8.3%	10.1%	8.8%	6.9%		9.4%	7.8%	9.9%	7.7%	7.8%	8.1%	11.7%	6.4%	9.4%	12.8% O	11.3%
Strongly disagree	126	12	76	. 5	27	6	-	88	38	37	16	18	14	18	40	38	31	17
	8.4%	6.9%	8.9% D	3.4%	12.0% D			7.5%	11.2%	8.0%	6.2%	9.3%	12.5%	8.9%	7.1%	7.6%	11.6%	10.1%
Don't know	31	4	14		10	-	. 1		10	10	4	1	2	4			5	4
	2.1%	2.5%	1.6%	1.4%	4.5% C		14.3%	1.8%	3.1%	2.2%	1.6%	0.5%	1.4%	2.0%	2.3%	2.0%	1.7%	2.7%
No answer/Refused	16	2	7	. D	3	3		14	2	3	1	4		3	3	3	8	1
	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%		1.3%	2.8%		1.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	1.9%		1.4%	0.5%	0.7%	2.9%	0.7%
Top 2 box	1,194	128	681	119	165	82	9	934	260	371	218	157	87	154			190	124
	79.4%	75.2%	80.2% E			83.7%	85.7%	80.0%	77.2%	79.3%	83.9%	80.5%	77.9%	76.0%	83.7% Q	80.4% Q		75.2%
Bottom 2 box	262	36	147	19	47	13	-	198	64	83	36	33	23	42	76	85	65	35
	17.4%	21.1%	17.3%	13.4%	20.8%	13.5%		17.0%	19.0%	17.9%	14.0%	17.1%	20.7%	20.6%	13.5%	17.0%	24.4% OP	21.4%
Mean	3.33	3.27	3.33	3.41	3.25	3.45	3.94	3.36 I	3.22	3.39	3.41	3.35	3.25	3.22	3.47 PQR	3.31 Q	3.12	3.23
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE		<					>			<				>	<		.885	>
SIGNIFICANCE				49	.2%*			91	.0%*			31.7%*				100	.0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q27. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Provinces should have policies in place to ensure all services in private clinics are provided without people having to pay. Base: All

Banner 3

			State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responde	nt Healt
		Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.	Provin.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
т	otal	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%		805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		55 100.0
U	nweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
s	trongly agree	871 57.9%	232 56.9%	629 59.0%	39 45.0%	475 61.4% D	331 56.9%	667 67.0% Н	188 40.3%	477 63.1% J	53.1%	477 59.3%	367 56.8%	386 58.8%	485 57.2%	450 61.2% P	415 55.0%		33 59.8
S	omewhat agree	324 21.5%	93 22.7%	22 <b>4</b> 21.0%	21 24.8%	145 18.8%	138 23.8%	175 17.6%	139 29.8% G	142 18.8%		162 20.2%	150 23.1%	134 20.4%	190 22.4%	151 20.5%	169 22.4%		10 18.2
S	omewhat disagree	136 9.1%	. 35 8.5%	99 9.3%	10 11.0%	70 9.0%	53 9.0%	61 6.1%	68 14.4% G	55 7.3%		73 9.1%	57 8.8%	65 9.8%	72 8.5%	66 9.0%	68 9.0%		5 10.0
S	trongly disagree	126 8.4%	39 9.5%	80 7.5%	11 12.2%	66 8.5%	44 7.6%	66 6.6%	58 12.3% G	60 8.0%	57	63 7.8%	59 9.1%	50 7.5%	76 9.0%	50 6.8%	74 9.8%		4: 8.9
D	on't know	31 2.1%	5 1.3%	23 2.1%	6 7.0%	9 1.2%	9 1.6%	13 1.3%	12 2.6%	11 1.4%	16 2.6%	19 2.3%	9 1.5%	13 2.1%	18 2.1%	13 1.7%	17 2.3%		1.99
N	o answer/Refused	16 1.1%	4 1.1%	11 1.0%	-	9 1.1%	7 1.1%	13 1.3%	3 0.6%	10 1.4%		10 1.3%	<b>4</b> 0.6%	9 1.3%	7 0.9%	5 0.7%	11 1.4%		1.2
т	2 box	1,194 79.4%	325 79.6%	853 80.0%	60 69.8%	620 80.1%	470 80.6%	842 84.6% H	328 70.0%	619 82.0% J	76.5%	640 79.5%	517 80.0%	520 79.2%	67 <b>4</b> 79.5%	501 81.7%	585 77.4%		43 78.09
В	ottom 2 box	262 17.4%	73 18.0%	179 16.8%	20 23.2%	136 17.5%	97 16.7%	127 12.7%	125 26.7% G	115 15.3%		136 16.9%	116 18.0%	114 17.4%	148 17.5%	116 15.8%	142 18.8%		10- 18.99
M	ean	3.33	3.30	3.36	3.10	3.36	3.33	3.49 Н	3.01	3.41 J	3.25	3.36	3.30	3.35	3.32	3.40 P	3.27	3.33	3.3
M	edian	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.0
	HI-SQUARE IGNIFICANCE		<2.5 8.0	593> 1%*	<	-13.855 53.9%*	>	<142. 100.	858> 0%*	<21 99	.645> .7%*	<2. 8.2	62> %*	<2.4 7.3		<9.8 80.3			33: 5%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

.

. ....

Q28. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying for diagnostic services enables patients to get faster test results/follow-up treatment than others who do not pay.

Base: All

1 12 9

Banner 1

							jion								Age		
		Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
т	lotal	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	54
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.
U	Inweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	5
S	Strongly agree	520			33	127	195	27	46	92	266	254	29	119	113	107	1
		34.6%	23.2%	28.0%	26.4%	33.5%	34.8% BDG	25.4%	34.0%	46.2% BCDEFGH	36.7%	32.5%	20.1%	34.6% L	39.8% L		34.
S	Somewhat agree	331	14	25	39	70	117	37	32	36	158	173	29	90	63	65	1
		22.0%	33.8% EFI	30.3%	31.5%	18.3%	20.9%	35.2% EFHI	23.5%	18.2%	21.8%	22.2%	20.7%		22.3%		20
8	Somewhat disagree	179	4	9	13	48	64	10	19	25	81	98	35	49	32	23	
		11.9%	9.2%	10.7%	10.2%	12.7%	11.4%	9.6%	14.1%	12.6%	11.2%	12.6%	25.0% NOP		11.1%	7.7%	9
8	Strongly disagree	405	13	25	38	123	154	26	31	32	188	217	45	72	62	94	
		26.9%	29.9% I			32.4% HI	27.6% I		23.1%	16.3%	26.0%	27.8%	31.4%	21.0%	22.0%	31.6% MN	29
		50															
T	Don't know	52 3.5%		1 0.8%	2	8 2.1%	21		6 4.3%	11 5.6%	23 3.1%	30 3.8%	2 1.5%		10 3.6%		5
		5.50	5.00	0.00	1.0.0	2.1.0	C		c	C	5.14	5.0%	1.5%	2.0%	5.0%	2.30	
N	No answer/Refused	17		-	-	4	9		1	2	9	. 9	2		3	2	
		1.2%				1.0%	1.6%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	1
1	Top 2 box	851				197	311	64	78	128	423	427	58		176		
		56.6%	57.0%	58.3%	57.9%	51.8%	55.7%	60.6%	57.5%	64.5% E	58.5%	54.7%	40.9%	60.8% L	62.1% L		55
E	Bottom 2 box	584	16	34	51	171	218	36	50	57	269	315	80	122	94	117	
		38.8%	39.2%	40.9% I		45.1% GI	39.0% I		37.2%	28.9%	37.2%	40.4%	56.4% MNOP	35.3%	33.2%		38
Þ	Mean	2.67	2.52	2.57	2.55	2.55	2.66	2.65	2.72	3.01	2.72	2.63	2.30	2.77	2.84	2.64	2
										BCDEFGH	2.5		_ , • • •	L	L		
Ņ	Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3
c	HI-SQUARE		<			66.	.84			>	<4.9	27>	<		79.156-		
٤	SIGNIFICANCE					95.	4%*					1%*			100.0%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

### Decima Research Inc.

Q28. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying for diagnostic services enables patients to get faster test results/follow-up treatment than others who do not pay. Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				Juage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(1
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				1
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	100.04				100.
Strongly agree	520	64	309	39	80	22	2	414	106	142	93	68	45	93	141	185	115	
	34.6%	37.4%	36.4% F	28.0%	35.5%	22.9%	16.9%	35.5%	31.4%	30.4%	35.9%	35.0%	40.1%	45.9% J	25.1%		43.1%	43.
Somewhat agree	331	35	201	29	40	23	2	270	61	87	50	51	24	56	114	113	65	
	22.0%	20.4%	23.7% E	20.5%	17.6%	23.4%	17.2%	23.1%	18.0%	18.5%	19.4%	26.3%	21.7%	27.8% J	20.4%			23.
Somewhat disagree	179	26	89	18	18	23	3	140	39	79	27	15	12	10	93	51	23	
	11.9%	15.4% E	10.5%	12.6%	7.8%	23.7% CE	27.8%	12.0%	11.5%	17.0% KLN	10.4% N	7.5%	10.7%	4.7%	16.5% PQR			6.
Strongly disagree	405	37	215	50	71	27	4	285	120	141	80	51	25	36	191	125	54	
	26.9%	21.7%	25.3%	35.8% BC	31.6% B	27.5%	38.1%	24.4%	35.6% H	30.1% N	31.0% N	26.3%	22.0%	17.8%	34.1% PQR		20.2%	20.
Don't know	52	8	26	0	16	1	-	44	8	15	7	5	5	6	19	18	6	
	3.5%	4.4% D	3.1% D	0.2%	6.9% CDF	0.8%		3.8%	2.3%	3.2%	2.7%	2.3%	4.3%	3.0%	3.4%	3.6%	2.3%	5.
No answer/Refused	17	1	8	4	1	2	-	13	4	4	1	5	1	1	3	7	4	
	1.2%	0.6%	1.0%	2.8%	0.6%	1.8%		1.2%	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	2.6%	1.3%	0.7%	0.5%	1.5%	1.6%	1
Top 2 box	851 56.6%	98 57.8%	510 60.1%	68 48.6%	120 53.1%	45 46.2%	3 34.2%	684 58.6%	167 49.4%	229 48.9%	144	120	69	149			180	1
			D	10.00	55.1%	40.2%	54.2%	J0.0% I	47.45	40.95	55.3%	61.3% J	61.7% Ј	73.7% JKL		59.6% O		67.
Bottom 2 box	584	63	304	68	89	50	7	425	159	220	108	66	37	46	284	176	77	
	38.8%	37.1%	35.8%	48.5% C	39.3%	51.2% C	65.8% BC	36.4%	47.1% H	47.0% LMN	41.4% N	33.8% N	32.7%	22.5%	50.6% PQR		28.7%	26
Mean	2.67	2.77	2.74	2.42	2.62	2.43	2.13	2.73	2.47	2.51	2.62	2.74	2.85	3.06	2.38	2.75	2.94	2
		. D	DF					I					J	JKL		0		-
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		56	.948		>	<27.	807>	<				>	<	121	.388	
LOUIT TOMICE				98	.9%*			100.	0%*			100.0%*				100	.0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 11 5

Q28. For each of the following statements, please tell me if you agree or disagree: Paying for diagnostic services enables patients to get faster test results/follow-up treatment than others who do not pay.

