PORG-657 FY200

Health Care Reform

Attitudes toward Health Care Reform POR-02-26-S Prepared for: Health Canada Focus Canada 2001-4 (pn 5086)



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Nizam Siddiqui, Health Canada

FROM:

Derek Leebosh, Environics Research

DATE:

January 21, 2002

SUBJECT:

Focus Canada 2001-4 Omnibus Findings

Health Canada placed three omnibus questions on Environics Research's Focus Canada national omnibus survey 2001-4. This telephone survey of 2,030 Canadians 18 years of age and over was carried out by telephone between December 17, 2001 and January 6, 2002. Results to a survey of this size can be considered accurate to within plus or minus 2.2 percent, nineteen times out of twenty.

The results can be summarized as follows:

- A large majority of Canadians (60%) say that the approach they prefer to health care reform is one where all the provinces participate in a national plan for health care reform. Less than four in ten (38%) prefer an approach whereby each province embarks on its own plan to meet its own needs. Two percent have no opinion.
- Those most likely to prefer all the provinces participating in a national plan include: men (63%), those 45 years of age and over (65%), English-speakers (67%), and residents of the Atlantic provinces (77%), Manitoba (73%) and Saskatchewan (70%). Those least likely to favour this approach include: 18 to 29 year olds (51%), French-speakers (40%) and Albertans (52%).

- Canadians were told that the Government of Canada has launched the Romanow Commission on the future of health care in Canada and that it is scheduled to report a year from now. Canadians were asked to rate on a scale of 1 to 7 their view of two perspectives. A rating of "1" signifies total agreement that "we have been examining the issue of health-care reform long enough and that we should implement major reforms immediately". A rating of "7" signifies total agreement that "the Romanow Commission should have an opportunity to consult Canadians about health care reforms and take the time to ensure we do things right."
- On average, Canadians have a slight preference for taking the time to ensure that we
 do things right with the overall score averaging 4.2. All in all, 41 percent of Canadians
 favour taking immediate action (i.e.: 1-3), 45 percent favour taking more time (i.e.: 5-7)
 and 11 percent give a "4" rating and are right in the middle.
- In general those groups most likely to favour taking immediate action include: those 45 years of age and over, French-speakers, those with less than a high school education and Quebecers. Those most likely to favour giving the Romanow Commission time to consult and taking the time to ensure that we get things right include: 18 to 29 year olds and residents of the western provinces.
- Less than half (46%) of Canadians think that the private sector's involvement in the delivery of health care should be much (18%) or somewhat (28%) larger. Another 39 percent think that the private sector's involvement should remain the same as it is now and 13 percent feel that it should be much (6%) or somewhat (7%) smaller. Three percent have no opinion.
- Support for increased private sector involvement in health care is highest among French-speakers (58%), those with high school educations or less (51%), Quebecers (57%) and British Columbians (50%). Support for increased private sector involvement in health care is lowest among those 60 years of age and over (42%), English-speakers (41%), union members (38%) and residents of Ontario (39%), Saskatchewan (34%) and Alberta (35%).

 $Q_{uestion naire} \\$

Health Canada Omnibus FC14

- 1. J Which of the following approaches do you prefer to health care reform: READ AND ROTATE
- 01 Each province embarking on its own plan to meet its own needs
- 02 All the provinces participating in a national plan for health-care reform

VOLUNTEERED

99 - DK/NA

2. J The Government of Canada has launched a commission on the future of health care in Canada – the Romanow Commission. It is scheduled to report a year from now. Some people say [ROTATE] we have been examining the issue of health-care reform long enough and that we should implement major reforms immediately. Other people say [ROTATE] the Romanow Commission should have an opportunity to consult Canadians about health care reforms and take the time to ensure we do things right. On a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means major health care reforms immediately and 7 means taking time to get health care reforms right, which approach do you prefer.