Base: All

. . .

Banner 3

			are Syst.				Preferred		Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
		Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier			Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%		1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		468 100.0%	756 100.0%	633 100.0%	805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%	9 <b>4</b> 7 100.0%	552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Strongly agree	520 34.6%		365 34.2%	22 25.0%	276 35.6%	208 35.7%		207 44.2% G		216 34.2%	282 35.1%	223 34.5%	264 40.3% N	255 30.1%		261 34.5%	348 36.8%	171 31.0%
Somewhat agree	331 22.0%		241 22.6%	25 29.1%	159 20.5%	133 22.8%		133 28.4% G			191 23.7%	125 19.3%	129 19.7%	202 23.8%		173 22.9%	217 23.0%	113 20.5%
Somewhat disagree	179 11.9%			12 14.2%	76 9.8%	81 13.8%		49 10.4%			101 12.6%	72 11.2%	73 11.1%	106 12.5%		98 12.9%	106 11.2%	70 12.7%
Strongly disagree	405 26.9%		30 <b>4</b> 28.5%	24 27.3%	229 29.6% F	138 23.8%		63 13.4%	201 26.6%	164 25.9%	198 24.7%	196 30.3% K	157 23.9%	248 29.3% M	29.2%	188 24.9%	229 24.1%	176 31.8% Q
Don't know	52 3.5%			3 3.3%	27 3.5%	15 2.6%		10 2.2%			22 2.8%	25 3.9%	23 3.5%	29 3.4%		30 4.0%	35 3.7%	17 3.0%
No answer/Refused	17 1.2%			1 1.1%	7 0.9%	8		6 1.4%			9 1.1%	5 0.8%	10 1.5%	7 0.9%	11 1.6%	5 0.7%	12 1.2%	5 0.9%
Top 2 box	851 56.6%			47 54.1%	434 56.1%	340 58.4%		340 72.6% G	56.5%	362 57.3%	473 58.8%	348 53.8%	393 59.9% N	457 53.9%	409 55.6%	434 57.5%	566 59.7% R	285 51.6%
Bottom 2 box	584 38.8%			36 41.5%	305 39.4%	219 37.6%		112 23.8%			300 37.3%	268 41.5%	230 35.0%	354 41.8% M	39.9%	286 37.8%	335 35.3%	246 44.5% Q
Mean	2.67	2.73	2.65	2.54	2.65	2.73	2.49	3.07 G		2.68	2.72	2.61	2.80 N	2.57	2.64	2.70	2.76 R	2.53
Median	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			137> .7%*	<	15.807 67.5%*	>	<153 100			116> 7%*		.19> .9%*	<25. 99.		<5.5 40.			109> 9%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

#### Q29. Over the past year, have you or a member of your household had to wait longer than reasonable to get access hospital or physician service? Base! All Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Ger	lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(
otal	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	5
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					100.
Inweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	. 5
es	735	20	44	65	185	292	51	59	84	364	371	77	194	162	149	1
	48.9%	48.3%	53.1% T	51.5%	48.6%	52.2% I	48.7%	43.6%	42.1%	50.3%	47.6%		56.4%	57.1%	49.9%	33
			-			1						P	P	P	P	
	755	21	38	59	189	266	53	75	112	350	405	65	150	120	145	
	50.2%	51.0%	45.5%	47.3%	49.8%	47.6%	50.1%	55.5%	56.6%	48.4%	51.9%			42.4%		64
									С						10100	L
on't know	8		1	1	3		1	1	2	7	1		_	-	2	
	0.5%		1.4%	0.9%	0.9%		0.5%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.2%				0.6%	1
o answer/Refused	6		-	0	3	1	1	-	1	2	3	-	-	1	2	
	0.4%	0.7%		0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%		0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	•		0.5%	0.7%	0
HI-SQUARE		<			17.	333			>	<5.7	62>	<		74.599-		
IGNIFICANCE					30.	9%*				87.				100.0%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

i. .

Q29. Over the past year, have you or a member of your household had to wait longer than reasonable to get access hospital or physician service? Base: All

Banner 2

\* 1. \*

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	uage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%						337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Yes	735 48.9%	85 50.1% E	446 52.6% E		26.5%				163 48.4%	237 50.7%	122 47.1%	87 44.6%	62 55.8%	102 50.5%			38.8%	76 46.1%
No	755 50.2%	84 49.5% F	399 <b>4</b> 7.0%			33.4%		587 50.3%	168 49.8%	226 48.4%	134 51.8%	108 55.1%	50 44.2%	98 48.3%				87 52.79
Don't know	8 0.5%	-	1 0.1%	2 1.6%			1 14.3%	5 0.4%	3 1.0%	4 0.9%	1 0.4%	1 0.3%	-	1 0.5%	7 1.3%	1 0.2%	-	
No answer/Refused	6 0.4%	1 0.4%	3 0.3%		2 0.9%		-	3 0.2%	3 0.8%	0 0.1%	2 0.7%	-	-	1 0.7%	-	2 0.5%	1 0.5%	1.29
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.723		>		93> 7%*	<		-10.905 46.3%*		>	<		.664 .0%*	>

Comparison Groups: ECDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q29. Over the past year, have you or a member of your household had to wait longer than reasonable to get access hospital or physician service? Base: All Banner 3

		State Health C		Access to	o HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	<b>4</b> 08 100.0%				582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	8 <b>4</b> 8 100.0%		755 100.0%		
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Yes	735 48.9%				459 59.3% DF	227 39.0%	489 49.1%	226 48.3%				346 53.6% K	367 56.0% N	368 43.4%		-	435 45.9%	
No	755 50.2%		43.4%		307 39.6%	353 60.6% E	500 50.3%	236 50.3%				298 46.1%	287 43.7%	4468 55.2% M		755 100.0%		
Don't know	8 0.5%	3 0.8%	3 0.3%	-	<b>4</b> 0.5%	1 0.2%	3 0.3%	3 0.6%			6 0.7%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	7 0.8%	÷.	-	6 0.6%	2 0.5%
No answer/Refused	6 0.4%	-	5 0.5%	1 0.6%	<b>4</b> 0.6%	1 0.1%	2 0.2%	3 0.7%	2 0.2%		2 0.3%	2 0.3%	1 0.2%	<b>4</b> 0.5%	-	-	4 0.4%	2 0.4%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<64 100	.612> .0%*	<	69.647 100.0%*	>	<2.5 54.	598> .2%*	<1. 41	944> .6%*		73> 2%*	<25. 100.		<1490 100.			045> .1%*

Comparison Groups: EC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

4 . 4

.

#### Q30. What is your age? Base: All Banner 1

ł: ,

					Reg	rion				Ger	lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P
Total	1,504 100.0%			125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		5 <b>4</b> 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
18-24	142 9.4%		7.1%		45 11.8% I	54 9.6% I	6.8%	15 11.5% I	9 4.7%	74 10.3%	68 8.6%				, F	
25-34	3 <b>44</b> 22.9%			29 23.1%	74 19.4%	130 23.2%		32 23.7%	53 26.8%	168 23.2%	176 22.6%	-	344 100.0%	-	-	
35-44	283 18.8%			22 17.9%	74 19.4%	105 18.8%		26 19.5%	40 20.0%	142 19.7%	141 18.0%	-	-	283 100.0%	-	5
45-54	298 19.8%			25 19.6%	77 20.3%	109 19.5%		28 20.8%	37 18.6%	1 <b>4</b> 6 20.2%	152 19.5%	-	-	-	298 100.0%	
55+	409 27.2%			33 26.5%	103 27.1%	155 27.7%		32 23.7%	54 27.3%	184 25.5%	225 28.8%	-	-	-	-	54 100.0
Refused	27 1.8%			5 4.0% FGH	8 2.1%	7		1 0.7%	5 2.5%	8 1.1%	19 2.4%	-	-	-	-	
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			25. 10.				>	<6.9 77.		<		6447.467 100.0%*		>

Comparison Groups: ECDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q30. What is your age? Base: All Banner 2

1						nt Status			Lang	nuage			Income					ation	
		Total	Self Employ.					Disab.	English		<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Grad
	-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total		1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%			98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16 <b>4</b> 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'		1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
18-24		142 9.4%	2 1.2%	64 7.5% B			56 57.0% BCDG			37 11.0%	75 16.0% KLMN	26 10.0% LMN	<b>4</b> 2.2%	2 1.6%	8 3.9%		8.7%	5.2%	3 1.5%
25-34		3 <b>44</b> 22.9%	47 27.4% E	224 26.4% E	28.3%	0.8%		-	275 23.6%	69 20.5%	125 26.8% N	70 27.0% N	53 27.3% N	26 23.2%	31 15.4%				51 30.9% OP
35-44		283 18.8%	53 31.0% DEF	192 22.6% EF		0.3%	7 6.9%	2 15.3%		65 19.2%	60 12.8%	<b>4</b> 9 18.7%	45 23.2% J	31 27.8% J	61 29.9% JK	14.8%	116 23.2% 0	20.5%	30 18.1%
45-54		298 19.8%	40 23.5% EF	218 25.7% EF		2.6%	2 2.0%	3 26.2%	227 19.5%	71 21.1%	61 13.0%	61 23.6% J	41 21.1% J	30 26.8% J	61 30.3% J	18.3%			32 19.6%
55+		409 27.2%	29 16.9%	141 16.6%			-	5 53.0% BCD		87 25.8%	143 30.6% KMN	54 20.7%	50 25.8%	22 19.7%	38 18.9%				<b>4</b> 9 29.8%
Refused		27 1.8%	-	10 1.2%	4 2.9%		3 3.1%	-	19 1.6%	8 2.4%	4 0.9%	-	1 0.5%	1 0.9%	3 1.5%			-	-
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			<		920 100	.547 .0%*		>	<3.7 41.	86> 9%	<		-124.266- 100.0%*		>	<		.262 0.0%	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

1

Q30. What is your age? Base: All Banner 3

4 .