Immediate						Take time	DK/NA
01	02	b 03	04	05	06	07	99

- 3. J In your opinion, should the private sector's involvement in delivering health care to Canadians be larger, smaller or about the same as it is now? IF LARGER OR SMALLER PROBE: Should its involvement be much larger/smaller or only somewhat larger/smaller?
- 01 Much larger
- 02 Somewhat larger
- 03 About the same as now
- 04 Somewhat smaller
- 05 Much smaller
- 99 DK/NA

Santé Canada Omnibus FC14

- 1. J Laquelle des approches suivantes préférez-vous pour la réforme des soins de santé? LIRE EN ROTATION
- 01 Chaque province adopte son propre plan pour répondre à ses propres besoins
- 02 Toutes les provinces participent à un plan national pour la réforme des soins de santé

NON SUGGÉRÉ

99 - NSP/PR

2. J Le gouvernement du Canada a formé une commission sur l'avenir des soins de santé au Canada, la Commission Romnow. Elle devrait remettre son rapport dans un an. Certaines personnes disent [LIRE EN ROTATION] que nous examinons la question de la réforme des soins de santé depuis assez longtemps et que nous devrions procéder immédiatement à des réformes importantes. D'autres disent [LIRE EN ROTATION] que la Commission Romanow devrait avoir la possibilité de consulter les Canadiens sur les réformes des soins de santé et prendre le temps de s'assurer que nous faisons bien les choses. Sur une échelle de 1 à 7, où 1 veut dire procéder immédiatement à des réformes importantes des soins de santé et où 7 veut dire prendre le temps pour bien faire les choses, quelle approche préférez-vous?

Immédiat	ement						Prendre le temps	NSP/PR
01	02	03	04	05	06	07	99	

- 3. J D'après vous, est-ce que la participation du secteur privé dans le domaine des soins de santé qui sont dispensés aux Canadiens devrait être plus grande, plus faible ou à peu près la même que maintenant? SI PLUS GRANDE OU PLUS FAIBLE, SONDER: est-ce que sa participation devrait être beaucoup plus grande/faible ou seulement un peu plus grande/faible?
- 01 Beaucoup plus grande
- 02 Un peu plus grande
- 03 À peu près la même que maintenant
- 04 Un peu plus faible
- 05 Beaucoup plus faible
- 99 NSP/PR

Methodology

Focus Canada 2001-4 Omnibus Survey Methodology Report

The results of the survey are based on questions asked to 2,030 Canadians 18 years of age and older living within the ten provinces of Canada: 239 in the Atlantic provinces, 500 in Quebec, 554 in Ontario and 737 in the Western provinces. The survey was conducted by telephone from December 17, 2001 to January 6, 2002.

Sample Selection

The sampling method was designed to complete approximately 2,000 interviews within households randomly selected across Canada. It is drawn in such a way that it represents the Canadian population with the exception of those Canadians living in the Yukon, Northwest Territories or Nunavut or in institutions (armed forces barracks, hospitals, prisons).

The sampling model relies on the stratification of the population by ten regions (Atlantic, Montreal CMA, the rest of Quebec, Toronto CMA, the rest of Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Vancouver CMA and the rest of British Columbia) and by four community sizes (1,000,000 inhabitants or more, 100,000 to 1,000,000 inhabitants, 5,000 to 100,000 inhabitants, and under 5,000 inhabitants).

The final sample was distributed as follows.

	1996 Census** %	Weighted N=2,030	Unweighted N=2,030	Margin of Error
Newfoundland	2	39	60	12.7
Prince Edward Island	*	9	25	19.6
Nova Scotia	3	65	79	11.0
New Brunswick	3	53	75	11.3
Quebec	25	512	500	4.4
Ontario	37	759	554	4.2
Manitoba	4	77	130	8.6
Saskatchewan	3	67	132	8.5
Alberta	9	184	230	6.5
British Columbia	13	266	245	6.3

^{*} Fewer than one percent

Environics uses a modified Waksburg Mitofsky sample selection technique. Telephone numbers are selected from the most recently published telephone directories. These numbers act as "seeds" from which the sample is actually generated. The original "seed" telephone numbers are not used in the sample. The Waksburg Mitofsky sample selection technique ensures both unlisted numbers and numbers listed after the directory publication are included in the sample.

A total of 19,181 telephone numbers were drawn. From within each household contacted, respondents 18 years of age and older were screened for random selection using the "most recent birthday" method. The use of this technique produces results that are as valid and effective as enumerating all persons within a household and selecting one randomly.

^{**} Canadians aged 18 years or over in 1996, excluding those in the Northwest Territories and the Yukon (21,693,400)

Telephone Interviewing

Field supervisors were present at all times to ensure accurate interviewing and recording of responses. Ten percent of each interviewer's work was unobtrusively monitored for quality control in accordance with the standards set out by the Canadian Association of Marketing Research Organizations.

A minimum of five calls were made to a household before classifying it as a "no answer."

Margin of Error

The margin of error for a stratified probability sample of this size is estimated to be +/- 2.2 percentage points, 19 times out of 20. The margin of error is greater for results pertaining to regional or socio-demographic subgroups of the total sample.

Completion Results

A total of 2,030 interviews were completed. The following table presents the detailed completion results.

The effective response rate for the survey is 15 percent: the number of completed interviews (2,030) divided by the total sample (19,181) minus the non-valid/non-residential numbers, the numbers not in service and the numbers that presented a language barrier (5,287).

The actual completion rate is 27 percent.

	N	%
Number of calls	19,181	100
Household not eligible	224	1
Non-residential/not in service	4,464	23
Language barrier	599	3
Subtotal	5,287	28
New Base (19,181-5,287)	13,894	100
No answer/line busy/		
respondent not available	6,276	45
Refusals 5,485	40	
Mid-interview refusals	103	1
Subtotal	11,864	85
Net Completions (13,894-11,864)	2,030	15
Completion Rate (2,030/[13,894-6,276])		27

Statistical Tables

1.J Which of the following approaches do you prefer to health care reform ...?

		GEN	IDER		A (G E		MAR:		AT HO			GUAGE HOME	REI	LIGION	я	NON-I	BRIT GRANT	TEN			ON ME	MBE
	TOTAL	Male	Fe male	18 to 29	30 to 44	45 to 59	60 or more	Sin gle	Mar ried	Yes	No	Eng lish		Cath			Eur			Rent		Pri vate Sect	lic
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030		1055 1056							768 7 4 3					670 660	437	71 87	2004	1431 1375	57 4 630			
All the provinces participating in a national plan for health care reform		63	58	51	61	64	66	60	61	56	63	67	40	55	66	61	67	63	62	56	57	61	!
Each province embarking on its own plan to meet its own needs	38	36	39	48	38	34	31	39	37	42	. 35	31	58	43	32	38	31	33	36	42	43	39	7
DK/NA	2	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	-	
			MPLOYM		S TAT US				occ	CUPATI	ON			HOUS	EHOLD) INCO	ME				JCATIO)N	an an
	TOTAL	Full	Part	Home mak	Un empl	Ret	Work ing .	Adm.	Tech	Off.	Sk/ Semi	skil	than	\$20K	\$30K	\$40K	\$60K	\$80K	than		Comm Coll	Some	
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE WEIGHTED SAMPLE		Full	Part Time	Home mak	Un empl	Ret	ing .	Adm.	Tech	Off.	Sk/ Semi Skil	skil Work	than	\$20K to \$30K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K	\$60K	\$80K	Less		Comm Coll Voca	Some	Deç
	TOTAL 2030	Full Time	Part Time	Home mak er 	Un empl oyed	Ret ired	ing	Adm. OLB 373	Tech s.p. OSB	Off. Sale Serv	Sk/ Semi Skil	skil Work	\$20K 261	\$20K to \$30K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K to \$60K	\$60K to \$80K	\$80K or More 	Less than H.S.	н.s. 	Comm Coll Voca	Some Univ	Deç 5:
WEIGHTED SAMPLE All the provinces participating in a national plan for	TOTAL 2030 2030	Full Time 923 907	Part Time 189 172	Home mak er 110	Un empl oyed 103 99	Ret ired 370 396	ing	Adm. OLB 373 383	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198	Off. Sale Serv 288 280	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293	skil Work 164 139	than \$20K 261 261	\$20K to \$30K 260 258	\$30K to \$40K 274 265	\$40K to \$60K 406 396	\$60K to \$80K 252 249	\$80K or More 294 316	Less than H.S. 284 265	H.S. 364 368	Comm Coll Voca 621 613	Some Univ 223 224	Dec 5:

1.J Which of the following approaches do you prefer to health care reform ...?