		Healt		re Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- malities	Paid of Po		Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Tota	Excel	/	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.	Provin.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
		A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,9		<b>4</b> 08	1,066 100.0%	86 100.0%	774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%				646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%	9 <b>4</b> 7 100.0%	552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,	04	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
18-24		42 4% 10	42 . 4%	97 9.1%	9 10.7%	56 7.3%	67 11.5% E	102 10.3%	39 8.3%				58 9.0%	56 8.5%	86 10.2%	77 10.5%	65 8.6%	97 10.2%	45 8.1%
25-34	22	44 9% 2:	93 .8%	246 23.1%	15 17.8%	147 19.0%	175 30.1% DE	22.7%	109 23.4%				155 24.0%	138 21.0%	206 24.3%		150 19.9%	230 24.3%	114 20.7%
35-44	18	83 8% 10	67 5.5%	215 20.1%	16 18.2%	160 20.6%	96 16. <b>4</b> %		93 19.9%				128 19.8%	124 18.9%	160 18.8%	162 22.0% P	120 15.9%	199 21.0% R	85 15. <b>4</b> %
45-54	19	98 8% 10	66 5.3%	227 21.3%	10 12.0%	186 24.0% DF	90 15.4%		96 20.5%				123 19.0%	146 22.3%	152 17.9%	149 20.3%	145 19.3%		120 21.8%
55+	27	.09 2% 3:	134 2.8% C	264 24.8%	34 39.0% EF	209 27.0%	150 25.7%		124 26.4%				171 26. <b>4</b> %	182 27.8%	227 26.7%	140 19.1%	262 34.8% 0	229 24.1%	180 32.6% Q
Refused	1	27 8%	5 2%	18 1.7%	2 2.3%	16 2.1%	5 0.9%		7 1.5%				11 1.7%	10 1.5%	17 2.0%	13 1.8%	12 1.6%	15 1.6%	8 1.4%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<	-13.8 98.4		<	52.16 100.0%	>		213> .1%		694> .6%	<1.9 13		<7.1 78.		< <b>4</b> 9. 100		<20. 99.	

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

#### Q31. Compared to other people your age, would you say your health is generally: Base: All Banner 1

						gion					lder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P
Total	1,504			125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		54 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Excellent	<b>44</b> 1 29.3%	-		28 22.4%	135 35.6% BCDGH	160 28.5%		34 25.3%	56 28.3%	199 27.6%	241 30.9%	52 37.0% P	31.2%	89 31.5% F	28.1%	12 22.8
Very good	507 33.7%				131 34.4%	176 31.4%		51 37.9%	71 35.7%	251 34.7%	255 32.7%			109 38.6%		17 31.4
Good	392 26.1%			30 24.2%	80 21.1%	164 29.4% E	32.4%	34 25.5%	49 24.5%	198 27. <b>4</b> %	194 24.9%	27 18.9%		61 21.5%		16 29.6 L
Only fair	125 8.3%				24 6.3%	50 9.0%		10 7.7%	20 10.1%	64 8.9%	61 7.8%	12 8.8%		23 8.0%		6 11.8
Poor	35 2.3%		4.4%		8 2.1%	9 1.7%		<b>4</b> 3.1%	3 1.5%	8 1.1%	26 3.4% J			1 0.5%		4.2 8
Don't know	1 *%		-	-	-	-	-	1 0.5%	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-		-	0.2
No answer/Refused	4 0.3%		2 2.4%	2	2 0.5%	-	-	-	-	1 0.1%	3 0.4%	ан. -	-	-	-	
Top 2 box	947 63.0%	25 59.6%		76 60.3%	266 70.0% CDFG	336 60.0%		85 63.2%	127 63.9%	451 62.3%	496 63.6%		66.8%	199 70.1% OP	59.7%	29 54.2
Bottom 2 box	160 10.6%		12.2%	17 13.9% E	32 8.3%	60 10.7%		15 10.9%	23 11.6%	73 10.0%	87 11.2%	18 12.7%		24 8.4%		16.0 MI
Mean	3.80	3.56	3.69	3.64	3.96 BDFG	3.76	3.64	3.75	3.79	3.79	3.80	3.89 P		3.93 F		3.
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				37 8%*			>		957> 7%*	<		86.947-		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q31. Compared to other people your age, would you say your health is generally:

### Base: All

+ ,

Banner 2

					nt Status				nage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.					Disab. Pension		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Excellent	<b>441</b> 29.3%	59 34.6% EF	271 32.0% EF	36 26.1%		19 19.9%	-	316 27.0%	125 37.0% Н	99 21.2%	76 29.2% J	63 32.4% J	34 30.2%	87 43.3% JKM	22.7%			63 38.39 (
Very good	507 33.7%	57 33.6% G	295 34.8% G	40 28.9% G	29.4%		0 2.7%	396 33.9%	111 32.8%	156 33.3%	95 36.4%	65 33.1%	42 37.7%	65 32.0%				49 29.69
Good	392 26.1%	<b>4</b> 0 23.6%	219 25.8%	35 25.2%		25 25.8%	4 34.9%	322 27.6% I	70 20.7%	136 29.0% N	64 24.5%	55 28.0%	28 24.6%	38 18.6%		26.6%	17.6%	4: 26.29
Only fair	125 8.3%	12 7.3%	53 6.3%	18 12.6%		9 9.2%	3 26.2%	104 8.9%	21 6.3%	56 11.9% LN	23 8.9%	11 5.7%	8 7.5%	9 4.3%		6.5%		3.9
Poor	35 2.3%	1 0.9%	10 1.2%	10 7.1% BCF		0.3%	4 36.2% BCDEF	26 2.2%	8 2.5%	21 4.5% KL	2 0.9%	1 0.8%	-	4		1.6%		2.0
Don't know	1	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-	-	1 0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	×.	1 0.1%		-	2
No answer/Refused	4	-	_	-	-	-	-	2 0.2%	2 0.6%	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Top 2 box	947 63.0%	116 68.2% EG	566 66.7% DEG	77 55.0% G	116 51.5% G	64.7%		711 61.0%	236 69.9% Н	255 54.5%	171 65.7% J	128 65.5% J	76 68.0% J	152 75.3% J	52.8%		78.1%	11: 67.99
Bottom 2 box	160 10.6%	14 8.2%	63 7.4%	28 19.7% BC	17.7%	9.5%	6 62.4% BCDEF	130 11.2%	30 8.8%	77 16.4% KLMN	25 9.8%	13 6.5%	8 7.5%	12 6.1%		8.1%		10 5.99
Mean	3.80	3.94 DEG	3.90 DEG	3.54 G	3.52 G		2.04	3.75	3.96 H	3.55	3.84 J	3.91 J	3.91 J	4.11 JK		3.84 0		3.98
Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		192 100			>		317> 1%*	<		-94.525		>	<		.985 .0%*	;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

Q31. Compared to other people your age, would you say your health is generally: Base: All Banner 3

		State of Health Ca	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	1 System	Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Heal
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal	Provin.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%		77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%	633 100.0%	805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		5 100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	5
Excellent	<b>44</b> 1 29.3%		282 26.4%		220 28. <b>4</b> %	174 29.8%	278 27.9%	150 32.0%	226 29.8%	179 28.2%	238 29.6%	188 29.1%	199 30. <b>4</b> %	241 28.4%	191 26.0%	243 32.2%		
		с														0		
Very good	507 33.7%	141 34.5%	353 33.1%		254 32.8%	195 33.6%	324 32.5%	169 36.2%	239 31.6%	232 36.6%	289 35.9%	200 30.9%	209 31.8%	298 35.1%	244 33.2%	259 34.4%		
Good	392 26.1%	76 18.5%	309 29.0%		213 27.6%	151 26.0%	274 27.6%	107 22.8%	196 25.9%	168 26.6%	194 24.1%	185 28.5%	175 26.7%	217 25.6%	204 27.8%	184 24.4%		71
			В												1			
Only fair	125 8.3%	30 7.4%	93 8.7%		66 8.5%	51 8.7%	88 8.8%	34 7.3%	73 9.7%	40 6.4%	64 8.0%	55 8.5%	58 8.8%	68 8.0%	76 10.3% P	49 6.5%		22
Poor	35 2.3%	9 2.2%	26 2.4%		19 2.4%	10 1.8%	28 2.8%	7	20 2.6%	11 1.8%	18 2.2%	16	14	20	18	17		
						1.0%		1.5%	2.0%	1.0%	2.28	2.5%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%		6
Don't know	1 *%	-	1 0.1%		1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%	-	-	1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%	-	1 0.1%		
Io answer/Refused	4	1 0.2%	3 0.3%		2 0.3%	1 0.2%	3 0.3%	1	2	2	1	2	1	3	2	2		
×					0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%		
rop 2 box	947 63.0%	293 71.7% C	635 59.5%	57 66.2%	474 61.2%	369 63.4%	602 60.5%	319 68.2% G	<b>4</b> 65 61.5%	410 64.9%	527 65.5%	388 60.0%	<b>4</b> 08 62.2%	539 63.6%	435 59.2%	503 66.6% O		
lottom 2 box	160 10.6%	39 9.5%	118 11.1%	9 10.8%	8 <b>4</b> 10.9%	61 10.5%	115 11.6%	<b>4</b> 1 8.8%	93 12.3%	51 8.1%	83 10.3%	71 11.0%	72 10.9%	88 10.4%	94 12.8%	66 8.7%		29
									J						Р			
lean	3.80	3.97 C	3.73	3.83	3.77	3.81	3.74	3.90 G	3.77	3.84	3.83	3.76	3.80	3.80	3.70	3.88 O		2
Iedian	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3
HI-SQUARE		<31. 100.	.22>	<	7.839 4.6%*	>	<14.	087> 0%*	<14	.983> .1%*	<7.1 48.	99>	<2.5		<22. 99.	289>		

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.
"\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1
or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1

. \*

Q32. What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed? Base: All Banner 1