			REG	ION					SUB	-REGI	ONS			CO	MMUNI'	ry si	ZE		FED.	POLI	TICAL		
																			PR	EFERE	NCE		
					West			Van					Can.	1	100K	5 K	Less						
		Atl.	Que	Ont	ern	Tor	Mont	cou			Alb		excl	Mill	to 1	to	than					Bloc	
	TOTAL	Prov	bec	ario	Can.	onto	real	ver	Man.	Sask	erta	B.C.	Que	+	Mill	100K	5 K	Lib.	P.C.	NDP	CA	Que.	מט
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	77.0.0.						115			230	245	1530	497	533	476	524	746	276	188	213	116	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	165	512	759	594	325	284	125	77	67	184	266	1518	734	450	431	416	772	277	178	200	114	3
All the provinces participating in a national plan for health care reform		77	43	65	65	65	45	66	73	70	52	70	66	57	61	64	62	66	56	67	62	22	
Each province embarking on its own plan to meet its own needs	38	22	55	33	32	34	53	31	24	28	43	28	32	41	37	35	35	33	44	31	34	76	52.88
DK/NA	2	1	2	1	3	*	2	3	3	2	5	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	*	1	4	1	

2.J The Government of Canada has launched a commission on the future of health care in Canada - the Romanow Commission. It is scheduled to report a year from now. Some people say we have been examining the issue of health care reform long enough and that we should implement major reforms immediately. Other people say the Romanow Commission should have an opportunity to consult Canadians about health care reforms and take the time to ensure we do things right. On a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means major health care reforms immediately and 7 means taking time to get health care reforms right, which approach do you prefer?