Gender Age Region Atlantic Atlantic 18 to 24 25 to 34 35 to 44 45 to 54 55+ Male Female Total w/out NE New Brun. (Total) Ouebec Ontario Man/Sask Alberta BC ----------------(P) (G) (H) (I) (J) (K) (L) (M) (N) (0)(B) (C) (D) (E) (F) (A) 723 781 142 344 283 298 544 Total 1,504 42 84 125 380 560 106 135 198 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 283 312 633 871 96 242 544 200 202 Unweighted 'N' 1,504 100 201 301 251 350 200 2 5 15 23 1 4 3 2 30 37 2 9 15 Public/elementary school 1 3 4 0.9% 0.6% 5.5% or less (grade 1-8) 2.5% 2.4% 2.2% 2.2% 2.4% 2.6% 4.1% 1.2% 2.3% 2.0% 2.9% 0.7% 1.1% LMNO 17 87 28 31 20 34 97 183 8 16 41 69 15 26 96 Some high school 7 13.1% 13.3% 11.2% 19.7% 9.1% 6.9% 11.2% 17.8% 12.2% 19.4% 8.9% 12.4% 10.9% 12.3% 13.9% 12.4% MN MNO C 173 169 53 71 61 67 105 341 26 85 125 27 36 41 Graduated from high 9 17 37.5% 20.7% 21.4% 22.6% 27.0% 20.6% 23.9% 21.6% 19.3% 25.8% school 22.7% 22.3% 20.5% 21.1% 22.4% 22.4% MNOP 26 105 154 29 60 58 58 73 Community/technical 259 6 14 19 103 75 11 25 19.5% 19.7% 20.1% 17.4% 20.5% 13.4% college or CEGEP 17.2% 13.8% 16.4% 15.5% 27.0% 13.4% 10.4% 18.4% 13.1% 14.6% BCDFGHI G J D P 25 12 1 9 8 10 15 Trade certification 38 2 2 4 13 8 4 4 4 2.5% 4.2% 2.5% 3.1% 3.5% 1.5% 3.7% 3.3% 2.1% 3.5% 1.6% 0.6% 2.7% 2.7% 3.3% 2.8% ĸ 12 15 29 71 90 13 29 37 39 65 Some community college 161 5 13 18 32 55 9.8% 11.8% 10.9% 14.6% 9.9% 11.5% 8.9% 8.4% 13.1% 13.0% 11.9% 11.5% 16.0% 14.5% 8.5% or university 10.7% E E 20 123 145 14 81 55 47 81 267 39 Bachelor's degree 6 15 21 61 109 17 17.8% 13.9% 17.8% 16.5% 16.0% 19.5% 16.6% 14.6% 19.9% 17.0% 18.5% 9.8% 23.6% 19.4% 15.9% 14.9% LP L Professional 41 1 3 5 5 16 4 4 6 17 23 1 8 13 7 16 2.9% 4.1% 3.1% 3.0% 2.4% 3.0% 0.8% 2.3% 4.6% 2.5% 2.9% certification 2.7% 3.5% 4.1% 3.9% 1.4% L 72 51 30 32 164 11 24 85 10 12 22 93 3 58 7 Graduate degree 4 11.2% 12.9% 9.2% 1.8% 14.8% 10.5% 10.8% 10.7% 10.9% 9.0% 8.6% 8.7% 6.4% 15.3% 9.6% 8.6% CDEH L Τ. L L No answer/Refused 7 2 12 3 3 6 3 1 5 4 0.7% 0.6% 0.9% 0.6% 0.7% 0.8% 3.1% 2.1% 1.5% 0.5% CHI-SQUARE <------92.356------> <-----> <------135.042----->> 99.0% 100.0%\* SIGNIFICANCE 99.1%\*

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

\* 1

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

#### Q32. What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed? Base: All Banner 2

		2			nt Status				ruage			Income					ation	
	Total	Self Employ.				Student	Disab.	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	468 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		499 100.0%		16 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Public/elementary school	37	7	8	5	14	2	2	28	10	24	5	2	1	1	37			
or less (grade 1-8)	2.5%	3.9%	0.9%	3.8%	6.1% C	2.0%	15.8%	2.4%	2.8%	5.1% LMN	2.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%				
Some high school	183	16	72	28	47	15	2	145	38	100	27	14	4	5	183	-	_	
	12.2%	9.7%	8.5%	20.2% BC	21.0% BC	15.6%	22.4%	12.5%	11.2%	21.4% KLMN	10.2% MN	7.1%	3.2%	2.5%	32.6%			
Graduated from high	341	40	179	46	43	30	4	272	69	142	65	33	18	21	341		-	
school	22.7%	23.4%	21.1%	33.0% CE	18.9%	30.2%	40.4%	23.3%	20.6%	30.3% LMN	25.2% N	16.6%	15.7%	10.5%				
Community/technical	259	33	168	23	24	9	1	167	92	77	54	43	20	27	-	259	_	
college or CEGEP	17.2%	19.1% E	19.8% EF	16.4%	10.7%	9.3%	9.1%	14.3%	27.2% Н	16.4%	20.7%	21.9%	18.3%	13.1%		51.9%		
Trade certification	38 2.5%	5 2.9%	24 2.9%	2	5	1	-	25	12	10	3	7	7	4	-	38		
	2.5%	2.9%	2.9%	1.6%	2.1%	1.4%		2.2%	3.7%	2.0%	1.0%	3.7%	6.3%	1.9%		7.6%		
Some community college	161	17	103	7	24	10	1	133	28	40	33	22	7	22	-	161	-	
or university	10.7%	10.1%	12.1% D	4.7%	10.8% D	9.8%	6.8%	11.4%	8.3%	8.6%	12.6%	11.2%	6.0%	10.9%		32.4%		
Bachelor's degree	267	27	165	22	33	19	-	208	59	43	45	43	34	64	-	-	267	
	17.8%	16.1%	19.5%	15.7%	14.7%	19.1%		17.8%	17.6%	9.2%	17.5% Ј	22.2% J	30.2% JK	31.6% JK			100.0%	
Professional	41	9	22	2	5	2	1	35	5	11	7	3	5	9		41		
certification	2.7%	5.1%	2.6%	1.6%	2.4%	2.0%	5.5%	3.0%	1.5%	2.4%	2.8%	1.7%	4.5%	4.4%	_	8.1%		
Graduate degree	164	16	102	4	30	10	-	145	20	21	20	29	17	49	_	_	_	16
	10.9%	9.7%	12.0%	3.0%		10.6%		12.4%	5.8%	4.6%	7.5%	14.7%	15.3%	24.3%				100.0
		D	D		D			I				JK	J	JKL				
No answer/Refused	12	-	5	-	0	-	-	7	4	-	1	-	-	· · · · ·	-	-	-	
1	0.8%		0.6%		0.1%			0.6%	1.3%		0.4%							
CHI-SQUARE		<		122	2.11		>	<45.	403>	<		-249.304-		>	<	447	6.199	
SIGNIFICANCE				100	.0%*			100	.0%			100.0%*					.0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

. + )

Q32. What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed? Base: All Banner 3

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same				Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%	1,066 100.0%		77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		<b>4</b> 68 100.0%			805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%	9 <b>4</b> 7 100.0%	552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Public/elementary school or less (grade 1-8)	37 2.5%	9 2.2%	27 2.5%		21 2.7%	8 1.4%	27 2.7%	9 2.0%	15 2.0%			17 2.6%	9 1.3%	29 3.4% M		18 2.4%	18 1.9%	19 3.4%
Some high school	183 12.2%		133 12.5%		98 12.7%	64 11.0%		<b>4</b> 6 9.9%				90 13.9%	55 8.4%	128 15.1% M		85 11.3%	88 9.3%	94 17.1% Q
Graduated from high school	3 <b>4</b> 1 22.7%	79 19.2%	258 2 <b>4</b> .2%		173 22.4%	142 24.5%		94 20.0%				127 19.6%	153 23.3%	188 22.2%	172 23.4%	168 22.2%	190 20.1%	151 27.4% Q
Community/technical college or CEGEP	259 17.2%	55 13.6%	202 18.9% B	6.4%	134 17.3% D	110 18.8% D	17.1%	82 17.5%				125 19.3%	117 17.8%	142 16.7%	153 20.8% P	104 13.8%	167 17.6%	92 16.7%
Trade certification	38 2.5%	12 2.9%	26 2.4%		17 2.2%	19 3.3%		12 2.6%			19 2.3%	19 2.9%	10 1.6%	27 3.2%	21 2.8%	17 2.2%	28 2.9%	10 1.8%
Some community college or university	161 10.7%	50 12.3%	110 10.3%		83 10.8%	61 10.4%		58 12.3%			75 9.3%	81 12.6%	85 13.0% N	76 9.0%		88 11.7%	103 10.9%	58 10.5%
Bachelor's degree	267 17.8%	88 21.7% C	170 15.9%		137 17.7%	99 16.9%		96 20.4%				112 17.4%	126 19.3%	141 16.6%	104 14.1%	162 21.5% 0	209 22.0% R	58 10.6%
Professional certification	<b>4</b> 1 2.7%	8 2.1%	30 2.8%		17 2.2%	15 2.6%		17 3.7%				15 2.4%	11 1.6%	30 3.5% M		20 2.6%	28 2.9%	13 2.3%
Graduate degree	164 10.9%	59 14.5% C	103 9.7%		87 11.2%	61 10.5%		52 11.2%		8.8%		52 8.0%	84 12.8%	81 9.5%	76 10.3%	87 11.5%	112 11.8%	53 9.6%
No answer/Refused	12 0.8%		9 0.8%		6 0.8%	3 0.6%	8 0.8%	2 0.4%	2		3	8 1.2%	6 0.9%	6 0.7%	6 0.8%	6 0.8%	5 0.5%	3 0.6%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.891> . <b>4</b> %	<	25.71 89.3%*	>		.498> .3%		.755> .6%	<28. 99.		< <b>4</b> 0. 100		<24. 99.			.247> ).0%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

4 7

Q33. Which of the following best describes your own present employment status? Base: All Banner 1

						gion					nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(I
Total	1,504			125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142				54
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Working full-time	708			55	183	269	49	63	89	405	303	38	203	165	190	13
	47.1%	46.8%	41.9%	43.5%	48.0%	48.1%	46.6%	46.7%	44.9%	56.0% K	38.8%	26.9%	59.0% LP			24.1
Working part-time	141	5	8	13	34	44										
	9.3%			10.0%	8.8%	7.9%	11 10.1%	16 12.2%	23 11.8%	34 4.7%	107 13.6% J	25 18.0% M	6.0%			10.1
Unemployed or looking	69			9	25	23	5	3	5	40	29	18	16	10	18	
for a job	4.6%	6.4%	7.1% HI	6.9% HI	6.5% HI	4.1%	4.8%	2.3%	2.3%	5.5%	3.8%	12.6% NP				1.1
Self-employed	170	2	6	8	30	69	13.	22	28	82	88	2	47	53	40	:
	11.3%	5.2%	6.7%	6.2%	7.9%	12.3% BCD	12.0% BD	16.4% BCDE	14.2% BCD	11.3%	11.3%	1.4%		18.6%	13.4%	6.8
Stay at home full-time	71	3	4	7	16	29	5	10	5	2	68	2	23			
•	4.7%			5.8%	4.1%	5.1%	4.7%	7.3% I	2.3%	0.3%	8.7% J	1.3%		5.3%	3.9%	4.2
Student	98			8	33	34	2	6	14	49	49	56	30	7	2	
	6.5%	8.2%	6.0%	6.7% G	8.7% G	6.1% G		4.6%	7.0% G	6.7%	6.3%	39.4% MNO				
Retired	225			21	53	87	20	14	31	102	123	-	2	1	6	28
	15.0%	12.1%	18.7% H	16.5% Н	13.9%	15.6%	18.8% H	10.1%	15.7%	14.1%	15.8%		0.5%	0.3%	1.9%	52.2 MI
Disability pension	10			3	3	2	1	1	1	4	6	1	-	2	3	
	0.7%	2.6%	2.8%	2.7% FHI	0.7%	0.4%	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%		0.6%	0.9%	1.3
Refusal	13 0.8%		2 2 . 4%	2 1.6%	5 1.3%	3 0.5%	-	-	3 1.5%	5	7	-	2			
									1.3%	0.8%	0.9%		0.6%	1.5%		0.2
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				.706 1%*			>		666>	<		1079.297 100.0%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

.

109

Q33. Which of the following best describes your own present employment status? Base: All Banner 2

1					Employmen					juage			Income					ation	31-
		Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(F
Total		1,504	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		499 100.0%	267 100.0%	10 100.0
Unweighted 'N'		1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16		228	477	256	184	112	193		515	255	1
			207												100	204	250	151	
Working full-time	B	708	-	708	-	-	-	-	540	168	145	139 53.4%	120	80 71.4%	128 63.5%		256 51.3%	56.5%	56.
		47.1%		83.4%					46.3%	49.8%	31.0%	53.45 J	50.10 J	/1.4% JK	J		51.3%		50.
												U	0	UR	J		0	Ū	
Working part-time	8	141		141	-	· -	-	-	113	28	47	31	12	9	12	55	61	14	
		9.3%		16.6%					9.7%	8.3%	10.2%	12.1%	6.4%	7.8%	6.2%	9.9% Q		5.2%	5.
Unemployed or loc	oking	69	-	-	69	-	-	-	44	25	46	5	3	1	3	44	15	8	
for a job		4.6%			49.4%				3.8%	7.3%	9.8% KLMN	2.1%	1.7%	1.3%	1.5%	7.8% PQR		2.9%	1.
Galf annianad		170	170						141	29	40	27	28	15	33	63	63	27	
Self-employed		11.3%	100.0%	÷.,	-				12.1%	8.5%	8.6%	10.2%	14.4%	13.5%	16.2% J	11.2%			10.
Stay at home ful:	1-time	71	-	-	71	-	_	- 1 - L	58	13	30	9	4	3	8	36	19	14	
		4.7%			50.6%				4.9%	3.9%	6.4% L	3.6%	2.3%	2.9%	3.9%	6.4% R		5.3% R	1.
Student		98	_		_	-	98	-	76	22	52	18	1	1	6	47	22	19	
		6.5%					1,00.0%		6.5%	6.6%	11.2% LMN	7.0% LM	0.5%	0.8%	3.0%	8.3% P		7.0%	6.
Retired		225		_	-	225		_	178	47	99	29	24	3	10	104	58	33	
		15.0%				100.0%			15.2%	14.0%	21.1% KLMN	11.1% MN	12.1% MN	2.3%	5.1%				18.
Disability pensio	on	10 0.7%	-	-	-	-	-	100 08		3 0.8%	8 1.8%	2 0.6%	-	-	-	8	2 0.4%	-	
		0.7%						100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%				1.80	0.40		
Refusal		13	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	2	-	1		2	1	
		0.8%							0.8%	0.9%			1.1%		0.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	1.
CHI-SQUARE			<		745	7.377		>	<12	.571>	<		-219.086-		>	<	94	.467	
SIGNIFICANCE						.0%*				.3%			100.0%*					.0%*	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

1 1

Q33. Which of the following best describes your own present employment status? Base: All

Banner 3

		State of Health Ca	are Syst.		HC Last				Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	nt Healt
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504	408	1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	633	805	646	656	848	735	755	947	55
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Working full-time	708	186	505	26	367	287	451	237	369	282	380	304	329	379	378	327	481	20
	47.1%	45.6%	47.4%	30.4%	47.4% D	49.2% D	45.3%	50.7%	48.8%		47.3%	47.0%	50.2%	44.7%		43.4%		22 40.9
Working part-time	141	35	105	7	79	50	104	33	63	67	69							
	9.3%	8.7%	9.8%		10.2%	8.5%	10.4%	7.1%	8.3%		8.6%	66 10.2%	64 9.8%	77 9.0%	68 9.3%	71 9.4%	85 9.0%	10.1
Unemployed or looking	69	17	49		38	23	52	16	40	20	46	19	28	41	39	27	37	
for a job	4.6%	4.1%	4.6%	5.8%	4.9%	3.9%	5.2%	3.4%	5.3%	3.2%	5.7% L	2.9%	4.3%	4.8%	5.4%	3.6%		5.
Self-employed	170	34	135		95	60	97	69	83	76	87	79	79	91	85	84	116	
	11.3%	8.4%	12.7% B		12.2%	10.4%	9.8%	14.7% G	11.0%	11.9%	10.8%	12.2%	12.1%	10.7%	11.6%	11.1%		9.
Stay at home full-time	71	24	46	2	39	27	53	17	28	38	37	31	22	49	2.2			
	4.7%	5.9%	4.3%		5.1%	4.6%	5.3%	3.6%	3.6%	5.9%	4.6%	4.9%	3.4%	49 5.7%	32 4.3%	39 5.2%	40 4.3%	5.
Student	98	23	73	6	45	40	71	27	47	48	50	46	38	60	63	33	<b>C</b> 2	
	6.5%	5.7%	6.8%	6.7%	5.8%	6.9%	7.1%	5.8%	6.2%		6.2%	7.1%	5.8%	7.1%	63 8-6% P	4.3%	63 6.7%	6.
Retired	225	83	136	28	98	88	148	67	114	94	124	90	87	138	60			
	15.0%	20.2% C	12.7%	32.5% EF	12.7%	15.1%	14.9%	14.3%	15.1%	14.9%		14.0%	13.3%	16.2%	8.1%	162 21.4% O		1 19.
Disability pension	10	-	10	1	8	1	8	1	6	2	8	2	4	6	6	-		
	0.7%		1.0%	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	0.8%		1.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	3 0.4%	0 *%	1.
Refusal	13	6	7	1	4	7	10	-					-					
N	0.8%	1.4%	0.7%	1.2%	0.6%	1.2%	12 1.2% H	1 0.2%	6 0.8%	6 1.0%	3 0.4%	8 1.3%	4 0.6%	8 1.0%	4 0.6%	8 1.1%	9 0.9%	
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<24. 99.	577>	<	-36.913	>	<23.	65>	<12	.593>	<14	678>		E22 \				050

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

1

Q34. What was your annual household income from all sources before taxes for 2004? Base: All

Banner 1

						jion				Gen				Age	**********	
	Total	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34			55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781	142	344	283	298	54
	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Less than \$20,000	178				61	51		12	23	65	114	45	28			٤
	11.9%	15.6%	15.8% FH		16.1% FH	9.1%	11.0%	8.7%	11.7%	9.0%	14.5% J	31.5% MNOP	8.2%	5.8%	7.2%	15.4 MR
\$20,000 - \$29,999	120				32	38		10	15	57	63	12	34			6
	8.0%	10.4%	12.5% F		8.5%	6.8%	9.4%	7.5%	7.5%	7.9%	8.0%	8.5%	9.9%	5.2%	5.1%	12.3
\$30,000 - \$39,999	169	5	11	15	65	48	15	9	17	91	78	18	63	29	24	
	11.3%	11.4%	12.7% H		17.0% FHI	8.7%	14.5% H	6.6%	8.4%	12.6%	10.0%	12.6%	18.2% NOP	10.1%	8.1%	9.4
\$40,000 - \$49,999	144				48	38		12	20	80	64	12	39			
	9.6%	9.3%	12.7% F		12.7% F	6.7%	12.2%	8.7%	9.8%	11.1%	8.2%	8.2%	11.3%	10.5%	10.7%	7.
\$50,000 - \$59,999	115	5	4	10	27	42	9	10	18	58	57	14	31	19	29	
	7.7%	13.1% C		7.8%	7.1%	7.5%	8.3%	7.2%	8.9%	8.1%	7.3%	10.1%	9.1%	6.6%	9.8% P	5.
\$60,000 - \$79,999	195	4	8	12	36	88	9	17	34	99	96	4	53	45	41	
	13.0%	9.2%	9.3%	9.3%	9.4%	15.7% CDEG		12.9%	17.1% CDEG	13.7%	12.3%	3.0%	15.5% L			11.
\$80,000 - \$99,999	112	2	4	6	17	47	9	16	18	63	49	2	26	31	30	
	7.5%				4.6%	8.3%		11.7% BCDE	9.0%	8.7%	6.3%	1.2%	7.6% L	11.0%	10.1%	5.
\$100,000 or plus	202				33	94		29	27	110	92	8	31	61	61	
	13.4%	8.0%	10.5%	9.7%	8.6%	16.7% BCDEG		21.7% BCDEGI	13.4%	15.2%	11.8%	5.6%	9.1%	21.4% LMP		8.
Don't know	68	4	4	8	11	28	8	5	8	31	37	25	13	8	3	
	4.5%	8.4%	5.2%	6.3%	3.0%	5.1%	7.7% E	3.5%	3.9%	4.2%	4.8%	17.8% MNOP	3.9%	2.7%	1.0%	5.
No answer/Refused	199				50	86		15	21	69	130	2	25			1
	13.2%	10.3%	11.6%	11.2%	13.1%	15.4%	12.2%	11.4%	10.4%	9.5%	16.7% J	1.3%	7.2% L			18. L
CHI-SQUARE		<							>			<		298.69-		
SIGNIFICANCE					100	0.0%				100	.0%			100.0%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

### Decima Research Inc.

1 1

Q34. What was your annual household income from all sources before taxes for 2004? Base: All