AGE

GENDER

					18	30	45	60															Pri	Duk
				Fe	to	to	to	or	Sin	Mar			Eng	Fre			Ath/	Eur	Oth				vate	
		TOTAL	Male	male	29	44	59	more	gle	ried	Yes	No	lish	nch	Cath	Prot			er	Own	Rent	Yes		2007-08
UNWEIGHTED S	SAMPLE	2030	975	1055	361	694	538	386	794	1220	768	1255	1475	478	799	670	437	71	109	1431	574	399	88	28
WEIGHTED SAM	APLE	2030	974	1056	436	654	456	436	846	1169	743	1281	1468	471	792	660	444	87		1375	630			26
Immediate	[1]	19	18	20	9	17	24	25	17	20	18	19	17	24	22	17	15	15	19	18	19	19	17	1
	[2]	6	5	6	6	6	7	5	5	6	5	6	5	7	7	5	5	5	3	6	5	4	8	
	[3]	75.0	17	16	17	19	16	14	17	17	18	15	17	15	15	18	16	18	18	17	15	14	15	. 1
	[4]	11	10	12	15	11	7	12	12	10	11	11	12	9	10	10	14	5	9	10	12	11	6	1
•	[5]	15	16	14	22	16	12	10	16	14	16	14	16	12	14	15	18	23	14	15	15	15	19	1
	[6]	7	8	6	10	7	7	6	8	6	6	8	8	5	6	8	9	10	6	7	7	7	2	
Take time	[7]	23	24	23	21	24	24	24	23	24	23	23	23	24	23	25	22	18	24	23	24	27	29	2
DK/NA		3	2	3	1	1	2	5	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	6	6	2	3	2	2	
Mean		4.2	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.
			5-25				Ug.																	
				MPLOYM							UPATI					SEHOLD						JCATIO		
					Home	Un.		Work	Prof	Tech	off.	Sk/	Un-	Less	\$20K	\$30K	\$40K	\$60K	\$80K	Less		Comm		
			Full	Part :	Home mak	Un empl	Ret	Work ing	Prof	Tech	Off.	Sk/ Semi	Un- skil	Less than	\$20K	\$30 K	\$40K	\$60K	\$80K	Less than		Comm Coll	Some	Uni
	7		Full	Part :	Home mak	Un empl	Ret	Work ing	Prof	Tech	Off.	Sk/ Semi	Un- skil	Less than	\$20K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K to \$60K	\$60K to \$80K	\$80K or More	Less than H.S.	н.з.	Comm Coll Voca	Some	Uni
TOTAL DE CHARGE OF	-	rotal	Full Time	Part :	Home mak er	Un empl oyed	Ret ired	Work ing Wom.	Prof Adm. OLB	Tech S.P. OSB	Off. Sale Serv	Sk/ Semi Skil	Un- skil Work	Less than \$20K	\$20K to \$30K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K to \$60K	\$60K to \$80K	\$80K or More	Less than H.S.	н.з.	Comm Coll Voca	Some Univ	Uni
UNWEIGHTED S.	SAMPLE	TOTAL 2030	Full Time	Part :	Home mak er 	Un empl oyed	Ret ired	Work ing Wom.	Prof Adm. OLB 	Tech S.P. OSB	Off. Sale Serv	Sk/ Semi Skil	Un- skil Work	Less than \$20K	\$20K to \$30K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K to \$60K	\$60K to \$80K	\$80K or More	Less than H.S.	H.S.	Comm Coll Voca	Some Univ	Uni
UNWEIGHTED SAM	SAMPLE	rotal	Full Time	Part :	Home mak er	Un empl oyed	Ret ired	Work ing Wom.	Prof Adm. OLB	Tech S.P. OSB	Off. Sale Serv	Sk/ Semi Skil	Un- skil Work	Less than \$20K	\$20K to \$30K	\$30K to \$40K	\$40K to \$60K	\$60K to \$80K	\$80K or More	Less than H.S.	H.S.	Comm Coll Voca	Some Univ	Uni Deg
WEIGHTED SAM	AMPLE IPLE	2030 2030	Full Time 923 907	Part : Time 189 172	Home mak er 110	Un empl oyed 103 99	Ret ired 370 396	Work ing Wom. 583 565	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198	Off. Sale Serv 288 280	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293	Un- skil Work 164 139	Less than \$20K 261 261	\$20K to \$30K 260 258	\$30K to \$40K 274 265	\$40K to \$60K 406 396	\$60K to \$80K 252 249	\$80K or More 294 316	Less than H.S.	H.S. 364 368	Comm Coll Voca 621 613	Some Univ	Uni Deg 52 548
	EAMPLE IPLE	2030 2030	Full Time 923 907	Part: Time 189 172	Home mak er 110 111	Un emp1 oyed 103 99	Ret ired 370 396	Work ing Wom. 583 565	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198	Off. Sale Serv 288 280	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293	Un- skil Work 164 139	Less than \$20K 261 261	\$20K to \$30K 260 258	\$30K to \$40K 274 265	\$40K to \$60K 406 396	\$60K to \$80K 252 249	\$80K or More 294 316	Less than H.S. 284 265	H.S. 364 368	Comm Coll Voca 621 613	Some Univ 223 224	Uni Deg 52 548
WEIGHTED SAM	SAMPLE IPLE [1]	2030 2030 19	Full Time 923 907 16	Part: Time 189 172 19 7	Home mak er 110 111 20 6	Un emp1 oyed 103 99	Ret ired 370 396	Work ing Wom. 583 565	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198	Off. Sale Serv 288 280	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293	Un- skil Work 164 139	Less than \$20K 261 261	\$20K to \$30K 260 258	\$30K to \$40K 274 265	\$40K to \$60K 406 396	\$60x to \$80x = 252 249	\$80K or More 294 316	Less than H.S. 284 265	H.S. 364 368	Comm Coll Voca 621 613	Some Univ 223 224 14	Uni Deg 52 548
WEIGHTED SAM	SAMPLE [1] [2] [3]	2030 2030 19 6	Full Time 923 907 16 7	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16	Un empl oyed 103 99 20 8 15	Ret ired 370 396 26 5	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6	Prof. Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6	Un- skil Work 164 139	Less than \$20K 261 261 22 4	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6	\$60K to \$80K 252 249 11 4	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8	Less than H.S 284 265	H.S. 364 368 22 4	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5	Some Univ 223 224 14 6 18	Uni Deg 52 548
WEIGHTED SAM	SAMPLE [1] [2] [3] [4]	2030 2030 19 6 16	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9	Un empl oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13	Ret ired 370 396 26 5 15	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6 15	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3	Less than \$20K 261 261 22 4 15	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6	\$60K to \$80K 252 249 11 4 18	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5	Some Univ 223 224 14 6 18	Uni Deg 52 548 16 5