Banner 2

					nt Status				nage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.				Student	Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College		Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	 (Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%	225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%	1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
Less than \$20,000	178 11.9%	12 7.2%	52 6.1%	40 28.4% BC	46 20.6% BC	24 24.8% BC	4 38.6% BC	124 10.6%	55 16.3% H	178 38.1%	-	-	-	5.00 F	113 20.2% PQR	9.1%	5.4%	5 3.3%
\$20,000 - \$29,999	120 8.0%	15 9.0%	46 5.4%	17 11.9%	25 11.2% C	15 15.3%	2 19.1%	91 7.8%	29 8.6%	120 25.7%	· -	-	-	-	72 12.9% PQR	5.3%		9 5.4%
\$30,000 - \$39,999	169 11.3%	13 7.6%	95 11.1%	20 14.0%	27 12.0%	13 13.2%	2 22.4%	118 10.1%	51 15.1%	169 36.2%	-	-	ī	-	80 14.3% QR	13.1%	6.1%	7 4.3%
\$40,000 - \$49,999	1 <b>44</b> 9.6%	17 9.8%	93 11.0% D	7 4.9%	21 9.5%	5 5.0%	2 16.1%	99 8.5%	46 13.5% H	-	144 55.6%	-	-	-	55 9.8%			10 5.9%
\$50,000 - \$59,999	115 7.7%	10 5.9%	77 9.0% E	8 5.5%	7 3.3%	13 13.7% E	-	89 7.6%	26 7.7%	-	115 44.4%	-	-	-	42 7.5%			10 6.0%
\$60,000 - \$79,999	195 13.0%	28 16.6% DF	133 15.7% DEF	8 5.5%	24 10.5% F	1 1.0%	-	163 13.9%	33 9.7%	-	-	195 100.0%	-	-	<b>4</b> 8 8 - 6%		16.2%	25 17.5%
\$80,000 - \$99,999	112 7.5%	15 8.9% EF	89 10.5% DEF	5 3.4%	3 1.1%	1 0.9%	-	96 8.2% I	16 4.7%	-	-	-	112 100.0%	-	22 3.9%		12.7%	17 10.49
\$100,000 or plus	202 13. <b>4</b> %	33 19.2% DEF	141 16.6% DEF	11 7.8%	10 4.6%	6 6.2%	-	171 14.6% I	31 9.3%	-	-	-	-	202 100.0%			23.9%	49 29.9% OF
Don't know	68 4.5%	6 3.2%	31 3.6%	10 7.4%	8 3.5%	13 13.7% BCE	-	57 4.9%	11 3.2%		-	-	-	-	38 6-8% QR	3.9%		1.5%
No answer/Refused	199 13.2%	22 12.7%	93 10.9%	16 11.3%	53 23.7% BCDFG	6 6.3%	0 3.8%	159 13.6%	40 11.9%	-	-	-	· -	-	63 11.2%			26 15.79
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		280 100	.618		>		.799> ).0%	<		4947.223-		>	<		.015	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Redevel Com

Q34. What was your annual household income from all sources before taxes for 2004? Base: All

Banner 3

\* 1

			are Syst.	Access to					Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan- alities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait	Responder	
		Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%		1,066 100.0%		77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%			646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Less than \$20,000	178 11.9%		131 12.3%	13 14.9%	105 13.5% F	51 8.8%	130 13.1%	44 9.4%	77 10.2%			67 10.4%	71 10.8%	108 12.7%	78 10.6%	97 12.8%		79 14.3%
\$20,000 - \$29,999	120 8.0%			13 15.5% E	52 6.8%	51 8.7%		33 7.1%	66 8.8%			45 7.0%	47 7.2%	73 8.6%	58 7.9%	61 8.1%		58 10.5% Q
\$30,000 - \$39,999	169 11.3%		130 12.2%	10 11.1%	85 11.0%	73 12.6%	116 11.6%	53 11.2%	73 9.7%			79 12.2%	51 7.7%	118 14.0% M		68 9.0%		76 13.8%
\$40,000 - \$49,999	144 9.6%			2 2.8%	76 9.9% D	53 9.1% D	10.1%	39 8.2%	68 9.0%			65 10.0%	55 8.4%	89 10.6%	70 9.5%	74 9.8%		47 8.5%
\$50,000 - \$59,999	115 7.7%		81 7.6%	2 2.3%	55 7.1% D	56 9.6% D	7.3%	38 8.1%	57 7.5%			51 7.9%	53 8.1%	62 7.3%	52 7.1%	61 8.0%		42 7.6%
\$60,000 - \$79,999	195 13.0%		11.5%	6 6.9%	94 12.1%	87 15.0% D		60 12.7%	117 15.5% J	10.4%		79 12.3%	82 12.5%	113 13.4%	87 11.8%	108 14.3%		67 12.2%
\$80,000 - \$99,999	112 7.5%				69 8.9% D	35 6.0%		33 7.1%	62 8.3%			51 7.9%	56 8.5%	56 6.6%	62 8.5%	50 6.6%		36 6.5%
\$100,000 or plus	202 13.4%		136 12.8%		101 13.0%	78 13.4%		93 19.9% G				90 13.9%	107 16.3% N	95 11.2%		98 12.9%		50 9.1%
Don't know	68 <b>4</b> .5%		3.6%		30 3.9%	31 5.3%		16 3.4%				34 5.3%	29 4.4%	39 4.6%	32 4.3%	37 4.8%		23 4.2%
No answer/Refused	199 13.2%				107 13.8%	67 11.5%	130 13.1%	60 12.8%	91 12.1%			85 13.2%	106 16.2% N	93 11.0%	93 12.6%	103 13.6%		74 13.5%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.664> .8%	<	<b>44</b> .056 99.9%	>		.284> .9%		5. <b>4</b> 52> 2.1%	<5.3 20.		<33. 100		<13. 86.			.29> ).0%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

					Reg	jion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)		(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total	1,504	42	84	125	380	560	106	135	198	723	781					
	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%	298 100.0%	544 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Less than 5,000	352	19	35	53	96	93	41	30	37	152	200	26	84	67	65	159
	23.4%			42.6%	25.4%	16.7%		22.0%	18.8%	21.0%	25.6%	18.1%				29.2%
		EFHI	EFHI	EFHI	F		EFHI								22170	LC
10,000 to 25,000	40		7	8	5	4	7	7	9	24	16	3	6	2	13	24
	2.7%	2.8%		6.7%	1.3%	0.6%	6.5%	5.3%	4.5%	3.3%	2.1%	2.0%		0.8%		4.4%
			BEF	EF			EF	EF	F						N	N
25,000 to 100,000	156			17	49	44	8	11	27	80	76	19	25	30	34	59
	10.3%	9.8%	15.4% FGH	13.5% F	12.9%	7.8%	8.0%	8.1%	13.4%	11.1%	9.7%	13.3%		10.6%		10.8%
100,000 to 1 million	487	18	29	47	68	194	49	87	43	261	226	57	124	82	93	170
	32.4%	42.6%	34.4%	37.1%	17.8%	34.7%		64.5%	21.4%	36.1%	28.9%	40.0%		29.0%		178 32.7%
		EI	EI	EI		EI	CEFI	BCDEFGI		K				23.00	51.50	54.74
1 million plus	470		-	-	162	225		-	83	207	263	38	104	102	93	124
	31.3%				42.6%	40.2%			41.8%	28.6%	33.7%	26.7%		36.0%		22.8%
														P	P	
CHI-SQUARE		<			395.	515			>	<15	085>	<i></i>		42 667		
SIGNIFICANCE					100.	0%*								99.8%*		>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

### Q38. Community size.

# Base: All

\* #

Banner 2

				Employmen	nt Status			Lang	ruage			Income				Educ	ation	
ì	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	 (P)	(Q)	(R
Total	1,504	170 100.0%	848 100.0%		225 100.0%	98 100.0%	10 100.0%		337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				16- 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	15
Less than 5,000	352 23.4%	46 26.8%	191 22.5%						90 26.8%	137 29.2% LMN	73 28.2% LMN	36 18.7%	16 14.3%	35 17.1%		23.3%	17.1%	18 11.09
10,000 to 25,000	<b>4</b> 0 2.7%	5 3.1%	19 2.2%		11 5.0%			35 3.0%	5 1.6%	15 3.3% M	8 2.9%	5 2.6%	1 0.5%	4 1.8%	20 3.6%			1.7
25,000 to 100,000	156 10.3%	16 9.3%	8 <b>4</b> 9.9%		27 11.9%	7 7.5%	1 14.3%	108 9.3%	47 14.0%	58 12.5% N	26 10.1%	21 10.6%	14 12.6%	14 6.8%			8.2%	5.0
100,000 to 1 million	487 32.4%	53 31.4%	262 30.9%		75 33.1%		3 29.1%		65 19.3%	143 30.7%	78 30.0%	68 34.7%	48 42.5% JKN	58 28.7%				6 39.9
1 million plus	470 31.3%	50 29.5%	293 34.5% E	20.7%		25 25.5%			129 38.4% H	114 24.3%	75 28.8%	65 33.4%	34 30.0%	92 45.5% JKLM	22.8%		41.3%	70 42.35
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<		36	.61 .7%*		>	<39 100		<		-52.754 100.0%*				67	.911	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

117

#### Q38. Community size. Base: All Banner 3

		State - Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Imposing cial Per		Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System			Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%	408 100.0%			774 100.0%	582 100.0%	995 100.0%	468 100.0%	756 100.0%	633 100.0%		646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Less than 5,000	352 23.4%	89 21.9%	254 23.8%		181 23.4%	135 23.2%	256 25.8% Н	83 17.7%	177 23.4%	149 23.5%		162 25.1%	129 19.6%	223 26.3% M	176 24.0%	173 22.9%		
10,000 to 25,000	40 2.7%	13 3.2%	26 2.5%		17 2.1%	17 2.9%	28 2.8%	10 2.2%	25 3.3%	12 2.0%		17 2.6%	14 2.1%	26 3.1%	16 2.2%	23 3.1%	22 2.3%	18 3.3%
25,000 to 100,000	156 10.3%	38 9.3%	115 10.8%		78 10.0%	61 10.4%	95 9.5%	55 11.8%	73 9.7%	63 9.9%		63 9.7%	55 8.4%	101 11.9%	78 10.5%	74 9.9%		54 9.8%
100,000 to 1 million	487 32.4%	135 33.1%	342 32.1%		251 32.4%	192 32.9%	330 33.2%	148 31.6%	252 33.3%	200 31.6%		208 32.2%	211 32.1%	276 32.5%	227 30.9%	258 34.1%	312 32.9%	174 31.4%
1 million plus	<b>47</b> 0 31.3%	133 32.5%	329 30.9%		248 32.0%	178 30.6%	286 28.8%	172 36.7% G	229 30.3%	209 33.0%		197 30.4%	247 37.7% N	223 26.3%	238 32.4%	226 30.0%	304 32.1%	166 30.0%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<2.0 15	035> .6%*	<	-13.291 79.2%*	>	<17. 99.	655> 7%*	<3.4 36	11> .3%*	<2.2 18.	15> 1%*	<28. 100.		<3.3 35.			187> .7%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

Language of the interview. Base: All

Banner 1

· \* . #

۲

					Reg	gion				Ger	nder			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male			25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%							135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%					54 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
English	1,167 77.6%		96.6%	97.8%			100.0%	135 100.0% CDE	198 100.0% CDE	56 <b>4</b> 78.0%	603 77.2%					47 86.4 LN
French	337 22.4%		3 3.4% F	-	333 87.5% CDF	2 0.3%		-	-	159 22.0%	178 22.8%				23.8%	7 13.6
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<				5.486 0.0%			>		.6%	<		21.71 100.0%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

Language of the interview. Base: All

Banner 2

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	nage			Income				Educ	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%		848 100.0%			98 100.0%			337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%		<b>4</b> 99 100.0%		16 <b>4</b> 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151
English	1,167 77.6%	141 83.2%	653 76.9%			76 77.4%		1,167 100.0%	÷ -	333 71.2%	188 72. <b>4</b> %	163 83.3% JK	96 85.7% JK	171 84.5% JK	79.2%	362 72.5%		145 88.1% OPQ
French	337 22. <b>4</b> %	29 16.8%	196 23.1%			22 22.6%		-	337 100.0%	135 28.8% LMN	72 27.6% LMN	33 16.7%	16 14.3%	3,1 15.5%			22.2%	20 11.9%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			433 .5%		>		50 <b>4</b> > ).0%	<		27.47 100.0%		>	<		.69	>

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

Language of the interview.