WEIGHTED SAM	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	2030 2030 19 6 16 11	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17 10	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13 15	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9 18	Un emp1 oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13 13	Ret ired 370 396 26 5 15	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6 15 12	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14 8 19	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20 11	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17 14	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18 14	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3 16 9	Less than \$20K 261 261 21 15 10	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16 12	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14 10	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6	\$60K to \$80K = 252 249 11 4 18 10 21	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18 13	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10 10	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5 19 13	Some Univ 223 224 14 6 18 11	Uni Deg 52 548
WEIGHTED SAM	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	2030 2030 2030 19 6 16 11 15	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17 10 16 8	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13 15	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9 18 2	Un emp1 oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13 13 6	Ret ired 370 396 26 5 15	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6 15 12 16 7	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14 8 19	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20 11 14 7	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17 14	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18 14 12 7	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3 16 9 20 5	Less than \$20K 261 261 21 15 10 13 5	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16 12 14 7	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14 10 17 6	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6 19 13 14 8	\$60K to \$80K 1 252 249 11 4 18 10 21 10	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18 13 15 7	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10 10 10	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15 11 12 6	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5 19 13 16 8	Some Univ 223 224 6 18 11 19 7	Uni Deg 52 548 16 9
WEIGHTED SAM Immediate Take time	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	2030 2030 19 6 16 11 15 7	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17 10 16 8 24	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13 15 9 24	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9 18 2 23	Un emp1 oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13 13	Ret ired 370 396 26 5 15 11 8 6	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6 15 12 16 7 23	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14 8 19 9	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20 11 14 7 21	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17 14 14 8 23	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18 14 12 7 24	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3 16 9 20 5	Less than \$20K 261 261 25 10 13 5 27	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16 12 14 7 20	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14 10 17 6 26	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6 19 13 14 8 22	\$60K to \$80K 252 249 11 4 18 10 21 10 25	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18 13 15 7	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10 10 4 27	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15 11 12 6 26	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5 19 13 16 8 23	Some Univ 223 224 6 18 11 19 7 23	Uni Deg 52 548 16 5
WEIGHTED SAM	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	2030 2030 2030 19 6 16 11 15	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17 10 16 8	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13 15	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9 18 2	Un emp1 oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13 13 6	Ret ired 370 396 26 5 15	Work ing Wom. 583 565 17 6 15 12 16 7	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14 8 19	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20 11 14 7	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17 14	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18 14 12 7	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3 16 9 20 5	Less than \$20K 261 261 21 15 10 13 5	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16 12 14 7	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14 10 17 6	\$40K to \$60K 406 396 18 6 19 13 14 8	\$60K to \$80K 1 252 249 11 4 18 10 21 10	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18 13 15 7	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10 10 10	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15 11 12 6	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5 19 13 16 8	Some Univ 223 224 6 18 11 19 7	Uni Deg 52 548 16 9 16
WEIGHTED SAM Immediate Take time	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	2030 2030 19 6 16 11 15 7 23	Full Time 923 907 16 7 17 10 16 8 24	Part: Time 189 172 19 7 10 13 15 9 24 3	Home mak er 110 111 20 6 16 9 18 2 23 6	Un emp1 oyed 103 99 20 8 15 13 13 6 24 *	Ret ired 370 396 5 15 11 8 6 24	Work ing Wom 583 565 17 6 15 12 16 7 23 2	Prof Adm. OLB 373 383 17 7 14 8 19 9 24 1	Tech S.P. OSB 205 198 17 9 20 11 14 7 21 1	Off. Sale Serv 288 280 17 5 17 14 14 8 23 2	Sk/ Semi Skil 303 293 18 6 18 14 12 7 24	Un- skil Work 164 139 16 3 16 9 20 5 27 4	Less than \$20K 261 261 25 10 13 5 27 2	\$20K to \$30K 260 258 22 5 16 12 14 7 20	\$30K to \$40K 274 265 20 5 14 10 17 6 26	\$40K to \$60K 	\$60K to \$80K 252 249 11 4 18 10 21 10 25 1	\$80K or More 294 316 15 8 18 13 15 7 24	Less than H.S 284 265 30 4 10 10 4 27 5	H.S. 364 368 22 4 15 11 12 6 26 3	Comm Coll Voca 621 613 15 5 19 13 16 8 23 2	Some Univ 223 224 14 6 18 11 19 7 23 1	Uni Deg 52 548 16 5 16

MARITAL KIDS <18 LANGUAGE RELIGION

STATUS AT HOME AT HOME

NON-BRIT TENURE

IMMIGRANT

UNION MEMBER

2.J The Government of Canada has launched a commission on the future of health care in Canada - the Romanow Commission. It is scheduled to report a year from now. Some people say we have been examining the issue of health care reform long enough and that we should implement major reforms immediately. Other people say the Romanow Commission should have an opportunity to consult Canadians about health care reforms and take the time to ensure we do things right. On a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means major health care reforms immediately and 7 means taking time to get health care reforms right, which approach do you prefer?

	1	REGION		SUB-REGIONS (UNITY SIZ	FED. POLITICAL PREFERENCE					
	Atl. Q	We ue Ont er		Van nt cou		Alb	Can.	1 1		Less				Bloc	
TOTA	L Prov be	ec ario Ca	n. onto rea	al ver	Man. Sask	erta B	.C. Que	+ M	ill 100K	5K Li	b. P.C.	NDP	CA	Que.	Un
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE 203		500 554 7		00 115	130 132	230	245 1530	497	533 476	524 7	46 276	188	213	116	3
WEIGHTED SAMPLE 203	0 165 !	512 759 5	94 325 21	125	77 67	184	266 1518	734	450 431	416 7	72 277	178	200	114	3
Desperation of the second	.9 20			26 15	17 16		17 17	20	19 17		17 20	15	18	29	
[2]	6 4 .6 18	7 6 14 17	5 6 16 20 1	7 4 14 14	3 4 15 16	6 19	6 5 15 17	6 17	6 6 15 18	5 16	6 6 16 20		7 17	5 16	
53. (5)	1 13		12 9	9 15	12 13	11	12 11	10	10 12	12	12 8	7	11	6	
• • • •	.5 14 7 7		16 17 1 10 6	10 16 4 7	13 16 8 11	19	16 16 10 8	1 4 6	16 16 10 8	15 7	18 13 6 7	18	15 6	10 9	
SAFE-GLOVEY LEXT-MOMELY	3 21 3 2			25 25	27 19	21	23 23	24	22 23		23 24		25	24	
DK/NA	3 4	3 2	3 2	4 3	4 4	3	2 2	3	2 1	4	2 1	1	1	1	
Mean 4.	2 4.0 3	3.9 4.2 4	.3 4.2 3.	8 4.4	4.4 4.3	4.4	4.3 4.2	4.1 4	1.2 4.2	4.2 4	.2 4.1	4.5	4.2	3.9	4

3.J In your opinion, should the private sector's involvement in delivering health care to Canadians be larger, smaller or about the same as it is now?