#### Base: All Banner 3

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Respons Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responder	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System				Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%				77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		468 100.0%			805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
English	1,167 77.6%				605 78.2%	<b>444</b> 76.2%		327 69.8%			666 82.8% L	462 71.5%	520 79.3%	646 76.2%		587 77.8%	711 75.1%	453 82.0% Q
French	337 22.4%				169 21.8%	139 23.8%		141 30.2% G	90 11.9%		138 17.2%	184 28.5% K	136 20.7%	201 23.8%		168 22.2%		100 18.0%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.62> .0%	<	2. <b>44</b> 9 70.6%	>		91 <b>4</b> >		.51> 0.0%		.579> ).0%	<2.0 84.		< .7			449> .8%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

\* 2. 4

Gender. Base: All Banner 1

					Reg	jion				Gen	der			Age		
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic (Total)	Quebec	Ontario	Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
otal	1,504 100.0%				380 100.0%	560 100.0%	106 100.0%	135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%			298 100.0%	544 100.0%
nweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
ale	723 48.1%				183 48.0%	269 48.0%	51 48.1%	67 49.9%	95 48.1%	723 100.0%		74 52.4%				224 41.2%
emale	781 51.9%	25 58.7%			198 52.0%	291 52.0%	55 51.9%	68 50.1%	103 51.9%	-	781 100.0%	68 47.6%			152 51.1%	320 58.8% NO
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			1.1				) 		0 <b>4</b> >	<		11.125- 97.5%		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

r

#### Gender. Base: All Banner 2

\* 2 1

				Employme	nt Status			Lang	ruage			Income				Eđuc	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.	Employ.	Unempl.	Retired	Student	Disab. Pension	English	French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc. or Less		Univer.	Post Građ
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(
Total	1,50 <b>4</b> 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%			98 100.0%			337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				1 100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
Male	723 48.1%	82 48.1% D				49 49.6% D	41.5%	564 48.3%	159 47.1%	213 45.5%	139 53.4%	99 50.7%	63 56.3%	110 54.4%	283 50.4%			56.
Female	781 51.9%	88 51.9%			54.7%	49 50.4%			178 52.9%	255 54.5%	121 46.6%	96 49.3%		92 45.6%			54.1%	43.
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			.651 0.0%		>	<1 30.		<		8.251 91.7%		>	<		684 .9%	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

		State Health C		Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Respons: Final D	ible for ecision	Federal Imposing cial Per	J Finan-	Paid of Po		Longer th essary		Responde	nt Health
	Total	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System		Federal Govern.	Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Total	1,504 100.0%					582 100.0%		468 100.0%			805 100.0%	646 100.0%	656 100.0%	8 <b>4</b> 8 100.0%		755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Male	723 48.1%				332 42.9%	301 51.8% E		249 53.3% G	375 49.7%		379 47.2%	322 49.8%	322 49.0%	401 47.3%	364 49.5%	350 46.3%		
Female	781 51.9%					281 48.2%		219 46.7%	380 50.3%	333 52.7%	425 52.8%	325 50.2%	335 51.0%	<b>44</b> 6 52.7%		405 53.7%		
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<14 10	.852> 0.0%	<	18.817 100.0%	>	<8. 99.	97> 7%	< 62	783> .4%	<9 68.	988> .0%	<4: 48		<1.4 77.			292> .1%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

#### REGION CODE Base: All

Banner 1

٣

							gion					nder			Age		č
	T	otal	Atlantic	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female	18 to 24		35 to 44	45 to 54	55+
	14	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
Total		1,504 100.0%			125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		544 100.09
Unweighted 'N'		1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	544
Atlantic without New Brunswick		42 2.8%		5	42 33.4%	-	-	-	-	-	17 2.4%	25 3.1%			8 3.0%	-	27 5.09
New Brunswick		84 5.6%		84 100.0% D	66.6%	-	-	-	-	-	41 5.7%	43 5.5%			14 4.9%		68 12.5% LMNC
Quebec		380 25.3%		-	-	380 100.0%		-	Ē	Ē	183 25.3%	198 25.3%				25.8%	84 15.49
Ontario		560 37.2%			-		560 100.0%		-	-	269 37.2%	291 37.2%		37.7%	105 37.1% P	36.6%	13 24.8
Manitoba		51 3.4%		-	-	-	-	. 51 48.1%	-	-	23 3.2%	28 3.5%			10 3.5%		3 6.8 LM
Saskatchewan		55 3.6%			-	-		. 55 51.9%		-	28 3.8%	27 3.5%					3- 6.3 1
Alberta		135 9.0%			-	-		-	135 100.0%		67 9.3%	68 8.7%			26 9.3%		59 10.89
British Columbia		198 13.2%		с ,	-	-		-	-	198 100.0%	95 13.2%	103 13.2%	-		14.0%		100 18.45 Lo
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			<				1.435 .0%*			>		2 <b>4</b> 1> .0%	<		100.213- 100.0%		·····,

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Decima Research Inc.

#### **REGION CODE** Base: All Banner 2

					nt Status				Juage			Income				Eđuc	ation	
	Total	Self Employ.			Retired		Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College	Univer.	Post Grad
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)		(P)	(Q)	(
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%				10 100.0%		337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				1 100.
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	1
Atlantic without New	42	2	24				1	42	-	16	9	4	2	3	18	14	6	
Brunswick	2.8%	1.3%	2.9%	4.1%	2.2%	3.5%	10.5%	3.6%		3.3%	3.6%	2.0%	1.6%	1.7%	3.3%	2.8%	2.2%	2.3
New Brunswick	84	6	43				2		3	34	15	8	4	9	26	33	15	
	5.6%	3.3%	5.0%	7.3%	7.0%	5.1%	22.6%	6.9% I	0.8%	7.3%	5.7%	4.0%	3.4%	4.3%				4.3
Quebec	380 25.3%	30 17.7%	216 25.5%			33 33.9%	3 27.8%		333	158	75	36	17	33				
	20101	27173	29.9%		23.40	33.9% B	27.05	4.13	98.7% H	33.8% LMN	29.0% LMN	18.2%	15.5%	16.1%	24.2% R			14.8
Ontario	560	69	313		87	34	2	558	2	137	80	88	47	94	208	154	109	
	37.2%	40.6%	36.9%	36.8%	38.7%	34.6%	21.3%	47.8% I	0.5%	29.3%	30.7%	44.9% JK	41.6% J	46.3% JK	37.1%			51.
Manitoba	51	5	31		10	1	0	51		14	12	5	4	5	23	14	9	
	3.4%	2.9%	3.6%	3.1%	4.3%	0.9%	3.8%	4.4%		3.0%	4.5%	2.5%	3.5%	2.5%	4.1%	2.8%	3.3%	3.3
Saskatchewan	55	8	29	6		1	0	55	-	23	10	4	5	3	23	18	9	
	3.6%	4.5%	3.5%	4.2%	4.5%	1.5%	3.8%	4.7%		4.9% N	3.9%	2.2%	4.3%	1.4%				3.1
Alberta	135	22	79		14	6	1	135	-	31	21	17	16	29	55	48	20	
	9.0%	13.0% E	9.4%	9.2%	6.0%	6.3%	5.0%	11.6%		6.6%	8.3%	8.9%	14.1%	14.5% J				7.0
British Columbia	198	28	112	9	31	14	1	198	-	55	37	34	18	27	72	65	39	
	13.2%	16.6% D	13.3% D		13.8% D	14.2%	5.1%	17.0%		11.7%	14.3%	17.3%	15.8%	13.2%				13.6
CHI-SQUARE		<			-													
SIGNIFICANCE				78	.5%*		>	<1240	.829> .0%	<		-80.657		>	<		.696	

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Independent Trest for means, independent 2-ist for restinges Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level. \*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1 or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

#### REGION CODE Base: All

Banner 3

h 7

		State Health C	are Syst.	Access to	HC Last	2 Years	Preferred	l System	Final D		Federal Imposing cial Per	g Finan- halities	Paid of Po	cket	Longer th essary	Wait		nt Health
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same	One tier System	Two tier		Provin. Govern.	Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Fotal	1,504 100.0%			86 100.0%	77 <b>4</b> 100.0%	582 100.0%		<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	756 100.0%	633 100.0%		646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%	735 100.0%	755 100.0%		552 100.0%
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	582
Atlantic without New Brunswick	42 2.8%			5 5.6%	17 2.2%	19 3.3%		7 1.6%	25 3.3%			13 2.0%	13 2.0%	28 3.4%	20 2.7%	21 2.8%	25 2.6%	
New Brunswick	84 5.6%			6 6.7%	39 5.0%	37 6.3%		19 4.1%	46 6.1%			38 5.8%	30 4.6%	53 6.3%	44 6.0%	38 5.0%		31 5.6%
Quebec	380 25.3%			21 24.1%	183 23.7%	158 27.2%		162 34.5% G	14.9%		20.6%	200 30.9% K	164 25.0%	216 25.5%	185 25.1%	189 25.1%		20.3%
Ontario	560 37.2%			19 22.1%	331 42.8% DF	192 32.9% I	40.6%	139 29.7%		28.1%		227 35.2%	242 36.9%	317 37.4%	292 39.7%	266 35.3%		
Manitoba	51 3.4%			4 4.9%	20 2.6%	24 4.19		15 3.2%				20 3.1%	22 3.4%	28 3.3%	26 3.5%	25 3.3%		24 4.3%
Saskatchewan	55 3.6%			7 8.0%	27 3.5%	20 3.5%		20 4.2%				24 3.8%	15 2.3%	40 4.7% M		28 3.7%		
Alberta	135 9.0%			12 14.4%	54 6.9%	62 10.69		43 9.1%				62 9.5%	58 8.9%	76 9.0%	59 8.0%	75 9.9%		
British Columbia	198 13.2%			12 14.3%		71 12.29		63 13.5%		10.79		9.7%	111 16.9% N	88 10.3%	84 11.4%	112 14.9%		72 13.0%
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE			.058> 7.7%	<	36.958 99.9%			.563> 0.0%		0.702> 00.0%		.847> 0.0%	<22. 99.		<7.7 64.			.806> .1%

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.