		GEN	VDER.		A	G E		MAR	ITAL	KIDS	<18	LA	NGUAGE	RE	LIGIO	N	NON-	BRIT	TEN	URE	UNI	ON ME	EMBEI
									TUS	AT E	OME		номе					GRANT					
				18	30	45	60															Pri	Pul
		1	Fe	to	to	to		Sin	121			Eng				Ath/	Eur	Oth				vate	lic
	TOTAL	Male	male	29	44	59 	more	gle	ried	l Yes	No	lish	nch	Cath	Prot	Ag	ope	er	Own	Rent	Yes	Sect	Sec
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	975	1055	361	694	538	386	794	1220	768	1255	1475	478	799	670	437	71	109	1431	574	399	88	21
WEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	974	1056	436	654	456	436	846	1169	743	1281	1468	471	. 792	660	444	87		1375				
Much larger	18	20	15	16	20	19	13	16	19	18	17	15	27	22	15	15	11	21	18	17	15	10	
Somewhat larger	28							28	27	27	28	26	31	. 30	25	27	33	25	26	31	23	28	:
About the same as now	39	37	40	41	39	36	37	40	38	40	38	40	35	37	42	38	34	38	38	39	39	37	. 4
Somewhat smaller	7	7	7	6	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	8	3	5	8	9	5	6	8	5	10	10	
Much smaller	6	7	6	5	4	10	7	6	7	6	7	8	3	4	7	8	11	7	7	5	10	14	. :
DK/NA	3	2	4	2	3	1	5	4	3	2	3	4	*	2	4	3	6	3	3	3	3	1	
		E	MPLOY	MENT	STATU	s			oc	CUPAT	ION			HOU	SEHOLI	O INC	OME			ED	UCATI	ON	
		P-11	Part	Home										\$20K			\$60K	\$80K	Less		Comm		
	TOTAL				empl		ing								to	to	to		than			Some	
							Wom.			Serv	SKII	WOLK	\$20K	\$30K	\$40K	\$60K	\$80K	More	H.S.	H.S.	Voca	Univ	Dec
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	923	189	110	103	370	583	373	205	288	303	164	261	260	274	406	252	294	284	364	621	222	
WEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	907	172	111	99	396	565	383	198		293	139			265	396	249	316	265	368	613	223 224	52 54
Much larger	18	20	16	17	22	14	16	19	17	18	20	22	16	14	16	17	13	24	19	20	15	13	2
Somewhat larger	28	26	32	21	26	29	28	26	28	28	27	30		30	28	29	33	23	33	30	28	29	2
About the same as	39	38	40	42	34	40	40	39	38	39	35	34	43	44	40	33	38	40	37	3,7	41	36	3
Somewhat smaller	7	7	6	9	5	7	7	7	7	5	9	6	9	5	9	8	5	5	4	5	8	8	
Much smaller	6	7	4	3	10	6	6	7	7	8	5	5	5	4	5	11	7	7	3	5	5	10	
DK/NA	3	3	2	8	3	5	3	1	3	3	4	2	4	4	3	2	4	2	4	4	4	3	2
			REGI	ON					SUB	-REGIO	PMG			COM	MUNIT	V 977	· D		משק	DOT TO			
															201411	1 512	12	=		POLIT			
					West			Van					Can.	1	100K		T.000						
		Atl.	Que	Ont		Tor	Mont				Alb			Mill								Bloc	
	TOTAL	Prov	bec	ario					Man.			B.C.			Mill			Lib.	P.C.	NDP	CA	Que.	Unc
UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	239	500		737	182	200	115	130	132	230	245	1530	497	533	476	524	746	276	100	212		
WEIGHTED SAMPLE	2030	165	512	759	594	325	284	125	77	67			1518	734	450	431	416	772	277	178	213 200		35 38
Much larger	18	14	26	14	15	15	26	21	17	12	9	20	15	20	17	16	15	16	22	10	20	28	1
Somewhat larger	28	28	31	25	28	22	30	28	30	22	26	30	26	27	31	28	25	29	29	15	26	31	2
About the same as now	39	44	36	39	38	40	38	35	39	48	43	32	39	39	36	40	40	40	32	43	39	33	4
Somewhat smaller	7	7	3	8	9	8	2	7	7	5	10	9	8	6	8	8	7	5	9	13	9	4	
Much smaller	6	5	3	9	7	11	2	3	3	6	9	6	8	6	7	5	7	7	6	15	2	3	
DK/NA	3	3	1	5	3	4	1	6	4	5	3	3	4	3	2	3	5	2	1	3	4	-	