### **PROVINCE CODE** Base: All

Banner 1

						jion	***********				lder			Age	************	
	Total	Atlantic w/out NB	New Brun.	Atlantic	Quebec		Man/Sask	Alberta	BC	Male	Female		25 to 34		45 to 54	55+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P
Total	1,504 100.0%			125 100.0%	380 100.0%	560 100.0%		135 100.0%	198 100.0%	723 100.0%	781 100.0%	142 100.0%		283 100.0%		54 100.09
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	100	201	301	251	350	200	200	202	633	871	96	242	283	312	54
Newfoundland	19 1.2%		6	19 15.0%	-	-	-	-	-	9 1.3%	10 1.2%	1 0.8%		4	-	1: 2.09
Nova Scotia	20 1.3%		6	20 15.7%	-	-	-	-	-	8 1.0%	12 1.6%			5 1.7%		1 2.4
PEI	3 0.2%			3 2.7%	-	-	-	-	-	1 0.1%	3 0.4%			-	1 0.3%	0.6
New Brunswick	84 5.6%		84 100.0% D	84 66.6%	-	-		-	Ţ	41 5.7%	43 5.5%	6 4.2%		14 4.9%		6 12.5 LMN
Quebec	380 25.3%		-	-	380 100.0%	-	-	-	-	183 25.3%	198 25.3%	45 31.6% P	21.4%	74 26.0% P	25.8%	8 15.4
Ontario	560 37.2%		-	-	- <b>-</b>	560 100.0%			-	269 37.2%	291 37.2%	54 37.9% P	37.7%	105 37.1% P	36.6%	13 24.8
Manitoba	51 3.4%		-	-	-	-	51 48.1%	-	-	23 3.2%	28 3.5%	2 1.4%		10 3.5%		3 6.8 LM
Saskatchewan	55 3.6%		-	-	-		55 51.9%	_		28 3.8%	27 3.5%	5 3.7%		6 2.1%		3 6.3
Alberta	135 9.0%		-	-	, -	-	- -	135 100.0%	-	67 9.3%	68 8.7%	15 10.9%		26 9.3%		5 10.8
British Columbia	198 13.2%		-	-	-	-		-	198 100.0%	95 13.2%	103 13.2%	9 6.6%		40 14.0%		100 18.45 L0
CHI-SQUARE SIGNIFICANCE		<			8961 100.	.435 0%*			>		73> %*	<		103.981- 100.0%*		;

Comparison Groups: BCDEFGHI/JK/LMNOP

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

#### Decima Research Inc.

#### PROVINCE CODE Base: All Banner 2

\*

		Employment Status							guage		Income					Education			
	Total	Self Employ.				Student	Disab.		French	<40K	40K to <60K	60K to <80K	80K to <100K	100K+	High Sc.	College		Post Grad	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Total	1,504 100.0%	170 100.0%	848 100.0%	140 100.0%		98 100.0%		1,167 100.0%	337 100.0%	<b>4</b> 68 100.0%	260 100.0%	195 100.0%	112 100.0%	202 100.0%				164	
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	157	813	140	300	68	16	1,276	228	477	256	184	112	193	571	515	255	151	
Newfoundland	19	_	11	3	2	2	_	19	_	6	4	2	1	2	9	6	2	2	
	1.2%		1.3%	2.3%	1.1%	1.8%		1.6%		1.3%	1.6%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.6%	1.2%	0.8%	1.0%	
Nova Scotia	20 1.3%	2 1.0%	11 1.3%	2 1.7%		1 1.1%		20 1.7%	-	8 1.8%	4	2	1 0.7%	1 0.7%		6 1.3%		2 1.3%	
												1.0%	0.7%					1.34	
PEI	3 0.2%	1 0.3%	2 0.2%	-	1 0.3%	1 0.6%		3 0.3%	-	1 0.2%	2 0.6%	-	-	0 0.2%					
New Brunswick	84	6	43	10					3	34	15	8	4	9			15	7	
	5.6%	3.3%	5.0%	7.3%	7.0%	5.1%	22.6%	6.9% I		7.3%	5.7%	4.0%	3.4%	4.3%	4.7%	6.5%	5.6%	4.3%	
Quebec	380	30	216			33		47	333	158	75	36	17	33			61	24	
	25.3%	17.7%	25.5% B		23.4%	33.9% B		4.1%	98.7% H	33.8% LMN	29.0% LMN	18.2%	15.5%	16.1%	24.2% R			14.8%	
Ontario	560	69	313	51	87	34	2	558	2	137	80	88	47	94	208	154	109	85	
	37.2%	40.6%	36.9%	36.8%	38.7%	34.6%	21.3%	47.8% I	0.5%	29.3%	30.7%	44.9% JK	41.6% J	46.3% JK		30.9%	40.8% P	51.9% OP	
Manitoba	51	5	31	4		1		51	-	14	12	5	4	5		14	9	5	
	3.4%	2.9%	3.6%	3.1%	4.3%	0.9%	3.8%	4.4%		3.0%	4.5%	2.5%	3.5%	2.5%	4.1%	2.8%	3.3%	3.1%	
Saskatchewan	55 3.6%	8 4.5%	29 3.5%	6 4.2%						23 4.9% N	10 3.9%	4 2.2%	5 4.3%	3 1.4%		18 3.6%	9 3.2%	5 3.1%	
Alberta	135	22	79	13	14	6	1	135	-	31	21	17	16	29	55	48	20	12	
	9.0%	13.0% E	9.4%	9.2%	6.0%	6.3%	5.0%	11.6%		6.6%	8.3%	8.9%	14.1%	14.5% J	9.7%	9.6%		7.0%	
British Columbia	198	28	112	-			A	198	-	55	37	34	18	27	72	65	39	22	
	13.2%	16.6% D	13.3% D		13.8% D		5.1%	17.0%		11.7%	14.3%	17.3%	15.8%	13.2%	12.8%	13.0%	14.8%	13.6%	
CHI-SQUARE		<48.571 28.3%*				>	> <1240.829> <82.64						38.427						
SIGNIFICANCE								100.0%*			100.0%*					76	.3%*		

Comparison Groups: BCDEFG/HI/JKLMN/OPQR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*\* Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

		State Health C	are Syst.	Access to HC Last 2 Years			Preferred System		Responsible for Final Decision		Federal Govern. Imposing Finan- cial Penalities		Paid out of Pocket		Longer than Nec- essary Wait		Respondent Health	
	Total	Excel./	Fair/ Poor/ V. Poor	Better	Worse	Same		Two tier	Federal Govern.		Support	Oppose	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel./ V. Good	Fair/ Poor/
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)	 (Q)	(R
Total	1,504	408	1,066	86	774	582	995	468	756	62.2	0.05							
	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	633 100.0%		646 100.0%	656 100.0%	848 100.0%		755 100.0%		55 100.0
Unweighted 'N'	1,504	416	1,060	100	762	581	1,014	448	775	618	818	628	638	866	696	792	917	58
Newfoundland	19	5	13	3	9	6	15	3	11	7	13	5	5	13	8	10	13	
	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	2.9%	1.2%	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%	1.5%			0.8%	0.8%	1.6%	1.1%	1.4%		
Nova Scotia	20		12	2	7	11	15	4	12	7	14	6	7	13	10	9	10	
	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	2.3%	0.9%	1.9%	1.5%	0.8%	1.6%	1.1%	1.7%	0.9%	1.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.2%		
PEI	3	1	3	0	1	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	
	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%			0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%		0.3
New Brunswick	84	21	62	6	39	37	62	19	46	31	44	38	30	53	44	38	51	2
	5.6%	5.2%	5.8%	6.7%	5.0%	6.3%	6.2%	4.1%	6.1%	4.9%		5.8%	4.6%	6.3%	6.0%	5.0%		3. 5.6
Quebec	380	87	283	21	183	158	207	162	113	231	166	200	164	216	185	189	266	11
	25.3%	21.3%	26.6%	24.1%	23.7%	27.2%	20.8%	34.5%	14.9%	36.6%	20.6%	30.9%	25.0%	25.5%	25.1%	25.1%		20.3
								G		I		ĸ					R	
Ontario	560	155	400	19	331	192	404	139	338	178	313	227	242	317	292	266	336	22
	37.2%	37.9%	37.5%	22.1%	42.8% DF	32.9% D	40.6% H	29.7%	44.7% J	28.1%	38.9%	35.2%	36.9%	37.4%	39.7%	35.3%		40.6
Manitoba	51	13	36	4	20	24	22											
	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%	4.9%	2.6%	4.1%	33 3.3%	15 3.2%	26 3.5%	21 3.2%		20 3.1%	22 3.4%	28 3.3%	26 3.5%	25 3.3%	27 2.8%	4.3
Saskatchewan	55	17	37	7	27	20	34	20	26	25	28	. 24	15	40	26	28	31	2
	3.6%	4.2%	3.4%	8.0%	3.5%	3.5%	3.4%	4.2%	3.4%	4.0%		3.8%	2.3%	4.7% M	3.5%	3.7%		4.4
Alberta	135	43	88	12	54	62	89	43	65	64	68	62	58	76	59	75	0.5	
	9.0%	10.6%	8.3%	14.4%	6.9%	10.6%	8.9%	9.1%	8.6%	10.2%		9.5%	8.9%	9.0%	8.0%	9.9%	85 9.0%	4: 8.9
British Columbia	198	58	132	12	103	71	133	63	116	68	129	63	111	88	84	112	127	7:
	13.2%	14.2%	12.4%	14.3%	13.3%	12.2%	13.3%	13.5%	15.4% J	10.7%		9.7%	16.9% N	10.3%	11.4%	14.9%		13.09
CHI-SQUARE		<7	64>	(	-38 707		4.0	612										
SIGNIFICANCE		25.	.5%*		98.5%*	>	<40. 100.	0%*	<99 100	.771> .0%*	<31. 99.	819> 9%*	<22.! 98.0	572> 0%*	<8.00			083: 2%*

Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GH/IJ/KL/MN/OP/QR

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages

Upper case letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

\*\*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1

or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Decima Research Inc.